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## PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION.

TN this edition the first chapter, by Prof Maitland, is new. In Book II., a.ii. § 12, on 'Corporations and Churches' (formerly ' Fictitions Persons '), and c. iii. § 8, on 'The Borough,' have been recest. There are no other important alterations: but we have to thank our learned critica, and eapecially $\mathrm{Dr}_{r}$ Brunner of Berlin, for various observations by which we have endeavoured to profit. We have thought it convenient to note the paging of the first edition in the margin.
F. P.
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## INTRODUCTION.

In the First of the two Books into which our work is divided we have andeavoured to draw a alight aketah, which becomes somewhat fuller as time goes on , of the general outlines of that part of English legal history which lies on the other side of the accoesion of Edward I. In the Second Book we have tried to set forth at some length the doctrines and rules of English law which prevailed in the days of Glanvill and the days of Bracton, or, in other words, under Henry II., his sons and grandson. The chapters of our Firat Book are allotted to various periods of history, those of the Second to various branches of law. In a short Introduction we hope to explain why we have been guilty of what may be regarded as certain offences, more especially certain offences of omission.

It has been usual for writers commencing the exposition of any particular system of law to undertake, to a greater or less extent, philosophical discussion of the nature of laws in general, and definition of the most general notions of jurisprudence. We purposely refrain from any such undertaking. The philosophical analysis and defnition of law belongs, in our judgment, neither to the historical nor to the dogmatic science of law, but to the theoretical part of politics. A philosopher who is duly willing to learn from lawyers the things of their own art is full as likely to handle the topic with good effect as a lawyer, even if that lawyer is acquainted with philosophy, and has used all due diligence in consulting philosophers. The matter of legal science is not an ideal result of ethical or political analysis; it is the actual result of facts of human nature and history. Common knowledge assures us that in every tolerably settled community there are rules by which men are expected to order
their comeluet. Some of these miles are not expnoed in any authontic form, nor declaned with authority by ans persen or berly diatanct from the community at largs, uor cuforced by any power ecolstitutad for that purpene. Othern ure deedaned by sume parmon or borly having permanently, or for the twne
 ane conceived as buding the metubem of the entrintumt in a sperethl manner. In civilizist states thene are otherem chargeal with the duty und furnishell with the means of enfaremg the on Of the former hand are the commons rution of therato and
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 of the courts, and of werconstag resibtance th them, at newa, by the use of all or any part of the physical puwes at the diapmaal of the siutes. Laxtly, wol expert tu hond uot inly that the csizeen may use the masals of retteen frovided and alluwed by priblie juastios but thas he may mut une athens Sine in cauen particularly exivepted, the man who takes the law istes
 commusity. - The law is open, and there are deputies. les
them implend one another." Such are for the citizen, the lawyer, and the historian, the practical elements of law. When a man is sequainted with the rules which the judges of the land will apply to any subject of diepute botween citizens, or to any act complained of as an offence against the common weal, and is further acquainted with the manaer in which the decision of the competent court can be enforced, he must be said to know the law to that extent. He may or may not have opinious upon the metaphysical analysis of laws or legal duty in general, or the place of the topic in hand in a scientific arrangement of Jegal ideas Law, such as we know it in the conduct of life, ie matter of fnct; not a thing which can be seen or handled, but a thing percaived in many ways of practical experience. Commonly there is no difficulty in recognixing it by ita socustomed signs and works. In the axceptional casen where difficulties are found, it is not known that metaphysical definition has oter been of much avail.

It may be well to guard ourselves on one or two pointa. We have said that law may be taken for every purpose, save that of etrictly philosophical inquiry, to be the sum of the ruies edministered by courts of justice. We have not said that it must be, or that it always is, a sum of uniform and consistent rules (as uniform and consistent, that is, as human fallibility and the inherent difficulties of human affairs permit) administered under one and the same system. This would, perhaps, be the statement of an ideal which the modern history of law tends to realize rather than of a result yet fully accomplished in any nation. Certainly it would not be correct as regards the state of English legal institutions, not only in modern but in quite recent times. Different and more or less conflicting systems of law, different and more or less comenting systems of jurisdiction, in one and the same region, are compatible with a high state of civilization, with a strong government, and with an administration of justice well enough liked and sufficiently understood by those who are concerned.

Another point on which confusion is natural and may be dangerous is the relation of law to morality. Legal rules are not merely that part of the moral rules existing in a given society which the State thinks proper to enforce. It is easily recognized that there are, and must be, rules of morality beyond the commandments of law; no less is it true, though
lese commonly recogrized, that thore ano and must ber rules of law beyund or ousxide the dinect proeeper of morality. There are uany thags for wherh it in tuendful or haghly cunsenient to have a fixed role, and comparatively or crem wholly indtioneas what that rulo whall be. When, indeed, the rule is fixetd by cuntorn or law thes morality upprowe and enjoiss ntwedereer to it. But the rule steelf in not a mornl rule. In Englated men drive on the left-hatad sidue of the mad, in the Writed Staters and nearly all jurta of the Cowtinent of Eurupe on the ngehe. Morality has mothink wo any wo this, except that thowe whe une the roads unght ta knuw nud ubserves the rule. whatever it be, permeribed by the law of the conntry. Many cases, ngan, oerur, whene the lagal rule does not profese to fultil anything like perfect juatces, but where certanty is of whre itupurtance thans perfoetion, and nt imperfeet rule in thorefore uneful and aceeptable. Nay, more, thene are conew where the Law, for reawns of general policy, wot only maken persous chargenbla.
 contrary. Thus, by the law of Englond, the presemons of a dangerous annual is luatile fur any unshef it tany do, notWitherandiag that ho may have usurs the utmont caution for ita wafe kerping. Thus, in our modern law, a manter has wo aunwer for the acte nefid dofaults of a wrwant secuphed about hin buniness, however enreful he may have been in chownug and instructing the servant. Thus, agrin. there am caves wherr an
 and no redrens enis le obtansed from the primary wroug-dior. In such casew it has wo be deriderd which of thome innoweut permenn shall bear the lose A typucal example ts the sale if stules grouda he oue who buys thene in ginul fiath. Ther fraudulent eeller in comenonly out of mach, or, if withon reach. of no menns to make restitution. Fither the true uwnes anust lome his gormin, or the purchaser must lone his inothey. This yuvatuen, satuple erough sut he the facke in in the very borter. liue of legal pulicy. Shome systems of haw favour the tirat owner, mone the purchames, and th our English Iaw itw.If
 quike indepesadeat of the actual bonerity or prodisnes of the
 are Eculuctble to the natioe priteiple arsod in varousw wayn whith may be complicaud to an indotinite extent. Evidenty there
must be sorne law for such cases; yet no law can be made Whech will not seem unjust to the loser. Compensation at the public expense would, perhaps, be absolutely just, and it might be practicable in a world of absolutely truthful and prudent people. But in such a world frauds wonld not be committed on individuals any more than on the State.

Another point worth mention is that the notion of law does not include of necessity the existence of a diatinct profession of lawyers, whether as judges or as advocates. There can not well be a science of law without such a profession ; but justice can be administered according to settled rulee by persons taken firen the general body of citivens for the occasion, or in a small commanity even by the whole body of qualified citizens; and under the moet advanced legal systems a man may generally ecoduct his own cause in person, if 50 minded. In Athens, at the time of Pericles, and even of Demosthenes, there was a greet deal of law, but no clase of persons answering to our judgee or counsellors. The Attic orator was not a lawyer in the modern sense. Again, the Icelandic sagas exhibit a state of mociety provided with law quite definite as far as it goee, and even minutely technical on some points, and yet without any professed lawyers. The law is administered by general assemblies of freemen, though the court which is to try a particular cause is selected by elaborate rules. There are old men who have the reputation of being learned in the law; sometimes the opinion of such a man is accepted as conclusive; but they hold no defined office or official qualification. In England, as we shall see hereafter, there was no definite legal profession till more than a century after the Norman Conquest. In short, the presence of law is marked by the administration of justice in some regular course of time, place, and manner, and on the footing of some recognized general principles. These conditions appear to be sufficient, as they are necessary. But if we suppose an Eastern despot to sit in the gate and deal with every case according to the impression of the moment, recognizing no rule at all, we may say that he is doing some sort of justice, but we can not say that he is doing judgment according to law. Probably no prince or raler in historical times ever really took upon himself to do right according to his mere will and pleasure. There are always points of accepted faith which even the strongest of
despinta dares bot iffend, puints of rustom which he danow ant dixnygart.

At the same tance the conscinas wiphation of law from marals and religion has beern a groulual promesa, und it has largely gone hatid in hand with the marking off of apering coun-
 the development. through their sperisal stadios, of jurispru-
 prountive theory of the untury of law, st serges to the that laws are the utterance of anthe divare or berone paspon whe reveale, or deelares as revealed to him, that which in aboulutely nght. The desire tis refer hastituthotis tos a deatied or cantioized legialutor is shown in England an late an the fourteenth cobtury, hy the ateribution to King Alfred of everythsuge mippomed to be specally natuoual and excellent. In the extant Brahmanical recrinatua of easly Hindu law thia domare to antuffied with deliberate and exconave ninutenesu. Whereves and whenever such sutions prevall, the dotsoction between legal and tumal duty can at best be imperfently realized Jurugg the age of which we are waspeak in this trok a grand
 In the symeem of the mevheoval ©hurch the whole of extermas. momal duty is inelusied in the law of tionl nod of Helly thureh.
 tiver rulees and "xce-ptatan, and even of legrashater deeloration hy
 Many shamen on whech Protestanta arm accuatomed to apmond their automsament and inolognativa are reserly the neemoary cotimequeniva of thas theury. We shall offe-as have to utmersa that the wrike and Hexilile juriwistons of the apuntual pmer Wha of groat worvice in the middale rges lweth in supplementing


 exprothad of us
W. hase spokets but brietly of the law whelh provailead ith Eingiand before the comung of the Numbana and therofore we ensght pertuaps to way here that it our opmones is was it the main purce (iermatic Law. Question has beep made at whinte
 cubtimasb of Britats by nuccemse anvadem, and becaure ta-
corparated in Eagisish law. We are unable to asaigni any defmite share to this Celtic alement. The supposed proofs of it existence have, so far as we are aware, no surer foundation then coincidence. Now the mere coincidence of particulars in enty bodies of law proves nothing beyond the resemblance of all institutions in certain stagea. There are, again, many points of real organic connexion between Celtic and English law even if there has been no borrowing from the Welshman on the Englishman's part. If there be a true affinity, it may well go buck to a common stock of Aryan tradition antecedent to the diatinction of race and tongue between German and Celt. And if in a given case we find that an institution or custom which is both Welsh and English is at the same time Scandinavian, Greek, Roman, Slavonic or Hindu, we may be reasonably ascured that there is nothing more specific in the matter. Or, if there be a true case of survival, it may go back to an origin se little Celtic or even Aryan as it is Germanic. Some local vasges, it is quite possible, may be relics of a prehistoric society and of an antiquity now immeasurable, saved by their obecurity through the days of Celt, Saron and Norman alike. There is no better protection againat the stronger hand; bracken and lichens are untouched by the storm that uproots oak and beech. But this is of no avail to the Celtic enthusiast, or rather of worse than none. Those who claim a Celtic origin for English laws ought to do one of two things: prove by distinct historical evidence that particular Celtic institutions were adopted by the English invaders, or point out similar features in Welsh and English law which can not be matched either in the laws of continental Germany or in those of other Aryan nations. Neither of these things, to the best of our knowledge, has ever been effectually done. Indeed the test last named would be hardly a safe one. The earliest documents of Welsh law known to exist are in their present form so much later than the bulk of our Anglo-Saxon documents that, if a case of specific borrowing could be made out on the face of them, we should need further assurance that the bormowing was not the other way. The favourite method of partisans in this kind is, as has been said, to enumerate coincidences. And by that method our English medieval law could with little ado be proved to be Greek, Slavonic, Semitic, or, for aught one knows, Chinese. We can not say that no element derived from the Celtic

## XXX

## Inerouluction.

inhabitunta of Britan cexista in it. for there is wo meana of
 not evidesce of the exastelice of that element in may onch apprevable metasure as would sblyge us to lake aceotsnt of it in murh a work ns the proserga. Agnili, there is the pawabitity that C'eltic detanls, asminmaterd in Gaul by Fremeh law durmg ite growth, posered into England at the Nornass Consubebt. But it is aut fior un tu dimenses thes prowilolity. Uts the other hand, no one can doube that the Elughob law stakyl and
 wo Couse finds nemare kinafolk in the lnw that provnileal is sixuoly and Niorway and on the Lombard plane thun thome that it titula annong the Wivkh ir Irish.
(Anmang tw the moliel gromud of known history, we tind that our lnwe have lewes formand in the mamn frum a stock of
 xidemble addations or monditientione of forms receivial direrely or inderetly from the Foman systesn. Buth the liermanme aud
 different timesa and from different serurces, nat we have thus a large range of pmaithhtien to which. in the atmencee of dinvet prowf. we thust attond carefully in every case betore comturting uurv-lves ho a dectasto.

Takiug finst the (iertianie matarial of our laws, we begins with the cumbous and uastiluthone brought in by the Etoglinh cubipuent of Britain, or miher by the series of cobluteate which led so the forrration of the Fingliath kingitorn Then is the prime atock; but it by do means accuunte tor the whele of the
 with the Inalish iovasions and was neverevel by the shore pariod of 1hansh soveregnity. A thand of kingland, a pupulente and wealthy third, became knuwn as the Ibuelaw. Ti, mume extent, hut probalily to no groat extent, the Norman law and pravites! of Wiltintu the conyuenar may hare included smmiar tanterer. The amin mportanae of the Norinats costribution, however. was is other kisdas Much Anglo-Nismati law in fiertuane without betag eather Anglosiaxon or Nome Indeed if nenernt yeams it has bervime the fadhon upon the (imetient ce sjowats of AngioNursann Law at daughter of Fronkenh Law. The Fraukinh sumarchy. the seareat apperich wa civilused power that exivend in Wewtern burupr suce the larkaran unamuns, was in many
thinge a pattern for its neighbours and for the states and principolities that rose out of its ruins. That we received from the Normans a contribution of Frankish ideas and customs is indubitable. It was, indeed, hardly foreign to us, being of lindred atock, and atill not widely removed from the common root of Germanic tradition. We must not omit, however, to count it as a distinct variation. Neither must we forget that Fugtish princes had already been following in some measure the neme moodels that the Dukea of the Normans copied. From the time of Charles the Great onward, the rulers of both Mercia and Wemer were in intimate relations with the Frankish kinga

Now each of these Germanic strains, the purely AngloSaron, the Scandinavian, the Frankish, has had ite champions. To decide between them is often a difficult, and sometimes in our opinion an imposesible task. A mere 'method of agreement' is, as alreindy said, full of dangers, and such is the imperfection of our record that we can seldom use a 'method of differences' in any convincing fashion. Even for the sake of these somewhat remote and obecure problems, the first thing needful seems to be that. we should have a fairly full statement of the Eaglish law of the Angevin time. Before we speculate about hypothetical causes, we ought to know as accurately as possible the effect that has to be accounted for. The speculation we must leave for the more part to those who can devote their time to a close study of Anglo-Saxon, Scandinavian and Frankish law. The English law of the Angevin age is for the present our principal theme, though we have sometimes glanced at earlier and at later times also.

As to the Roman, or more properly Romanic, element in our English law, this also is a matter which requires careful distinction. It has been maintained at various times, and sometimes with great ingenuity, that Roman institutions persisted after Britain was abandoned by the Roman power, and survived the Teutonic invasions in such force as to contribute in material quantity to the formation of our laws. But there is no real evidence of this. Whether the invaders may not have learnt something in the arts of peace and war from those whom they were conquering, something of strategy, architecture, agriculture, is not here the question. We speak of law, and within the sphere of law everything that is Roman or Romanized can

## Intraduction.

be ancounuad for by later itingurtatiol. We know that the langunge and the orligitin of Rume were etfineed. Ruman
 kinglomalmost an if the British Church had bever exased. The remumes of that t'hurch strasis alenf, and it wrold serem that Augnatine did nut think it entited to much concilation, wither by itm morites or by ites importaneen. It in diffieult wo beflewe that cetil matitutions remamed continuous in a conuntry where the disenntrumity of errtennatieal atfium in eo ponnterily markend, and in an ng. when the Chureh was far murn atatile and conipnet than any evili mentution whatever. Add, in philns of fact, there is Be true of the lawn ant juthaprate moe of
 the Romato (humeth, in the marhest Anglo-saxon diewments.
 arging is theme matera from a miere entureration of comb-

 Engliah lnw wo a falue orgin. That menad of the furman cexclesamatioal traftion, in other wortu, of the gyatein which is cours of tume was orghansed ar the tanon law, war the tims

 doubt the statemert that Enghal princon twegren to mithet thers
 knewn to them by Augutine aud his surecesonn?

Somewhat later the inturenurn of Enghish provers with the Fimntish court bimught is is frobt meveston of cimumental tearning and couthenthal forms, in the hatude of eterike indeevl. but apphicable to reeular affiam in than way the Rorman materials umimilated or imitatoss by the Pranikn eandy folltul thems way into kingland it a weond nomove. Many. pertimp wost, of the fuectas that have beets alleged to shome the per-







 $113^{\circ}$.

Introduction.
sistence of Roman institutions in Britain are really of this find. Such are for example the forms and phrases of the Latin charters or land-booles that we find in the Coder Diplomaticut. A difficult question indeed is raieed by these continental materiala on their own ground, namely, what proportion of Germanic and Franco-Gallio usagee is of Moman origin, and how far those parts that are Roman are to be ascribed to a continuors life of Roman institutions and habits in the outlying provinces of the empire, more especially in Gaul. Merovingign Chal has been, and for a long time to.come is likely to be, the bettle-field of scholars, some of whom can see little that is Boman, come little that is Germanic. Interesting as these problems are, they do not fall within our present scope.

A further importation of more sudden and masterful fashion came with the Norman Conquest. Not only had the Normans learnt a Romance tongue, but the dukes of Normandy had adopted the official machinery of Frankish or French government, inclading of course whatever Roman elements had been thken up by the Franks. Here, again, a remoter field of inquiry lies open, on which we do not adventure ourselves. It is enough to my, at present, that institutions which have now-a-days the most homely and English appearance may nevertheless be ultimately connected, through the customs of Normandy, with the system of government elaborated in the latter centuries of the Roman Empire. The fact that this kind of Romanic influence operated chiefly in matters of procedure does not make it the less important, for procedure is the life of ancient law. But this, it need hardly be remarked, is a very different matter from a continuous persistence of unadulterated Roman elements. It may be possible to trace a chain of slender but unbroken links from the court of our William or Henry to that of Diocletian or Constantine. Such a chain, however, is by no means strengthened by the fact that Papinian was once at York, as it would in no way be weakened if that fact could be discredited.

Soon after the Norman Conquest a new and a different wave of Roman influence began to flow. The first ripple of it reached our shore when Lanfranc the lawyer of Pavia became the Conqueror's trusted adviser. In the middle of the next century it was streaming outwards from Bologna in full flood. Hitherto we have been speaking of a survival of Roman law in institutions

## Inemeduction.

sod hobite and cuatoms; what we have now before un in of another kind, a scholarly revival of the clasucal fumans law that is to be fuund is Justinian's hooks. Uf this we have apuken at some length in vamous parts of our work For abous a century-let un may between $11: 0$ and 1250 -this tude was whaping and moxlifying our binglish law, and we have toed to kerp before the cyes of our senden the yquestiun- $u$ our mind one of the exestral fluestions of Finglish histary-why the rapord and, to a firat glance, coverwhelsung flow of Romanic learnog was folluwed in thas country by mas emally rapul ebb.

At a later time yet other Roman elementa bogran to mako their wny into our aywtere through the expuly miminastered by the chatresellor, But of these we alosll not appeak in thim books. fer we whall not here brong down the atory of corr law beyond the tune when Eiluard I. leggon him memurable refurime (Jur reawon for atopgrag nt that momabit. we can give in a few worta So conotnucua has beren our Fonghsh legnal lifio durngg tho hast six rentumas, that the law of the feter maditle ages has onever bewo forgoteen among un. It has never pansed uterly ontmade. the cograzance of our conata and aur practiving lanyerm Wie have never had to dianter and reconatrort it in that Intornoses and tontative manner in wheh Gertuan himarnisn of the grewe nis day have divinterted and reconntructeal the law of mewheval Cermany. It ha never boen obliterated by a wholesole, ' ne ception' of Homan lan. Blackatune, its under that be inghis expmond the working law of his own day its ans intalligitide

 ase stall froms wime to time compofloct to conotrie statutes of Pidwand lín da!, abd, were Parlinment en repwal motase of thome
 Law would fall duwn with a crash. Thenslurve a inuletens, wheh is in the main a mound and truthfil trarlituth, has been manintasued about mourh of Eisglesh legal hatory as lies on thas mate of the resgu of bidward 1. We may lind it in Blactetenoce ; we may find is in Reeven; wo may fion thany protmon of it in vartous practical kess-bomike. Win ame begonning to dacover that it in aut all true, at zasay pronata it bus of late bevo morrocted. Ice beesting sith is that of antertationg the emsergethee of modern

went to ful. But in the main it is Eruthful. To this must be alded that as regards the materials for this part of our history we atand very miuch where Blackstone stood. This we write to ome chame. The first and indispensable preliminary to a better legal hiotory than we have of the later middle ages is a new, a camplete, a tolerable edition of the Year Books. They should la our glory, for no other country has anything like them: they es our diagrace, for no other country would have so neglected them

On the other hand, as regards the materials which come from a alightly earlier time, we do not stand nearly where Bhalretone etood. The twelfth and thirteenth centuries have been fortrunate in our own age. Very many and some of the bent and most anthentic of the texts on which we have relied in the following pages were absolutely unknown to Blackstone and to Reeves To the antiquaries of the seventeenth century high preise is due; even the eighteenth produced, as it were out of dae time, one master of records, the diligent Madox; but at lenst half of the materials that we have used as sources of firathand knowledge have been published for the first time since 1800, by the Record Commissioners, or in the Rolls Series, or by some learned society, the Camden or the Surtees, the Pipe Roll or the Selden. Even while our pages have been in the press Dr Liebermann has been restoring to us the law-books of the twelfth century. Again, in many particular fields of old English law-villeinage, for example, and trial by jury and many another-so much excellent and very new work has been done by men who are still living, by Germans, Frenchmen, Russians as well as Englishmen and Americans, and so much of it lies scattered in monographs and journals-we should be ungrateful indeed did we not name the Harvard Law Reviewthat the time seemed to have come when an endeavour to restate the law of the Angevin age might prosper, and at any rate ought to be made.

One of our hopes has been that we might take some part in the work of bringing the English law of the thirteenth century into line with the French and German law of the same age. That is the time when French law is becoming clear in Les Olim, in Beammanoir's lucid pages, in the so-called Establishments of St Louis, in the Norman custumal and in many other books. It is also the classical age of Cerman law, the age of the

Sachsenagieggel. We have been trying to do for kinglanh Law what has within late years been doue for Frouch and dinguas law by a hoet of scholins. Wio have oftern hat before our minds the questiun why it is that systems wheh in the thrteeveth century were ao near of kin had auch different fates thefure thols. The answer to that quewtion to manuredly tot to be givers by any trasty culk abuut natiunal chameter. The tima stop tuwarin an answer must be a careful staternent of each system by itaclf. We must know in isalation the thinga that are u, ber compared before we somprare thesis. A manall ahase in thin prelimanary labour we have tried to take. Englishmen alsould
 turental satubs have tween ruled by 'the covil law, 'they thosid learas huw sluwly the resusuted Rumas dox-trose worked ita way into the jurisprudetice of the parliament of faris, how long dimferied was 'the practical merepthon' of Kuthats law in Genmayg, huw exce-dmgly like our common law noce wa to a French combume. "Jhts wall give them matatenater wiegol in there own history. What is rnure, in the worke of fremeli ated
 able hast for the solusuin of niwertieally Fongliath probleme

We have left te Cisumetutubal Histury the ridel that ahe hat appoppoted. An exact delimitathon if the provinue of taw that whoulit te callevd conatitutoonal mant always be difficuis.

 itmpeable, and we mov on a matter of fart that the hatortatia of
 primanty intereated is such parta of the law an ane inctubstabily curnotitutomal, thay are alway dimenvering that in ocres to expluin these they are conturefled bu explats athore purts almax They cans out writa mbunt the growth of parlonament without writing about the law of land henurs, 'the liberty of the sulyere: cass only be unanfionterl in a dieyoume on ciril and crimizal procedure. It nayy bo enough thonefone if, withous any abtompt co cotabliah a melentific frontier, we phitent that we have kupt rlater of the serfitarg iwer which they exreetime an


 what be his left unsud Besudem, for olong time peat, ever
simee the dajs of Selden and Prynne, many Englishmen have bean keenty interested in the history of parliament and of mantion and of all that directly concerns the government of the replm. If we could perauade a few of them to take a similar intarent in the history of ownership, possession, contract, ageacy, trast, legal proof and so forth, and if we could bring the history of these, or of some of these, matters within a meenurable distance of that degree of accuracy and completion which constitutional history has attained in the hands of $\mathrm{Dr}_{r}$ Stabbs, we should have achieved an uniooked-for sucoese. At the anme time, we shall now and again discuss some problems with which he and his predecessors have busied themselves, for we think that those who have endeevoured to explore the private law of the middle ages may occasionally see even in political events some clue which escapes eyes that are trained to look only or chiefly at public affairs.

The constitutional is not the only department of medieval law that we have left on one side. We have said very little of puraly ecclesiastical mattera Here again we have been compalled to draw but a rude boundary. It seemed to us that a hiotory of English law which aaid nothing of marriage, last wills, the fate of an intestate's goods, the punishment of criminous clerks, or which merely said that all these affairs were governed by the law and courts of the church, would be an exceedingly fragmentary book. On the other hand, we have not felt called upon to speak of the legal constitution of the ecclesiastical hierarchy, the election and consecration of bishops, the ordination of clerks, the power of provincial councils and so forth, and we have but now and then alluded to the penitential system. What is still the sphere of ecclesiastical law we have avoided ; into what was once its sphere we could not but make incursions.

At other points, again, our course has been shaped by a desire to avoid what we should regard as vain repetition. When the ground that we traverse has lately been occupied by a Holmes, Thayer, Ames or Bigelow, by a Brunner, Liebermann or Vinogradoff, we pass over it rapidly; we should have dwelt much longer in the domain of criminal law if Sir James Stephen had not recently laboured in it. And then we have at times devoted several pages to the elucidation of some question, perhaps intrinsically of small importance, which seemed to us
difficult and unexplored and worthy of patient discussion, for such is the interdependence of all legal rules that the solution of some vital problem may occavionally be found in what lookn at first sight like a technical triffe.

We have thought lesw of symmetry than of the advancement of knowledge. The time for an artistically balanced picture of English medieval law will come: it has not come yet.
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## SKETCH OF EARLY ENGLISH <br> LEGAL HISTORY.

## CHAPTER I.

## THE DABK AGE IN LRGAL EIETORY.

Sucs is the unity of all history that any one who endeavours the dic. to tell a piece of it must feel that his first sentence tears a beoily din seamless web. The oldest utterance of English law that has come down to us has Greek words in it: words such as bishop, priout and deacon. If we would search out the origins of Boman law, we must study Babylon: this at least was the opinion of the great Romanist of our own day'. A statute of limitations must be set ; but it must be arbitrary. The web must be rent; but, as we rend it, we may watch the whence and whither of a few of the severed and ravelling threads which have been making a pattern too large for any man's eye.

To speak more modestly, we may, before we settle to our Proposed task, look round for a moment at the world in which our rotrospect. English legal history has its beginnings. We may recall to memory a few main facts and dates which, though they are easily ascertained, are not often put together in one English book, and we may perchance arrange them in a useful order if we make mile-stones of the centuries ${ }^{3}$.
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 pupli Moxtestibus may be accobsters the last of the kroat
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[^1]
this overseor of a non-conformist congregation would, in the person of his succesesor, place his heel upon the neck of the procteate $\Delta u g u s t u s$ by virtue of God-made law. This was not to be foreseen; but already a merely human jurisprudence was loing its interest. The intellectual force which some years ewtier might have taken a side in the debate between Sabinians and Proculians now invented or refuted a ohristological heresy. Ulpien's priesthood ${ }^{1}$ was not priestly enough'.

The decline was rapid. Long before the year 300 juris- omermprudence, the one science of the Romans, was stricken with Deolthe of sterility"; it was sharing the finte of art. Ite eges were turned hav. beokwards to the departed great. The constitutions of the emperors now appeared as the only active soorce of law. They were a disordered mass, to be collected rather than digested. Collections of them were being unofficially made: the Codar Gregorianus, the Codet Hermogenianus. These have perished; they were made, some say, in the Orients. The shifting eastward of the imperial centre and the tendency of the world to fill into two halves were not for the good of the West. Under one title and another, as coloni, lacti, gontiles, large bodies of untamed Germane were taking up their abode within the limit of the empire!. The Roman armies were becoming barbarous hosts. Constantine owed his crown to an Alamannian king?

It is on a changed world that we look in the year 400 . contriv. After one last flare of persecution (303), Christianity became a Churre. lawful religion (313). In a few years it, or rather one species of it, had become the only lawful religion. The 'confessor' of yesterday was the persecutor of to-day. Heathenry, it is true, died hard in the West; but already about 350 a pagan sacrifice was by the letter of the law a capital crime ${ }^{8}$. Before the end of
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[^3]triumph of law, of jurisprudence. Theology itself must become jurispradence, albeit jurisprudence of a supernatural sort, in codier that it may rule the world.

Among the gigantic events of the fifth century the issue of omen. a statate-book seems small. Nevertheless, through the turmoil Tho Theo we see two statute-books, that of Theodosius II. and that of code Earic the West Goth. The Theodosian Code was an official collection of imperial statutes beginning with those of Constantine I. It was issued in 488 with the consent of Valentinian III. who wes reigning in the Weat. No perfect copy of it has reached us. This by itself would tell a sad tale; but we remember how rapidly the empire was being torn in shreds. Already Britain was abandoned (407). We may doubt whether the statate-book of Theodosius ever reached our shores until it had been edited by Jacques Godefroi ${ }^{\text {. }}$. Indeed we may say that the fall of a loose stone in Britain brought the crumbling edifice to the ground'. Already before this code was published the hondes of Alans, Vandals and Sueves had swept across Gaul and Spain; already the Vandals were in Africa. Already Rome had been sacked by the Weat Goths; they were founding a kingdom in soathern Gaul and were soon to have a statute-book of their own. Gaiseric was not far off, nor Attila. Also let us remember that this Theodosian Code was by no means well designed if it was to perpetuate the memory of Roman civil science in that stormy age. It was no 'code' in our modern sense of that term. It was only a more or less methodic collection of modern statutes. Also it contained many things that the barbarians had better not have read; bloody laws against heretics, for example.

We turn from it to the first monument of Germanic law Laws of that has come down to us. It consists of some fragments of Euric. what must have been a large law-book published by Euric for his West Goths, perhaps between 470 and 4754 . Euric was a conquering king; he ruled Spain and a large part of southern Gaul ; he had cast off, so it is said, even the pretence of ruling
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[^5]almoet eartainly from the victorious reign of Chlodwig (486511). An attompt to fix ite date more closely brings out one of its intereating traita There is nothing distinctively heathem in its; best (and this makes it waique') there is nothing distinctively Chaiation If the Sicambriaa has already bowed his neek to the atholic yoke, he is not yet actively destroying by his laws What ho had formeriy adored.. On the othier hand, his kingdom meens to dristch south of the Loire, and he has looked for Engempions to the laws of the Weet Goths. The Loe Salion, thorgh written in Latiu, in very free from the Boman taint. It cantivin in the eo-citled Malberg glosees many old Frankish wrects somse of which, owing to mistransoription', are purales for the philolagical acience of our own day. Like the other Germaric solk-lawes, it consiste largely of a tariff of offences and stonements; but sew precious chapters, every word of which has been a casse of learned strife, lift the curthin for a moment and allow na to watch the Frank as he litigates. We see more cleaily hare than elsowhere the formalism, the sacramental ejmbolism of ancient legal procedure. We have no more intractive docament; and let us remember that, by virtue of the Norman Conquest, the Lec Salicu is one of the ancestors of English law.

Whether in the days when Justinian was legislating, the The Lex Western or Ripuarian Franks had written law may not be and Lex certain; but it is thought that the main part of the Lex ixionum. Ribuaria is older than $596^{3}$. Though there are notable variations, it is in part a modernized edition of the Salica, showing the influence of the clergy and of Roman law. On the other hand, there seems little doubt that the core of the Lex Burgundionum was issued by King Gundobed (474-516) in the last years of the fifth century ${ }^{4}$.

Burgundians and West Goths were scattered among Roman The Lex provincials. They were East Germans; they had long been Romana Christians, though addicted to the heresy of Arius. They could
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## QE. I.] The dark age in legal history.

not the only, representative of Roman law in the expansive reekn of the Franks But even it was too bulky for men's meed. They made epitomes of it and epitomes of epitomes ${ }^{1}$.

Then, again, we must remember that while Tribonian was The busy upon the Digest, the East Goths were still masters of $\begin{gathered}\text { Exichen } \\ \text { Theo. }\end{gathered}$ Italy. We recall the event of 476; one emperor, Zeno at dorion Dymantium, wea to be enough. Odovacor had ruled as petricien and ling. He had been conquered by the East Clothe. The great Theodoric had reigned for more than thirty yours (408-626); he had tried to fuse Italians and Gotbs into one nation; he had issued a considerable body of law, the Edidamm Theodorici, for the more part of a criminal kind'.

Iestly, it must not escape us that about the year 500 there wen is Rome a monk of Scythian birth who was labouring upon the foundations of the Corpus Imris Canonioi He called himeolf Dionysias Friguas. He was an expert chronologist and comastructed the Dionyzian cycle. He was collecting and tranolating the canons of eastern councils; he was collecting sho some of the letters (decretal letters they will be called) that had been iseaued by the popes from Siricius onwards (384498). This Oolloctio Dionyoiana made its way in the West. Some version of it may have been the book of canons which our Archbishop Theodore produced at the Council of Hertford in 673. A version of it (Dionysio-Hadriana) was sent by Pope Hadrian to Charles the Great in 774s. It helped to spread abroad the notion that the popes can declare, even if they can not make, law for the universal church, and thus to contract the sphere of secular jurisprudence.

In 528 Justinian began the work which gives him his fame Justinin's in legal history; in 534, though there were novel constitutions books. to come from him, it was finished. Valuable as the Code of imperial statutes might be, valuable as might be the modernized and imperial edition of an excellent but ancient school-book,
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[^9]cmapie centred im New Rome has just strength enough to hand . beok to Old Bome the guardianship of her heathen jurisprudence, now 'enucleated' (as Justinian says) in a small compass, and then losen for ever the power of legialating for the West. Tree that there is the dwindling exarchate in Italy; true that the year $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ is etill far off; true that one of Justinian's suocomors, Constantine IV., will pay Bome a twelve days' visit (688) and rob it of ornamente that Vandals have spared ${ }^{1}$; but with what we must call Crseco-Roman jurisprudence, with the Heloge of Ieo the Isaurian and the Basilica of Leo the Wise, the Weot, if we except some districts of southern Italy', has no concem. Two halves of the world were drifting spart, were becoming ignorant of each other's language, intolerant of each other's theology. He who was to be the true lord of Rome, if he loathed the Lomberd, loved not the emperor. Justinian had tanght Pope Vigilius, the Vigilius of the pragmatic sanction, that in the Bymantine system the church must be a department of the ctates. The biahop of Bome did not mean to be the head of a department.

Daring some centuries Pope Gregory the Great (590-604) Iama is one of the very few westerns whose use of the Digest can be proved'. He sent Augustin to England. Then 'in Augustin's day,' about the year 600 , \&Fthelbert of Kent set in writing the dooms of his folk 'in Roman fashion'.' Not improbably he had heard of Justinian's exploits; but the dooms, though already they are protecting with heavy boft the property of God, priests and bishops, are barbarous enough. They are also, unless discoveries have yet to be made, the first Germanic laws that were written in a Germanic tongue. In many instances the desire to have written laws appears so soon as a barbarous race is brought into contact with Rome ${ }^{\text {a }}$. The acceptance of the new religion must have revolutionary consequences in the

[^10] Ages, 287, 245.
${ }^{2}$ Por Byzantine law in southern Italy see Conrat, op. oit. i. 49.
D' Hodgkin, Italy and her Invaders, iv. 571 t.: 'The Sorrows of Vigilius.' 4 -

- Bede, Bist. Eocl., lib. 2, c. 5 (ed. Plummer, i. 90): 'inzta exempla Bomacorum.' Bede himself (Opera, ed. Giles, vol. vi. p. 821) had read of Jastinian's Codex; but what he says of it seems to prove that he had never seen it: Conrat, op. cit. i. 99.
- Brunner, op, cit. i. 283.
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Kingdons leave us kw, Kent and Weesex, though we have remona to boliove that Offia the Mercian (ob. 796) legislated? Evea Northumbria, Bede's Northumbria, which was a bright mpot in dark world, bequeaths no dooms. The impulse of Romea oxample soon wore out. When once a race has got its Lem, its ampirations seem to be satisfied. About the year 900 Alfred speake at though Offa (circ. 800), Ine (circ. 700), 2.tholbert (circ. 600) had left him little to do. Rarely upon the maininnd wes there any authoritative revision of the ancient Legen, though transaribers sometimes modified them to suit chenged timee, and by so doing have perplexed the taak of modern historians. Only among the Lombands, who from the first, deapito their savagery, seem to ahow something that is lize a genius for law², was there steedily progreseive legislation. Crimwald (688), Lintprand (713-85), Ratchia (746) and Aistulf (755) added to the edict of Rothari Not by abandoning, but by developing their own ancient rules, the Lomberds were treining themseaves to be the interpreters and in some sort the heirs of the Roman prudentes.

As the Frankish realm expanded, there expanded with it a Byame of wondarfal 'ayutem of personal laws?' It was a system of racial perman haws. The Lex Salica, for example, was not the law of a district, it was the law of a race. The Swabian, wherever he might be, lived under his Alamannic law, or, as an expressive phrase tells us, he lived Alamannic law (legem vivere). So Roman law was the law of the Romani. In a famous, if exaggerated seatence, Bishop Agobard of Lyons has said that often five men would be walking or sitting together and each of them would own a different law!. We are now taught that this principle is not primitively Germanic. Indeed in England, where there were no Romani, it never came to the front, and, for example, 'the Danelaw' very rapidly became the name for a tract of land ${ }^{8}$. But in the kingdoms founded by Goths and Burgundians the intruding Germans were only a small part of
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- Bruaner, op. afl L. seco.
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must be conveyed. We soe that legitimate childran take their father's, bastards their mother's law. We soe also that the churches, except some which ara of royal foundation, are deamed to live Roman lew, and in Italy, though not in Frankland, the rule that the individual eleric lives Roman law seeme to have been gradually endepted ${ }^{\text {² }}$. This gave the olergy monee intereet in the old ejsaterns But German and Roman law were making sdvances towards each other. If the one wa beooming civilized, the other had been sadly barbarised or tather vulgarized. North of the Alps the current Roman law regarded Alaxic's Low ion ita chief authority. In Italy Justimian's Instituteb and Code and Julimn's epitome of the Novels were known, and someone may sometimes have opened a copy of the Digert. But evarywhere the law administered among the Romani seems to have been in the main a traditional, customary law which paid little heed to writtee texth It was, we are told, sin romisches Vulgarreoht, which atood to pure Roman law in the same relation as that in whioh the vulgar Latin or Romance that people talked stood to the litecary language'. Not a few of the rules and ideas which were generally provalent in the Weat had their source in this low Boman law. In it starts the history of modern conveyasaing. The Anglo-Saxon 'land-book' is of Italian origin". That England produces no formulary books, no books of 'precedents in conveyancing;' such as those which in considerable numbers were compiled in Frankland ${ }^{4}$, is one of the many signs that even this low Roman law had no home here; but neither did our forefathers talk low Latin.

In the British India of to-day we may see and on a grand The latent scale what might well be called a system of personal laws, of racial laws. If we compared it with the Frankish, one picturesque element would be wanting. Suppose that among the native races there was one possessed of an old law-book, too good for it, too good for us, which gradually, as men studied it afresh, would begin to tell of a very ancient but eternally modern civilization and of a skilful jurisprudence which the lawyers of the ruling race would some day make their model. This romance of history will not repeat itself.
${ }^{1}$ Branner, op. cit. i. 269; Löning, op, oit. ii. 284. Branner, op. cit. i. 255.
${ }^{8}$ Branner, Zur Reohtegeschiohte der römisohen und germanischen Urkunde, i. 187.
${ }^{4}$ Branner, D. B. G. i. 401; Schröder, op. cit. 254. Edited in M. G. by Zeamer ; also by E. de Rozière, Recueil général des formules.
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[^12]it seeme to have already comprised some spurious documents before it came to the hands of the most illustrious of all forgers.

Theen oat of the depth of the ninth century emerged a book whigh we give law to mankind for a long time to come Its care wat the Hiopana; bat into it there- had been foisted Time beaidee other forgeries, some sixty decretals professing to come from the very earliest successors of St Peter. The compiler alled himeelf Isidorus Mercator; he seems to have tried to personate Isidose of Seville. Many guesses have been made as to his name and time and home. It seems cartain that he did his work in Mrankland, and near the middle of the ninth centrury. He has been sought ear west as lo Mans, but mapicion hengs thickeet over the church of Reims. The false decretals are alaboratio mosaics made up out of phrases from the bible, the fithers, genuine canons, genuine decretals, the Weat Goth's Roman law-book; but all these matarials, wherever collected, are so arranged as to eetablish a few great principles: the grandeur and superhuman origin of ecclesiastical power, the secrosanetity of the persons and the property of bishope, and, though this is not so prominent, the supremacy of the biahop of Rome Episcopal rights are to be maintained against the choropiscopi, against the metropolitans, and against the secular power. Above all (and this is the burden of the song), no accusation can be brought against a bishop solong as he is despoiled of his see: Spoliatus episcopus ante omnia debet restitui.

Closely connected with this fraud was another. Some one The forged who called himself a deacon of the church of Mainz and gave capituahis name as Benedict, added to the four books of capitularies, which Ansegis had published, three other books containing would-be, but false capitularies, which had the same bent as the decretals concocted by the Pseudo-Isidore. These are not the only, but they are the most famous manifestations of the lying spirit which had seized the Frankish clergy. The Isidorian forgeries were soon accepted at Rome. The popes profited by documents which taught that ever since the apostolic age the bishops of Rome had been declaring, or even making, law for the universal church. On this rock or on this sand a lofty edifice was reared ${ }^{1}$.

[^13]Cherch asol sitats.

And now for the grentar part of the Continent cuewes tho time whes eceleriantical law in the only surt of law that in viably growing. The stream of cupitularies ceased bo finw ; thero was none to logialate; the Frankish monarchy wan going to wreck and ruis; feudalism was triumphant. Siceuderalasas
 with those of foudalism. The clergy had long buen winvitug to place themuclven beyond the rench of the atate's sabunata The dramatic struggle between Henry 11. and Hecket hao a long Eriokish prolugue'. Sume conceoxinins had bren wons from the Merovinginns; but atill Charles the Gruat had been suprome over all prosman and in all cnuses. Though his rentm fell asunder, the churches were innted, and untad by a promeiple that claimed a divime origin. They were rapidly evolving law which was in course of tame to bo the written law of an univeran and chemerntic munamety. The tman, mate swollen by the Isudorian forgeries, atill rollid from diorewe to diecens, taking up anw mather intw itarilf. It becatrou alwaym trore lawyorly in form and kexture as it approprosemi menteskee from the Rluman law-bowikn and mader itself the law of the undy courts whech the elergy would yreld obedience. Nor was it above burrowing from Uermanic law, for thence it touk it probative procenses, the wsth with aath-helperse and the owdeal or juigment of God. Anomg the many conspulere of mantale of chureb law three ani ompocially fasmons: Thegroe, aliknt of Prum (:908-915'), Burchard, bishop of Wurms ( $101 \pm-10 \pm)^{\circ}$. and Jro, bishop of Charerea (ob. 1117): They and raany oftiers prepareel the way for tiratian, the makor of the churetio Digent, and eventa were deculing that the church shonki abo have a Corle and abuminne Nivela. In ati uvil day for thesmwelvoe the German kusgs touk the papmary from the mire isto Which it hand fullen, and mown the work of meanng derretals was renumed with net vigour. At the date of the Nuranas (ionayuat the thaw of these edinter was bevomang rapud.
Tha Hinhomans of Frobeh and trornaan law find that wellderine marked peroud is thrubt upuas them. Tho age of thon folk-lams

[^14]an. I] The dark age in logal history.
and the capitalarien, 'the Fraykiah time,' they cen reetora Much indeed is dark and dirputable; bat much has boen mado plain during the hatt thity yeurn by their unwearying labours Thare is no leak of materiale, and the materials are of a strictly legal kind: laws and statements of hav. This done, they ard compelled rapidly to paes through several conturien to a new point of view. They take their stend in the thirteenth among hew-books which heve the treatives of Clanvill and Bracton for their Rengianh equivalenta. It in then a new world that they paint for ux To conneot this new order with the old, to make the workd of 'the clasaical fendelism" grow out of the world of the folk-lawn is a task which is being alowly accomplished by skilful handa; bat it is difficult, for, though matariain are not wanting, they are not of a strictly legal kind; they are not lawn, nor hivebooke nor statements of ham. The intervening, the dart age, hame been callied 'the diplomatio age; 'whereby is meant that ite law must be hasandously inferred from diplomata, from chaitern, from conveyances, from privileges accorded to particular charobes or particular towns. No one legislates. The French historiana will tell us that the leat capitularies whick bear the charucter of general laws are issued by Carloman II. in 884, and that the first legislative ordonnance is issued by Louis VII in 1155. Germany and France were coming to the birth and the agony was long. Long it was questionable whether the western world would not be overwhelmed by Northmen and Saracens and Magyars; perhaps we are right in saying that it was saved by feudalism: Meanwhile the innermost texture of human society was being changed; local customs were issuing from and then consuming the old racial lawa

Strangely different, at least upon its surface, is our English Legination story. The age of the capitularies (for such we well might call in knd. it) begins with us just when it has come to its end upon the Continent. We have had some written laws from the newly converted Kent and Wessex of the seventh century. We have

[^15]beard that in the day of Mercis'n gromenese foffa (isb. igrit, intluened perbaps by the example of ('harles the (inast, hat probahed lawk. These we have linet, but we have toit ramin to fear that we have lowt much else. Even Fighort did now legmlate. The silence was bruken by Alfred ( $\alpha$ IT -001 ), and then, for a century and a half we have lawn form nimmo every king: from Ealward, Ethelstats, Bilmund, Filgar, Sthelred and Cout. 'The age of the capitularies begions with Alfred, and in somesert it unver earla, for Willimen the Cumqueror nad Henry l. take up the cale'. Whether in the dayn of the Conferwor, whom a parverme, though explicable, troulition bonoured as a preerniterat lan-giver, we were not on the verge of an agre withut legtalation, an age which would but too tiathfully reprontuce somet bad features of the Fraukinh deeade-nce, in a question that is mot enaly answered. Howiest, Cout had publimherl in Eingland a bealy of hawn which, of nusand be had to ite date, munt ine callend a luandiouse coule. If he is nut the greatest legersatior of the cleveuth century, we must go an far an barceloris wiond hiss preses. He had been to Rome; he hal sexll ant eenapmeriof crowaed by a pope; but it was sut sutside Eighinad that he learnt tu bigislake. He fulluwed as fushoua sent by Alined. Wi. might equoly exaggerate both the amoutat of bew matser that was contantied in these Engliah capitularien and the manome of infurmation that they ghe an; but the mare fiet thase Alfrow sete, and that hin swovestuon fatid alnobig thesm the evtupumstug Dhene). maniunin, a fuation of legislatisig is of great importance. The Norman sublulues, or, an he sayn, itherits a \&imglom in whech a king is expeciad top publish lawe

Eniglarid Eus 1 Luo costivent

Were we the diceluas the calsmos of thin early dirergernee of Fingliah form continental hivenry we tanght wander fer. In tho firat place, we whuld have the remember the atuall naze, the plan surfiet, the detinute boundary uf our sombery. This

- Ap so the clase thenan betrove the Piaglith doums and the Frastich

 Alfrod layen bre work.





thought indeed must often reeur to us in the course of our work: Ingland is small: it can be governed by uniform law.: it seems to invite general legislation. Also we should notice that the kingship of England, when once it exists, presarves itt unity : it is not partitioned among brothers and cousins. Moreover we might find ourselves saying-that the Northmen were so victorious in their aseanlts on our island that they did lees harm here than elsowhere. In the ond it was bettar that they should conquer a tract, settle in villages and call the lands by their own-names, than that the state should go to pieces in the act of repalling their inroads. Then, again, it would not eacape ws that alowe and conftsed nnion between church and state prevented the development of a body of distinctively ecalesination law which would stand in contrast with, if not in opporition to, the law of the land ${ }^{1}$. Such power had the bishops in all public affairs, that they had little to gain from deuretain forged or genuine ${ }^{2}$; indeed Aithelred's laws are apt to become mere sermons preached to a disobedient folk. However we we here but registering the fact that the age of capitularies, which was began by Alfred, does not end. The English king, be be weak like Citheired or strong like Cnut, is expected to publish lawe.

But Italy was to be for a while the focus of the whole cantury world's legal history. For one thing, the thread of legislation $\frac{\mathrm{XI}}{\mathrm{Th} \text { Parian }}$ was never quite broken there. Capitularies or statutes which lam-school enact territorial law came from Karolingian emperors and from Karolingian kings of Italy, and then from the Ottos and later German kings. But what is more important is that the old Lombard law showed a marvellous vitality and a capacity of being elaborated into a reasonable and progressive system. Lombardy was the country in which the principle of personal law struck its deepest roots. Besides Lombards and Romani there were many Franks and Swabians who transmitted their law from father to son. It was long before the old question Qua lege vivis? lost its importance. The 'conflict of laws' seems to have favoured the growth of a mediating and

[^16]instructed juriapnadence. Thea at Pavia in the first half of the eleventh contury alaw-achool had arisen. In it men were codenvouring to nystomatize by glose and cumment the ancient Lombard statules of Hothari aad his sucosssors The henda of the acherol were often employed as noyal juatices (indicen palatinu); their unmea and their opinions were trwnured by admiring pupila. From out this nehool came Lasifrane. Thus a body of law, which though it had from the firat been mure ouatly expresend than, was is itw subetance atrikingly like, our own old doomm, hevenme the nubjoct of continucrumand profensional study. The influence of revsving Ruman law is not wo be ignorsd. Theme Lombandisto kuew their Institukes, nod, befinse the eleventh century was at an end, the dnctrine that Romian law was as subwidinry common law for all mankind flex omaium generalis) was gauing ground anoung them; but still the law upon which they worked wha the old Germanic law of the Lombard noce. Pavia handed the lamp to Bulogra, Lombardy to the Rumagria!

The new theth of Haperam law

As to the more or lens that was known of the anciant Roman texta there han been learnod and livily controversy in these lant yeans? But, oven if we grant to the champlums of continuity all that thuy ank, the sum will weens amall uatul tho elevelath contury is reached. That lagge mames of men in Inaly and mouthern france had Homan law for their pernonad Law is beyoud duubl. Also it is cortain that Justiesuan Lestitute and Cinfe mad Juhan's Ejutume of the Novels were beginning to spread outwide Italy. There are questions still to be suloced ubbut the date aud domicile of variuus small collemons of Rutuas rulea which aorne nigand as older than or unutluemond by the work of the Bulograeso glomaturn. One errise discuren
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evemecoent traces of a sabool of law at Bome or at Raveana which others can not sea. The corrent instruction of boys is gremmar and sthetoric involved some discussion of lagal trame. Dofinitions of law and ine and so forth were learnt by lent; little cateochimes were compiled ${ }^{2}$; but of anything that we chould dare to cell an education in Roman law there are few, if axy, indisputable signs before the eehool of Bologna appears in the second half of the eleventh century. As to the Digeet, during some four hundred years its merre existence seems to have been almost unknown. It barely eacaped with ite lifo When mea spoke of 'the pandeots' they meent the bible? The romeatic sable of the capture of an unique copy at the ciegs of Amalfi in 1185 has long been disproved; but, if nome menill fraguents be neglected, all the extant manusoripte are and to derive from two copies, one now loot, the other the frecos Florentina written, we are told, by Greek hands in the sirth ar seventh ceantury. In the eleventh the revival began. In 1088 Conrad II., the emperor whom Chut saw crowned, ondeined that Roman law should be once more the territorial law of the city of Rome?. In 1076 the Digest was cited in the judgment of a Tuscon courts. Then, about 1100, Irnerius wae teaching at Bologna:

Here, again, there is room for controversy. It is said that The he was not self-taught; it is said that neither his theme nor $\begin{gathered}\text { Digovered }\end{gathered}$ his method was quite new; it is said that he had a predecessor at Bologna, one Pepo by name. All this may be true and is probable enough: and yet undoubtedly he was soon regarded as the founder of the school which was teaching Roman law to an intently listening world. We with our many sciences can hardly comprehend the size of this event. The monarchy of theology over the intellectual world was disputed. A lay

[^17]science claimed ita rights, itas share of men's attention. It was a seience of civil life fo be found in the butnan, herathen Ihgest !

1) of thas Bol wrimer jormpas. dentice.

A anw furce had bugun to play and sooter or later enery body of law in western Europe fele it. The challedged chur:b answered with (irmenars Deeretum (cire 1139) and the Itecretalo
 and for a long whale maintainal in the field of jurtxprudenev what meerned to be ans equul mombat. Thegual it was is trith. The Decreturn is sad atulf whern set besule the Ingest and the atudy of thomat law never dios. Whes it mowne to be dung is always returna to the hexta and is birti anew. It is not for ua bern to sperak of its new birth in the Fimnee of the nixtarenth or is the Germany of the ninoteenth century; but ite inew larth in the Jtaly of the wewenth and twolfth coneerns us nearls. Transient indeal but all-sumpartant was the intloctiee of the Brilograi if Imerium and of (imations upants the form, and therefone upor the sulnetatice, of our Einghah law. The theoretical continuty or "irabialation" of the rispure which serumed for Justuman's bomen thats bold upars Italy, and, though aftor a wide intorval, upens (lermany alsw, countial for litele un Firmace or in finglaul. In Fingland, again, there whe nee mase of Rotrman, of prople whes all along had tween liviog fatuan law of B degeserate and vulgar mort and who would in courme of time be faught (o) lewik for their law to (inde aud I)gent. Alau therge
 which fillo a large space in whomes of French haterys, and in
 ent to the cositripetal atud socman hical furcem, In England the
 goversed kisgdon, a stroug. a leggalating kiugohp. It came su us monts; it taught 11 m muchs. woul thell there wis boalthy revistance to fortugh dogma. But all then we shall mew ith the mentuel.

[^18]
## CHAPTER II.

## ANGIO-SAXON IAW.

[n.1] THms book is concerned with Anglo-Saron legal watiquities, Soope of bat only 00 far as they are connected with, and tend to throw chapher. light upon, the subsequent history of the laws of England, and the scope of the present chapter is limited by that purpose. Much of our information sbout the Anglo-Saxon laws and cuutome, eapecially as regards landholding, is so fragmentary and obecare that the only hope of understanding it is to work beck to it from the fuller evidence of Norman and even later timen It would be outside our undertaking to deal with problems of this kind ${ }^{2}$.

The habit of preserving some written record of all affairs of imperfecimportance is a modern one in the north and west of Europe. written But it is so prevalent and so much bound up with our daily reconds of habits that we have almost forgotten how much of the world's business, even in communities by no means barbarous, has been carried on without it. And the student of early laws and institutions, although the fact is constantly thrust upon him, can hardly accept it without a sort of continuing surprise. This brings with it a temptation of some practical danger, that of overrating both the trustworthiness of written documents and the importance of the matters they deal with as compared with other things for which the direct authority of documents is wanting. The danger is a specially besetting one in the early history of English law ; and that inquirer is fortunate who is not beguiled into positive error by the desire of making his statements appear less imperfect. In truth, the manners, dress, and dialects of our ancestors before the Norman Conquest
${ }^{1}$ See Maithand, Domesday Book and Beyond, Cambridge, 1897.
aro far botcor known w us than their lawa. Hintorical inquiry nume be subject, is the fiedd of law, to pecular and mevitable difficulties. In mont wher cases the evidence, whether full or 's ? 5 scanty, is clener so far an it goes. Arms, ortamethts, miniaturas. wll their own atory. But written laws and legal documedts. being writhen for proment use and not for the purpose of elllightening future histurians, assume knowledge ou the reader's part of an indetinite mass of received custom and practice. They are intelligible only when they ann caken an part of a whole which they communly give us littlo help to conecive. It may even happen that we du not know whother a particular dowument or clase of tocumentes roprowernta the nurmad courme uf affairs, or was committed to writug for the very reanon that the tramsaction was exceptional. Eiven our ensolom law in found porplexing, for reneorss of thin kend, ant oaly by foregguers. but by Engliahmen who are not laweym

We can not expect, thets, that the extant cullectiont of Anglo-Sixon lawe nhould give un anything like a completar view of the legnal or judicinal inatituttuas of the times. Uur Germanic ancombons were but groat penmens, and we know that the reduction of any part of their customary laws to writing was in the fint place diue to fonvign influences. Priness whe had fursakes beathendem under the guidatice of Roman elerke made baske, accordung the their lights, the initate the wiso of imperial and Chriatian Romes'.

Althungh English prinern ismud written dooms with the advice of thetr wise tom at intervalu during nearly tive cesturnes. it seeviak all but certain that nouse of thete dud no wath tho intentions of conmeructung a cotaplete bouly of law. The very

[^19]raigh and inoongiouous part whioh procedure takee in the Ango-flazon hwe is enough to show that they are mere
 is to ragulate and amend in details now this branch of cuatomary lews, now another. In short, their relation to the lawe and cuntomen of the country as a whole is not unlike that which Acts - Indiameent continue to bear in our own day to the indefinite zne of the common law.
S5) Owe koowledge of Anglo-Saron law reete, vo fir as poeitive vidence goes, on several clames of documenta which supplement one another to some eatent, bat are atill far from giving a cemplete view. We have in the first place the considerable ancea of lawe and ordinanoes of Saros and Bnglish princes, beginiong with thoee of Cithelbert of Kent, well known to genural history as Auguatine's convert, whioh ate of about the and of the sixth century. The laws of Cunt may be said to clene the lin. Then from the century which followe the Norman Conquest we have vacious attempts to state the old Inglish law. Theme belong to the second clans of documents, namely, compilatione of customs and formulas which are not known ever to have had any positive authority, but appear to have been pat together with a view to practical use, or at least to preserve the memory of things which had been in practice, and which the writer hoped to see in practice again. Perhaps our most important witness of this kind is the tract or custumal called Rectitudines singularum personarum ${ }^{1}$. Some of the socalled laws are merely semi-official or private compilations, but their formal profession of an authority they really had not makes no difference to their value as evidence of what the compilers understood the customary law to have been. To some extent we can check them by their repetition of matter that occurs in genuine Anglo-Saxon laws of earlier dates. Apocryphal documents of this kind are by no means confined to England, nor, in English history, to the period before the Conquest. Some examples from the thirteenth century have found their way into the worshipful company of the Statutes of the Realm among the 'statutes of uncertain time.' It has been the work of more than one generation of scholars to detect

[^20]their trase chanwher, nor isulead ss the work you wholly domes. From the exintence and npparent, soturetime real, tatymotatice of such writusgs nad compilations ax we have now mentioned there has orisorn ther extablafted usuge of meluding them, wgether with gerouine legislation, unter the comanom headiog of (a 0 () 'Angles-Suson lawn. As for the delibergete fables of later aporeryphal mushorntion, the ' Miswor of Justeeen berug the choef amd thagrant example, thay belong not to the Augion-Sionams bus to a much later perion of Einglish law. Fior the morn gart they ane wot evers fulse history; they are epreculation or ratire.
Charen Abucther kzad of conternpurary writings affionls us inems valuable "vidersey for the limmed tield of law nsel usuger wheh thine writuges coner. The field, huwever is even more limend than at fint anght it appeare to be. Win mean the chusters or 'land-theoks' wheh recomb the mamticencen of promes is roligious bouses or to their followens, or in sume casew the andministration and diepmatrion uf dotmains thas seypured. Along with these we have to reeken the extant Anglu-Sasons wills, few in number as compareyl with charters propherly mon callend, but of capital improtance in fixing and illustrating Notne prints. It was Kemble's great achuevernent tu make the way plan to the appriciation and use of thin clow of evodotrewe by has Civest liplomaticus. We have to expreman pinmens more or lene widely diffirent from Kembleis on serwiml mateen. and therefore thank it well to may at once that on ona who ham fels
 can ever duter with Kisuble bightly or without ragret. Kermble's work uftub requines correetions; bas if Kismblein worts had smos been, there would be nothing to ensmert


 culence or the asnera in pulnt of time, the writer's ens ants of accem




[^21]do not think that the general literary evidence, so to call ith is remarkable either in quantity or in quality. Suoh as we have is, as might be expented, of social and economic intereet in the firat place, and throwis a rather indirect light upon the legal sppect of Anglo-Saxon affairs.

Lastly, we have legal and offeial documents of the AngloIVorman times and foremoat among them Domesday Book, whioh [每5] expressly or by implication tell us much of the state of Fingland irmmediately before the Norman Conquet. Greas at is the value of their evidence, it is no easy matter for a modern reader to learm to use it These docrmente, royal and other inquests End what else, were compoeedifior clefinite practical utees And many of the pointe on which our curionity is most active, and finds itself most beffled, were either common knowledge to the parsons for whoe tese the documente were intended, or were not relevant to the purpose in hand. In the former case no mone information was deaired, in the latter none at all. Thus She Angla-Norman documente raise problems of their owr which mutt themselves be solved before we can use the reaults as a ley to what lies even one generation behind them.

On the whole the state of English law before the Conquest Surray of presents a great deel of obscurity to a modern inquirer, not so Angiomuch for actual lack of materials as for want of any sure clue to $\begin{aligned} & \text { legal in- } \\ & \text { stitutions. }\end{aligned}$ their right interpretation at a certain number of critical points. Nevertheless we cannot trace the history of our laws during the two centuries that followed the Conquest without having some general notions of the earlier period; and we must endeavour to obtain a view that may suffice for this purpose. It would be a barren task to apply the refined classification of modern systems to the dooms of Ine and Alfred or the more ambitious definitions of the Leges Henrici Primi. We shall take the main topics rather in their archaic order of importance. First comes the condition of persons; next, the establishment of courts, and the process of justice; then the rules applicable to breaches of the peace, wrongs and offences, and finally the law of property, so far as usage had been officially defined and enforced, or new modes of dealing with property introduced. The origin and development of purely political institutions has been purposely excluded from our scope.

As regards personal condition, we find the radical distinction, Personal universal in ancient society, between the free man and the slave. lordeblip.

But in the carliest Eagglish authoritice, nay, in sur inrlicent mecounts of Gernanio society, we do not find it in the clear-cuts simplicity of Roman law. Thers is a grent gulf bretween the lowest of free men and the alavo; but there ans: alwo diffirenoes of muk and degrees of indepentence among five tren, which alrealy prepure the way for the complexitues of medieval wocerty. Some free meen are lords, whens are dependents or folluwera of lordi. We have rothing to show the origin or antizuty of this (f.c9 division; we know that it was the inmernorial cumbin of (hercuanic chiefa to surround theniselves with a band of permond followers, the comitea deecribed by Tacitus, and we tuny suppme that imitation or repetition of this custon fed to the relation of lord and mas being formally reenguized as a neeenary pars of putblic order. We know, munenver, thnt as early as the fint half of the tenth century the divimion had become exhaustive
 if nat dangereus person; if he has not a lond who will answers for him, has kindrend truse find has one ; if they fall ist than, he may be dealt with (ro use the neareat motern terns) as a mogue and vagabrand!' The cerm 'lond' is applied to the king, in a more eninent and extensive but at the name tume in a booser Nenne, with roference to all men owing ar profossung allegianee So him?. Kingn were glad to draw ho their uwn ume, if they mught, the feelung of penoonal attachmene that belongeat to lominhip in the proper menoe, anell at a later time the griater lorts may anm and agnin have nought to emulate the keng'o general power In any cowe this pervaling disiaton of free persous inte lorida and man, wagether with the kirg's gumtion as general over-lond, combinediat a iater tune with the prevalence
 menta and theonen of medieva! feudaharn. It dowe not seem ponable ether te awogna nuy tune in Eughish hosury when mone free men duid not hold land from thess persanal toriba, or to zesign tho time when this bevame in thetmal stath of thrigh in the latter part of the ninth century there was alrowdy a cond-
 for an entimance of Alfreet fixem the helifiaye that ame we be allowed thens; and wo can bardly doubt that thro work was
 elise busn mpased, of auren, Do othes lonil

- A.S. Cartar mas. Mel.
incident to their own tenure ${ }^{2}$. At all events dependent landholding appease to have been common in the century befose the Norman Conquest. It was the work of the aroceeding ceatury to eetablish the theory that all land must be "held of" come one an ared principle of English Law, and to give to the (b.) conditions of tenure as distinot from the personal status of the temant an importanse which soon became prepondiarant, and had much to do with the ultimate axtinction of personal servi*ude under the Tudor dynesty?

Dependence on lord was not the only cheok on the Thetemily. individual freedom of a freeborn man Anglo-8azon polity preserved, aven down to the Norman Congreest, many traces of a time when kinahip was the atrongest of all bonds. Such a nage of Bociety, we hardly need add, is not confined to any one region of the world or any oue race of men. In its domestic empeet it may take the form of the joint femily or household whioh, in varione atagee of reaistanoe to modern tendencies and on verions scales of magnitude, is still an integral part of Hindu and Soath Slavonis life. When it pute on the froe of atrife between hostile rindreds, it is shown in the war of tribal factions, and more specifically in the blood-feud. A man's Lindred are his avengers; and, as it is their right and honour to avenge him, so it is their duty to make amends for his misdeeds, or else maintain his cause in fight. Step by step, as the power of the State waxes, the self-centred and self-helping autonomy of the kindred wanes. Private feud is controlled, regulated, put, one may say, into legal harness; the avenging and the protecting clan of the slain and the slayer are made pledges and auxiliaries of public justice. In England the legalized blood-feud expired almost within living memory, when the criminal procedure by way of 'appeal' was finally abolished. We have to conceive, then, of the kindred not as an artificial body or corporation to which the State allows authority over its members in order that it may be answerable for them, but as an element of the State not yielding precedence to the State itself. There is a constant tendency to conffict between the old customs of the family and the newer laws of the State; the family preserves archaic habits and claims which clash at every turn with the development of a law-abiding

[^22]cornanoawealth of the moders cype. In the Enghend of thes tenth cuntury', we find that a powerful kindred may atill be a daugur (1) public onder, aud thit the power of three shino may be called wut to bring an offiending member of it to jumtion.' At the wame timu the famly was uthlized by the gTi)wing instituthons of the sitale, so far as was found prossble. Wis 's. -d] haver mon that a lomellone man'a kinwfolk might be called upia to find him a lowd. In othere ways con the kindred wan deale with as collentivily rixpmasible for tex membern? We need not however rogarl the kindred an a defined borly like a tribe or clast, indeed this would not sundif with the fact thent the bumben of making and the duty of exarting compenastion ran on the musher's side: as woll an ther futher's. A father and son, ur two balf-bmothom. would fort the purpmans of the blenul-folud have sotme of their kindred in commons, but by no moman all.

The leghal importance of the kimbred contastos to bo Neengrizand in the viry latest Anglo-Saxin rusturanda, though sonse decaila that wro find on tho suljeect in the wo-culloul laws of

 Germanie liew-texts. It is probable thint a man cuald abjure his kindresl, and that the cath usayl for the purponec ancluided ans expreser rebusemtion of nay fotere mghte of taberitasce. Wio do not know whether this was at all a entmono practice, of
 were or aver hum been reyureal in Fioglanal'.
franks
ceurl, eurl! Exak

Furthor, we find distumetoms of mank atneng fiewmen which. though not amousteng to furdamental ditenterieve of combettors. asod not alwnys mgidly fixed, had soome or lom detimen legal incidents. From the earlicat tumen a sermin pre-ctumenere to
 aoble burth The oedinary freeman is a 'evorl, churl fothem is no trace before the Nirman Cimptent of the modera do grmalatiun of the word); the nothle by turth un ans 'everl.' This las wurd came later, under Damsh matluence, wo denver a eprectic

[^23]office of ntate, and our present 'enrl' gose beck to it in that sense. The Latiim equivalent come got apecoisized in mouch the same why. But such was not its amoient meaning. Specied relations to the king's person or service produced another and monnowhat differvat clasification. 'Geafe' was the sariiest Euglish equivalent, in practical as well as literal meaning, of come ase employed by Tacitus; it signified a well-born man atteched to the king by the general duty of warlike servios, though not neocesarily holding any special office about his peasco. It in, bowever, a common poetic word, and it is not confmed to men. It was courrent in Ine's time but already obeolete for prectical parposes in Alfred's; latterly it appears to have implied hereditary rank and considerable landed poosemione. The element of noble birth is emphasised by the fuller and commoner form 'geafibcund'

The official term of rank which we find in use in and after Theme. Alfed's time is 'thegn' (begen, in Iatin usually minister). Origimally a thegn is a household officer of some great man, eminently and especially of the ling. From the tenth cantury to the Conquest thegnahip is not an office unlees described by some apecific addition (horspegen, disopegen, and the like) showing what the office was. It is a social condition above [p. 10] that of the churl, carrying with it both privileges and customary duties. The 'king's thegns,' those who are in fact attached to the king's person and service, are specially distinguisbed. We may perhaps roughly compare the thegns of the later Anglo-Saron monarchy to the country gentlemen of modern times who are in the commission of the peace and serve on the grand jury. But we must remember that the thegn had a definite legal rank. His wergild, for example, the fixed sum with which his death must be atoned for to his kindred, or which he might in some cases have to pay for his own misdoing, was six times as great as a common man's; and his oath weighed as much more in the curious contest of asseverations, quite different from anything we now understand by evidence, by which early Germanic lawsuits were decided. It is stated in more than one old document that a thegn's rights might be claimed by the owner of five hides (at the normal value of the hide, 600 acres) of land, a church and belfry, a 'burgh-gateseat' (which may imply a private jurisdiction, or may only

[^24]P. M. I.
signify a town housec), and a speceal place in the king's hall. The liker right is ascribud wa merechant who has thrive emestard 'the wifle sea' (the North siem ose uppowed to the Channell at his uwn charges ${ }^{2}$. This may be suspected, it the aloseruce of eonfirmation, of being merily the exproseion of what. in the wnter's apunion, an enlightened Enghanh king ought to have dose to cuccurage tmade ; sull it is aut improbable. We have no reason to rejeet the tmarlition abont the five hides, which is borno ult by some latar evidence. But this given us no warrant is any cave for dengug that a thegn might have lens thun tive hides of land, or asmerting that bee would forfoit his rank if he Jost the meana of supprortung it ota the uniat neale flowever. these dotaile ane really of no iupurtance in the genemal hiatury of our later law, for they loft no visable toark ous tho meructure of Angli-Nurnman arineacracys.

## Chlion than

 turetions.The hast remark applies on ce rean wher destitecticnow whith ane suentomed in our athoritien as well known, but nowns dentuctly explatserd. We read of 'twelf-hyud' anol 'Iwy-hymad' men, apparently to calledt from thesr wergild being ewelve hundred aud iwo hundred shallugn ropectivels. Thone was ako an istermerlinte chase of '4xx-hynd' men. It would meyem that the 'twels-hyud 'toen wern theghas, and the 'tw-hytul. man might or anght not be But the thonge perhapa bad no smore practical tukeras for (Blasivill, certumbly un more for Bracton, than thay have for us.

In like manner, the privilegen of clerks in orderm, whether

I'rivilasom A chrow. uf mecular or nugular life, do tout call fur clume invemtspatios
 where any doubt had existed, but a kund of nobility. There was a aperial seale of werguld for the elerge, but it wan a questrots whethog a promet who was in fact of moble birth ahould suet be atoverd for with the werggld nppropriate to him burth, if
 surne held that for tbe preppase of worgild only the masin mals by birsh whould be conaulered.

If to well knuwis that the muproner elergy fent fand with phoud cenusel) a large part in leggalatiou wedt the disertuots of justace, as wall an tit geberal giosernmens. Irobmbly we uwo is

[^25]to theo that Angtorstarom haw has laft us eny written evidenoes at all. Bet the really sotive and important part of the clergy ii the farmation of Eaglinh law begins only with the clear seqparation of cocleciantical and civil authority after the Conquest.

We now have to apeat of the unfree almes.
Slavery, persomal alavery, and mot merely serfiom or villein- Elaver. age consinting meinly in attachmont to the soil, axisted, and was fully recognised, in Ingland until the twolfth cantury. We have no meane of hoowing with any axnotnoes the number of staves, either in itrolf, or as compared with the free population Bat the recoded marinnimions would alone maffice to prove thit the mamber wan large. Monsover, we know, not coly that shives were bought and sold, bat that a real slavotrede wam ourried an frose Fonglinh ports. This abuse was inoreaned in the evil times that set in with the Danish invecioper Raide of henthen Northmeo, while they relared soinal ader and ancouraged arime, brought wealthy alave[5.15] bayess, who poold not enk meny questions, to the unscrupalous tmader' hand. But mavee were exported from Rngland much cerlies. Eelling a man beyond the meas cocurs in the Kentinh lnwe se an elternative for capital panishment'; and one obecure parage sooms to relate to the offence of kidnapping freeborn men? Ine's dooms forbade the men of Wessex to sell a countryman beyond seas, even if he were really a slave or justly condemned to slavery'.

Selling Christian men beyond seas, and specially into bond- slare. age to heathen, is forbidden by an ordinance of Ethelred, trade. repeated almost word for word in Cnut's laws4. Wulfstan, archbishop of York, who probably took an active part in the legislation of Athelred, denounced the practice in his homilies', and also complained that men's thrall-right was narrowed. This is significant a pointing to a more humane doctrine, whatever the practice may have been, than that of the earlier Roman law. It seems that even the thrall had personal rights of some sort, though we are not able with our present information to specify them. Towards the end of the eleventh century

[^26]the slave inude from Rrintul to Ireland (where the Datses win then in powers) erillewl forth the righteous indignation wif anothers Wulfana, the biwhop of Wioreenter, who held bos plame chrousti
 pusting diwn the sesudal!. Ite enotinurd existences till that

 Williant the C'onquerors.

Май. 402matits


 sorions work, if nut a daty'. Somentimex well-tu-do perople

 later times we snevt with furmal kalas thy the tond to a shant persons in trist (av we ahould now my) to sumbumit the morfe.
 Sometnute a serf ' buught huself' fines. We zuay supposer that a froerduau was getuerally regurend or expecte-d to take his plane. atmong the free depentanta of bis forney manter; and the exprese lieevee to thr freeduan to chouse has uw is lord, wheth in enctasusually met with, terdis to show that thas wote the rule. The lont's rishte nover the freestman's fambly were bot affeeteal if the freedman left the doman'? 'There 24 nothong for migespos that freerlacen were treated an a daturet cluwe in any other was
 arod soot unfrequently dal acyuire, smonty of hom uwn, abal in


 wnitern law couthountly asoutue shat as 'theow unght ine whle to pay litum for public ofterneen.

[^27]On the whole the evidence seams to show thet serfiom was survery much more of a peasonal bondage and lews involved with the and ingen. cocupation of particular land befone the Norman Conquest than after; in ahort that it approached, though it only approached, the elavery of the Roman law. Once, and anly once, in the certiest of our Anglo-Saxon taxtas ${ }^{2}$, we find mention in Kent, under the same of lat, of the half-free olass of persons called lisue and other like namee in continental documenta.' To all appesance there had oeseed to. be any such clans' in Ringland before the time of Alfred:' it is therefore needlese to discuss their condition or origin.

Thare are traces of some kind of public authority having bean required for the owner of a serf to make him friee aid regende third persons; but from almont the earlieat Christian times manumiamion at an altar had. full effect'. In nach caseen a* writter reood wes commonly preserved in the later Anglo-

- Sacoa peariod at any rate, but it does not appear to have been
[n.14 neccesery or to have been what we should now call an operative inetrument. This hind of manumisaion disappears after the Conqueet, and it was long disputed whether a freed bondman might not be objected to an a witnese or oath-belpar:

We now turn to judicial institutions. An Anglo-Saxan court, whether of public or private justice, was not surrounded with such visible majesty of the law as in our own time, nor furnished with any obvious means of compelling obedience. It is the feebleness of executive power that explains the large space occupied in archaic law by provisions for the conduct of suits when parties make default. In like manner the solemn prohibition of taking the law into one's own hands without having demanded one's right in the proper court shows that law is only just becoming the rule of life. Such provisions occur as early as the dooms of Ine of Wessex ${ }^{4}$, and perhaps preserve the tradition of a time when there was no jurisdiction save by consent of the parties. Probably the public courts

[^28]wron always hold in the epren nir: there is mo tremtom of churdors bangg usedl for this purposer, a proctice which was exprewely furbidion in variones parts of the continemt when comet honses werre buite. Private courts were held, when gracts. cable. its the house of the lord baving the jurimbetsens, na to shown by the name halimute or hall-mont. Thes name masy indend thave beon given to a lori's court by way of domyzued


 buwever, wo weerer before the Normans Conmavens.

Lis far as we can may that these woun any rogular juifing evarem in Anglo-sisucen law, it was of a highly arehoce erpe.
 wifluces aud private wromge. Lamblaty wa a publice fider or, in


 idenes nor their appropriate terman are confimat at any tume. On the wher hand, then is wo perceptible differome of ats.
 a eentury before the fiobsiumat, we fitul certaill if the grower
 jursodictati.

The ntaple materes of judicial primediage wan of a mude atal situpher kind. In an far as we can trast the writura lawe. the fonly koprea of general importawe were matalayng, wormbing.
 that it was by no meanas eagy for a suan, who waw annited to buy castele bunsely, so be wure that he was tout buysug suatera berasta, and the Anglo-Siaxon downsa are full of clabmate fure cauturim un than houl, (o) wheh we alatl nesurn presently.
Procelur As to pricevlure, the fortum were sotuctumes curnplicated. always etiff aud unlatohus. Mintakes is form werr prubably

 make aro attempt to mpply any mensure of protabitit! ton

[^29]individual cases ${ }^{1}$. Oath was the primary mode of proof, aut oath going not to the truth of specific fact, but to the justice of the claim or defence as whole. The number of persons required to swear varied according to the nature of the case and the rank of the persons concerned. Inasmueh as the oath. if duly made, was conclusive, what we now call the burdon of proof was rather a benefit than otherwise under ancients Germanic procedure. The process of clearing oneself by the full performance of the oath which the law required in the particular case is that which later medieval authorities call 'making one's law,' facers legem. It remained possible, in certain cases, down to quite modern times. An accused person who failed in his oath, by not having the proper number of oath-helpers ${ }^{2}$ prepared to swear, or who was already disqualified from clearing himself by oath, had to go to one of the forms of [5.10) ordeal. The ordeal of hot water appears in Ine's laws though until lately it was concealed from our view by the misreading of oue letter in the text? Tyial by combat was to all appearance unknown to the Anglo-Saxon proceduret, though it was formally sanctioned on the continent by Guadobad, king of the Burgundians, at the beginning of the sixth century and is found in the lawe of nearly ell the German tribes?. An apparentiy genuine ordinance of William the Conqueror enables Englishmen to make use of trial by battle in their lawsuits with Normans, but expressly allows them to decline it. This is strong to prove that it was not an English institution in any forme. Permitted or justified private war, of which we do find considerable traces in England ${ }^{7}$, is quite a different matter.

[^30]The Augio-Norman jurtictal combat betrougn us a perfertly regular and regulated cousme of proweedugg, is ar mernetly conirolled as any uther part of it , nond has zon lers strictly detimed legal converyuences

A 'fere-math,' distinct from the flefinitive rath of promf, what required of the party commetoriag a *utt. unlew the fart combplamed of were mannifewt thus a fore unth was meadless if a taan sued for wrounding and showed the wound th the collers. A defendant whe was of evil repute might be trwen by the formasth alone to the alcermative of a chrob-fold oush ar the ondell'.

As rekorda the monatitutsinn of Anglo-liaxous monrts, outur
 with indicatorns derived froms the Nurman and later timew.

## Eil b.en ow

 temijeral al ! surrimal juricalio
 That mevilat and medemumtival courta wen- zout marpl! a paratesl. and the two jursaletions were hardly dintagusabed. The bishops of in wat in the counsy cours: the chureh clumsed for hies a large whare in the divertion of evers wernlar justice', and the chans whe fully alluwed by prisees who coulal sot be churgosl with Weaknass P'mbably the bexhop, was ofton cho ualy somemers of
 in public affuin.

The litice justive l... sunhamers

The monat gemeral Auglo-Sivxon teren for as conert or awombly
 authurity of the kind frones the king and has witan dhwnwank

 relluwed from ont moxdern way of rigathlugg the limg at the




 ona to the wevere 'tlirver fisds' enderi
 Thorgen Aswint lamn ts. S1s.


 Cunat. Hiat, of the l'bumb of kingland, sat

reserved power which a man must not invoke unless he has friled to get his cause heerd in the jurisdiction of his own hundred ${ }^{1}$. Such failure of justice might happen, not from illwill or corruption on the part of any public officer, but from a powerfal lond protecting offenders who were his mens. In such ceses the king might be invoked to put forth his power. It is obvions that the proceses was barely distinguishable from that of combating an open rebellion!

Atter the Norman Conquest, as time went on, the kinges jeatioe became organized and regular, and superseded nearly all the functions of the ancient coounty-and hondrad conrth But the ling's porer to do justice of an extraondinary kind was far from heing ebandoned. The great constructive work of Henry II. and Fdwend I. made it lees impartant for a time. In the Effeenth and sixteenth centuries it shomed its vitality in the ande of the - ing's chancellors, and became_the mot. of the modern erctem of equity4. Down to our own time that system preserved the marks of its origin in the peculiar character of the compulsion exarcised by courts of equitable jurisdiction. Dieobedieace to their proceses and decrees was a direct and special contompt of the king's authority, and a 'commission of [n. 8 rebellion' might issae against a defendant making default in a chancery sait, however widely remote its subject-matter might be from the public affairs of the kingdom ${ }^{\text {s }}$.

We have many examples, notwithstanding the repeated Jurisidic ordinances forbidding men to seek the king's justice except tion wh. after failure to obtain right elsewhere, of the witan exercising an original jurisdiction in matters of disputed claims to bookland!. This may be explained in more than one way. Bookland was (as we shall see) a special form of property which only the king could create, and which, as a rule, he created with the consent and witness of his wise men. Moreover, one or both parties to such suits were often bishops or the heads of great houses of religion, and thus the cause might be regarded as an ecclesiastical matter fit to be dealt with by a synod rather than by temporal authority, both parties doubtless consenting to the jurisdiction.

[^31]The charteve chat inform os of whe wes dono, enpurially in
 'famous place' whose situmtion is now mater of mere colljocture', leave an donbt that on thewe necavions. at loont the mane anambly which in callad a syzod also acted as the witan. The secular and spritual functions of thoser groat meeting might havo been diveriminntiod by lay membetw not taking
 that they were" In naly case it is haghly probable that the probulutiotin abrive eltod were never meant to apply on the great men of the kingolom, or royal foundatione, or the king " samastate followere.
 himi leal conits counsty court and the hundend court, of which the cousuys couss
 weelks: Pimor atod rich mess mike were entutled wh have right Thas to them, thongh the neeal of emphasaing than elenmentary point of law the thand ifuarter of the westh century suggeosa that the fact wims ofterg wherwisu".

Thus the hundred court wan the judicinl unit, so to npeat, for ordinary athara. Wo have no enslence that way lester ip the jublic court axinted. It as quite peatble that mothe sure of Wwaship sutatisg wan held for the regrulation of tho cornesensfield humbandry which prevnilend is iseme parter of Eingland: anod
 far an we knuw I allistion to thers, havilly makess the smet iona probables. But we have nu groused whatever for concluding that the uwnship-mous, if thas were shematne, hal atyy propmery judheial functoms. 'Mark-manst' whech ham hern suppumed to bo the uame of a pramary courn, nppean rather bo tuman a court beld on the tomashos of milgarent councion or humalrovs, or perhape on the bousulary dylie sew-lf?

The unduanceas whioh tell un of the tames of mevtiag approinted for the coumty and humirull courta hell the nuthong whaterer of their procevlure. It may be taken at orrtana,

[^32]however, that they had no efticient mode of compelling the attendance of parties or enforoing their orders $\mathbf{A}$ man who refirsed to do justice to others according to the law could only be put out of the protection of the law, save in the cases which were grave enough to call for a special expedition against him. Outlawry, developed in the Dasish period as a definite part of English legal process, remained suoh antil our own time. All this is thoroughly charseteristio of archaic legal aystems in general. Nothing in it is peculiarly English, not much is peculiarly Cermanic.

Thus far we have spoken only of pablic jurisdiction. But Privte we know that after the Norman Coaqueat England was covered frimi with the private jurisdictions of lords of varions degrees, from the king himself dowawards, holding courts on their lands at Which their tenanta were entitled to seek justice in their own local affairs, and bound to attend that justice might be dope to their fellowe, 'Court baron' is now the most usual technical name for a court of this kind, but it is a comparatively modern name. Further, we know that private jurisdiction existed on the contiuent much earlier, and that it existed in Fngland in the early part of the eleventh cantury. It is a question not
$\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[0]}\end{array}\right.$ free from doubt whether the institution was imported from the continent not long before that time, or on the contrary had been known in England a good while before, perhaps as early as the date of our earliest Anglo-Saxon laws and charters, notwithstanding that it is not expressly and directly mentioned in documents of the earlier period. For our present purpose it is enough to be sure that private courts were well eatablished at the date of the Conquest, and had been increasing in number and power for some time ${ }^{1}$.
[n.21] Proceeding to the subject-matters of Anglo-Saxon juris- sabjectdiction, we find what may be called the usual archaic features. matter of The only substantive rules that are at all fully set forth have Baxon justo do with offences and wrongs, mostly those which are of a violent kind, and with theft, mostly cattle-lifting. Except so far as it is involved in the law of theft, the law of property is almost entirely left in the region of unwritten custom and local nsage. The law of contract is rudimentary, so rudimentary as to be barely distinguishable from the law of property. In fact people who have no system of credit and very little foreign

[^33]toule, and who do auarly all therr bumineges in purwon and by woul of mouth with neightwans whom they know, have wot much seczasion for a law of contract. It is not our purpesse' be cobsidere in this place the relation of Anglo- Siaxion cnutirsm aud
 ismuser, for example, why the Sulse or the Lamberd laws atomid present striking rewomblancers even in detal to the law of Alford or C'rut, but provide with equal or groater manite beess
 arv milent. In the perioxi of antiguarman conspilation wheth wet is after the Numman (inseliest, and of wheh the wheallial inwa
 imbation of the contiuental evtlections, but sometames expresm

 throws zus light whatever on the pewabilities of nontismental influefoce at at earlier tame. It in highty probable that Alfred and him suceremouin had learned permonas about theins whas werne tusure or less acquainted with Frankioh legimation if mos with that of remoter kingedome. Bust it sufficen tu kuow thas, is its

 fore warrancol an mupposing, whene Finglowh atathority fale that the Enghah usuger of the Anglo-Siaxoth perment ware gromenily
 Fonsud on the continent.
 pace. dicall with, in England ass elmewhere, partly under the cumbuaty
 uf the hage. In Fongland that anthorty gratmal! aup meviod all uthers All crowsint offorme bale ling beyen soud for bo


 monamg. The eno phomes are, indend. menmately conseeted. they erme from the sure whet the kugin pribectsones man thers

[^34][tit 1i]
Anglo-Sascon Law:
paivereal bot particular, when the king's peace was not for all mean ore all phces, and the king's highway was in a special mannar protected by it. Breach of the king's peace was an act of perwonal disobedience, and a much graver matter than an ardinary breach of public oxder; it made the wrong-doer the ling's enemy. The notion of the king's peace appeass to have had two distinct origins. These were, first, the special sanctity of the ling's house, which may be regarded as differing only in degree from that which Cormanic usage'attached everywhere to the homestead of a free man; and, secondly, the apecial pectection of the ring's attendants and sarvante, and other persons whom he thought fit to place on the same footing. In the later Anglo-Seazon period the king's particular protection is celled grise distinct from the more general word frib. Athough the proper name is of comparatively recent introduc[1.20] tion ${ }^{1}$ and of Scandinavian extraction, the thing seems to answer to the Erankish sermo or verbum regis, which is as old as the Salic lawn. The rapid extension of the king's peace till it becomes, after the Norman Conquest, the normal and general sageguard of pablic oxder, seems peculiarly English ${ }^{\text {: }}$. On the continent the king appears at an early time to have been recognised protector of the general peace, besides having power to grant special protection or peace of a higher order".

It is not clear whether there was any fixed name for the The general peace which was protected only by the hundred court pasces. and the ealdorman. Very possibly the medieval usage by which an inferior court was said to be in the peace of the lord who held the court may go back in some form to the earliest time when there were any set forms of justice; and there is some evidence that in the early part of the tenth century men spoke

[^35]of the proser of the witan! We have nut found Einglawh authority for any such turm ns folls. peaces, whet has monsetumes beell used in imitation of Cierinan writura No light is throwa
 the provision that every muan shall be it a humdrest ansl tuthang. for it tind appenne in this definite forme the the lawe of ('nust. and both ite hivenyy and meaning are diapuatable. This, however. ix a suather of administrative mechanimm rather than of the law iterlf. Wir shall have a word to say about thim mateer when hereafter we spenk of frumkpledige.
Frunt ans aterser chent.

In Anglo-siax on en well as in other Germanic lowe we find is wo
 and that of offesce aganst the common weal serundary, even in
 prevail, that the members of the commanimty muat be comtent with the remedien uffionted them by law, und anmet mot suak privite rengeanee, and that, in the other hand, public uffitees cannut be renaited or compounded lig private burswan

Penonal munty in in the first placer a cmule of fernd, af private war between the kualruls of the wrong-dsees and of the person wronged. This munt be ensefully distingusbed from a

 atree of a compumition. Some knud of arbitention was pmbatily resorted to from a very early time to fix the atavont. Thio anext stage is a seale of mompensation fixed by coutem or enact tment for dench or minar injurios, which nany in gradunted mexemblug to the rank of the persens anjuresi. Siuch a esale may will eximt for a time without any pmituve duty of the kitidfed in ancept tho compmaituon it offors It may swres only the
 when the parties are diopponest to tunke perees. But this naturally leads to the kurdred bovge tint "xpmeted by publice aptrmin and then reyurat by fublic authanty not to puncme the feud if the proper conuprostimn is fortheousing. "rieppe than

[^36]few extreme cases which also tinally disappear. At the same time, the wrong done to an individual extends beyond bis own farnily; it ja wrong to the community of which he is a member; and thus the wrung-doer may be regarded as a public encmy. Such expressious as 'outlaw against ull the people' in the Atglo-Saxon laws preserve this point of view ${ }^{1}$. The concoption of an offence done to the state in its corporate person, or (as in our own system) as represented by the king, is of later growth.

Absolute chronology has very little to do with the stage of Taris of growth or decay in which archaic institutions, and this one in coniposi pasticular, may be found in different countries and times. The Homeric poems show us the blood-feud in full force in cases of
[0.25] maslaying (there is litule or nothing about wounding), tempered by masom or composition which appears to be settled by agreement or arbitration in each case. In the clsssical period of Greek histury this has whally disappeared. But in Iceland, tas late as the time of the Norman Conquest of England, we find a state of society which takes us back to Homer. Manslayings and blood-fudd are constant, and the semi-judicial arbitration of wiee men though often in voked, is but imperfectly successful io staying breaches of the nepce and reconciling adverseries
A man's life has its price, but otherwise there is not even any recognized scale of compositions. In the Germanic laws both of England and of the mainland we find a much more settled rule some centuries earlier. Full scales of composition are established. A freeman's life has a regular value set upon it, called worgild, literally 'man's price' or 'man-payment',' or oftener in English documents wer simply; moreover, for injuries to the person short of death there is an elaborate tariff. The modern practice of assessing damages, though familiar to Roman law in the later republican period, is unknown to early Germanic law, nor were there in Germanic procedure any means of applying the idea if it had existed. Composition must generally be accepted if offered; private war is lawful only when the adversary obstinately refuses to do right. In that case indeed, as we learn from a well-known ordinance of Alfred', the power

[^37]of the enldorman, and of the king at aovel, may be calliond in if thes plantaff in aut atroug enough by hatimelf, in uther worde the contursacioue denser of justice may be eloalt with an ass enomy of the commonwealth. At a sumewhat inters time we fiad the arceptance and payment of cornpmitanas enforcel by parting the oblagatnu between the partuew under the apmond manction of the kisg's prave'. But it was at least thouressally pusable, down to the rusidle of the tenth century, for a manalayer to elect to bear the feud of the kindred?. His awa kisined, buwever, might asoid nay whare it the feod by dha elmoning him; nny of them who maintmed him atier then, wa well as may of the avengag kinafink who mealdled with any op but the actual wroug-duer, wan deenerd a foe to the kugg the atrougeat form of expreesing outhwry) and fortelted all his proparty:
Ter, whe. We tind the publie and private enpecte of mjuncuin acte lus. pretty clearly dintugushert by the Anglu-Siaxon terma Wer as we hase maitl, in the value not oft a gati's life, uncraviug with bie rank. Fir many purpootes it could le a burdena well as a
 of the tine 20 ber pand for his offieneth aysarnet publice order Hite in the ustual word for a proual rine payable to the kioge on to mone wher public authont!. Shit the moulern (ivponan
 krad. Stume of the graveat offerseas, vapereally agpanat the bing


 very ancient; it mormaponds to what is tolld as of thermen custom by Tactitws'.

Tresilah meas
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[^38]the biekbops and wise-men 'for the mild-heartedness sake that Clarist tanght' sanctioned the redemption by fine of offences leas than that of treason against one's lord'. Mutilation and other corporal punishments are preecribed (but with the altermative of redemption by a heary fine) for falee accusers, for habitaal criminale, and for persons of evil repute who have frilod in the ordeal".

Imprisonment occurs in the Aaglo-Saxon laws only as a meens of temporary security. Slaves were lisble to capital and other corporal punishment, and generally withoat redemption. The detaile have no material bearing on the general history of the law, and may be left to stadents of semi-barbarous manners. Outlawry, at finst a declaration of war by the commonwealth agrinat an offending member, became a regular,means of compelling sabmiseion to the authority of the courts, as in form it coatimed so to be down to modern times?. In criminal pro[n 2 2] coeedinge, however, it was used as a subetantive penalty for violent resintance to a legal process or persistent contempt of court! Before the Conquest, outlawry involved not only forfaiture of goods to the king, but liability to be killed with imponity. It wae no offence to the king to kill his enemy, and the kindred might not claim the wergild!. It was thought, indeed, down to the latter part of the sixteenth century, that the same reason applied to persons under the penalties appointed by the statutes of praemunire, which expressly included being put out of the king's protection'.

It would appear that great difficulty was found both in Difeculies obtaining specific evidence of offences, and in compelling accused in ing impel. and suspected persons to submit themselves to justice, and pay miseion to their fines if convicted. This may serve to explain the severe provisions of the later Anglo-Saxon period against a kind of

[^39]permons deweribed ne 'frequently neensed, "of not eredit'. The who had lween meveral tumes charged (with theft, it seems we mast undematad), and kept away froun three courts running. might be pumbed and astosterd as a thoef, and towied as an outlaw if he faled to give wecurty to amwer him accumers. A tuas of eval repute is alreasly half' condernmed, asad if he evariea justice it is nll but centelusive prowf of guile. In cormmatation wher an hotest masin tueqghoust knew pretty well whot he was doung uvery day aus mout of the day, thes pribably dul not work suuch mpuntiee. And Finglish ermanal procedure still held uo thin punt of vew twor ceoturien atter the- lisugueat. Is ray be mad wi louger even mow-a-dayy in the thenretseal power of grad jurnes th present oftionees of their uwn keawledge.
the semal presegea, and thase from a peniad of comparatively setelend geversmeat, show thas great teresh, whow fullewern hasd eommitted crimes, oftein harboured and mantamed them in
 the vietor of Brumusburh, wo make onlunasers agaust Inwlewt p nows uf the kind, we cats unly thak that weakers priseee lof it without remerdy, not breause the evil was lows in their days, hut because tbey hat ou prwer ut nunotul it. The nume thug was common "rosugh in the Sicoltish highlands as late as the carly pare of the evghteenth cerabury ".
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would submit to being forbidden to fight out of court on the termes of being allowed to fight under legal sanction, thus combining the physical joy of battle with the intellectual lusary of strictly formal procedure. It seems plausible to suppoee that the mechanism of Anglo-Saxon government was not comomoaly strong enough to accomplish even so much. All this, however, is conjectural. There is no reason to doubt that among some Germanic tribea battle was recognized as a form of ordeal from very ancient times; we have no means of solving the ulterior question why those tribes did not include the aceestors of the Anglo-Saxions.

Offaces epecisilly dealt with in various parts of the Anglo- spochal Saron live are treason, homicide, wounding and aseault (which, thencon: however, if committed by free men, are more wrongs than crimeo), and thef. Treason to one's lord, especially to the king, is a capital crime. And the easence of the crime already consins in compessing or imagining the ling's death, to use the later language of Edward III's Parliament'. The like appears in other Germanic documents?. It neems probable, however, that thie doee not represent any original Cermanic tradition, bat is borrowed from the Roman law of maiestas, of which one main head wan plotting against the lives of the chief magisp. 20] trates'. No part of the Roman law was more likely to be imitated by the conquerors of Roman territory and provinces; and when an idea first appears in England in Alfred's time, there is no difficulty whatever in supposing it imported from the continent. Not that rulers exercising undefined powers in
sine iustitis causam suam perdere: sed propter consaitatinem gentis nostrae lagobardoram legem ipsam uetare non possumus'. Avitus, bishop of Vienne, protested against Gundobad's ordinance. At a later time Agoband of Lyons denonnced it. See Lee, Superstition and Force, ed. 4, p. 409.
${ }^{1}$ 판, 4.
${ }^{2}$ Bd. Hoth. 1 (L. Langob.) 'contra snimsm regis cogitaverit ant consiliaverit'; L. Sax. 24, 'de morte consiliatus fuerit'; to L. Baiuw. ii. 1; L. Alam. 28 : 'in mortem ducis consiliatus fuerit'; op. Branner, D. R. G. ii. 688.

3 The following words no doubt substantially represent the text of the lez Julis: 'Cuinsve opers consilio dolo mslo convilium initum eril quo quis magis. tratus populi Bomani quive imperium potestatemve habeat occidatar.' Dig. 48. 1. ed I. Iulinm maiestatis, 1 \$ 1 . The consiliaverit, consiliatus fuerit, of the Germanie laws can hardly be an accidental resamblance. In Gianv. xiv. 1, the prineipal tarms are nachinatum fuisse vel aliquid fecisse, but consilium dedisse is there too.
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[^40]and the manderere, if ascortained, might be delivered over to the doed noma's kindred ${ }^{1}$.

Ari ouslaw. might, as we have sean, be alain with impunity; and it was not ooly lawful but meritorious to kill a thief flying Aldom juatioe!. An adulterer. taken in fagramie delicto by the woman's lawfal husband, father, brother, or son, might be killed withoat risk of blood-ferd. In like manner homicide was excomeable when the slayer was fighting in defence of his lord, of of a man whose lord he was, or of his kimman; but a man nust in no case fight againgt his own lond ${ }^{4}$. A man who slew a thief (or, it would seem, any one) was expected to declere the fact without delay, otherwise the dead man's kindred might clear his fame by their oath and require the alayar to pay wergild as for a true man4. We do not find any formelities prescribed in the genuine dooms. The safeat course would no doubt be to report to the first credible person met with, and to the first accessible person having any sort of antharity:

Injuries and nessaulta to the person were dealt with by a Pemonal zinute scale of fixed compenestions, which appears, though minderie. mooh abridged, as late as the Anglo-Norman compilations. But rulee of this hisid are not heard of in practice after the Con: queet. It in worth while to notice that the contumelious outrage of binding a free man, or shaving his head in derision, or shaving of his beard, was visited with heavier fines than any but the gravest wounds'. In the modern common law p. 31 ] compensation for insult, as distinct from actual bodily hurt, is arrived at only in a somewhat indirect fashion, by giving juries a free hand in the measure of damages. Accidental injuries are provided for in a certain number of particular cases. A man carrying a spear should carry it level on his shoulder in order to be free from blame if another runs upon the point. If the point is three fingers or more above the butt (so as to bring the point to the level of a man's face), he will be liable to pay wer in case of a fatal accident, and all the more if the point
${ }^{1}$ Cn. 72. 56 ; Hen. 71, 92. See Schmid, Gloss. n. v. morb, and op. the old Norse adage, 'Night-alaying is murder' (Natt-vig er mort-vig); also Lex Rib. 15.
${ }^{9}$ In. 85, op. 28; Sthelst. vi. (Iud. Civ. Lund.) 7 ; op. Ed. Conf. 86.
${ }^{3}$ E1L. 42.

- In. 21.
"Hen. 88 g. The detailed instructions for laying out the slein man with hia arms, eto., are curious but antrustworthy. The main object was to show that the killing was not secret.

6 AM. 85. For continental anslogies, Bee Brunner, D. B. G. ii. 674.
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- The want wromit may well potint eo warturty of the lisel. Herisers I'or selururwo adou.
- charge might have involved the forfoiture of the weapon until quite modern times.

The extreme difficulty of getting any proof of intention, or Arichele of it abeence, in archaic procedure is, perhaps, the best ex-ripocipion. planation of rulee of this kind. At all events, they not only mookimet. are characturistic of early German law, but they have left their mart on the developed common law to a notable axtent. In modien timee the principle of general reoponsibility for pare movidenth arising frem one's lawful aot has been disallowed in the United States, and more lately in England. But, as regarde the duty of anfely, keeping in cattle, and in the case of persons colleoting or dealing with things deemed of a specially dangerone kind, the old Cermanio law is still the law of this land and of the greater part of North America.

Fire, which Raglioh law has regarded for several centuries as a specially dangerovis thing in this sense, and whioh is dealt with in some of the early Cermanic dooms, is not mentioned for this parpowe in our documents ${ }^{1}$. Liability for damage done by doge is on the other hand rather elaborately dealt with by a ceme of compensation inareasing after the first bite?

There are tracen of the ides which underlay the Boman nozal actions, and which crops up in the medieval rule of deodand, that where a man is killed by accident, the immediate cause of death, be it animate or inanimate, is to be handed over to the avenger of blood as a guilty thing. When men were at work together in a forest, and by misadventure one let a tree fall on another, which killed him, the tree belonged to the dead man's kinsfolk if they took it away within thirty days'. This kind of accident is still quite well known in the forest countries of Europe, as witness the rude memorial pictures, entreating the passer's prayers, that may be seen in any Tyrolese valley. Also a man whose beast wounded another might surrender the beast as an alternative for money compensation .
[p. ss] Theft, especially of cattle and horses, appears to have been Theft. by far the commonest and most troublesome of offences. There is a solitary and obscure reference to 'stolen flesh' in the laws of Ine'. Perhaps this is to meet the case of a thief driving

[^41]catele $n$ rortain dimentere and then wloughtering them, ind boting the thesh apura from the hotess and horns, whels wioulad In. unane amily identatied. If wee ane surpimat by the meversty with which our ascenturn treated theft, we have only tu lock
 the wewteris Americin statog and tepritamea in our owis these, and the sevaval of arehaie thethode for stas abotetnent. C'ollumits with thevers on the part of meeoungly homest folls apporam we have beren thought quite pueable C'mut repuresl evory man alnave twelve yeuns to awoar that he would be aeother a thuif nor in accomplice with theres ', and special pomalties for lettiog a thsif imapes, or fralugg to mase, or fillow, the hue and ity. [wast in the rame direction'. Slaviry was a revergnized peralt? whes the thiof was umble to make reatitutiote 'llom, if is stant alone, might lo magrefol me handing over the debtor'n perwors by way of compergation methore thon a puenealonemt in
 might lowe their freardons ase neconuplaces. The hanshasen of



 chaldren' we unguxtly uwnivel in the slavery of their purenin All this, however, belougs comeal anthitiom ruther thas on liggal hamary: The common law of theft in whilly puast-Niomman.
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[^42]contemplate under this head by far the greater and weightior part of the whole body of legal rules affecting citivens in their private relations, But if we came with such expectations to cxamine laws and customs so archaic as the Anglo-Saxon, we should be singularly disappointed. Here the law of property is customary and unwritten, and no definite atstement of it in to be found anywhere, while a law of contract can hardly be aid to exist, and, so far as it does exist, is an insignificant appurtenance to the law of property. But we must remember that even Hale and Blackstone, long after that view had ceased to be appropriate, regarded contract only as a menn of acquiring ownership or possession. Yet more than this; it in harilly correct to sasy that Anglo-Saxon customs or any Garmanic customs, deal with ownership at all. What modern lanyers call dwnership or property, the dominium of the Boman systom, is not recognised in early Germanic ideas Pomeasion, not ownership, is the leading conception; it is poseenion that has to be defended or recovered, and to poomans withoat dispate, or by judicial award after a dispute real or feigned, is the only sure foundation of title and end of strife. A right to posess, distinct from actual posseseion, must be edmitted if there in any rule of judicial redress at all; but it is only through the conception of that specific right that ownership finds any place in pure Germanic law. Those who have studied the modern learning of possessory rights and remedies are aware that our common law has never really abandoned this point of view.

Movable property, in Anglo-Saxon law, seems for all prac- Sale and tical purposes to be synonymous with cattle. Not that there contracts. was no other valuable property; but arms, jewels, and the like, must with rare exceptions have been in the constant personal custody of the owners or their immediate attendants. Our documents leave us in complete ignorance of whatever rules existed. We may assume that actual delivery was the only known mode of transfer between living persons; that the acceptance of earnest-money and giving of faith and pledges were customary means of binding a bargain; and that contracts in writing were not in use. There is no evidence of any regular
-p.3s] process of enforcing contracts, but no doubt promises of any special importance were commonly made by oath, with the purpose and result of putting them under the sanction of the
chacch. There in great remon to believe that everywhere or
 the secular cane ${ }^{3}$. und that honourable obligatans han beesa muns ethercive than might be supposent it aidug or auppletroszting the imperfections of legatity. Appareatly the encluxit forsit of civil ublggation in corrnan law was the dury uf payng werpold. Payment, whon it conld not be made forthwith, was morinnl by pledges, who mo doubt were ongisally howangem. (irmatually the giving of tecunty ainks intw the background, and the
 But our Anglo-hinuols authontipa ane of the very seantions. Wis find the composition of a fend securevl by gromg plavigen and the payment by itwalmestus rogulaued': and ith Alfind's lawa
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[^43]of cattle the need of buying before good witnessess But this bas nothing to do with the palidity of the sale between the partion The sole purpoee, judging by the terms and contezt af theee ensocments, is to protect the buyer against the sibsecreant chams of any persorn who might allege that the cattle had been atolen from him. Difficultion of this kind were especinlly rife when the sale had been made (in the earlier times) in another English kingdom, or up the country. Hlothar and Eodric laid down the precautions to be obserred by a Kentish man buying cattle in London, then a Mercian town ${ }^{1}$. Evidently great suspicion attached to cales made anywhere out of opan market. Some ordinances require the preeance of the portreeve ur other credible men at sales without the gatee; others atternpt to prohibit selling altogether axcept in towns. Afterwands witnesses are required in town and cotuntry alike', rud in the latest period we find the number of four witneeses eppecified. A buyer who neglected to talse witnew was liable so eviction, if the cattle were claimed as stolen, without even the chance of calling the seller to warrant him, and he might also incur a forfeiture to the lord of the place, and be called on to clear himself by oath of any complicity in the theft. If he had duly taken witnees, he still had to produce the seller, or, if the seller could not be found, to establish his own good faith by oath.

If the seller appeared, he had in turn to justify his possession, and this process might be carried back to the fourth remove from the ultimate purchaser. These elaborate provisions for vouching to warranty (A.-S. tedm) ${ }^{4}$ or the custom on which they were founded, persisted for some time after the Norman Conquest ${ }^{6}$, and are interesting by their analogy to the doctrine of warranty in the law of real property, which after-
[p.s7] wards underwent a far more full and technical development, and remained, long after it had been forgotten in practice, at the foundation of many parts of modern conveyancing. The

[^44] deaming humelf by un onath lakeoti over the atalen property at the mellerin grave, in the came of the meller having died satae the purchasa of the slaves, or cother thing in dispule.

With regand to the temmere of land we have a catialdemble bulk of infurmation, derived gartly from charkem and wills. partly from inculamom pucuigen in the laws, and partly from other dowernentw, expmectally the traut known as Revotudomes stugntarum geramarum. Wrir have gute tato the nattar else. wherers, and wo may monfine unrwilves herse () a churt atatetnetut of what in pmatively known.
 coblsuderable pertsona of Inad mosie by kings to bashopmand seligions boumes, or to byy noblex Latul go granted was called
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 incudentally, wo the old cuseamary rule of latol-bublane

[^45]When the day of conqueat was at hand, many of the tillers Infarior of the ground were dependent on a lond to whom they owed tanureand. reats and services substantially like those of which we have ample and detailed evidence in later documenta A. large proportion of them were personally free men ${ }^{1}$; the homesteads were several, and every free man was answerable for his own

- fances. There is little doubt that, ercept in the western counties, common-field agriculture whas general if not universals; and probably the scheme of distribution and the normal amount of holdinge was very like that which we find after the Conquest. Pree men sometimes held considerable estates under a lord, but our anthorities are too scanty to ensble' us to say on what termest. In the later Anglo-Sazon period, land held of a -uperior, whether much or little, is called len-land. It is not clear whether this term extended to customary tenures (thooe for example which would result from a grant of book-land as between the new load and the occupiers) or was limited to intercets created by an express agreement. In the latter case it may be compared with the Gallo-Frankish procarium, from which indeed it was perhape deriveds.

Folle-land is a term which occurs only in a few documenta, Folk-mond. and then without any decisive explanation. In the most authoritative of these, a law of Edward the Elder, it is contrasted with book-land as if it included all land that was not book-land. Spelman, so reading the passage, defined folk-land as land held by common, that is customary law, without written title. On this view an Englishman who was asked,' What do you mean by folk-land?' would have answered, 'Land held by folk-right.' In 1830 John Allen put forth another view which prevailed for two generations. He said' that 'folk-land, as the word imports, was the land of the folk or people. It was the property of the community.' The proposed analogy to the Latin ager publicus was accepted as confidently as it was proposed, [p.s9] and with singularly little discussion, by Kemble and almost

[^46]every ane who trented of Anglo-siazun land Lomuren dawn to
 theory, and were found to inerenae an one mechelar nfter anothor ankend farther upors detanks In partwohar, it was hand tu account for the numbing of free mell, whech mast have been colusiderable in the tume of Bidwarl the Fiher nt all everata, holding land which wan not beok-land. Vurnous conjectumal names for that kind of holeting werr propmeal by Kictable and whens, but for note of them was thore nay authonty. If theme lands were included in folk-latul, and fulc-fond mesms agor publicens, then every one whi, had not book-land wan in sume and in $\ln w$ a mere tennat from the state. If wot, thores wist no evidence that land held by the nowat geneml mal prowtionlly muportant form of title had any propar zame at all. Niother morluaion could be deotned satimfying. In 1803 Mr Paul Vibangradoff' prointed out that Allenis theury was really gro-
 analingy of other compoumbls ins which the word finde oceurn io
 tinss it refloweas, it is bettor wo fall back upon the older and simpler explamation. Fulk-Innel, then, appunas to have twerts in Spelunan sail, hand held wishout writtea tele under cuntomary law. Wir have geo right tol anaume that there wise toit varsutsem
 Huform evern in thre vanue kingedem. It is firebable that the alienutun of folk-lanad wan duthicule, and wo do not know to what expesst, if ter nty cendsiderable extent, prower ta ihapme of it by will had beeth internlurend. The problem of feconmetreting the old frolk-right in detal belongm, buwoser, mattser wo the history of (bermanni woima antlygutlest than to that of the lawe of Einginnd: abut our usterpretatuon of the meanty evidenece
 the fuller evidence of the ewn eventurnem afters the C'ontipemt is intarpureted".

Trabmetices fei t finn Qiame $s t$ 1rustalantias
 firs is turnes in monser camea, bus was finally merged it the feucial cernune in the coursue of the twelfth century The relatimas of a grantee of beok-labol to thom who hedd uteder him were

[^47]cr. It.] Anglo-Saxon Law. 63
doubtless teading for some considersble time before the Conquent to be practically very like those of a feudal superior; but Anglo-baxon law had not reached the point of expressing the foct in any formal way. The Anglo-Saxon and the continental modea of conveyance and classification of tenures must have coalesced sooner or later. But the Conquest suddenly bridged a gap which at the time wha still well-marked. After its work is done we find several new fines of division introduced and some old ones obliterated, while all those that are recognized are deeper and stronger than before. The king's lordship and the bande that gather the king's dues are everywhere; and where they have come the king's law will soon follow.

## (.HAPTER III.

## NURMAN LAW'.

Cliseurlty
Or the law of Nomnarody it whe un the eve of Willianion $\{5.01\}$

## of Nintuan

 Iertal expedition. little is known fur certan. Toillustrate the pernint huatury. wheh had etaperd since the retelernent of the Nirshmen 16 Neustrin, there an no writen lawx, bo bonken on $\ln w$ asul wory few charters, while the chomelopm have not thech tot tell nhmort the legal atructure of the duchy, and what they sell ta not alwaye trustworthy. The England of the mame [weriox wupplow tus with the laws of Edwand the Eider, Dithelatans. Eifmund Fidgar, Rethelred asul ('nut; alan with a large cullevetuon of land-buks and writas Eiven in later days, after the duke of the Nurmatas had berobue king of the Eingizath, the durthy was slow to follow the kngedem in the production of abrings

[^48]5. ©1] Book or againas thooe law-books which we know as the Leges of the Confeasor, the Conqueror and Heary the First. The oldest financial records", the oldeet judicial neconds' that is has tranomitted to us, are of much later date than the parallel Raglinh documenter Its oldest law-books, two small treatises now fused together and published under the titie Le tries ancien Condmuiart, are younger and slighter than our Glanvill, and the Grasd Coudwaier, if not younger, is alighter than our Bracton! Doubtlees we have been mose fortunate than our neighbours in the preeervation of documente; still we have every reason to believe that the conquaross of England had little, if any, writton law to bring with them. Erolf, it is true, had gained the repentation of lawgiver; but our own history will show us that bach o repatation might be easily gained by one who was regurded as the formder of a state or the representative of a mee: Alfred was becoming, Edward the Confeseor was to becomes, the hero of a logal myth. Frolf may have published laws, in particalar laws about theft, but what we hear of them will hardly diepoee us to think that they would remain in force for longt. Bat not only had the Normans no written law of their own making; there was none that they could readily borrow from their Irench neighbours. Their invasions cocurred in the very midnight of the legal history of France; indeed they brought the midnight with them. The stream of capitularies ceases to flow; no one attempts to legislate; and when the worst days are over, the whole structure of society has been so much changed, that the old written laws, the Lex Salica, the

[^49]ontiannces of Meroviagine and Karleviugian kjogn, will no longar teneet the facta. When an Einghomans of the twalfih century, the compiler of the Legea Henrici, striven to ake oust
 as the bese Silvos, whirh wun centurien olil before Howt landed is Normandy, we know that he bas no furvigu leates at his oumunand that aro lee ubmolete.

Nurman lan wien *rvach.

The yet debated quewtion, whether for a century or sheneabouts niker their metthetment in Nernstria, the Inw of the Northmen or Normata was mainly Fronkesh ur mainls Sisandi-
 ndmithed that for at leturt half a coestary bofore the batshe of Hantagna, the Normans were Freuchmen, Frobeh is theor language. Finetreb in their law, prousd indeasl of thoir pans
 hotne-rule was endangered. but still Fremehmets, who Neponted
 owed arvice, we can hardly say ubedneuce, to the kug at Paran Thear upuken langugge wan French, shoir writteu langugge wan Latin, but the Latin of France: the atyle of thens legal docutnentes was the style of the Frepch chasery ; very fow of the hechascal cerus of thear law were of scaudianavais ongras
 it Lakees its place among other Fronch customm, and tho although for a loug tome prat Nurmacely han furmend one of she dommuluas of a prince, between whums and the king of the Frencls there has been hitle luve and finmuerst war, and the peculuar characterintics which uark off the custhm of Normandy from other Fronch custums seevill duee ruach suther wo ther legralatiou of Henry of Anjou than to any scandinavian trows. thon!

NoตางM| Law oras Sotrits

To eay that the $\ln$ w of Normandy was mansly Fresteh is tol any that it was feuchat But feombionm is ans unfortunate wrimb In the tinat place st elruwa cur attenthens te, but one eterasent in
 distiactive: it drawn our athention only to the prectabebere if it




 angle ourmanda.'
dependent and derivative land tenure'. This however may well exist in an age which can not be called feudal in any tolerable sense. What is characteristic of 'the feudal period' is not the relationship between letter and hirer, or lender and borrower of Land, but the relationship between lord and vassal, or rather it is the union of these two relationships. Were we free to invent new terms, we might find foudo-vcasalizm more serviceable than feudalism. But the difficulty is not one which could be solved by any merely verbal devices. The impossible task that has been set before the word feudalism is that of making a single idea represent a very large piece of the world's history, represent the France, Italy, Germany, England, of every century from the eighth or ninth to the fourteenth or fifteenth. Shall we say that French feudalism reached it zenith under Louis d'Outre-Mer or under Skint Louis, that William of Normandy introduced feudalism into England or saved England from feudalism, that Bracton is the greatest of English feudists or that he never misses an opportunity of showing a strong antifeudal bias? It would be possible to maintain all or any of these opinions, so vague is our use of the term in question, What would be the features of an ideally feudal state? What powers, for example, would the king have: in particular, what powers over the vassals of his vassals? Such a question has no answer, for the ideal does not remain the same from century to century, and in one and the same land at one and the same time different men have different ideals: the king has his opinion of what a king should be; his vassals have another opinion. The history of feudal law is the history of a series of changes which leave unchanged little that is of any real importance.

This, if true of the whole, is true of every element of feudal- Fedadiem ism, and true in the first place of that element whence it takes in Nor-: its name. In England from almost, if not quite, the earliest moment of its appearance, the word feedum seems not merely to imply, but to denote, a heritable, though a dependent right. But if on the continent we trace back the use of this word, we find it becoming interchangeable with beneficium, and if we go
[p.45] back further we find beneficium interchangeable with precarium. A tenancy at will has, we may say, become a tenancy in fee; but we cannot speak of a tenancy at will and a tenancy in
${ }^{1}$ Waite, D. V. G. vi. 1.

fee in one breath!. The Nurnasu conquent of Eugland imeum at a partucular monneat in the hinkiry of this procemen It haw slready gone far: the words ferm, fendum, feexdem, are fant supplanting beneficium ; the feodum in hervhlinsy; men bow
 alculenm, the fulleest ownemhip that thero can bes And yet a
 and the fort's righes in the fand nypuar in the shape of rethets and wardiluye. Sior alus, with vamalioti. Tilme war whes the
 phowed away, and worlie viesula of the king of the French are

 the loodis courts ita growth, whether wo have rogard to Eugland or to the conturent, seeme the chominuast of all proibs.
 time wheth it in loaving the fewtest wapletet memorrints of sto obifta and clangem. Aud it is sol proweminemety moth the
 boud-hartly other than ans alliance betweeds two morercigno wheh butik the duke of the Nommana the the king of the Fremeht Dawe the duke concerve that it is but as stmular the
 such questhons must be milved by the amond. There is no mopmartual tribunal for their molution. It th charmoteriatir ut the tuse that right of soveromgoty shate off incu nighea of property : the natue werma and formulac cover them bich: the lime between them is drawn by force rather than by therify. This has beet so til Nimmandy. Eivery monnett in which the duke wies weak hat beem markat by rotedtronk Duke. William
 subnuavion, but wo woll as he was dead thene was another are of atrarcily and private war lowient a firmt ghanee at tho Nirsman chroureles mishs iutuce un tio my thas the Normano hut hette law treyous 'ther gowed old rule, the smaple plan' Rus

[^50]hememmessiz often a superficial phenomenon and whenever the duke was atroag enough to keep the peence then law revived We henr the mame of England: times of ' unlaw' altarnate with timee of haw. At nee moment pradent travellera journey in pertien of tweaty, at the next a girl may go from end to end of the realm and feer no harm. All depende upon the ruling man. To say then of the Norman law of William's day that it was fendal, is to sny little; but it would be difficalt for us to say more without going beyond the direct and contemporary evidence or repeating what has eleowhere been admirably said of the histury of feudalism in general. But a fow traite may be notad.

To the great generalization which governs the whole scheme Denmbat immedintely or mediately 'held of' the sovereign lond, the Niorcoaus in their own country may not have arrived. But Domeaday Book by itself would soffice to show that it was thot far froru their minds, and in the Norman chartars we frequently discover the phenomena of dependent tenure. The rich man who wishes to endow a religious house endows it with laud: but in many cases we the that he is not an aboolute owner of the land that he gives, or at all events is not the only person interested in it. The land is held by tenants of divers classes, milites, vavassores, hospites, coloni, conditionarii, villani, rustici, and these tenants (that is to say, bis rights over these tenants) he gives to the church'. But further, if he has subordinates who have rights in the land, he has also superiors with rights in the land; he makes the gift with the consent of his lond; that lord's confirmation is confirmed by the duke of the Normans, perhaps it is even confirmed once more by the duke or king of the French? ${ }^{2}$. Of the alodium we often read,

[^51]and nccasionally it is entmated with the bexeficium, shes one of on atill meraning full ownesahip, she other depeadent, and tus sume degreve precariuus, tenure'. But the two aro being fiond togerther. Sometimp the alodium in hald of a lond and the adodial owner dom not dispose of $1 t$, without hin lori'n corssent: may, the lond has rughte over huts and nver it , and theno nightes can be cunveyed to a thind perwon'. (In the wther hand. the beneficium has gonse half-way to zneet the abondown. Tho vimeonbla and barons of Normandy held benetiont, jeado, honorem of the duke; is return they owed him mhatiry serviee, though the precta. atmount of the mervice may wht have been fixert: W. Head ant suppose that thas had been oo from the firat, from is ma the day when, accordang to Nurman tradtion. Hirilf roped
 of the aifle to feant Kopoul, mol. Io Provint, vol. ti. p. Hi f. Hatpla Taveon.
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${ }^{3}$ Nenteta Pia, 627. Willam the Conforer anate to the Abber of Cuer
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 makes a gif to thent Raspeur in theme terme. 'apod A did cotem qood in



4ㅍ․ 17.
Norman Laico.
out the had and diatributed it among his followers ${ }^{2}$. Whatwer mey. have been the terme upon which Hrolf received Nermendy from Charles the Simple-and the Norman tale was that be reocived it an the most aboolute alodivm ${ }^{2}$-his succomoss were convaived as holding a fief of the kings of the Proseh in for homage and servioe; and is, whatever may have been the termes on which Krolfs followers acquired their hmide, their succoseons were conceived as holding benefices or ficel of the dukes of the Normans in return for homage and wrien. From the firat the righta of the Norman noblee seem to heve bewn hereditary. It may well be, however, that there wes an clompat of preceriorsmees in their tenure, an element which appecar in leter days in the shipe of the duke's right to relicte and warchlipa, and cortainly their hold on the land was not saflicienthy mocure to prevent him from habitually having aplendid seff to give away to his kinsfolk: On the eve

${ }^{2}$ According to Dudo, Duchesne a8-84, the grant wat made ' ix sempiterman 'per progeniss progexiernum porsornionem...quani hndam et slodimm in empi*ernumer in atodio et in funde.'
${ }^{3}$ As regardin the 'relief' the main proof' is to be fonnd in Domesday Book; e.5. on the first page of it we read that when E Kentach alodiartue dies 'rex inde habet reievationem terrea.' Willisen of Jumidgee, Decheme, 250, say that Bichard the Good gave to his brother William the county of Eu and a beartiful girl calied Lescelins, the deughter of one Tharkill, a man of noble birth. The duke seems to be disposing of the hand of a vassal's daughter. So megin Orderic (ed. le Prevost), ii. 409, speaking of the days of William the Conqueror, mens: 'Gaillelmus Gaalterii de Falesis filius fuit et in militia nimium viguit, ande Guillelmns Prinoeps filiam Guidmundi cum toto ei honore Molinensi contalit.' It in not impossible that the king of the French had twice asserted a right to the wardahip of an infant duke of the Normans. As to the case of Loxis d'Outre-Mer and Richard the Fearleas, see Paigrave, Hist. Normandy, ii. chas. 8. 4 ; Preeman, Norman Conquest, ch. iv. 84 ; Kalckstein, Geachichse dee franzosischen Konigthnms, i. 288-4. Dudo's romantic tale may be falme enough, but the important point is, that not very loug after the eventa the Normans believed that the king had maserted and abused a right of wandehip. Then as to the minority of the Conqueror himself:-Henry of Hontingdon, p. 189, tells us that Harold son of Cnut banished his father's widow, the Norman Emma, and that she went to Flanders instead of to Normandy, 'Willelmo namque domino Normannorum adhuc in aetate puerili cam rege Francornm manente, Normannia fiecus regalis erat.' It is difficult to mquare this atory with the known fects; still there soems to be a great deal in the behmiour of the king towards Normandy and its young duke that is best explained as an attempt of a lord to exercise righte over the land of an infent rasel. See the sccount of Williem's minority in Freemen, Norman Conquest, vol. ii. sad ree Lachaire, Institations monarchiques aous les premiers Capétiens, i. 113-1; ii. 15.
of the connusat of fingland many of the great herumes uwal gaty their grouana to mome morve or leas lagitimase relazionship legitituscy wan a mather of degnes--betwees thetbs and tho
 evers women might mherit thom. The aledoum and the leneficium were mereting in the fendum. A new wherme of
 Giwhonesl. and into that weheme every were of a corbyuersed koligrosen smght be broughe'
fiegmonal fuarsen

Sutne such wherne of dopendent ownemhip in meameng if

 not a movervigu prince ahosilil owa $n$ juriadiction in ther abmatuta sorose in wheh he owne hes flowks and herde. That in Normandy the night of daing juatice and rexsolviog the protita thenoif hud berornow horritable in plain. The homores of tho Norman nomblea eronpriand rights of jummeliction; the viscutunts wene in uame the nuecenmers of rugal oftiesula, of Fronkish rececumotes whome whicen had tweome hernditarys. Almo the lander of the chunchew wore deferted by ducal granta of ammunty. grautes ip ted
 regulated the existenar atod the courpetence of merguorial cuirte

[^52]are very dark to us. Whethoy-the-right to hold a court can only be conferred by the sovereign's grant, or whether it arises from the mere relation between lord and men, or between lord and tenants, is a question to which we get no certain answerfor a long time after the conguest of England, whether we ask is of England or of Normandy. In good times, however, the duke's justice was powerful throughout his duchy. It is as supreme judge hearing and deciding the causes of all his subjects, the guardian of the weak against the mighty, the stern punisher of all violence, that his cuurtly chroniclers love to paint him ${ }^{2}$, and we may doubt whether in his owu country the Conqueror had ever admitted that feudal arrangements made by his men could set limits to his jurisdiction*.

As to any cunstitutional restrainte on the ducal power, the limita to most opposite opinions have prevailed. The duke of the puwas. earlieat period has been everything, from the most absolute of monarchs to a mere first among equals". What we know is chat when the titne for the conquest of England is approsching, the duke consults, or professes to consult the great men of his realm, lay and spiritual, the optimates, the proceres of Normandy. He hulds a court; we dure hardly as yet call it a court of bis tenants in chief; but it is an assembly of the great men, and the great men are his vassals. Seemingly it is for them to make the judgments of the court', and just as the English [ ${ }^{2}$.51] witan attest or confirm the king's grants, so the Norman proceres attest or confirm the charters of the duke ${ }^{\text {b }}$. In the lower courts also, so it would seem, the lord of the court is not the only judge; he is surrounded by doomsmen ${ }^{6}$.

[^53][4yd prucurlage

Probably the nolinary procedure of the courta was tutuch the same in Normandy and in Eusghand. In nesther country hard men proserl the atage at wheh they look to thm supmonaumi for prowf of doubeful facta. The means of proof arm molemn
 (hne ordeal the Nornann recognired which had no place in Engliwh law, namely, the ordeal of battle?. When imenedinsel! afure the Conquuest wot find this mode of proof in Eiggland, we may may with some certanty that bore we bave a Norman inntitution. The anme may bo kaid with greve pmbability of a far zoore importatit institution, of wheh we ruunt ajask at length hereafess, namely the nwora inulasest, the gerth af the jury:

## Crtainal

 tiv. a later nenge of development in Normandy than in Fingland The great uced of the time wan that the aucient mystens of money cempositions, of bist and wer and wits, should anve way before a syatem of true punshanemta, and in Nostnandy the alternatious of ruugh abarchy and etems represaun may have baviennd this dewirnble procest At any sabe from Niomady we hear little or nuthigg of the ald money paymenta, though at one tirme thry had bewn familar enough both th the Firnolkw and to the Numetnen, and in Eugland the writers of the tweltal, century, who still know all abriut the wer of the Wemp-Siarion the Mercian, the Dane, say no word of the Nommation wor and whow no mequasatance with nuy Nurtuats ur Frankeh ping criminal tariff?Finthatest- Wie may be mure certan that in amosher directocos Nionnass col low
 placis: Spuatran fion 318.
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Law had outatripped English law along what must seem to us a destined path of progress. It had come in sight of an eeclesiastical jurisprudence, of contlicte and compacta between church and state. Within our island church and state might still appear as but two phases of one organization ; on the continent this could not be so. Long ago the claim of a 'supernational' chureh to jurisdiction had raised difficult problems and been satisfied for a while by complicated compromises-but ouly for a whale, for the church was not easily satiable. By the Conquest England was drawn into the mid-stream of a controversial torrent. Whatever else he might leave for the future, the Conqueror would have to define in precise terms his relation to the spiritual power in his new kingdom, and his definition would, if this were possible, be that which had come down to him from Normen dukes and Frankish kings. On the one hand, he would concede an ample room to 'the canons and episcopal laws; ${ }^{\prime}$ on the other he would insist thet the spiritual power shonld assume no right in England that it had not exercised in Normandy".

One ecolesiastical institution there was in Normandy, which, mo William might hope, would haudly be necessary in England:

Thentruce of God. the truce of God. In England the old family blood-feud was not dead, but it had not as yet developed into the feudal right of private warfare. In France a religious movement, which had its origin in the south, had been setting limits to this [a.ss] anarchical right by putting certain places and persons and seasons under the protection of the church and outside the limits of fair fighting. The truce of God had been received in Normandy; it reigned there after England had been conquered; but we only find very faint and uncertain traces in England either of it or of that tolerated private warfare which it presupposed ${ }^{\text {² }}$.

[^54](inealthema of ther tive-mentry.

Of the cundicious of the griat taise of the inhabitanta of Nurmandy, the tillem of the mil, we know mingularly litele. the chaniolos lase bandly a wored to way abous them, the chartem de hate tane than montmes thair existedee. This we know. that in the early years of Kuchard the Gioed there was a formadable revolt of the Nornaan prasanta, wheh was bereecly stlpureswed. Aceurding to the chronicter, the instirgents athewed as high idegree of organization; they ment reprementasives in as central ancolubly'. 'Thas nury, remarkable if tries, ia searody less remarkable if false, but the tasene rebellios will mate us bulleve that the Surmas peremat was melolura a mave. It has been said by high atuthority that these ase lew thaces of any serfage in Normandy aven in the deventh catutury, subse is the "walth". Tho chartorn of the Cernquerar's das fro questly apsak of loappiten, coloni, ristion, milloni, rutuly of ammi.
 lande are now deemed 'fres'? In later timen Normanaly was
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 orro in the elevesath censury.
distinguished among the provinces of France by a singular sheence of serfage, and such evidence as we have tends to show that the Conqueror left a land where there were few slaves for ane in which there were many, for one in which the slave was cill treated as a vendible chattel, and the slave-trade was Sagruat.

The Normans then had no written law to bring with them Jarie to Rngland, and we may safely soquit them of much that could be called jurisprudance. Not but that there were among them man diatinguished above others for their knowledge of the law. The famoss founder of the Abbey of Bec, Herlwin, who had spent most of his life as layman and knight, was deeply learned in the law of the land, and when he had become an abbot he still geve opimions in temporal causes; but not until he was mear forty years of age did he learn the first rudiments of lettersi. His legal knowledge was probably the same in kind mat that athibuted, as we shall read hereafter, to the English biahop 2 Ithelric and the monks of Abingdon, a knowledge of the law to be evoked by concrete cases, not a body of doctrine to be tagght or written in a book. But the mention of Heriwin Lantrapo must reanind us of Herlwin's prior, of Lanfranc the lawyer of thayyer. Pavis, of Lanfrane the Conqueror's right-hand man. Those who tell us of the great theologian, of the great disciplinarian, never forget to add that he was a lawyer of world-wide fame, the most accomplished of pleaders. Now, as we have already said, the Lombard lawyers, especially the lawyers of Pavia, had been [p.56] engaged in a task well fitted to be an education for one who was to be William's prime minister. They had been harmonizing, digesting and modernizing the ancient statutes of the Lombard kings, a body of law very similar to our own old English dooms". Some Roman law they knew, and unless Pavian tradition deceives us, we may still read the ingenious arguments by which the youthful Lanfranc puzzled and abashed his conservative opponents, arguments which derive their force from the supposition that the dooms of King Liutprand and the institutes of Justinian are or ought to be harmonious ${ }^{\text {s }}$.

[^55]Laufrauc, yet a layman. left Italy for Normandy and openell a wehuol, a seculas secherol, at Avrancles. What he esught these we anc not told; but he unay have taughe law as well as gramumer and rheturic. He was remembered in Normandy an the of the dincoverern of Romans law'. If he taught Lisw at Avmuches or ut the', then we may nay that the Normaus wete berthg educaterd for their great expluit: when the time for subdung Englaul whould come, the tian at antos would have the lawyer behund him. But, be this as it many, the very exiatence of Lanfranc, who knew Lombuard low and Rotuas law and Canon law-when he was Archbiabop the dernele and canones were ever in his anouth'一who mankered Enghah Law so thoroughly that be carried all before han even when the talk wne of sake and ruked, mant conpticete the pmoblemi of any ube who would trace to the seurcen the Englial law of the tneilth ewatury. Who shall say that there is nut in it int Italima olement I The Norman touquest taken place just at a moment when in the general hustury of law in Earope new forvea arn commug into play. Romaul law is betng otudevi, for met are masturing the Institutes at Pavim and will moon be exprouding the Drgeat at Bolognas; Cnnem law is bring evolved, and beth claime ocmopolitan dominion.
 italimes, ui. 47. 43m. It is not aboolutely certast that this lamernie is ome I. nfrane, but the part hare nowigned to hum, that of confuring bue ollark, agree

 korrente factandiae aveurste dicothato.
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 fote os divizio litimesto trachandentu.



 prearipues atudium aripmonidu

- Mer balue. p. 98.


## CHAPTER IV.

## Encthand UNDER THE MORMCAN KINGG

6en The Normani Conquest the whule future history of the paguest guesses as to 1, cund prevailed in the England of $x$ it of the nineteenth had Harold rep example ask, but we ahall ha the history of law in Eigglam the history of law in German $F$, come when Engliah law wou ld e d le v, for Roman jurisprudence. But it is slowly that the consequences of the great event unfold themselves, and they are not to be deduced from the bare fact that Frenchmen subjugated England. Iudeed if we read our history year by year onwards from 1066, it will for a long time seem doubtful whether in the sphere of law the Conquest is going to produce any large changes. The Normans in England are not numerous. King William shows no desire to impose upon his new subjects any foreign code. There is no Norman code. Norman law does not exist in a portable, transplantable shape. English law will have this advantage in the struggle :-a good deal of it is in writing.

But then, the problem to which the historian must address No mere himself should not be stated as though it were a simple $\begin{gathered}\text { mixture of } \\ \text { two na }\end{gathered}$ ethnical question between what is English and what is French. tionallawn.
[p. 58] The picture of two rivulets of law meeting to form one river would deceive us, even could we measure the volume and analyze the waters of each of these fancied streams. The law which prevails in the England of the twelfth century-this
ote thing we rasy may with torme rertainty-can nut be calloud a mixture of the law which prevailenl is Eugham ons the day when the Consemenor was alive and deon, with tho law whwh prevailed in Normumdy on the day whon Willian sut mal from Sasiat Valcry. Nor cas we likens it to a chemical rompund Which is the renult of a cembenstion of two chetments. Wher
 Harily huve Normanas and Fanglishonen burb brought intu constacl, leforv Nimama berons rethel aguanat their Norman lund, and the divergence between the intereate of the king and
 phenomena nas any ald Eaghonh or ald Frankesh tmalitionn man be. Nor dare we nogleet, if we ane to be trise to our fin tha thee persumal characters of the great tmeti whe aceomplished the subjectious of England, the characterm of William and Latufruse. The efferte, even the logal effecte, of a Normans conqueat of England would asurenly have been very difiereut from what they wire, had the invaling howe been led by a Rubers I'urthose And is under to notice junt cone mure of the husuland finsoms whels phay upon our legal hetory, we have but to nuppeme that

 have been grantad is Eisglamd. We have nut cos apeak hero of all these caumes ; they dos nut come within the histury of law
 the Eugleh law of later tumew munt in mome wort be juat a mixture, or a compound, uf two uld rational $\ln$ wa

## Sitiofery of

 aur legal isurnanoIf for a monturat we turn from the sutmatioc the the Iangrange of the law, we may sen how Nowly what wer are aps su
 theromives. One indelable mark it has mtampeal for ever on the whol, thenly of cur lnw. It would be hanily um anoob to eny that at the premant day alonowt all our worla that hane a defimentergal inugurt are in a certan souse F'rinch wonk The fiermanll jumst in able to expound the deetrine of Reminn
 math of letters ruay. by way of explont, write $n$ paragtaph of a an
 Englosh wonl; but an Finghoh or Amercent law yer who at-
 ate-nce. It th true, and it ia worthy of retaark, that withon the
rphere of public law we have some old terms which have come down to us from unconquered England. Earl was not displaced by count, sherifi was not displeced by viscount; our king, our gqueen, our lorda, our knights of the shire are English; our aldermen eve English if our mayors are French; but our parliament and ites statutes, our privy council and its ordinances, our peers, our barons, the cormmons of the realm, the sovereign, the state, the nation, the people are French; our citizens are French and our burgesses more French than English. So too a few of the common transactions of daily life can be deecribed by English verba. A man may give, sell, buy, let, hire, borrow. bequeath, mak a deed, a will, a bond, and even be guilty of manslaughter or of theft, and all this in English. But this is a small matter. We will ay nothing of the terms in which our land law is expressed, estate, tenement, manor, mortgage, lease and the like, for though we have English freeholds and half-English copyholds, this is a region in which we should naturally look for many foreign terms. But let us look elsewhere and observe how widely and deeply the French influence bas worked Contract, agreement, covenant, obligation, debt, condition, bill, note, master, servant, partner, guarantee, tort, thespass, tatault, battery, slander, damsge, crime, tremson, felony, misdemeanour, arson, robbery, burglary, larceny, property, possession, pledge, lien, payment, money, grant, purchase, devise, descent, heir, easement, marriage, guardian, infant, ward, all are French. We enter a court of justice: court, justices, judges, jurors, counsel, attorneys, clerks, parties, plaintiff, defendant, action, suit, claim, demand, indictment, count, declaration, pleadings, evidence, verdict, conviction, judgment, sentence, appeal, reprieve, pardon, execution, every one and every thing, save the witnesses, writs and oaths, have French names. In the province of justice and police with its fines, its gaols and its prisons, its constables, its arrests, we must, now that outlawry is a thing of the past, go as far as the gallows if we would find an English institution. Right and wrong we have kept, and, though we have received tort, we have rejected droit: but even law [p.60] probably owes its salvation to its remote cousin the French lei ${ }^{1}$.

[^56]Menabicie lnetweril hatis. Firvirhiosut Eingluh.

But all thin in the outcome of a gradual procens: we can not say thint it is the necessury remult of the cotmpunt of Fingland by Fronch-apeaking mes. Indead for some tume after the
 holdagg ita own in legnl atfians. In the firwt place, the conimat betwees Engegh and French, if it munt bigus monner or lator, can fur a while be postponed or concensled, for chere in a chind and a powerful rival in the field. Latin becomen the written langrage of the law. It was a lauguage undentornd and written by the learsewd mose of both meen: it whe the langunge of such legal documents ins the Normane knew. sad. thongh is was not the langunge of the Englinh dmoms or the Englinh crurta, atill it way the language of the English chartore of Inud-bunke In the evecond place, Eughah had long lawn a written langugge, and a written language which could be uad for legal and governinental purpoees, while Fronch wan wa sut hamlly better than a wulgar dialeet of Latia.- Fretich would become Latin if yout trierl to write it at ita bewt. And an the two languages which Willians used for his lawn, hum chavgors and his write were Latin and Englisht Agall, thene were gond remons why the techmeal terms of the uld Eaghath law shosuld the preservert if she kisg could prasorvere chess. They wese the cerins that defined his royal righte On the whole he was well ratisfied with the gooully beritage which hud cromse wo him from his collanis King bilwand. If moly he could maintans agranat haf followern the nghes of the odd Eangith kiagmanp, he mould have duse alinout as much an he could hope to do. And
 Einglanh termus His clerka muat still write, if mut of morw and
 their way into [bomeaday Bunck, but many old kotiglimb wioclo


losel
Lensumbe. eminewee, and when, ander Heary 11. acol han mina, the time comes for the regular comolnent of all the Amgisa ncte asal of all the judgrietuta of him cuurt. Latuss twerobiee the language of onst





suluminuus official and judicial records. From this pasition it is tut dislonged until the year 1731, when it gives place to Engliah'. It were neenless to say that long before that date bith French and English had been used for sume very solemn, perhaps the solemnest legal purposes; but seemingly we may lay down some such rule as this, namely, that if a series of records groes back as far as the twelfth or the first half of the sharteenth century, it will until the reign of George II. be a series of Latin records. It is only in the newer classes of anthoritative documents that either English or French has an opportunity of asserting its claims. French becomes the Luguage of the provy seal, while Latin remains the language of the grest seal. French expels Latin and English expels French from the partiament rolls and the statute rolls, but these nulls are new in Edward I.'s day. In particular Latin remanas the langusce in which judicial procertings are formally recorten even thourg they be the proceedings of petty courts. In Charles I.'s day the fact that the Star Chamber has no proper Lation roll can be used as a proof that it is an upstarts.

But, though throughout the middle ages some Latin could be written by most men who could write at all, and the lord of - unutur would still have his accounts as well as his court rulls muke ap in Iatin still only the learmed could apeak ratin rowlify, and it could not becone the language of ural plending or of debate. Here was a tield in which Freuch and English might mtrive for the mastery: There could for a long while be un doubt ast tw which of these two congues would be spoken in and abuit the kinges court. The king spoke French, his barons Frumh, his prelates French, and evon when bamns and prelates fiv. bs wore begioning to think of themselves as Englishmen, some new wave nf foreign influnce would break over the court: the new Fromeh queen brings with her a new swam of Frenchmen. And 'the hug's court' was uut theu $n$ term with several meanings :

[^57]the language of courtiors and courtineme wian of anconaty the laugunge of busineso, diselustun, ploating. All this might well have hnppuned, howeser, mad yout the kiloghah lazguage, which was it the future to be the language even of emurtores. tuggh bave retsineal itw moek of old and ise power of engendering new brgal tertus. A kironeh-apeakiug moyul tributal maghs buse bees merely muperimpeed upon an bitgliah nubstrucsurv. But hem what in poringe the main thome of our legal hantory docideter the fakt of words. Showly but sundy justice dome is the king's name by men whe are the king 'a ervant Lencospes the. imome important kitul of juntice. ronches into the ruluthat corren of the trind, growim the ximatt affing it suall folk as well
 and no eseemsury ufficet of the Nirmon Conequest It would sever have earne abrat if tho nobloss who helpuat Willimen en conquer England could havo had their way; William himmelf cau hardly have danal to hope for it. The deatioy of ous legal Inaguage was not irrevorably determined until Henry of Abyem was king.
Vheory of If we wust chonge one moment of time as fatal, we ought to Preach. chime $1106^{\circ}$ rather than 10tit, the year of the asowe of novel disecistin rather than the year of the butele of Hastinges. Thin it was that she decerer went forth whech gave un revery tana dis-
 a Fronch-apuaking contr. The-uneforwant the ultimate tsiumph of Frene:h liow mimis wan securs. In all legrgal mastom thes French element, the roynd metnemt, was the ineviern, the
 words is atricken with barrornema, the F'retuls stark caus grow. The shonge of the law which have अinglath sammea and thinges that are cibwilete or cobwlewemt, wshe abil ande, noor and revfe. alrenuly meu bardly know what thowe wordn theash? It a-siatio cule fur us so believe that in the lowal cuures, the wutsons. Whos iset





[^58]eny similar books written in English ${ }^{1}$. We may suspect that if the rillagers themselves did not use Freach when they assailed each other in the village courta, their pleaders used it for them, and before the end of the thirteeuth century the professional pleader might already be found practising before a petty tribunal and speaking the langunge of Westminster Hall?. Then in 1362 a statute, itself written in French, declared that as the French tongue was but little understuoxl, all ploan should be "plended, shown, defended, nuswered debated and judged' in the Euglish tonguea, But this came too late. It conld not break the Westminster lawyers of their settled habit of thinking about law and writing about law in French, and when slowly French gare way before English even as the lnuguage of law reports and legal teat-buoks, the Engligh to which it yielded was an English in which every cardinal word tran of French origin. How aar this process had gore at the end of the thirteenth century we may learn from Robert of Gluncester's historical poem. He sets himself to translate into English verse the Constitutions of Clarendon, and in so doing he uses the terms which we now write as custom, grant, lay jes service, plexding, assize, judgment, traitor, ohatisls, folon, patron, advowson, court, plea, purchase, amendment, hold in chiof, bailiff, homage, confirm, appeal, debt'. Down to the end of the middle ages a few old English terms perdured which, at least as technical terms, we have since lost: English 'domesmen ' might still 'deem dooms in a moot hall'; but the number of such terms was small and the blight of archaism was on them:

Meanwhile men had begun to write French and to write Fronch it for legal purposes. Legal instruments in French come to [R. © A] us but very rarely, if at all, from the twelfth century"; they

[^59]become crimmoner in the thirteenth and yer commoner in the fourternth, but on the whole Latisu belds its uwa in this nygurs until it alowly geelda to linghoh, anof the inatnimente that ano written in Freuch meldiom beloug to what we may call the mows formal classes: they are willa rather than deeds, agreetmetuls rather than chartens of ferffiment, writa under the jurivy meal. not writa muler the great seal.

Latigunge
. 1 nitatule jew.

From the royal chasecery Latin in sot we driven. The exnmple wet by the Congurror when he insuad lana in Kaglith as well is in Latiu was gut folluwed: Latin th the Innguage furs lawn and ordtasaces uneli the stiddle of the thirtenenth contury. Thela for obe bonf monerat the two vulgar tomgues appear un
 Englash his acoeptance of the provisatera which wefe furcent upwas hims in the parliansent at (Oxforl'. But whte this Eingloh
 frobse It wrextlem with Latis for the proveswion of the statcute
 it has fartly won the statules rolli', and un frut gating a mastag? over the parliamens rille. For abous iwn centarion fromes ther reign of Edward 1. to the reign of Richard 111. it in the unval language of the enneted law, late in the formeenth cem-
 parlunaent are munctime prowented in Englixh, and the Einglioh
 interd. However, the maddle mges are junt at an sull be form the

 gnows sulermin of all uur formulai in firmeb-las reme le reals'.

1 The forcinmations oill bo found ath the tivinet charmage
 Iroland of $\mathrm{S!}$ Edw. [1], though on the etasute rull, is th the farte of herhara patrots, and to alas ath the palares roll.
 as the mecesicin of Muchand IIL andl wo be contemporaneoge eith achas-g* in







 ettber in Fremeti or in Laum.
a.as Again, in the thirteenth century French slowly supplanted Latin as the literary language of the law. It is very possible that the learned Bracton thought about law in Latin; he wrote in Latin, and the matter that he was using, whether he took it from the Summa Azonis or from the plea rolls of the king's conrt, was written in Iatin. But the need for Fiench text-books was alroady felt, and before the end of the century this need was being met by the brok that we call Britton, by other trants ${ }^{1}$, and by those reports of decided cases which we kuow as the Year Books. Thenceforward French reigns sapreuse over such legal literature as there is. We_nust wait. for the last half of the fifteenth century if we would ser. English law written about in the English tongue, for the sixter-nth of we would read a twabical law-bouk that was writwern is Eachlians

This digression, which has taken us far away from the Language days of the Norman Conquest, may be pardoned. Among the anmet sumenetous and permanent effects of that great evont Wis ita effect on the language of English lawyers, for language Ls no were instrument which we can control at will; it controls iss. It is not a amall thing that a law-book produced in the England of the thirteenth contury will look very like some stat-msent of a French coutume and utterly unlike the Sachsenajnegel, nur is it a small thing that in much later days such formign uthuences as nill touch our English law will alwaye be nuch rather French than Ciosman But we have introxluced in this place what must have been said either here or elsewhere about our legal lauguage, because we may leara from it that 1s an a cuncarrence of many causes was requisite to produce sonse of those effects which are usually ascribed to the simple fact that the Normans conquered England".

[^60]Frowerse Hant it? nlal En.glumb lew.

We may anfely ray that William did not intend to antep away Euglinh law aud hu put Norunas law in its stemi. Sha the contrary, he decreed that all men were $u$, have and hold the law of Kiug Edwand-that is to way, the old Einglish law-hut wath ecertann aidditions whet he, Wilham, had made to it!. So far as we know, he expresaly legmblated about very few mathera He forbad the biehopas and arehdeacons wo hold in the hutined courte plens touching ecelenustical disciplase: nuch pheas were for the future to be judged areorting to the canons and not acending to the law of the buntred, the lay power wes to aid the justice of the chureh; but without has leave, mo canoma were to be euneted and unve of his barous or mumatem excomemunicatesl: He declared that his pence compreheuded all men buth Fightiot and Normans: Mo requrtal from evary finm than an onth of fealty: He eatablishend an wremal protectian for the lives of the Firunchmen; if the whyer of a Frenchruan whe dut produced, a heavy fine fell on the hundrow in which the whas alan. He deedaresf that thes special protection dud ant "xtemd to thow: Frowehmen who hail sottied in Eingland during the Confesmrin roigns. He defined the procodural rule wheh wrot to prevail if a Firenchman nceused an Einghehnau, or an Einghah.
 courten should tneot ans of old. He devermexd that avery five mana
 that catte should te nold exempt in the thwne and before three witnsees. He furbul that any mian should be sold out if the country. He muketitnted tautitation for capital pumbehnetst' Thin may nut be an exhanstive lunt of the lawn that the publishow, nor can we be certain thint in any case hos wery worde have conlue down ho un. bitt we have groed ruamen to butieve that ith the way of exproes lomgolatiout he dited theo thinge and did littlo more.
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In the long run by far the most important of these rules will Chamoler be that which securea a place in England for the canonical oinmilema. jurisprudence. And here we have a good instance of those results which flow from the Norman Conquest-a concrete conquest of England by a certain champion of Roman orthodoxy -which are in no wise the natural outcome of the mere fact that Engliahmen were subjugated by Normans. For the rest, there are some rules which might have come from a king of the old race, could such a king have been as strong a ruler ns William was He would have had many precedents for attempting to prevent the transfer of stolen goods by prohibiting secret sales ${ }^{4}$. It was old, if disregarded, law that men were not to be sold over seas. It was law of Cnut's duy that every free man should be in pledges. A wave of religious sentiment had set against capital punishment4. Whether the king could exact an oath of fealty from all men, even from the men of his mun, was a question of power rather than of right. Only two rules drew a distinctiou between Freneh and English. We may doubt, however, whether the murder fine had not its origin in the simple prineiple that the lives of the Normans were to be well protected in England as the lives of strangers were in Normandy; at any rate the device of making a district pay if a stranger was murdered in it and the murderer was not produced in court, was not foreign to Frankish nor yet to Scandinsvian law. We are also told, though the tale comes from no good source, that Cnut had protected his Danes by a fine similar to that which was now to protect the Normans?. Again, [p. .88 the procedure in criminal cases is by no means unfavourable to the men of the vanquished race. The Englishman whom a Frenchman accuses has the choice between battle and ordeal.

[^61]The Englishmas who brioge an aceusation can, if he plewew. compel his French ndvensury to joun hattle; othorwime the Frenchman will be able $u$ swear away the charge with outhhelpern 'weortiog to Norman haw.' Certainly we eas not mon that the leggatneor here shows a markell partisity for one clase of his subjecta. In thas mater mere equality would tur tee equity, for Kuglish law has not known the judetimal cornket. nerd perlingw the wher onleals have not been much weed in Nurmandy. An it is, the Euglishman, whether he be wecuer or acenmed, can alwayn inamt of a wager of batte if he pleanes; he in the Nurtuan'm preer.
Pramelar In diffirent agers and circumstancea the pride of a conturesLaw. ing rurre will show itneif in diflerent firma. Now-n-lays tho. vietur onay regard the conthict an une between ewhitzantern mad burbariant, or between a high and a low motality, and fouse hav laws upuon the vanquished as the best, or the unly rensonatble Inws. Ur agatn, he may deltherntaly set hmmeelf to deatroy the untionality of his new subjecte, to make them forges their old language and their old lawn, because theme endanger bio enpremacy. We see something of thes koud when bidward I. thrustes the Enggliah lawn upmn Waleg. The Wirlsh lave are barharoma, barely Christian, and Welthmen thons be made mito
 wime. The conngueron will show thear contempt for the rempluerwal hy allowing sweh of thene as ant not etrolaved tio lite under their old law, wheth has becume a balke of infernomt? The lan of the tribe is the birtiright of the anes of the eriter.

[^62]and alians cau have ne part or lot in it. Perhaps we should be wrong were we to attribute any large measure of either of shese sentiments to the gencrality of the Norman invalers: bus probably they stoord nearer to the old and tribal than to the modern and jolitical point of view. A scheme of ' persomal laws would have seemed to them a natural outcome of the cunyuest. The Norman will proudly retain his Norman law and leave English law to the English. Wu have seen that in matters of procedure William himself favoured some such sheme, and to this idea of personal law may be due what is apt to look like ans act of gross iniquity. Ruger of Bretenil and Waltheof couspired agaiust Wiltiam; Waltheof was condermed (.) death: Kuger was punished 'according to the law of the Normaus' by disherison and perpetual imprisonment'. But it was tuo late for a system of 'persoual,' that is of racial laws. Even in France law was becoming tetritorial, and a king of the English who was but duke of the Normans was interested in obliterating a distitection which surod in his way if he was tus be kugg of England. The rules which mark the distinction between the two races rapidly diapperar or are diverted from their original purpose. Murrler fines will swell the royal trensure, and early in Henry I.'s reign it is alrealy law that cerery slau man is a Frenchnan unless his Englishry can be 5.7. prowedr. Outsirle the towns, Englishmen seem to have taken to trial by battle very kindly, and alreatly in the first years of the twelth sentury Willimn's ordinance about procedure had lrast. ita force ${ }^{3}$. No doubt William and his sons distrusted

[^63]the English: even Henry would suffer no Englinhtnans to be abbot or bishop!' No doubt too the Eughish were humbly and at tuses brutally treated; hut hawhoean and hrutality are ome thing, at athethpe to rule them by Nornas law would have been another.

Melater Destires af Fitulath tand taw.

Indived the capienl inatasce of hambl tnentronent consinea iss ans appleation of the theory that they have nat beres conmuenvis by furevge enemiex, but, having robellod agninat une whon wha de oure king of the Enghaxh, are (a) be lawtully puinstiond fors their unlawful resole. Thome who foughe by Hrouldin subo

 clesring the way for pures Normans lamal law, had the wfiot of bs mugng even the Nurnass barons under Engglish land Law. Here a cotuhiuation might be monde of sll that wou faveumble W) the duke in the Norman, with nll that wan farourable to tho king in the Englash syatern. Willamin tumate in chof wege so

 aruy wis hi be alapurseded by a wet of detornatute comotoncta, mone deternmate perhaps thin asy that had an yet bewn concluded in Normansly. Un the cother haud, the lugg was gniug rigurously to exact the old Enghah land tax, the danoweld. With gelll in vew he nchipved the muat magniticent of all his
 that he purgumed in reform the: "apricinoun newembegt which hand





 onty wer free te-nante of the will. The righle of these terinute

 righes of sute asel suble. and in shan matere the king hard was
 tuns than thome wheh lan unteceaur had necemont. Fior a long



[^64]All this made Euglish testimony and English tradition of impurtance; the relative rights of the various Norman maguates in in conliaht. were known only to Englishmen. Englishmen were mixed up with Frenchmen st the moots and often spoke the decisive nori. The aged Athelric, bishop of Chichester, 'a man very learued in the laws of the land,' was brought by the Conquerur's command to Penenden Heath that he might hear Lanfranc wax eloquent over sake and soke and flymena-fyrm81. Eadric the steersman of the Confessor's ship, and Kineward who had been sheriff of Worcestershire, Siward of Shropshire, and Thurkill of Warwickshire were ready to attest the sacke and soke which the church of Worcester had over Hamton and Bengeworth; but the abbut of Evesham dared not face them'. Godric, Godwin and Colswein were among the 'approved knights French and English' who heard the abbot of Ely's suit at Kentford, and that suit, in which many Normans were concerned, was decided under the king's command by a verdiet of English jurors who knew how the disputed lands lay in the time of King Edward ${ }^{\text {? }}$. The abbot of Abingdon was protected in his possessions by the leaming and eloquence of lawyerly English monks, whose arguments were not to be withstood ${ }^{\text {d }}$.

On the other hand, it is sot to be denied that the few Narmas legal ideas and institutions which we can confidently describe inean andituas imported from Normandy, were of decisive importance. This tions. is pre-eminently true of the transplanted Frankish inquest. It has in it the germ of all that becomes most distinctively English in the English law of the later middle ages, the germ of trial by jury and of a hard and fast formulary system of actions which will be tough enough to resist the attacks of Romanism. However, the fate of the inquest was still in the balance a century after the Conquest, and, but for the comprehensive ordinances of Henry II., it might have perished in England as it perished in its original home. Whether any definitely new idea is introduced into the English land law is a more disputable question, that cannot be here discussed, but

[^65]madoubually the contuest, the forfeiture, the rediatribution of the lated gave to the idean of dependent and dernvative tenare a dominaries that it could not obtain elaewhore, and almast that ides in ste Nurmans or Fremeh whape there chang traditions of the uld Frankish world, wheh in the subjugated country under ita foreign kinga might bear frumt in a land law of unexampled sompliesty. An tes the imsituter of private law we krow much tore litte: to justafy dugmatic ascriptenes of this tas nti Eugh ioh und that to a French urigis, and whens the Prosuch ungin tusy be grontod, we are far from being able to any that here is pornuturg which the Normans brought with them in the giar
 Eidwand the Confrowor; Finmkivh influeneew han been at wark in the courta of muth earlier kings; ufter the C'tonjuent Eonghand lay upers for two reveturies and more wi the lacemt Parman fowhioms. Fior example, the atyle of the English channery - and this in Englatid teromus the madel for all legna documentan goes buck by one puth and suuther through the Frombiab
 Cissquertor's chartersane very like thome which Edward and (inut had resued, and very uulike thooe of Henry 1I ${ }^{2}$. We ma! ayy, If we please, that the suoul, of whech our law mande tauch in the:
 is Froweh. But the Confereor had a seal, and in all probiability but very few of the unets who fought by the wade of the Simmas duke hat meale. The chiof reault of the Numuan C'uncquent in
 of mace to mace as in the estublishinetst of nas exceevlingly stronge king thip which grouses ite esfongth by anthomg three dtaputand

85490
Junag the whole Nomman pernod there was hate lengelatmil
 that Rufueset the exsmaple of granting chariens of liberties is

[^66]the people at large. In 1093 , sick and in terror of death, he set his seal to some document that has not come down to us. Laptives were to be released, debts forgiven, good and holy laws maintained ${ }^{\text {s }}$. Whatever promises he made, he broke. His claim upon the historians of English law is of another kind: for he surely built her an house to dwell in. Englishmen were proud of his work at Westminster. Search the wide world round, they said, there is no such hall for feast and plea.

Aulem maiorem construxit Londoniarum,
Orbis terrarnm non optinet utilionem
Iudicibus legis, ac ad convivia regis,
Regrom regnorum flos est domus illa domornm?
The verses are rude but have the right ring in the ears of English lawyers.

Henry at his coronation, compelled to purchase adherents, Hanry I. granted a charter full of valuable and fairly definite concesyions'. He was going back to his father's ways. The abuses intmoduced by his brother were to be abolished, abuses in the matter of reliefa, wardships, marriages, murder fines and so forth. Debts and past offences were to be torgiven. The demesne lands of the military tenarats were to be free from the danegeld. Above all the laga Eaduardi as amended by William I. was to be restored. Though the king required that concessions similar to those which he made in favour of his barons should be made by them in favour of their tenants, we can hardly treat this charter as an act of legislation. It is rather a promise that the law disregarded by Rufus shall henceforth be observed. This promise in after times became a valuable precedent, but it could not be enforced against the king, and Henry did not observe it. The other great record of his reign, the Pipe Roll of his thirty-first year, shows that rightfully or wrongfully he was able to extend the rights of the crown beyond the limits that had been assigned to them in 1100 , and the steady action of the exchequer under the direction of his able minister, Bishop Roger of Salisbury,

[^67]evolved a law for the conants in chicf which wan perhayw the nevernst is Europe'. Thus was done is salence by the mermunulation of precerlost apon procedent. For the rest, we know that Henry, early in his resgm, amuad a writ deelaring that the omanty in id and hundrad courts mhould be beld as they were held in the cime of King Eilward, stratly enjuraug all men to atternd thent in the ancurnt fashion whesever royal plens were to be heard. and in mime smeanne defining the relatuen of thase uhd tribumala he the feudal courts'. Wee are uld that be legislated about theft, restoriug capulal punishment, that he issued mevere lawn agnanat the utherers of bard money, chat he prohabitert the mpa. cioun exartions of his courtiem, who hul made the sulvent of his perpatetic bousehold a tertor un every neighburhowd, that he leginlated nbout menemures caking his own anm an the miandert ell ; but we deprad on the chromelers for cur knowlenlge of theec ncte, and wo yue they are nut carceful tor promerve the: wombe of the lawgivers. Wיe have, howevers, a writ in which he "jurake of the ' new wtatuus' wheh he had amule ngazast thieves and false monmy.me.
supben. Situphen on his moreswion conceded to his rubjectes in rague phosere 'all the libertus and goud laws wheh Kisg Henry had given and grauteyl to them, and all the gond laws aud grool custouns which they had enjeged itn the tume of Kimg Libward: later on he had to promime noce nuore that he would coberone 'the gind laws and just and ancient cuntoms, an womader fines.

[^68]pleas and other matters,' and that he would extirpate the unjust exactions introduced by the sherifts and others. More specific promises made to the church, besides the large and dangerous promise that she should be 'free ${ }^{1 .}$.' In the ecclesiastical sphere there had been a good deal of legislation. With the assent of the king, stringent canons had been enacted and enforced ; in particular, the rule of celibacy had been imposed upon as reluctant clergy. It was in the eceleaiastical council, (25), mener than the king's court, that the spirit of reforming legista, tion was once mure active?

The best proof, however, of the perdurance of the old The lawEnglish law is given by what we may generically call the law- books or buriks of the Norman period. The Conqueror had amended and confirmed the laga Eadwarde; Henry I. had confirmed the luga Eaduardi and his father's amendments of it. Where then could the law of Edward, that is to say, the law of Edward's time, be found? Nis cloubt a good deal of it was to be found in the code of Cnut and in the yet earlier dooms. But the language in which they were written was unintelligible to Frenchmen, and was fast becoming unintelligible even wo Englishmen, for just at this time the English language Wan undergoing a rapid change, What is more, it was plain that, despite the large words of the Norman kings, the old dooms in their integrity could not fit the facts of the new age. Thus what was wanted was no mere translation of ancient texts, but a modernized atatement of the old law, a practicable laga Eadwardi. Divers men in divers parts of the country tried to meet this want. The result of their efforts is a curious and intricate group of writings, which even at the end of the niveteenth century will hardly have been unravelled. We shall here speak very briefly of it, adopting what we believe to be the soundest results of recent criticism ${ }^{\text {a }}$.

In the first place, we may put on one side certain docu- Gennine ments which profess to give us, not the old law, but the results $\frac{\text { laws of }}{\text { Willinm }}$ L. of William's legislation, the documents from which we have
${ }^{1}$ Chartors of Liberties, p. 5; Select Charters ; Stubbs, Const. Hist. i. 847. Ae to the date of these charters, see Round, Geofirey de Mandeville, 488.
${ }^{2}$ Ae to the eoclesiastical legislation, see Stubbs, Const. Hist. i. 404.
${ }^{2}$ Dr Liebermann has gradually been restoring the iegal literature of this period. Lagam Eadwardi nobis reddit. His forthcoming edition of the AngloSazon and Aaglo-Norman laws will probably override some sentences in the tollowing briof summary.
already extracted our arcount of his ediecs. Wir probataly have in ite original fumn. that of a writ ment isten the varoms countien, the ondmauee which mevernd the eechesmatical finm the tempural courtes. We have in Einghish an will as in Latis the untinanee about criminal accunstions brought by mon of the the race against snets of the other'. Lautly, we have is met of teil broif paragraphes deahug with the enth if fealsy, the murder tine, the abolition of rapital punishment and she other mat ters which have alonarly come to.form uat These kens lawe may nut have bevo collectod nutil some tume aftore the liosquerur'e denth. and it is mere than poobntate that we have smos the would that he used; but the collowions mewne to have boens unde early in the twelfth, if nut before the end of the ele veroth century, and the mault is irnetworthy. At it mumh later date some cine carnpered with thos met of lawk, intorgaidatosd new matter mote it and threw it into the form of a melorman chatiors.

## The Quand

 - y-artitues. wtate the luga fiaduardi. In the reiga of Henry 1. wome rume

 tuatomal longre. He mas have lonea a mecular cilerk living as
 He wan clonely comancted by mome the ur mother with Arithbinhop diemad of fiork. We hnve more thas oue celisten of has work; thener can be diatingurhed froms ench othere bey the mushors indrowing matary of the Eingheh bugunge, though to the and be crulat perpuetrote buil rustakea As the work weest ans. he conceriverd the project of iwlding to her Iaten womon of



[^69]laws done into Latin; the second was to contain some important state papers of his own day; the third was to be about legal procedure ; the fourth ahout theft. If the two last books were ever written, they have not come down to us. The firat and second books we have. The second opens with the coronstion charter of Henry L. Then apparently it purposes to give us the documents which relate to the quarrel about the isvestitures; but it gradually degenerates into a defence of Archbishop Gerard. The author seems to have been at his [可] work between the years 1113 and 1118 ; but, as already said, he returned to it more than once.

Whatever grander projects he may at times have entertained, what he has left as a monument of English law is in the main a laborivus but, not very successful translation of the old dooms. He translated after his fashion most of the dooms that have come down to us, except the very ancient Kentish hawa, and he translated a few which have not come down to us save through his hands. He translated for the more part without note or comment, translated honestly if unintelligently. But he aspired to be more than a mere translator. He put Cant's code in the forefront; this was the latest and most authoritative statement of English law ; the earlier doomsthey go back even to Alfred and to Ine-come afterwards as being of less practical value. He does not regard himself as a mere antiquarian ${ }^{1}$.

Closely connected with the Quadripartitus is a far more Legen important book, the so-called Leges Henrici. It seems to have ${ }^{\text {Hewrich. }}$ been compiled shortly before the year 1118. After a brief preface, it gives us Henry's coronation charter (this accounts for the name which has unfortunately been given in modern days to the whole book), and then the author makes a gallant, if forlorn, attempt to state the law of England. At first sight the outcome seems to be a mere jumble of fragments; rules brought from the most divers quarters are thrown into a confused heap. But the more closely we examine the book, the more thoroughly convinced we shall be that its author has undertaken a serious task in a serious spirit; he means to state the existing law of the land, to state it in what he thinks to be a rational, and even a philosophical form. But the task

[^70]in beyond his prowemb For one thong, hix latin in if tho worat , he learnt it in a bud wehoel and it will hardly mutfer hirs tor express his meanman: probubly his busher sutngue wisa Fronch. Then the bonks froms which he culnus overweught
 of thought. Ni.verthedess he is in earment. athd when ho cath
 G.lla us many thuge that whe of great salue. Hr hod a growl


 Quadrapartitus, he moems bo have been depenolesti ins the firm






 ermonen, and for the canunea of the catholie church cur author had fot lenok to forrignt lomka, ill [karticular to. that crupples] by Burchand of Wiormas. He conik a frow paranger from the
 Fronkinh caputulariow wo many natily sely that, had thoso ax



 rotnober foust. If they passt Einghish law an a woulederfill
 the hared words of hisa whor wrote them, we elboteld rethetulers








 alcitap with efrectars of Masic a Breviasy.
new in Europe : he wes writing a legal text-book, a text-book of law that was neither Roman nor Canon law. To have thought that a law-book ought to be written was no small erploit in the year $1118^{1}$. pion of West Sexon, or rather of Wessex law. Wessex is in his siriationani opinion the head of the realm, and in doubtful cases Wessex ism shoukd prevail ${ }^{2}$. Other attempts to state the ald low were made elsewhere. In the early years of the twelth century two Latin translations of Cnut's dooms, besides that contained in the Quadripurtitus, were made, and in each case by one who tried to be more than a travslator; he borrowed from other Anglo-Saxon ducuments, some of which have not come down to na, and endeavoured to make his wurk a practicable law-bock. One of the most remarkable features of all these bouks is that their authors seem to be, at least by adoption and oducation, men of the dominant, not men of the subject race; if not Frenchmen by birth, they are Frenchmen by speech'. At a Inter date, some forest laws were concocted for Cnut, but to describe these we must use a harsh term; to all seeming they are the work of a forger, who was inventing a justification for the oppressive clairas of those mighty hunters, the Normans kings.

Then we have another document which professes to give us Les Leis the old laws, the laws which King Edward held and which

[^71]King Wiltinn grantedt to the people of England. We have it both in Freuch and in Latith, and to distinguish it from ita followa it has beell called the liblugual comber Wie whall call it the Leis Wiftiome. Its history is ultecurs and hus Inefl tumde the tavere cilmeure by continet with the forgeries of the fale: logulf. The Lation text is a tmusalation of the Freneh text, thengh not nt "xect tratislation wf any version of the Froweh that that has come down to mollion times; but the Preveth text may have bom mate froms a latin or from ma Finghoth onginal. That we have here an nuthertative colde but mere priviten work will marceely be dixpmitell. It filla mornewhat
 rulem of the oldd Euglish law ras they worr underntend under the Normun king bugether with some of the Norman maveltios. of It is an intelligent and to all spomugg a truat wort hy nentemomt. It hnemunizers well with the ancient dexoms, but in net mach-
 familine with the Danclaw The Inat phat of the dectuneme in a
 Then between theme two parts there consen a few articlew which betray the influepere of Rotuna lans. If the whule decturuens
 dene his work after the early yenra of the ewelith century. lue atatement of the old law seemn uxe gived to be of later thate. Wiv tuuat further supplase that, having conne to the ent if theFonglich rules that were known te hum as livigg law. he taxed
 conme half-duzen large maxims which biat cought the a.e in
 remember and to deftive, be texik up the anlo if (innt and trmalated part of it. The first metron of hiv work is for frim
 to atate the lager Biadsereds is a rational forta. An to the middle sections, is show us buw mens wore helpolealy lemiking abrutu for withe gemernl prituciplea of jurigunude wee whith mald didiver thetn from their practical nad whelle tual diticultes:-

[^72]
## (13. Iv.] England under five Nomen Kings.

Lastly, we have a book written in Latin which expressly Leges purports to give us the law of Edward as it was stated to the Coupes. Conqueror in the fourth year of his reign by juries representing ${ }^{\text {mons. }}$ the various parts of England. However, the purest form in Which we have it speaks of what was done in the reign of Tilling Rufus, and probably was compiled in the last years of Henry I.'. It is private work of a bad and untrustworthy kind. It has about it something; of the political pamphlet and is adorned with pious legends. The author, perhaps a secular clerk of French parentage, writes in the interest of the churches, and, it is to be fearert, tells lies for them ${ }^{4}$. He professes to hate the Danes of the prat and the Danelaw. According to him, William, being himself of Scandinavian

That the Fremels text in the origin of the Lati is plain from wavers passages, in patienter from e. 45 when compared with 'Caus, II. 24 (the Latinist thinks that wont men n + let him see." whereas it means 'let him vouch"). On this point wee Liebermana, Quadripurtitns, p. 54.' The Latin version in sometimes exceedingly stupid: : wee exp. the "donor culdores " of e. 31. The teat has 58 chapters. Prom a, 39 onwards we have a translation of Cut. This, the third mention of the work, is preceded by six ertielend which, when taken together, meets to betray Roman influence :-c. 33, essence pol death on a pregnant woman is to be respite (Dig. 48, 19, 3); ©. 35, a lather may kill his daughter if he floods per committing adultery in his house or his ehm-in-law's house (Dig. 48, 5, 23);
c. 36, 2 poisoner in to be killed or exiled for ever (Dig. 48, 8, 8 8 5); c. 37, reminiscence of the lex Rhodia de iactu (Dig. 14, 2); c. 88, the eviction of one eo-parcener does not prejudice the rights of the others, being res inter alio alta (Cod. 7, 68, 2). To these we may add 0. 34, the division of an inheritance among all the children; this, unless enfans means ans, can hardly be English or Norman law, and is surrounded by romanesque sentences. Perhaps we ought to place the beginning of the middle section tear back as the very important c. 29; for c. 29-32 seem destined to define the position of the English peasants es being similar to that of the Roman colone. Thus we are brought to the end of c. 28, where the only now extant ms. of the French version ends. As to the Danish traits of the earlier articles, see Steenatrup, Danelag, pp. 59, 306-819. The unauthoritative character of the document, if it be taken as a whole, is sufficiently proved by its style; see in particular c. 87, 38; but we shall not readily believe that even the first section of it comes from the Conqueror. As to the character of the French text, this must be left to philologists, but the result of recent discussions seems to be that, though the language has been much modernized by transcribers, it has some very anoient traits.
${ }^{1}$ This is the Leges Edwardi Confessoris of Thorpe and Sohmid. See Liebermann, Leges Edwardi, Halle, 1896.
${ }^{2}$ Leges Edwardi Confensoris, c. 11.
${ }^{2}$ Liebermann, op, cit. p. 16.
*The exemption from Danegeld of ecclesiastical demesnes, as stated in C. 11, in, to any the least, exoeedugly doubtful. See Round in Domesday Studies, i. 95-6.
race, was on the point of in.prasing the Danelaw upon the whole cotantry, but at length was anduced by the mupphanat jurone to erntirm the law of Edeward. This, it in explamend, was renlly the law of Falgar, but fimun Figar's resth until the aceramos of the Comfersur, law had slmmbered in Englamithus deen this romancer atrive to lhacken the memory of C'out, the great lawgiver. Latrle, if any, use is muste of the. Auglom
 Vinfortunately, however, the patiotic and ererleanationl leaninger of bas book tande it the muat popular of all the old lawtumks ${ }^{2}$. In the tharterenth econtary it was vemomble: event
 of it was seous muade by ita nuthoria or another'n haud: aliog
 pious leguseds niwost the gumel old dayn whon sheenffis wree

 fors ita matactuents, when une atppurfeal by whar ubudences, will
 probuhly suture mats of Sterirg l.'s ray, weuld habe liked sheme Ntato-manes fa, be Iruse.

## Charamer

of : ho bla film linsed inv ther layes.

The picture that these inw-bemkenet Infure us is that of an


[^73]while within it was rapidly decaying. The men who would statc the existing law are compelled to take the old English dums as the basie for their work, even though they can hardly maderstand the old English language. The old dooms are mitten law; they have not been abrogated; they have been confinmed; ather written law there is none or next to nune; Nuruandy has none; northern France hos none, or none that is wot cffete. At a pinch a man may find something useful in the new science of the canonists, in the aged Lex Salica, in vague rumous of Roman law which come from afar, Any rale that, louks authoritative and reasonable is welcome; we may say that it is law because it onght to be law. But in the main we must malse the best of the dooms of Cuut and the older dooms. And the difficulty of making much that is good of them is not caused merely by the collision of two races, or by any preference of the Normans for laws that are not English. No doubt in the local courts confusion had beens confounded. by the influx of conquering Frenchmen; but there were caseses enough of confusion which would have done their work even had there been no ethuical contlict to aid them. Everywhere in western Europe new principles of ancial and political urder were emerging; new classes were being formed; the old laws, the only written laws, were becoming obsolete; the state was taking a new shape. If from the northern France or from
8] the Germany of the first years of the twelfth century we could have a law-book, it would not be very simple or elegant or intelligible. As it is, our neighbours have little to show between the last of the capitularies and those feudal law-books which stand on a level with our own Glanvill. While the complex process which we call feudalism is transmuting the world, no one issues laws or writes about law. If in England it is otherwise, this seems to be chiefly due to two causes:-In England the age of the capitularies had not ended; but lately Cnut had legislated on a scale which for the eleventh century must be called magnificent. And then that very collision between two races which makes the law-books disorderly and obscure has made them necessary. The laga Eadwardi is confirmed. Even clerks of Norman race wish to know what the laga Eadwardi is.

These law-books have, we may say, one main theme. It is Practical a very old theme. An offence, probably some violent offence,

106 England zender the Nimman hings. [BK. I.
han thens commitual. Who thens is we get money, and how much money, mat of the uffemder 1 it in the old theme of ane and wife and bikt. Kut tho conmimal tarifl has boconne excemal. ingly complex, nan ix broaking down under ita uwn weaghe. Is sher fint plave the old tribal differencew. which have berombe limul diffennens, can nus bet be dinregarded. A pext writus snust mtill start with Chis, that Einglatad is devided betwees threas lawn, Wesmex Inw, Mereinn Inw. Danelaw. We must nots make light of the few variances between theme thme laws which asp expresely notseal by the broks. If in the meversth centurs a madithe fingor in mom valuable than a fint finger nmoug the mell if the Imatelaw nad lese valuable atnoug the men of Wesmex, here is a differsosice which wonld harte ita equivalent in usalern England if the law of Lamanare difirinal from the law of Yurkwhise nbens the negetiable qualition of $n$ bill of
 of Hervfurdshire. as wetted by Earl Willam Fitacombant. wao that bo kught whould have to pay ture thats seveto whillume for any uffence'. Becket aseerted evens in the keng's count that the henivet nmerverneut knowo to Kention law was furt! shillings ${ }^{1}$. But the cutuntry was becoming oovend with mmall courta: every ant who could wno aequinug or asatuang sube aud aoke. The courta rome one abouse the other; the great widt tribal custotus were breaking up sute muftitustuvas fel! chatoms. This introducest new complexites. Wir can mese that for the writor if the Leges Henrics the grand contal problimom of the law ia the juestion, Whe it the ayyroad of pomblbe cuan has sake and solve. the right tw holl a cours for the ofto-sules ation
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[^74]
## Cr. [v.] England under the Norman Kings.

seen to us trivial. He can not begin to cast up his sum until he has before him some such statement es this:-Godwin whes a free ceorl of the Abbot of Ely: Roger, the son of a Norman father, was born in England of an English mother and was a varassor of Count Alan: the deed was done on the Monday after Septuagesima, in the county of Caunbridge, on a road Whieh ran between the land which Gerard a Norman knight beld of Count Eustace and the land of the Bishop of Lincoln: this rond was not one of the king's highways: Godwin was pursned by the neighbours into the county of Huatingdon and arresterl on the land of the Abbot of Ramsey: Roger, when the encounter took place, was on his way to the hundred mont: he has left a widow, a paternal uncle and a maternal aunt. As a matter of fact, the result will probably be that Godwin, unable to sutisfy the various claims to which his deed has given rise, will be hanged or mutilsted. This, however, is but a slovenly, practical solution of the nice problem, and even if he be hanged, thery may be a severe struggle over such poor chattels as he had. The old law consisted very largely of rules about these matters ; but it is falling to pieces under the pressure of those new olements which feudalism has brought with it. For a
00] while there must be chaos and 'unlaw'; every lord may assume what jurisdictional powers he pleases and will be able to find in the complicated tangle of rules some plausible excuse for the assumption. The Normans, hallowed and lay, have thrown themselves with all their native ardour into the warfare of litigation and chicane over rights which have old English names; ' nullus clericus nisi causidicus.'

Only to one quarter can we look hopefully. Above all castom of local customs rose the custom of the kings court, the tremen- he king's dous empire of kingly majesty?', Of the law that this court administered we know little, only we may guess that in a
${ }^{1}$ Thin famoun phrace comes from a rhetorical passage in which William of Malmenbory is describing the daye of Rufus; Gesta Regum, ii. 369 : ‘Nullue dives nimi nammularius, nullus clericus nisi causidicus, nullus presbyter nisi, ut verbo parum Latino ntar, firmarias.' He has just called Ranulf Flamband 'invictos cmanidicas.' But, as noticed sbove, these causidici were not all of French race.
${ }^{2}$ Leg. Henr. 9 g 9: 'Legis enim Anglize trina est partitio ; et ad eandem dietantiam supersunt regis plecita carise, quae usus et consuetudines suas uns emper immobilitate aerrat ubique.' Ibid. 6 \& 3 : 'Legis etiam Angliose trina est partitio...preoter hoo tremendum regiae maiestatis titivelamus (?) imporium.'
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chrtans soflime it was equity nuther than menet $\ln w$. Un the upe hand, the royal tribusal caunctit have belf thelf straty Whand by the old kinglanh law ; the men who wat in is were
 (1) the other hand, it smont ofters have hapgrame that shon
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 surbe it was'. It wan not $n$ jursproulence that thal thew tranmplanteri from Nommady: but it had been develogred by a court crangumal of Firemehmen to newt caman is wheh Fireorh. men were concersend, the langunge in which men sposer it was Frewch; and in the und, mo lar an it deals with meroly private: reghis, it would chosely remombilo a Prosuch comtume.
Rontal antion
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'certasn particular fields. The list of the "pleas of the crown 'was terig, disorderly, elastie' ; the king could send a trusted baron or frelate to preside in the county courts; he could evoke causes fintu his own court:. Hut ovocatory writs must be paid for and they were not to be had as matters of course. The local conrts, communal and seignorial, were the ordinary tribunals for ondinary catases: the king's justice was still extraordinary, and even the pleas of the crown were for the more part heard by The sheriffs in the shiremoots". Then, again, the king's court was not is permanent session. Under the two Williams the name curia Regis seems to be borne only by those great assemblages that collect round the king thrice a year when he wears his podf crown. It was in such assemblages that the king's justice was tione ander his own eye, and no doubt he had his way; still it whas wot for him to make the judgments of his court*. Under Herry I. something that is more like n permanent tribunal, a group of justicisns presided over by a chief justiciar, beconnes apparmot, Twice a year this group, taking the name of 'the exchequer,' sat round the chequered table, received the royal revenuc, audited the sheriffs' accounts and did incidental justice. From time to time some of its members would be sent through the counties to hear the pleas of the crown, and litigants who were great men began to find it worth their while to bring their cases before this powerful tribunal. We can not ealy that these justiciars were professionally learaed in English law; but the king chose for the work trusty barons and able clerks, and some of these clerks, besides having long experience as fimanciers and administrators, must have had a

[^75]tincture of the new canonical jurisprudence ${ }^{\text {l }}$. But, for all this, when Henry died little had yet been done towards centreing the whole work of justice in one small body of learned men. And then a disputed succession to the throne, a quarrel between the king and the officers of his exchequer, could impair, or for a while destroy, all such concentration as there was In the woful days of Stephen, the future of English law lookn very uncertain. If English law survives at all, it may break into a hundred local customs, and if it does so, the ultimate triumph of Roman law is assured".

1 We have a lifo-like, though perbaps not an impartial, report of the trial of William of 8 . Calain, binhop of Darham. There is a keen argumens betwern the defendant, who known his canon law, and Lantranc, the great Lombardiat, who presiden over the court; bat the barone are not aileat, and Huph de Beanmont gives judgment. See Symeon of Durham, i. 170. A litele laver Binbop Willinm taken a leeding part in what may perhape be celled the trial of Anselm ; Eadmer, Hiat. Nov. 60-2.
${ }^{3}$ As to the king'r coart and exchequer, see 8tabby, Conat. Hist. c. ci., and Greitut, Genchichte, $\boldsymbol{f} 10$.

## CHAPTER V.

RUMAN AND CANON LAW.

[pa. Is any case the restorntion of order after the anarchy of Ontart of Stephen's reign and the accession to the throne of a prince with who would treat England as the buttress of a continental Roman canan *mpire must have indtuced a critical period in the history jaw. of English law. Butwe must add that in any case the middle of the twelfth century would have been critical. Even had Harold holi his own, hac his sons and grandsons succeeded him a peaceful and conservative English kings, their rule must have come into contact with the claims of the cosmopolitan but Roman church, and must have been, influenced, if only in the way of repulsion, by the growth of the civil and canon law. Of all the centuries the twelfth is the most legal. In no other age, since the classical days of Roman law, has so large a part of the sum total of intellectual endeavour been devoted to jurisprudence.
p. 89] We have told above how Irnerius taught at Bologna ${ }^{1 .}$. Very ${ }_{\text {Rovival of }}^{\text {Rom }}$ soon a school had formed itself around his successors. The fame hav. of 'the four doctors,' Bulgarus, Martinus, Jacobus, Hugo, had gone out into all lands; the works of Placentinus were copied at Peterborough. From every corner of Western Europe students flocked to Italy. It was as if a new gospel had been revealed. Before the end of the century complaints were loud that theology was neglected, that the liberal arts were despised, that Seius and Titius had driven Aristotle and Plato from the schools, that men would learn law and nothing but

[^76]law'. This atatharam fur the aew learaug was not awhs *prott ; it wis not sprut until in the mulalle of the thiresmah cestury Accursias hal mammond up ita renultes in the Gliand Ondinaria and Azo of Buloghas hul taught Bnurton what a law-binok should be.
Cosmopetil. The keenest mands of the agge had set wo work ons sho Labictanisa of Ifumas lar. rlusieal limans wexta and they were inspured by a getultive fowo of knowlenge. sull they were for froms nogandurg theor study as mene historical rewarch; indeed for a erstical examimation of sucient bastory they were but ill grepponal. Thee Rotuan law wom for thesu living law. Its clan bon live noud rule was intimately contoreluat with the montinuty of the empire. A vact part, if not the whole, of the cmolizerl werlat owed nbedience to the Cianarar fur the tume beng. The (bermans Hentues and Frerlericks were the wuccermons of Auguatus and
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[^77]the Decretum Gratiani, or yet more simply the Deoretum: It is a great law-book. The spirit which animated its author was not that of a theologian, not that of an eeclesiastical ruler, but that of a lawyer. One large section of his work is taken up with the discussion of hypothetical cases (carusas); he states the snrious questions of law (quastiones) that are involved in these caves; the endeavours to answer the questions by sorting and weighing the various 'suthorities' (to use our English word) which bear upun them. These authorities consist of canons new and old, decretala new and old, including of course the Lsidorian forgeries, principles of Romau law, passages from the fathens and the Bible. The Decretum soon became an anthoritatuve tert-book and the canonist seldom went behind it. All the same, it never became 'enacted Law.' The canouist had for it rather that reverence which English lawyers have paid tu Cake upon Littleton than that utter submission which is due to every clnuse of a atatute. A sure hase had now been found for the new science. Gratian became the master of a tabrol, a school of lawyers well grounded in Roman law, many of them doctors utriusque invis, who brought to bear upon the Decretum and the subsequent decretals the same methods that they employed apon Code and Digest. Legiats and decretists alike looked to Italy for their teachers; but the papal system was even more cosmopolitan than the imperial; the sway of the Roman church was wider than that of the Roman empire. Gratian, Rufinus, Johannes Faventinus, Pillius, Hostiensisthese names we read in English books, to say nothing of those great canonists who attain to the papal throne, of Alezander III. and Innocent III., Gregory IX. and Innocent IV.

Gratian had collected decretals down to the year 1139. The But the time had now come when the popes were beginning to pour out decretals for the whole of western Christendom in [p93] great abundance. Under Alexander III. and Innocent III. the flow was rapid indeed. From time to time compilations of these were made (compilationes antiquae) and Englishmen in Italy took part in this work ${ }^{2}$; but they were all set aside by a grand collection published by Gregory IX. in 1234. This was

[^78]P. 1. 1.
an authuritative statute bumk; all the deentals of agemeral import that had nut becu received anto it were thereby repealed. and every sotutence that it contansed was law. It compirimal
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[^79]mandates issued to these judges delegate, mandates which deal with particular cases. Others are answers to questions of law acldressed to the pope by English or other prelates. These auandates and these answers were of importance, not meraly to the parties immediately concemed, but to all the fathful, for the canonist would treat as law in other cases the rules that were thus laid down. His science whs to a great degree as science of 'case law,' and yet not of case law as we now understand it, for the 'dicta' rather than the 'decisions' of the popes were law ; indeed when the decretala were collected, the particular facts of the cases to which they had reference, the species fucti, were usually omitted as of no value. The pope enjoyed a power of declaring law to which but wide and rague limits could be set. Esch separate church might have ita curtoms, but there was a ius commune, a conmon law, of the universal church. In the view of the canonist, any special rules of the church of England have hardly a wider scope, hardly a leas dependent place, than have the customs of Kent or the by-laws of London in the eye of the English lawyer ${ }^{1}$. Duriug the time with which we are now dealing the twelfh and thirteenth centuries, no English canonist attempts to write down the law of the English church, for the English church has very little law save the law of the church Catholic and Toman. When in the next century John de Athona wrote a commentary on the constitutions made by certain papal legates
[p.96] in England, he treated them as part and parcel of a system which was only English because it was universal, and brought to bear upon them the expositions of the great foreign doctors, Hostiensis, Durandus and the rest. On the other hand, a large portion of this universal system was in one sense specifically English. Fngland seems to have supplied the Roman curia with an amount of litigation far larger than that which the mere size or wealth of our country would have led us to expect. Open the Gregorian collection where we will, we see the pope declaring law for English cases. The title De filiis presbyterorum ondinandis vel non has eighteen chapters; nine of these are addresed to English prelates. The title De iurs patronatus has thirty-one chapters and at least fifteen of them are in this sense English. But if an English advocate made his
${ }^{1}$ This point hae been argued at length in E. H. R. xi. 446, 641.
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Melatian ot The relation between the two great kymtemn was in the ralon, ho Riverams 1aw. twelfth century very clume. The canom law houl brorrowavi tis
 law. Of coume, however, it had to deal with many instituthon whirh hail never comn within the ken of the clansical Renamas lawyers, or had been treated by thesn in a manner which the church cuuld wot npprove. 'Lhus, fir example, the law of
 own, had tu be nownticul Sime elemonte whoh we many call Gertonare houl made: there way into the reelosiantoral aystem. in prinal cansew the pmof by compurgnetim wras suloptad, and. wherever the testamentary execulor may come finom, he dows net come frum the Thoman law. Sill the: ramonts debte ted the civilian was heary; he hal bornwed, for inmances, the griater part of his law of procedure, and be was ever sumly the eke aut (iratias ly an rpperal to Justmant. In Richand L: day the unnke of Cauturbury went to law with the anhthenturp. a statement of their case has colne down so un: probably is was pay drawn up by nome Italan; it contauns aghey citateotso of the Decretum, forty of the 1 bigest, thirty of the Code. The worko of the chasacal Roman jurnen were ransacked to frover that the archbishopir projected college of canons would be an injur. tu his cathendal anountarys. In the: thartowith cerblury thr canon law began to thank that she could ahift for herwilf atw to give berelf airs of supurnority. The biabupe of Rutase betgat to discourage a system, which hal only low tuluch to my aterus the grantene of empenmand hasily a wend of forpeat If they could have had thear way, the ecosl law wonld have been bur the zuenteat handmand of the samen low Bues ta she day of our King Stephen the mpersal mother and ber papal daugtion were farty gomed frococks. It was batorl is hand shat shay enterned Enghard.

[^80]The history of law in England, and even the history of Romanand English law, could not but be influenced by them. Their lanwin ection, however, hardly becomes visible until the middle of the Kugland. twelfh century is at hand. If the compiler of the Leges Henrici adopts a sentence which can be ultimately traced to the Tbeodosian Code through epitomes and interpretations, if the compiler of the Leis Williame seems to beve heard a few Ruman maxims, all this belongs to the pre-scientific era ${ }^{1}$ If William of Malmesbury, when copying a history of the Romsen emperors, introduces into his work a version of the Brevitry of Alaric, he is playing the part of the historian, not of the jurist?. It is remarkable enough that within a century nfter Lanfranc's death, within much less than a century after the death of Irnerius, a well-informed Norman abbot ascribed so them jointly the credit of discovering Justinian's books at Bologna. The story is untrue, for Lanfranc had left Italy long before Imerius began to teach; still his name would never have been coupled with that of Irnerius had he known zio Roman
[ani] law. Lanfranc's pupil Ivo of Chartres, the great canonist, knew museh Roman taw'and beeomes of importance in English history; it was his legal miad that achemed the concordat between Flenry I. and Anselm". Mure to the point is it that from Burchard of Worms or some other canonist the author of our Leges Henrici had borrowed many a passage while as yet the Decretum Gratiani was unwritten. Yet more to the point, that already in the reign of Rufus, William of St Calais, bishop of Durham, when accused of treason in the king's court, shows that he has the Pseudo-Isidorian doctrines at his fingers' ends, demands a canonical tribunal, formally pleads an exceptio spolii, appeals to Rome, and even-for so it would seem-bringe a book of canon law into court ${ }^{6}$. When Stephen made his ill-
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extirpate the books of civil and canon law we are told upon good anthority ${ }^{1}$. We are told also, and may well believe, that the royal edict was ineffectual. Further, we know that Vacarius wrote a book and have some reason for ascribing this to the year 1149; he wrote it for the use of poor studenta who could not afford to purchase the Roman texts. That book still exists It might be described as a condensed version of Justinian's $>$ Code illustrated by large extracts from the Digest?. It is a thoroughly academic book, as purely academic as would be any lectures on Roman law delivered now-a-days in an Euglish tuiversity. In what of it has been printed we can see no practical hints, no allusions to English affairs'. Besides this, we have from Vicarius a christological pamphlet on the assumption of the ranthood, and a little tract on the law of marriage in which he appears as an acute critic of the mischievous doctrine which the canonists and divines were evolving*. IW. W: Unless he had a namesake, he spent the rest of a long life in England, held some preferment in the northern province, was attached to Becket's rival, Arcbbishop Roger of York, and nected as Roger's compurgator when a charge of complicity in the murder of St Thomas was to be disproved. We do not know that he took any part in the controversy between Heary and Becket; if he did, we must look for him rather among the king's than among the archbishop's legal advisers. Perhaps he lived until 1198 or $1200^{\circ}$; if so, he must have been a very young man when Theobald fetched him from Italy'.

[^82]Legurana From stephetion sergh ouwneds, the proofs that lionuas and
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have been preserved which good critics have ascribed to the England or the Normandy of the twelfth century ${ }^{1}$. Of these the monst interesting to us is one which has been attributed to no less a man than William Longchamp. A clerk of Norman race, he became for some years, as all know, King Tichand's viceroy and the trize ruler of England. Even after his fall he was still the king's chancellor*. Another lawyer who for a while controls the destiny of our land is Cardinal Guala Biechieri, but it were needless to say that he wus no Englishman. Probably that one of our countrymen who gains 201001 most fame in the cosmopolitan study is Ricardus Anglicus'. He has been somewhat hastily identified with Richard le Poore, who becatne dean of Salisbury, bishop of Chichester, of Salisbury, of Durhams. In the next century the most protninent name is that of William of Drogheda, who tanght at Oxford and wrote a Sinman Aurea. But the Roman Catholicism-we ueed nu better term-of the canon law made against the development of national schools. All the great cases, the causes celdebres, went to Rome, and the English litigant, if prudent and wealthy, secured the services of the beut Italian advocates. In their thapute with the archbishop, the monke of Canterbury retain the illustrious Pillius and the illustrious Ugoline, who will be Gregory IX.' Thomas of Marlborough, prior of Evesham, despite his having
${ }^{1}$ Caillemer, op. cit. pp. 15-60.
${ }^{2}$ Caillemer, op. eft. p. 50, prints the 'Practica Legam et Decretorum edita a Magistro W. de Longo Campo.' Longchamp's career is described at length by Stubbe in the Introduction to Hoveden, vol. iii. A manual known as the Ordo Indiciarius of the Bamberg ms. is attributed to England ; it was published by Sehalte in the Proceedings of the Vienna Academy (1872), vol. 70, p. 235.
${ }^{3}$ Chron. Ereeham, p. 191: 'dominam Gualam ...inter cardinales in iure civili peritisaimum.'
- Schalte, Geochichte des oanonisohen Rechtn, i. 183; Caillemer, op. cit. 28-4; Bethmann-Hollweg, Civil Prozese, vi. 105.
${ }^{3}$ In orr first edition we anid that the identification of the bishop with the eanonist might require reconsideration. See now Mr Blakiston's article Poor, Richard, in Diot. Nat. Biog., which shows that the evidence of identity is very slight. Bchalte has collected a few particulars abont English atudents and teachars at Bologn-i. 151, a certain David, canon of St Paul's, who was a mastet there in 1168 or theresbouts-i, 188, Gilbert, Alan, Johannes Walensisi. 211, Elias Anglicus. As to Master David, some entertaining atories are to be found in Spicileginm Liberianam, p. 603. For some entries in a Bolognese necrology relating to English mesters, see Dublin Review, cxii. 78.
- Schalte, ii, 113 ; Bethmann-Hollweg, Civil Prozess, vi. 123-181; Delisle, Littéreture letine, p. 68 ; Maitland, E. H. R. vol. xii.
${ }^{7}$ Epist. Cantuar. pp. 68, 471, 476, 506.
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[^83]handed down to us what purports to be the text of a papal (nns) buld which goee much further ${ }^{1}$. Intweent IV, perhaps the greatest lawyer among all the popes, is supposed to decree in the year 1254 that in France, Eugland, Scotlaud, Wales and Hungary - in ahort almost everywhere save in Italy and Ger-emny-the imperial laws shall not be read, unless the kings of those countries will have it otherwise. In those countries, he in made to say, the causes of the laity are decided, not by the imperral laws, but by enstoms, while for ecelesiastical causes the constitutions of the holy fathers will suffice. Strong reasons have been shown for the condemnation of this would-be bull as a forgery, or as the manifesto of English divines who will make believe that the pope has done what he ought to do". Genuine or spurions, it is an instructive document, for it tells us that in England the civilian is between two fires. The best churchmen do tot love him; ecelesinstical reformers are coming to the aid of national couservatism. This did not destroy the study of the Roman books. Oxford and Cambridge gave degrees as well in the civil as in the canon lnw. The one considerable work produeed by an English canonist of the fourteenth contury, the glose of John de Athona on the legatine constitutions, is full of references to Code and Digest. But the civilisn, if he was not a canonist, had no wide field open to him in England. He might become a diplomatist; there was always a call in the royal chancery for a few men who would be ready to draw up treaties and state-papers touching international affairs, and to meet
which it can be contracted with 'decreta' or 'canones." The question why this bolt ahould be lannched agsinst the "laws' in London while they are spared at Oxford, is not unlike the much disoussed question why Honorius struck at the lews in Paris and only in Paris. The anawer may be that these Liondon schools were primarily theological schools, and that the university of Paris was the great theological school of the world. Or again, it seems possible that Elenry is protecting the Oxford law sohool against competition. That the - leges' of this writ mean English law we can not believe; we shall hear nothing of Englinh law being tanght for a long time to come. See Clark, Cambridge Legel 8todies, p. 40.
${ }^{\prime}$ Mat. Par. Chron. Maj. vi. 293-5.
${ }^{2}$ Digard, La papaute et l'etude du droit romain, Bibliothèque de l'Ecole dee chartes, 1890, vol. 51, p. 381. Denifle, Chartularium Universitatie Parisiensian i. 261, had already questioned the authenticity of this bull. Perhaps it was originally no worse than an university squib; however, Matthew Paris believed in it Bleckstone, Comm, i. 20, has strangely misunderstood the drift of this document.

2 Reshdall, Univeraties, ii. 454; Clark, Cambridge Legal Stadies, 42-59.
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- treera Hemeror ithenedietes). I. as.
no innorator; and though the honours and dishonours of the famour contest may be divided, the king was left in possession of the greater part of the field of battle. At two points he had been beaten:-the clerk suspected of felony could not be sentenced by, though the might be accused before, a lay court; appeals to Rome could not be prohibited, though in practice the king could, when he chose, do much to impede them. Elsewhere Henry had maintained his ground, and from his time ouwards the lay courts, rather tban the spiritual, are the 2.106' aggnessors and the victors in almost every contest. About many particulars we shall have to speak in other parts of our work; here we may take a brief survey of the proviace, the large province, which the courts Christian retain as their own.

The church claims cognizance of a cause for one of two reasous:- either because the matter in dispute is of an eeclesinatical or spiritual kind, or because the persons concerned in it, or some of them, are specinlly subject to the ecelesiastical jurimdiction!.
I. (a) In the first place, she claime an exclusive cognizance of all affairs that can fairly be called matters of ecclesiastical economy, the whole law of eeclesisstical status, the ordination

Mattera of acclesiastical eco-
 and degradation of clerks, the consecration of bishops, all purely spiritual functions such as the celebration of divine service, also the regulation of ecclesiastical corporations and the internal administration of their revenues. In this region the one limit set to her claims is the principle asserted by the state that the rights of the patrons (advocati) of churches are temporal rights, that the advowson (advocatio ecclesiae) is temporal property? To start with, the majority of churches had been owned by the landowners who built them ${ }^{3}$. The spiritual power had succeeded in enforcing the rule that the 'institution' of the clerk lies with the bishop; the choice of the clerk still lay with the landowner. Henry II. maintained, Becket controverted, Alexander condemned this principle; but, despite papal condemnation, it seems to have been steadily upheld by the king's court, which prohibited the courts Christian from interfering

[^84]with the right of patronage': and very sum we may tind iwot prolatea in litgation abunt an mivowein befans the notal justicess. In this instasee the clergy seell whave goven way surfowhat enaly': loth partion were at one in trating the advowents an a ppotitable, vendible nyghe. Henry vietury at thes panut was if the utioust importanere in after agat. It doatingrowhem Eangland from wher conntries, ansi finowide a buse for matiopugaul statutesi. As rogrerise other tunteon fallugg under the prowest hisul there was litele debote: ; but it lexheses un to untice that ous hetmpural haw yorn wern chomexeludeal from some fruitful fichle of jurinz̧rudence. The groweho of ont law of corpuratuma is sluw, becasse uur couren have nushing to in With the aderial affiom of convents mod chaptam-thr wuly
 fietitans permony; and we mughe have come by a law of truse a scumer thana we dul, if the justeeen hat been bound to deal wish
 a provimion for particular purpeswes, nuch as the ndief of the poor or the mankergnice of fabnes:

Chum [7ajwerts
(b) The iselexinatical tribumaly would much like en claim the decision of all caums whech in any way concern thowe lameta that have beeng given to a church, at all evente if given ty way of 'almas.' Hetury himself was willing to make what may sewtin to us a large concension at this pornt. If both partes agrowl

 the proliminary questuos, which would decode whem the seme whoulis be tried, wan to be settled by the verultert of a jur? Here be was nuccessful and much mure than muereswful. The courts of his arecesasom insisteal wh their exclustom mikht s.o adjudge all quewtone relating to the powsenston or ownembip of lasud, alboit given in alma, the apintual judgees coukd in thro provinet bo bo more than excommumicate for wherlege obse who

[^85]invaided soil that had been devoted to God in the strictest sense by being consecrated?
(c) The courts Christian claimed the exaction of spiritual dues, tithes, mortuaries, oblations, pensions. The justice of the claim was not contested, but it was limited by the rule that a question about the title to the advowson is for the lay court. From century to century there was a border warfare over tithes between the two sets of lawyers, and from time to time some curions compromises were framed ${ }^{2}$.
(d) Mure important is it for us to notice that the church claims marrage, fiverce, and conserpuently legitimacy, as themes of exclesisatical junsciction. This claim was not disputed by Fenry 11. or his successors. However, the church in the twelfth century became definitely committed to the doctrine that children who were born out of wedloek are legitimated by the marrage of their parents ${ }^{3}$. As regards the inheritance of
p ory land, a matter which lay outside the apiritual sphere, the lang's courts would not nceept this rules. The elergy endeavoured to persuade the lay power to bring its law into harmuny with the law of the church, and then in the year 1236, as all know, the barons replied with one voice that they would not change the law of Englands. Thenceforward the king's justices assumed the right to send to a jury the question whether a person was boru befort or after the marringe of his parents, and it might well fall out that a man legitimate enough to be ordained or (it may be) to succeed to the chatteld of his father, would be a bestard incapable of interiting land either from father or from mother. But except when this particular question_about-the retronctive force of marriage arose, it was for the ecclesiaatical court to decide the question of legitimacy and, if this arose incidentally in the course of a temporal suit, it was sent for trial to the bishop and concluded by his certificate:

[^86]Teramen LITY raiken
(e) Yiet tware amportant to tux we the present day was atucther clain of tho chureh, whinh has hat the a.fivet of afiliting our English lnw of property into two halves. She
 unn which was intimntely consertesd with him lant confemantis. She claimad not mandy to pronatuese un the valutity of wills,
 hor crouture the testampotary exsecutor, whom nhe succmelead in plaving alongrite of the Einglish hois. In the collone of the thirtenth century the executor gradually becomes a promanomet fignre in the knug'a courta; the theree nures the uestataria detitoren and is kued by his crovitom ; but the legnteses whin clatas unater the will must sumek their romedios in the coursm of the chunith.
 canmenta to gradialily enlarge at terriuiry wheh was of be. wry valunble in the future. An a gesergal rale, hand could sum be. glwell lyg tomatnent, and our king a emurt wis concentmatis its


 then was acculaphimherd ta very ubweure; we thall apouk of it upnu anuther cecasuas ; but here we mang suy that a notun prevalied that intemeacy, if if to aut "xacely a sons. an offon
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## 8 thater of faith.

(f) With great diticulty werv the emurta Chritian pre-
 constact They claimed to enforse-at the wery leans by



[^87]pute his hopes of saivation in the hand of another2. Henry II. asserted his jurisdiction over such cases; Becket claimed at lesst a concurrent jurisdiction for the church. Henry was victorions: From his day onwards the royal court was alwags rendy to prohibit ecelesiastical judges from entertaining a charge of bresoh of faith, unless indeed both parties to the contract were clerkes, or unless the subject-matter of the promises was something that lay outaide the jurisdiction of the temporal forums. All the same, there can be no doubt that during the whole of the next eentury the consta Christian wene busy with breaches of faith. Very often a contrector expresely placed himsolf under their powar and renounced all right to a probibition Suck a renumoiation was not folly effectual, for the right to issue the prohibition was the right of the king, not of the contractor ; otill, ee Bracton explains, a man commite an omornous sin by seeking a prohibition when he has promisod not to seek one and may very properly be sent to prison". In practice ecolenisetical judges were quite willing to run the risk of being prohibited; indeed the law of the church compelled them to take this hasard. A certain jurisdiction over marriage eettlements of money or movable goods, the church had as part of its jurisdiction over marriage؛.
(g) There remains the indefinitely wide claim to correct Correction the sinner for his soul's health, to set him some corporeal penance. The temporal courts put a limit to this claim by asserting that, if the sin be also an offence which they can punish, the spiritual judges are not to meddle with it. There are some few exceptions; the bodies of the clergy are doubly protected; you may be put to penance for laying violent hands upon a clerk besides being imprisoned for the breach of the peace and having to pay damages for the trespass ${ }^{\text {b }}$. But, even though this rule be maintained, much may be done for

[^88]the correction of xunets. The whole province of mixnal momality is autarexd by the ehurch; she puanhem furnemtion, adularys. incerst ; and these uffences are not pumwhed by the kingis court. though the old lenal courta are ntill exactiag leopronton and chatdurtes, fines for fortication iso also the prosinte of defumntom is made over to the spiritual juriveliction, for. thuugh the local courts enterais actions for elamer mad litel. the
 the defutuer, no rellef for the lefomed'. Unury in twintarl an a mere кins while the usurer is livang: but if he thom in thiv ans. the king weizeg him geovens. Simony naturally bolongs for the churih courts. perpury, bet always well dentuguandial frotes tho bresch of a promikomy unth, would cosue before thas upmon many uecosanss, though with perjured jurorn the riyal onart
 houl hardly beres troublact by horefics. Nis iloulit the church courta were quite prepared to deal with heremy should it nowe tes head. and hai they called upots the atate the burs of otherwine puniah the heretic, it is not likely that they would have calleal in van!.
11. (13) Hut the church had upenesl a second parmilel. Sie
 whirh a cleck was the aveuserl ir the deferoctasit Thue stoer of 'the berarfit of elergy' wer shall call elvewherses 1311 thon whote. nave it osse particular, the stuste had ito way. The clerk meverad
 sulfor ne, uther pumblament thate that whech the exclentiatmal
 Whatever may have beoll the cave to the twellth corotary the cleok of the thistenth can tom triod and puatureal for all tome monor offericyen ins though he were a layman. Thesi agoun, in

 sone ewh uther for debes nated the like in coups "hrimbiane. If ahosulit twe nell unterosened that 'the betwent of clergey' as allowed by Englinh law was but an anuall jart of that andoural
${ }^{2}$ Of thin in anr celtion oe Trappoose.
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immunity from lay justioe which was olamed for the ordained by canuniste in Inglund as well as elsewhere?
(b) On the continent of Europe the ohurch often claimed as her own the auite of the miverabiles parsonas, as they were called, of widowe and orphans? Of any such claim we hear litele or nothing in England, though some tradition of it may affect the later history of the Court of Ohancery. In Eagland it is the king who sete feudel ralee aside in order that summary juatice may be done to the widowd.

Large then if the provinoe of coclerisatical law; but it might bave been mueh larger. Despite the many advantages that Henry II. gave to his antagonists by his rages and his furies, he handed down to his auccessora a larger field of purely temporal justice than was to be found elvewhere". Even in Normandy Bichard had to consign to the ecolegisatical formm all questions about broken oath or hroken fniths But we are bero ouncerned with the faot that from the middle of the swelfth oentury onwarda a very large mase of litigation, of Hitigation too which in no very strict sense can be called coclainatical, was handed over to tribmala which administered the cancin law, tribunals which were often constituted by a papal rescript, and from which there lay an appeal to the Roman curia

The canon law begins to affect our temporal law sometimes by way of repulsion, sometimes by way of attraction. It is in opposition to 'the canons and Roman laws ${ }^{0}$ ' that (if we may so speak) our English law becomes conscious of its own existence. In the Constitutions of Clarendon we have our first authoritative redaction of hitherto unwritten customs. If our consuetudines are to prevail against the leges and canones, they must be accurately formulated and set in writing. The 'Nolumus leges

[^89]Anghas: tautare of 1236 is no andourcement of a pur-ly
 in opprasition to the eamine. Repulsion bogets emmiation. Glanvill will have it thas the English Inws, at leant thome madr by the king with thr counsell of hat bamman, nte legms. juat an onuch legyen an any that are ntuhted at Bolegrna'. Bus this is not all. In Inter lays, is the forrteenth anal fiteemath wentumes. the canon law can the مuminisu-rom in Englanid without in-:
 tioners is the kingin court, are in all probathitity profounally ignorant of the Digeat aud the Decretals. The lenatied dowtom who practise before the episeopel tribumala are not en ighoment of the temporal lisw, for it sets limite to their ephem of extrots, nell they would net profeen thomediem nomatera of it. Bus in the twelfith, and owen in the thirteenth, century thian wan wot wo. Houry's greateses, his tmome lastung trimuph is the legal field was this, that he made the prelater of the sharch hian justices?. Nothang could be lem true than that he quarelled with the whole tmase of binhopm aud clergy. Nu dombs hio besatiwal of the great placen of the church upont men who had encued, or were to varn, them by timeal anol junticiary tatmura hus ant evil sude en woll as a govel. We ure here evireerrion woth





 chumax is reached in thehardi'n reign. Wee call then see the king" court as it mite ing liy day. (Nhotis ebough it was compreed of the archbishop of Ciantertury, wro other bishopa.
 were goung to be bishopmand that two or throe haymen' The
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 FitaHery. Hichand Hersh.
majority of its members might at any time be called upon to hear ecelesiastical causes and learn the lessons in law that were addressed to them in papal rescripts. Blackstones picture of a nation divided into two parties, 'the bishops and clergy' on the one side contending for their foreign jurisprudence, 'the nobility and the laity' on the other side adhering 'with equal pertinacity to the old common law' is not true'. It is by 'popish elergymen' that onne Finglish comman law is converted from a ruce mass of customs into an articulate systam, and When the popish clargrome', yielding at length to the pope: commands, no longer sit as the principal juatices of the king's court, the areative age of onr medieval law is aver. Very characteristic of our thirteenth century is it that when there is talk of legitimstion per subsequans matrimonium, the champion of the common law is a canon of St Paul's, William Raleigh, who is going to be a bishop and somewhat of a martyr, vhose name is to be joined with the names of Anselm and Becket'. These royal clerks have two sides; they are clerks, but they are royal. It would not surprise us to discover that -115] Martin Pateshull, justice of the Bench, had prohibited Martin Pateshull, avchdeacon of Norfolk, from meddling with lay fee. But as archdeacon he was bound to have a decent acquaintance with the canon law, and as justice he could not forget what he knew as archdeacon. In the second half of Richard's reign Hubert Walter, the chief justiciar of Engiand, who sat day hy day at Westminster, was also the archbishop of Canterbury A spiteful tongue has told us that he was no great Latinist, that he could be guilty of 'Tres sunt species cautionis, fideiiussoriam, iuratoriam, pignoraticiam' and the like ${ }^{2}$; still, though we can suppose that this busy primate of England was not deeply read in the Decretum, he must have heard a great deal of Decretum and Code and Digest, even before his prolonged struggle with the Canterbury monks and their Pillius and their Ugolino.

We attribute to these clerical justices in general no more Rnglinh than a superficial acquaintance with the canon law, an acquaint- $\frac{\text { nistared by }}{\text { ne }}$ ance with its main principles and with its methods. But this escloedich

[^90]much we must attribute to them, and it meane $n$ grint deal Lat un concesve a man, whue notion of Law nout the lugge of law is that which is displayed in the Leges Hermaci, Asanink apuon 8 ghosed verainn of the Deeratum, or atill betour ufans some Summa such an that atembuted $u$, Willamm of Congerhamp. Bis whole conception of what a law-bowk, what a judgament whould be. of how mon should atate law and argue abmint law.
 point, the oflert priulucerd on Fughath law by ita cortant weth
 able obly ly the diatance that divader Alanvilis triveten frim the Leves Hewrici.

Nistarm of the catio. therel iso fiarnce.

Law, it thy the wirl, ix wete thong and the expresatern of law another. Bust wrean hacily. ewen in thunght, dornem the mattor of law from ita forms. Old cmolitional rulep nouss limen there old menmigg wo com as tuen afterupt th weato shem mow
 law of rivil procedure, was mationalized utuler the intluotoce of the casus law. Here aud there we may nute a plass mas ins which the one syatem has hormowerd a whilio met of mulos fiom
 wre should way the 'challornger, wheh esn be mate nemutase
 withesses in the courtes C'hriwtan'. Here a whole chapter if law. whech in the hande of the cancisiata in almuly beromung a bulky chapter, is borruweyl. Siuch ustancen. hewesers, arr mire.
 are alrusdy very unhke, and are berosoung more rablike, tho
 carsunseal peaten by the application them of thome rules aburs exceptintas or challengeris. Another mana of rulto st borrowed. The elemontary mathoes of the notuco of plomathen

 exceptions (aperinl pleana) summ are dilatiry, while coshom nom peremptorys' But ins cour lay criursen a distunctive forma la givent to these rules by the aude of trial whech pnowes there, the
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 dilatoriae, quendera pervergitariag.' Thas foum Irat 4. 13. ©
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urial by jury, and before long the canonist will hardly be able to underatand the English lawyer's doctrine of special pleas. The asave of novel disseisin isparggested by the actio spolit: but it is not the actio spolii. Our English law shows jtbelf strog enough to assimilate forbigi ideas and convert them to itown use. Of any wholesule 'reception' of Roman law there is so danger. From the day at Clusendon onwards it is plain that we have many consurtudines which must be maintained in the teeth of leges and canones. The king's justices, more especially those of them who are clerke, become interested in the maintenance of a system that is all their own. From time to time the more learned among them will try to attain a foreign, as 【talian, standard of accuracy and elegance; they mill burrow terms and definitions, they will occasionally borrow fulus: but there must be no dictation from without. The imperial laws as such have no rights in England; the canon law has its proper province and should know its place.

## CHAPTER VI.

## THE AGE OF゙ (iLANVHIN

The wort of limary II

The: noigs of Thenry II. in of supnem impartaner in the is. 18 histery of our $\ln w$. and ile inupartance is dine so she actsita of the central power, in reformbendenacd by the kiggi. Stall is wias rather as an onganizer and givernor than ns a logialatar that Henry was artive. How ixaural so male: we may evan doubt whether he publinheul any cose new rule whech wer shonuld call a rules of substantuve law but how wor forer buay with new devicay for enforeng the law. Much of what ho dul, tasuch that was to determase the fate of our haw in after nomes. was done in an informal fashion withoult the primp it ligemlationa. A few words writuen or but epmenen the has justires sumetits establish a new mokle of pmerdure. There would be noshous uo the proclaimed to the world at large, for in theory thene wan nu. chnoge in the law, and yet vory sundy the whote low of Eugland wis betug changed beth in forms and its subatance. To this adminastrative charaster of hos rifurtas we may ameribe sur lamentable lack of dinemmentary ontedence. Siow lawe she manding the ubednetice of all has subjerte would have trown
 not be umbulios in asy formal matrumens and maghs a. ll - "apur the nutice of the mont punctual chnioucler. Amb at it came about that io a very whast tame mauy of the noulen of this activity were regarderl, not on the nutarime of ontionaces.

[^91]t ur but as part and parcel of the traditional common law. A few ordinances or 'assizes,' those which seemed most important to bis contemporaries, found their way into the texts of the chroniclers: some have been recovered of late years out of almost unique manuscripts; but we have every reason to fear that others have been irretrievably lost.

The first great legal monument of the reign is, however, no ordinance. In 1164, when the dispute with Becket was waxing bot, Henry held a council at Clarendon and there caused a 'recognition and record' to be made of certain of those customs, liberties and dignities that his ancestors had enjoyed. He called upon his nobles to declare the law of the realm as to the matters that were in debate between church and state. Their declaration of the king's customs was put into a written document, Known to us as 'the Constitutions of Clarendon, and to this the bishops were required to append their seals". Henry was not legislating ; according to his own theory he was playing a conservative part and relying upon prescriptive right. He demands a definition of the old law and then tenders this to the prelates as a concordat. Not long afterwards, probably in the first months of 1166, he was again holding an assembly at Clarendon and 'by the counsel of all his barons' he issued
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 an assize which made great changes in the administration of the criminal law. Whether this was intended to be a permanent measure or was merely to serve as an instruction for the justices who were just being sent out to hold an eyre, we cannot say for certain, but it was sufficiently new and stringent to require the consent of the magnates. We have, however, some reason for believing that on this same occasion Henry took another step which was to be of equal importance with that which is recorded by the words of our extant 'Assize of Clarendon,' that he issued-it may be merely by way of instruction to his justices-an Assize of Novel Disseisin which in course of time was to mould the whole history of our civil procedure and to cut deeply into the body of our land law. The words of this ordinance or instruction have not come down to us; very soon they were concealed from view by the case-law which had grown up around them. In 1170 Henry instituted Inquest of a grand inquiry into the conduct of the sheriffs whom he had
:The document that we have professes only to give 'a certain part' of the customs that were 'recognized and recorded.'

Acmige of Northatop ton.

 queat by which, as ume gues on, the whule machinerry if jutice is mithiected to examinatovin aud amendment. At Xirthamptom is 1176 i a freah set of matructions was givini to the itimerant
 yet meveref form. A brief rlaune in this Ansize of Nurthatmphas sempe to te the origon of the prewerwory action of mert diancentur' which takew ite phave besulo the 'unvel therema?' An Ansize of Arima from 1181 , an Aswize of the Firnemt froine $114 t$. an Ombinance regulating the collertion of the Nintailon Titior from 1188, an Aswize of Bread of an uucertain date.- theme seem to ommplete the list of the onfluatien that hase coume down to us? Fior the mat, we may driw shle iuferwine from
 worky of (ilanvill and Richard FiteNieal and frum the nimouwidd ty the chromelers'

Thenury'o is Lati ntiotial. Tha jury emb ilime wrisital wris.

If we try (a) sum up itu a few womls thome meale of H. nrs' erign wheh are to the the must durable and the mome imutful, wir may nay that the whole of Einglieh law is cencralisoul and unified by the thatitution of a permatient court if por
 throughenet the land, by the merratuetmen of she 'raqueat' of 'ravegman' and the 'origimal ont' an mermal parta if the

 will be npt tor think the nurat divennetiw. - the migumet, the reengmition, tonl by jury:
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 durs. Buntur, inais.

Q1183 question may take many different forms: it may or it may not be one which has arisen in the course of litigation; it may be a question of fact or a question of law, or again what we should now-a-days call a question of mixed fact and law. What are the castoms of your district? What rights bes the king in your district? Name all the landowners of your district and say how much land each of them has Name all the persons in your district whorn you suspect of murder, robbery or rape. Is Roger guilty of having murdered Ralph? Whether of the two has the greater right to Blackacre, William or Hugh? Did Henry disseise Richard of his free tenement in Dale?The jury of tris, the jury of sccusation, the jury which is summoned where there is no litigation merely in order that the king may obtain information, these all spring from a common root. On the other hand, we have to distinguish the jury from a body of doomsmen, and also from a body of compurgators or other witnesses adduced by a litignat to prove his casc. A verdict, even though it may cover the whole matter that is in dispute between the litigants, even though it anay deelare that William has a better right to Blackacre than hus Hugh, differs essentially from a judgment, a doom adjudging the land to William. Even though the form of the verdict and its conclusive force be such that the judgment must follow as mere matter of course, still between the sworn verdict and the judgment there is a deep gulf ${ }^{1}$.

If what we were seeking for were a court in which at the Jarors, bidding of its president, of some national or royal officer, doomamen ealdorman or reeve, the inhabitants of a district, or some witneaser. selected group, perhaps twelve, of such inhabitants, deemed the dooms, we should have no difficulty in discovering the origin of trial by jury. Everywhere we might find such courts, for during the earlier middle ages it is the exception, rather than the rule, that the judgment should be made by the lord or president of the court or by a group of professional justices. But what the jurors or recognitors of our twelfth century

[^92]de-liver is no jublyment; thoy come to ' recomgase,' to declare, at the truth. thoir duty is, nut iudicin fucere, but recugnacere veritutem. Nol leses ileap in the guif which wepantere theols from witnesang adducal by a bitgant. If all that we watied were
 for exanigle, twelve, there would really be- now problem before us But the witnexsoes of the ild (orromante folkelaw differs in

 than aet formula. The juroisa are sumurumed by a puble otherer and eake all cuth whwh binik them ew esfl the truth, whutaver the eruth may be. In purticular, they differ from onth-helperm or compurgatore The osth-h.lpere is brought in that he may swear to the truth of his prouelpala wath. Nurmally he han bewn chosen by the litigant whoen wath he of wopprift, and eorn Whon, an sonetimes happerss, the law, attempting th make the

 him wiverary or by has judges, will the chomen wath-helper ham
 outh is clean that $A \boldsymbol{H}$. hath sworn ' $I$ or rofusing to swear at all. On the wher hand, the remognitur mant awoar a prommery outh, he swears that he will mpak the truth whatever she truth thay for
 momet iases. tuison. that the jury in intimately mabectad with reynal fuwer. Sit onsly do the kugg and his utficers make the freest use of it in the torm of 'at ingurst es otheos' for the purquen uf whenoung any information that they want about soyal righta, lewal
 but, at a pare of legal pronevlure cival and enomad, the jors sprosula outwards from the king's uwa court. Til the last. trual by jury has nu place in the conluary procedure of our obl cotmmatial crurta.

## Chereter in

 the jury\% 2
Frenikuab
tegurat.

Thbe Benghah jury han beon mo highly prizod by Eaighohmen. wo uftert copmet by foremgem, that ito ingin has lwen wogkts in matty dittorent direethess At the prowent day. bowerer. there can be little doubt aa to the yuarter to which we sught in linok We munt limit to the Frantionh inguistice, the preveratise righla of the Frankiah kioge Sile to sthe amhanary premedure of the Finukivh courta. that, like the femeerlune of uur owrtanacu at
connmunal conrts. kinows but aueh natique modes of prow as the undend and the oath with oath-belprers. But the Fraakish kiug has in some measure placed hiuself outside the formalism of the old folle-taw; his court can adtrminister an equity which tempers the rigour of the law and makes short cuts to the cruth'. In particular, imitating, it may be, the procedure of the Ruman fiscens', he assumes to himself the privilege of areerraining and maintaining his own rights by means of an inquent. Ho orviers that a group of men, the best and most srustworthy nuen of a distriet, bee sworn to deelare what lands, what rights, he has or ought to have in their district. He unds this procedure for many different purposes. He uses it in hiss batyation:- he will rely on the verdiet of the neighbours instead of on batule or the urdeal. He usees it in order that he may learn how he is served by his subordinates:-the neighbours are required to say all that they know about the miseondnet of the royal ofticers. He uses it in order that he may detect those grive crimes which threaten his peace: - the neighbours munt say whether they suspect any of murders or robberies. The pruedure which he employs in support of his own rights he can and does grant as a fovour to others. In partieular, be will concede to a church that its lands shall, like his demesne lands, be protected by inquest, and that the bishop, if his citte be attacked, may put himself upon the verdiet of his neighbours instead of ahiding the risk of a juricial combat. All this we sue in the Erankish enpire of the niuth aunturyi we see it iu the Neustria which the Nommans are invading. Theo the deep darkness settles down. When it lifts we see in the fow staks that have formed themselves no central power capable of wielding the old prengatives. For a lang wime Wh come the sworn inquest of neighbours will not be an utterly unhnown thang in France, it will orly be timally overwhelmed by the sfred af thu ramano canenical procedure. Eivin ta Cis rmaby it will appear from time to time. Yet on the whole we maty sny that, but for the complieat of England, it woind have jerizind wom long ago have become a mater for the satiquary.
Such is nuw the prevailing npinion, and it has triumpherd in The jurs in 421. this conmery uver the natural disineliuation of Euglishmen to Eugand.

[^93]Balmit that thas 'fualladium of our libertion' in in itn cingin moto English but Fraakish, nue popular bue royal. It te eurtaus thas of the inflgent of ottice or of the jury of tran the Anglo-sins ou doweng give un no hint, certain also that by ues slow piricoe of evolution dud the denomatinas or the auth-holiper baritue a recomitor. The wnly doubt that there can the is an to tho jury of accusation, the jury as as orgno of firma publicos.

Thim apmeies of the imquest in that whech in the mane lithely

 Juat ise the king tugght colleat cluagges of (r)mes, ar) the chunth nught cetteet chareios of ald. Thi the esuly part of the tewth
 holding has eymul, melecting a number of truatworthy menf form nomug the assomblad laity, adatelatering to them an oath thas they will well the truth and eonreal nothong fur lowe os hate.

 tion thone agasiont whotn ind calon are tolde. It would toot twe wotaderful if this procedure wposed froms the Frank anh church to the Eioglish. In the dayn of Ihunotan and Uswald the Eaghinh clunsh win burrowilig deas and antitutous from the Frashinh. But we bure no dinct profof that at any tithe thefine the Contigurat the Einghah church did uae thim fyatem of swoms comenmal aeconallon. There in buwewr, une lan whelh suase

 unly the the Dababh divtrict In it we remil bum a menit is to be held as every wapentake, and buw the twelle. eldeat themes


 necumaturn, but the conseast will uake us doube whethere on of

[^94]have here law of any generality ${ }^{1}$. There seem, however, to be good rearone for bolieving that nome of the Scandinavian mations by a route of their own to something that was wary like the jury". The investigation of this matter is made the mone difficult by the comparatively recent date of the Soandinavian law-books. No doubt there is here a field for maserch, but it seams unlikely that any now discovery will diderb the derivation of our English from the Frankish inquention We can not a a priori that there in only one pourible origin for the jury, we can not even may that Rongland Wes unprepared for the introduction of this institution; but that the Norman dulce brought it with him as one of his prarogetives can hardly be diapated:

Hardly had England been conquered, before the sworn The inguent of seighbours appeared as part of the system of govern- thquent tor. ment and royal justice. The great fiscal record known to us as man nea. Domeeday Book was compiled out of the verdicts of juries: Tho king makes use of the same engine in his own litigation; be can beutow the right to make use of it upon favoured churches"; he oan direct ite employment in any particular case6. We see too a close connexion between the jury of trial and the protection of possession, a connexion which is to become prominent hereafter. In the earliest case in which there is to our knowledge anything that could be called a trial by jury, the Conqueror directs his justiciars, Archbishop Lanfranc, the count of Mortain and the bishop of Coutances, to summon to one place the moots of several shires to hear a plea between the abbot of Ely and divers other persons. Certain of the English who know what lands were held by the b.123] church of Ely on the day of the Confessor's death are to declare

[^95]thoar knowledge upan onth. Thas will be a verolict, now a jadguent. The juxtices anv to resture to tho charrh, wote all the louded that she laul at the date thus tixed, but ouly moth of them an wo obe elaitus under the Compuepor. A partientar question. a question about grasematho at a givat thonthe it of thene, is thas sumged wit as une that should be dociteal by a
 a ship free to cruen the sea on the day whea the king last wesst aloniad! How many prow frey of parnager hat the ahture of Alongedon in the time of Henry I. I Dud thom land boloniz of uld to Brulturn or as Brodport 1-Such and such like are the
 the. Normans pertonl trial by jury, the intreductiou of an urgmest into the poncedure of a lawramit, nemana an exceptimat thang. The Legen Hearicu ksaw nothorg of it ; the owatices whol aso there mentsused are nut recogators but demmansen. Of she acrosing jury on the other hatad faiat travers and to be fioumal. We certaibly cannot ray that it was never unal, bus we mad very hulle abrut if?

Renity HW in the tumuns.

L'inder Honry II. the exceptional beenmea normal The
 proxedure. Thim in done the by bit, nuw for thas clans of ravom and now for that. It in probable that whie out get kux be haul dense wernethang of the wane kind in Normandy:
The anairn 97 Men

It in hy momene unlikely that the clans of diojutere atheh was the first we be submithel to a jury as an materer of coftomen proutice was oure in which the clates of the rhireh ratare istat collivion with the claims of the state. In the twelfth erntur? the chureb wise asmortiog and omabliabing the privepple thas all litigation about land that had been givon by way of alone 8 en

[^96]17s: God and the saints should come before her courta. This priociple was hardly disputed in Stephen's day; but of course in many cases the question would arise-' Is this land alms or is it lay fee 3" To allow the case to go for good and all either to the temporal or to the spiritual fornm, would be to beg this preliminary question. Chureh and state are at issue, and uejuber should be judge in its own cause. The voice of the ounntryside about this question-which can be regarded as a question of fact ${ }^{t}$ Lay fee or alms $z^{\prime}$-may be listened to; it comes so to speak, from the ontside and will be impartial. At any rate Beary in the Constitutions of Clarendon claimed as orse of the ancient customs of the realm that such a question should be decided by the sath af an inquest in the preance of his justiciar!. In this as in other instances we have some evidence that the king's claims were founded on past history. A atory comes to us fiom the abboy of St Albans which describes a lawsuit of Stephen's day in which the question 'Lay fee or alins?' was submitted to a jury charged to tell the truth both by the king and by the bishop of the diocese". Be this as it masy, already in 1164 Heary asserted that a procedure which in atter days wask known as the assisa utrum was and ought to be a normal part of the machinery of justice. A'recognition' by trelve lawful_men was to decide whether (utrum) the land in question was alms ar lay fee.

Some two years later, perhaps at the council held at The mesive Clarendon in the first months of 1166 . Henry took a far more of novel diseein. important step. He issued an ordinance and instituted a procedure: ordinnnce and procedure alike were known as the assize of novel disseisin (assisa novce disseisinae). At that council was published the edict known as the Assize of Clarendon, which deals with criminal matters and which served as instructions for the justices who were being sent out on a great eyre throughout the land. We fix this date as that of the assize of novel disseisin, because the next pipe roll, a roll which records the abundant profits reaped by the itinerant justices in the field of criminal law, gives us also our first

[^97]P. 1. 1.
tidinga of men being amemesul for diweivin 'againat the kinge p $p$ awize' : from that munsent enwarla we get such thdinge year by year'.
lragiont off the novel At-coctorst

Of thix onlinaty, which wren in the long ris to prove itw one of the mome important lawe aver samest in Eisghand. wo have goot the wurde. Bracton celle un that wakof(tal oughte werre xpent uvar it : and we may well beliove hims. for the primesple. that wan w in enforrest was new asat atarilits. It was thu -
 Lestemate uajuat! mal withuut a jurlomonat. he is to have a remmely by ruyal writ: a jury is so be summonsond: 48 tho


 two other waye: by the une we may ahow what in beomat dosse for our private. by the uthere what wa buing done for aur pasblue.
 fownership or beat gughe, in to lee prituctexl by an usasually rapiel nomenty: (2) The meinin of a free cenomotit, im zmatere of what luml it be holdent, in guntoctenl by the king. Horvalters in wostexust with jroperty law we nay apmok of the prorate esdet uf chan new reuredy ami of iter relatiots to the actio apola of thoo
 public law that tho king ham land down The ewturadup uf land may we a matter for the feudal courts. the kuig himedi wall
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 than lloif.




 dr hotern whethe otio ono.

At mous time of snother in his reign Henry went further The greal than this He docreed that no man need answer for his free
pises temamerb withrus royal writi He decreed aleo that in a yerreieresy getion for land ancacrion proceeding_in the fendal court, the defending party the'tenant' as he was called, might Eivetha astion ramoved into the kingle oauct and the whole gantion of right determined by the verdict of neightosive. In twis enee the inqueet bears the name of 'the grand ascise". It is a fir more colemn afinir than the assise of novel disseisin and it eppents to the question of best right. The term 'grand asaise': world noem to poimat to some great ordinance; but the thought cancot but weoar to us that the three' principles which we have buro atented may have been ansounced, and that the institutions whint wert to maintain them may have been fashioned, at one nd the same time. In every case we see the roysl protection of possession. No one in to be disseised of hie tree tenement unjustly and withont a judgment; no one is to be disseised of his free tenement even by a judgment unless he has beer surmmoned to answar by a royal writ; no one is to be forced to defend his sejsin- of a free tenement by battles. The ordinance that instituted the grand aspize was a one-sided measure, a protection of possessors. The claimant had to offer battle; the possessor, if he pleased, might refuse battle and put himself upon the grand assize.

Then to all seeming the council held at Northampton in The assise 1176 instituted a second possessory assize, the assize of mort of mart ${ }_{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{m}$ meestor. d'ancestor (assisa de morte antecessoris ${ }^{4}$ ). Apparently we have the words whereby this was accomplished, though the practice of the courts soon left those words behind it. The principle of

[^98]${ }^{4}$ Ass. Northampt. c. 4.

the novel disuristh in that one mata, exen though he clatmen and if actuatly haw the ownership of the latul. is nol so turs another man out of pmserssion without tirat obtasinisg a judguseat. The pronequle of the moore diancentor is that if a man has died in sersin, that is, prosaresion of a henemernt, and was nois bolding it as a mere lifr-tenant, his heir is entithel to ohtain prowsesaios of
 clains and actually haw a boiter right to the lund than the dead
 action : it in not wo be nowertas! hy ' melf-help,' by a meraure of the vacant tenememe. Another ned $n$ heavy hluw in thun strwek at feudal juatice, for the defendant in an manze uf mapt daberentiop in very likely th be the dend tenant' x lanl, who will harn mizall the landa upin mome protext of tonkisg gond his mergional
 the gurationsan whether the dead man diad mound and whether the clnutinut in has heir will iw deecised by verdies.
The amper of 10strint prosens. minst.
sicancely feen ioporitant thas lotggatient abeist land is hes. gntion about the advowsoas of churchess. Henry hen hime ancereat as ayainat the chureh that nuch latgatent lothoge to a kempural firum, and an agninat the foudatemte that it belowes to the king's own court'. A pruprietary arthen for ath atrowana runast he begun in the kinge' mourt by myal wnt, ' wnt uf nght of mbluwsin': the clatmane mise uffer batile: has wivenary
 titue or anothor during has rejgu Horry gave a prowneory action, the asaize of darresn prementan-ont (anerea if elfomes presentatione), which stands to the writ of nughe of miviowein in
 stands to the writ of right for land. If the church us vacant

 should the given. Fixperially necowory th the aftor the lataron Coumejl of 1179 , for whould! the church remang vacant for a fow tanenthe the dicuasati lowhing will till up the onemoter? The prisesple of the bew maize is, slonply stateat. thie. H0, whon prosented lase thene, let hem prosent thas time alace, bus thes withour prejuctice son may 'fuestome of rixhs.' Ao bayuest of

[^99]CE. VI.]
The Age of Glanvilh.
149
kns, neighbours is summoned to declare who it weas that prebented the last parson ${ }^{1}$,

Thus the sworn inquest beging to meke its way into our Antom ordinary civil procedure. In a proprietary action for land or for edvowson, the 'tanant;' the pessive party, ramy, rejecting battle, "put himself apons the grand assize of our lord the king," and an inquest will than declare who hos the better right. In four cther ansea a plaintiff may begin proceedings by obtaining a reyal writ, which will direct that an inquest shall answer a partioniler question formulated in the writ. These four cases we the unbjeot-matter of the four petty assizes, (1) the assise atrume, (2) the novel diseeisin, (3) the mort d'ancestor, (4) the darrein preemanment. It is probable that for a short while a faw other casee were mat in a similar fashion; but in a little time we have these four and only theee four petty assires, Orly in these four instances does the writ which is the first step in the procedure, 'the original writ,' direct the empaielling of in inquest. Trial by jury, in the narrowest. sense of thet tera, trial by jury as distinct from trial by an assive, slowly ereeps in by another route. The principle from which it atartes is simply this, that if in any action the litigants by their pleadings come to an issue of fact, they may agree to be bound by the verdict of a jury and will be bound accordingly. In course of time the judges will in effect drive litigants into such agreements by saying, 'You must accept your opponent's offer of a jury or you will lose your cause'; but in theory the jury only comes in after both parties have consented to accept its verdict. An assize, other than a grand assize, is summoned by the original writ; it is summoned at the same time that the defendant is summoned and before his story has been heard; a jury is not summoned until the litigants in their pleadings have agreed to take the testimony of 'the country' about some matter of fact. In course of time the jury, which has its roots in the fertile ground of consent, will grow at the expense of the assize, which has sprung from the stony soil of ordinance. Even an assisa when summoned will often be turned into a jury (vertitur in juratam) by the consent of the parties. But still trial by jury, if we use this term in a large sense, and neglect some technical details, is introduced by the ordinances -199: of Henry II. as part of the usual machinery of civil justice.

[^100]Already before the end of his reign it tills a lange space un

 aath-helpers untul 1 sisis: but from this moment onwarts they are bring pusherl isue the backgroumal.
Theny atem
 14 wnts is the growth of that sywtom of original wrom which is mono to bervase the ground-plan of all eivil jereteca For a long time part the king at the instance of complainanta han inaud writs, which either bulle their adverearien appear in the noyad
 to the care of the sherift or of the feudal lond and mmamaudesl that righe shuuld be done to them in the onurty court or the migmorial court. such writs were wont to pmafy with mome particularity the anbject-matter of the comoplant. The aheriff, for example, wan not memely told to rentirtaid a stut which the shisur of Abugglou was brugugg agtumet the them of Scationn: he was cold to do full nghe to tho abbust in the mateop of $a$ aluice which, mothe the alleged, had bees troken by the mea of citanton. As the king's interference becomes more finyuent and more nuraml. the work of pwamg nurh wrice will
 follow preverdents and kewp blank forman A elowncatung of whis will be the utucerne, motre will be gronted more ur lieso as
 thome which are directed to a fiendal lowd will be destungushod from thome whach ane directed to a sheritf; thom when tud the aherafi de jisatice. from thime whinh bind bims autmmon the
 uwserahip of tand from thiew whech revete so detbes Hus the

 Lave as row promeedun appleypriate tor them and are growmod
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a proprietary action for land begun by a writ of right; both of them will differ from an action of debt, and sven between the pereral posesesory acsives many distinotions must be drawn, in partioniar as to the number of 'essoines,' excuses for nonappearance, that the litigants may proffer. Thus before the end of Henry's reign we must alreendy begin to think of coynl justion-and thin is becoming by far the most important kind of juation-an consinting of many varions commodities calk of which is kept in a diffirent receptacle. Between these the weuld-be litigant mut make his ohoice; he must choose en repmeriase writ and with it an appropriate form of action, These wires are exposed for sale; perhaps some of them may ahready he had atared prioes, for others a bargain must be treok Ae yat the king is no mere vendor, he is a manuencturer and oase make goode to order. The day has not yot come when the invention of new writs will be hampered by the chime of a parlinment. But still in Clienvill's day the Ficina inctition hine slready a considerable store of ready-made wares and Rengtich haw is already taking thie form of a commentery apoie with

The acoosing jury also hae become part of the ordinary The mechanism of justice. The first definite tidings that we get of jury. it are somewhat puzzling. To all seeming Henry insisted, first for Normandy in the year 1159, and then for England in the year 1164, that the ecclesiastical courts ought to make use of this institation. Laymen ought not to be put to answer in those courts upon a mere unsworn suggestion of ill fame. Either someone should stand forth and commit himself to a definite accusation, or else the ill fame should be sworn to by twelve lawful men of the neighbourhood summoned for that purpose by the sheriff: in other words, the ecclesiastical judge ought not to proceed ex officio upon private suggestions ${ }^{2}$.

[^101] and at the ennue time wo besertang that it in an anciems sule. Frosen than we may perhupe infer that the aymendad jury descnted to us by Regino of I'rim, had treen known in Noruandy-it may be, in Eighland aleor-but that of Iate $1 t$ hud boen thrust ande by a laxer procedure which was leas taur us tho laty. Thia jart of the stery munt n-man very utware'. However is 1366 the acensing jury becomen prominesat. In every county thilve mell of every huminal and four then of overy Lownahip art to nwosar that they will make trise anower wo the guestion whet her any man is reputed to have been gually of onurder, robbery, lasseay, of harbournog ermmimals athem the kingis enrumation Those who aro thum accosmed mast go the the urdeal. Eiven if they are surocessful there, evern, that in woy. though the judgment of Uowl is in their favour, they truse abjure the realm. Ten yeam lator at Northampton a aharper edge was given $W$ than bew wenpon, forgery and anman ware added th the list of crimes for which royusuitarn was to bo tanulo; the criminal wha failout at tho orevoal was to lowe a haud besode that fewt of wheh the varlier undmance deprivend hame. The new untmance was to endure dunng the klige gewad plinanure. Such iuquister were to be Lakea befure the istownat juxtices of the king; they wave almon to be talsen by the wheriffe, and here we tmy wow the urigin of thuec inquiations infer erime wheh in luser days the sheriff makes iwsee a year as he cakiop of hin 'tarn' through the handrede'. Eviry tunt shat the jwatome are sent on theor rounds the king can at pleasure add to the

[^102]list of questions that they are to put to the jurers; in the next century that list, the articles of the eyre (coupituld itineris), will be loun and will be constantly growing longer. Clusely connectect with the disonvery of erimes is the ascertainment of the king's rights. Criminal justice is one source of revenue, but there are others, and the inquest may be used for their detection. From the verdicts of local juries the king collects whatever information he may require about his deniesne lands. his feudal rights, the receipts of his sheriffs, the misconduct of his officers.

There can be no doubt that one result of these various stmeture measures was $\omega$ increase at a rapidly sccelerating mate the in the amount of judicial busicess that was transucted in the king's cwart uame. The functions of his court were changed and a correspording change in its structure became necessary: It was no louger to be an extraordiuary tribunal, a court for great men, for great causes, for matters that concirued the king; th tras to beeome ann ordmary tribunal fur the whete -walm. Mauy dufficultes, however, meet us if we attenpt to detine the structural changes. In the first place, we are tempted to use terms which are more precise than those that were current in the twelfth century. In particular we are wont to speak of the Curna Regis without remembering that the definite article is not in our documents. Any court held in the king's name by the krag's delegates is Curia Regis. Thun the inatitution of what in caurse of time will be a new tribunal, a Court of King's Bench or a Court of Common Pleas, may be found in some smatI rearrangement. some petty technical change, which at the mument passes unatieed. In the second plate, the form Which his court shall take, the mode iu which it shall do justice, theme are matters for the king; he is very free to denide them frum day w day as he pleases, and this by a few sproken words. In the thind place, we have direet evidenee that Henry tried experiment after experiment? He was keenly interested in (3iw) the work of justice nnd lenrnt from year to year the lessons that experience taught him. Therefure it is but too prossible that we may give undue weight to this or that passage in a chrumele. However, from the year 1178 we hear that the king

[^103]has chown five ment, two clerks and thime laymeto. who ane skot wedepurt fruts the kugg's court hut are whear all tho rathplainte of the kingolom: quertions that they can but deride are to be remerved for the kugg and ham wise men'. We inere sece the definite melection of a mall mumber of men whe ane to don juation habitually. The ceure that they are ur hulif in en be a pernament and a central conurt ; but a nemerve of jus! ice it bo remain in the büp aut has tuwacilain. It as probable that We have here a measure of great permanemt impurtace: Frimo
 put befone us a cribunal whech in the maits lis like that heros deacribevi. It whe terna nfter iorm. usually at Wiwtmuncter. often at the exchequer. It is onnatituted by the kioge's mant trunted mivines. Thene is Rasulf dansill who in 11 mo becanse cheet juaticiur. There are the three fammun clerke whe have served Henry wrill during the fierne alrif. with Buekot, Ruchant of Iteheater, buw behorp of Wisechezer, John of IXfird nuw bixhop of Nurwich, (bathlory Ratel, now bashop of Kily. There to the tronulurer, Richard sun of Nigel, who in to bo biwhop of Lamben. A litte Inter there is Hubers. Wialeer, whon an rigng to
 beruna are conampeusandy aboent. Wi. cent not tix the number of the jesutiven sinmesmes len or twallor will be mentomerd.
 junticiar, the tramuras, ewal or thrive bethogres. will usually to


 thenta, trying now one mast aryl traw another ${ }^{\circ}$.
 ruars





[^104]Pugica. It can be diatinguished from the exchequer, for, though it ofton site at the exchequer, and though its principal justices vill be aloo the principal barons of the exchequer!, it has a coal of its own and may well sit away from Weetminstar, while the fiscal business could hardly be transected elsowhere: It can be distinguished from those great councils of prelates and nobles that the king holds from time to time; questions too high for it are to be reserved for auch councils Probably it is already getting the name of 'the bench' and ilu justıces are "justices residing at the bench ":. Though it is curria Regin and capitalis curia Rogis it ia not necesearily held coram ipso Rege. Apparently the writa that summon litigente before it, bid them appear 'before the king or before his justicas,' that is to say, before the king if he happans to be in England and doing justice, and if not, then before his justices". No doubt when the king is in this country he will sometimes preside in court, but whether the justioes will then follow the king in his progresses, we can not say for certain'; as a matter of faot during the lant eight years of his reign the king's visita to Enghand were neither frequent nor long. Weatiminster seem: to be heeoming the home of this tribunal; but as yet all its errangements are easily altered.

The visitation of the counties by itinerant justices has Itinerant become systematic. From the early years of the reign we juntices. hear of pleas held on circuit by Richard Lucy the chief justiciar, by Henry of Essex the constable, and by Thomas Becket the chancellor. In 1166 the assize of Clarendon was enforced by a party of justices headed by Richard Lucy and Earl Geoffrey of Mandeville. In 1168 Richard of Ilchester, Guy the dean of Waltham, William Basset and Reginald Warenne visited most of the counties. In 1175 the north and east were perambulated by Ranulf Glanvill and Hugh of Cressi, the south and west by William of Lanvallei and Thomas Basset, while the king himself seems to have been journeying with other justices in his suite ${ }^{7}$. p isf In 1176 to execute the assize of Northampton eighteen justices

[^105]Were remplayed and the country was divulud infon six mevinea: in $117!$ twonty-nime juntices ware emplayed and the country whe divided inth four encuita, ambeed from 11 ilionwanda harily a year went ly without thore being a vintation of mame pat of Eingland. Thowe ituaraat junctoes seem to have beoth chiefly emplayead in herning che pleas of the erown (fur whwts purpmes they were empuppes with the power of ublanaing accuation= from the local jurnos) atad in matertaning some ur all of the new In⿻owsomory artions. The court that they held was, as already mid, curca Kectus ; but it was tunt copmealis curin RNos, and
 comanasion. They were nut aeseeswarily membere uf the central cours, and they might be nusmoned bofure it se bear veroumb of thoir daisgne? ; atill it was unual that each party of jumberme ahousd inctude nomer fow mombers of the personnomt tatbunal. Also the countion were frimurntly vimited for fiscal purpines,
 aidu aad tallages, while the chief jurtice of the fomat oftern traversed the land and afflocted the poople.

Cemen ther bonges nomert

No juitemal rollh of the rosigh have cume down to un bet durmg the lase yeass of it such reconta wero beong compuled? For our kawwlengee of what weat on in the courte we hate still
 us not the usual but the extmontimary. Whe dare not. fow example. druw many getseral mferinees about the ownatstutsun and pricertiure of the king'a conft frien that famote mestee in the cautle of Nurthampton, in whach Heary and Burikus were the pribespal acturw. We see, buswever, that, avers thought the keng
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[^106], 158) suit betweeu the shhut of Buttle and the bishop of Chichester, wnother of a similar suit between the abbot of St Albans and the bishop of Lincoln. In both cases abbatial privileges were urged against episcopal rights; in both the bishop practically lost his cause: but in both papal claums were involved, and the king, who had no mind to brenk with the perpe, succeeded in bringing alout what was in form a compmonise; in nether case therefore was a judgment prosounced In the ove', which occurred in 1157, the king sat in the chapter house of the monks at Colchester. Around him were the two archbishops, three bishops, his chancellor (Becket), the two chief justiciars (the Earl of Leicester and Richand Luey) and several other barons, while the hall was filled liy no stmall mulfitude of the people". At times, it would seem, the king retired with a few chusen councillurs, the chancellor, the two justiciars, the constables of England and Normandy, a chamberlain and a clerk, and gave a private audience to one of the parties. Sowe of the principal members of the court had openly and warmly taken sides before the discussion began. The justiciar Lucy was the abbot's brother, and played the part of an advocate rather than of a judge; the chancellor alse had expoused the abbut's cause, and they and uther members of the court took counsel with the alibot while the case was proceeding. The dispute between the abbot of St Albana and the biabop of Lincoln ${ }^{3}$ was heard by the king in the chapel of St Catherne at W'eatmaster in the year 1163 . He was surruunded by the prelates and nobles; no less than thirteen bishops were prevent. But agnin we see the king retiriug to consult with a much arnaller body, which consisted of the Earl of Leicenter, Reclard de Hommet the constable of Nommandy, and that "xpurt clerk, Richard of Ilchester. Along with these he carefully pertimed the Sit Albans charters, and showed, so the monks said, a wiwlom comparable to that of Solomon', for he declated that the unsealed laud-books of the Aaglo-Siaxon kings were *- givel as realed since they were contirmed by a sealed charter of Henary I. In vain another of the king's contalential clerks,
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[^108]the army for the expedition to Toulouse ; Richard bad to go es proceed despite the war. The litigation went on for another year, during which he appeared in the archbishup's court on some ten different oecasions. Once more he had to visit France, for he required the king's licence for an appeal to the pope. He sent his clerks to Rome and the pope appointed judges delegate. Then his adversary appealed, and again ho had to send representatives to Rome. At length the pope decidend in his favour. Thereupon the case came back to the royal court and week after week be had to follow it. The king appointed two justices to hear his cause, and at longth by the king's grace and the judgment of the king's court he obtained the wished for lands!. Many comments might be made upon this story. It will not escape us that in these early years of Henry's reign royal justice is still very royal indeed. Though the king has left his justiciar in England, there is no one here who can jessue what we might have supposed to be ordinary writs, A great change is this most important particular must soon have taken piace. The judicial rolls of Richard L's reign are largely occupied by accounte of law-suits about very small pieces of ground between men of humble atation, men who could not have laboured as Anesty laboured or spent money as he spent it. But throughout his reign Henry took an active share in the work of justice. Even when he had appointed judges to hear a cause, they vonld_advise the successiul Titipant to wait until a judgment could be given by the king's own mouth ${ }^{2}$. He was at heart a lawyer, quite competent to criticize minutely the wording of a charter, to frame a new clause and give his vice-chancellor a lesson in conveyancing ${ }^{*}$; quite willing on the other hand to confess that there were problems that he could not solve4. No doubt he sold his aid; he would take gifts with both hands; he expected to be paid for his trouble. He sold justice, but it was a better article than was to be had elsewhere.

[^109]106m merns.
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[^110]policy was at work in every corner of Western Christendom, Very different were they from the English judges of the fourteenth century. Law and literature grew up together in the court of Henry II. Roger Hoveden the chronicler ${ }^{1}$ and Walter Map the satirist ${ }^{2}$ were among bis itinerant justicess. Law becomes the subject of literature in the Dialogue on the Exchequer and the treatise ascribed to Glanvill.

## n. 100j

The Dislogus de Scaceario is an anonymous book, but there can be little doubt that we are right in ascribing it to Richard Fitz Neal : that is to say, to Richard the sou of that Nigel, bishop of Ely, who was the nephew of Roger, bishop of Salisbury, the great minister of Henry I." For three generations, firat Roger, then Nigel, then Richard, held high officea in the king's court and exchequer. Richard himself became tmesaurer in or sbout the year 1158 ; in 1189 he became bishop of Lundon, but he retained the treasurership until his death in 11884. He was a well-educated man, knew something of the clossical Latin literature, had heard of Aristotle and Plato, could make a hexameter upon occasion, and was fond of the technical terms of logics ; he acted as a royal justice ; he wrote a hustory of his own time, the lost Tricolumnis"; but above all he was a financier and knew all that experience and tradition could teach about the history and practice of the exchequer. He seems to have set to work on his Dialogue in the year 1177, and to have finished it in 1179 or thereabouts, when already for twenty years be had been the king's treasurer?.

The book stands out as an unique book in the history of Dinlogao medieval England, perhaps in the history of medieval Europe. choquer. A high officer of state, the trusted counsellor of a powerful king, undertakes to explain to all whom it may concern the machinery of government. He will not deal in generalities, he will condescend to minute details. Perhaps the book was not meant for the general public so much as for the numerous clerks who were learning their business in the exchequer!, but

[^111]- Liebermann, pp. 38, 42, 54.
${ }^{5}$ Ibid. p. 81.
${ }^{7}$ Ibid. p. 10.
- Ibid. p. 96.
R. M. I.
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${ }^{3}$ 1ुन्त रat thintuplay.

that Henry would not bave bestowed upon an untried man; Glanvill held it for seven years. In 117t, being then sheriff of Lancashire and custodian of the honour of Richmond, he did a signal service to the king and the kingdom. At a critical moment he surprised the invading Scots near Alnwick, defeated them and captured their king. From that time forward he Was a prominent man, high in the king's favour, a man to be employed as general, ambassador, judge and sheriff. In 1180
242] The became chief justiciar of Eagland, prime minister, we may say, and viceroy. Kenry seems to have trusted him thoroughly and to have found in him the ablest and most faithful of survants. Henry's friends had of necessity been Richard'a enemies, and when Heary died, Richard, it would ssem, hardly knew what to do with Glanvill. He decided that the old statesman should go with him on the crusade. To Acre clanvill weat and there in the early autuma of 1190 he died of sickness.

Whether he wrote the book that has long borne his name is Practatua a duubtful question. Sume words of the chronicler Kuger bus. Hoveden, his contemporary, may mean that he did write it; but they are obscure words'. On the other hand, the title which it generally bears in the manuscripts seems to imply that he did not write it. It is called 'A. Treatise on the Laws
${ }^{1}$ Hoveden (ii. 215) nuder the year 1180 asya that Henry appointed as justiciar Ranuli Glancill 'cuius sapientia conditae sunt leges subseriptse quas Anglicanal vocemus.' On this there follow (1) one set of the Leges Willelmi (Hic intimatur), (2) the Leges Edwardi, (3) \& genealogy of the Norman dukes, (4) an Exporitio Vocabulorum or glossary of A.-S. legal words, (5) the treatise in queation, (6) certain assizes of Heary II. We may regard it as certain that Glanvill did not compose 1 or 2 ; also that the man who composed 5 did not compose 2. The question remains whether Hoveden's 'condidit leges' covers all this legal etaff or is specially attributable to 5 , the treatise on the leges Anglicanae. In the former case it must bear a very vague meaning it oan mean littie more than that Glanvill administered English law in accordance with those documents which Hoveden is going to transoribe; the phrase is hardly better than an ercuse for the introduction of a mass of legal matter. In the latter cace we atill have to ask what Hoveden meant by 'oondidit leges,' This would be a strange phrase whareby to describe the compilation of a treatise. In the contemporary Dialogre (ii. 14) it is used of a legislator. The treatise undoubtedly eets forth the law as administered by the royal court under Glanvill's presidency. Hoveden, so it seems to us, mesns no more than this. It is farly certain that Hoveden found 1, 2 and 3 already hitohed together so as to forma whole, which Dr Liebermann calle Tripartita, and not improbable that the treatise known to us as Glanvill had already been tacked on to this Tripartite. $80 e$ Liebermann in Zeitschrift fur romanisohe Philologie, xix. 81.
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[^112]for, though we would gladly know the name of the man who wrote our first classical text-book, it is plain that be was one who was very familiar with the justice done in the king's court during the last years of Henry II. We may go further. we may safely say that it was not written without Glanvill's permission or without Henry's.
p.14] The writer kuew something of Roman and of canon law. Romanand Perhaps he had read the Institutes; probably his idea of what in tho a law-book should be had been derived fron some one of the Tractatur. many small manuals of romano-canonical procedure that were becoming current'. He does not however adopt the arrangement of the Institutes as the plan of his treatise, and he can not have followed any foreign model very far. The first sentences of his book sre a good example of his method:-- Of pleas some are civil, some are criminal. Again, of eriminal pleas some pertain to the crown of our lord the king, othere to the sheriffs of the counties. To the king's crown belong these: the crime which in the [Roman] lavs is called crimen laesue marestatis,-ss by slaying the king or by a betrayal of his person or realm or army, 一the concealment of treasure trove, breach of his peace, homicide, arson, robbery, rape, forgery, and the like, We have but to contrast these sentences with the parallel passages, if such we may call them, in the Leges Henrici to see the work of the new jurisprudence ${ }^{2}$. The dilemma 'criminal or civil' is offered to every plea. This is new and has been foreign to English law. In the disorderly list of the pleas of the crown a great simplification has been effected: homicide, for example, is now always a plea of the crown, and we can finish the list with a 'si quae sunt similia' which leaves scope for rationalism. And yet the materials that are used are ancient; the terms which describe the crimen laesae maiestatis
mark of a particular family, that to which the great arohbighop belonged. Bracton therefore seems to be choosing the rare name of a man who has been dead these fifty yearn. May he not be coupling with his own name that of his only predecessor in English legal literature, whose book he has been constantly using? However this is no more than a suggestion. For arguments against Glanvill's claim to the treatise, see Hunter, Fines, i. p. Iv; on the other side, Foss, Judges of England, i. 181; Liebermann, Einleitung, p. 73.
${ }^{1}$ Mach first-hand knowledge of the Roman texts is not to be inferred from an imitation of the opening sentenoes of the Institutea, from the occurrence of sach phrases as 'quod principi placuit,' 'melior est conditio possidentis,' or from occasional allusions to the 'leges et canones.'
${ }^{2}$ Leg. Hen. c. 10.
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[^113]A version of it known as Regium Maiestatem became current in Scotland ${ }^{\text { }}$.

We may fairly asy that under Henry II. England takes for English P 146; a short while the lead among the states of Europe in the continental production of law and of a national legal literature. No other prince in Europe could have enforced those stringent assizes, and he could not have enforced them in all of his continental dominions. The most in thle way of legislation that a king of the French could do, the most that an emperor could do in Oermulny, was to make for the maintenance of the pence rather a trenty with his vassale than a law for his subjects". No one hand been legislating since the last (arolingians issued the last capitularies; law had been taking the form of multitudivous lueal customs. The claims of the renovated, the scientitic, Romuan law were unbounded; but north of the Alps it was only beginning to influence the practice of the temporal tribunals. We can not call Glanvill's treatise the earliest textboak of feadal jurisprudence, for parts at lenst of the Lithri Fendurum, the work of Lombard lawyers, belong to the first balf of the twelfth century, and some parts of the Assizes of Jepusalem, though not in the form in which they have come down to us, may be older than the English book; but in the production of such a book England stands well in advance of France and Germany'. Moreover it is noticeable that in France
${ }^{1}$ The Regiam Maiestatem is collated with Glanvill in vol. i. of the Acte of the Parliment of Scotland. Neilson, Trial by Combat, p. 104: "Either the Begiam wat compiled in the first half of the thirteenth century, say between 1200 and 1230 ...or it was compiled from materials of the law of that period.' Glanvill's Treatise was printed by Tottel without dete about 1554; later editions were published in 1604, 1673, 1780; an English version by Beames in 1812. It will also be found in Hovard's Coutumes anglo-normandes and in Phillips's Englische Rechtageschichte. A new edition is wanted.
${ }^{2}$ What is accounted the most ancient ordinance of a Franoh king comes from Loais VII. in 1155 : it establishes a 'peace' for ten years: Viollet, Histoire du droit civil trançais, p. 152; Esmein, Histoire du droit françajs, ed. 2, 488. From Germany also we have as yet merely Landfriedensgesetze which utrive to set limits to private war: Schrơder, D. R. G. p. 688.
${ }^{3}$ The Libri Feudorum in their present state are a composite work, some -parte of which may even go back to the last years of the eleventh century: an edition by K. Lehmann is appearing in parts. See Lehmann, Das langoberdische Lehnrecht, 1896 ; Schröder, op. cit. 668. The Assises for the Cour des Bonrgeois were compiled, it is said, between 1173 and 1180, a few years before Glanvill's treatise: Viollet, p. 170; Brunner in Holtzendorff's Encytlopiëdie, p. 310. The Aesises for the Hante Cour are of later date.
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[^114]all ages in the form of a magnificent series of judicial records. Our extant ples rolls go back to the year 1194, the great series of the 'feet of fines' (ducuments which tell us of the compromises, the final concords, made in the king's court.) begins in 1195. The chancery then takes up the tale; all that goes on therein is punctasilly recorded upon the charter, patent, close and fine rolls. The historian of law and constituHen tion has no longer to complain of a dearth of authentic materials; soon he is overwhelmed by them?

Richard's reign, despite the exciting political struggles Richards which filled its first years, was ou the whole a time of steady if Jolunn an uppreasive government, and the same may be said of so much of John's reign as had elapsed before he quarrelled with the church. The system created by Henry II. was so strong that it would do its work though the king was an absentee. Term Theoentral after term, at least from 1194 opwards, a strong central court. ${ }^{\text {cours. }}$ ssit at Westminster. Until the middle of 1198 its president was the archbishop Hubert Walter, and shortly after he had resigned the justiciarship be became chancellor. During the autumn term of 1196 , to take one example, we may see him presiding in court on October 13, 15, 17, 18, 19, 21, 22, 24, 28 29,30 , November 4, 6, 12, 13, 14, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 27, 28, 29, and December 1, 2, 3, 4 and 6, until we wonder when he found time for the duties of his archiepiscopate ${ }^{2}$. As justiciar he was succeeded by a lay baron, Geoffrey Fitz Peter, who held the office until his death in 1213; he is one of the first of English laymen who is famed for his knowledge of law'. Another Tayman who comes to the front as a great judge is Simon Pateshull'; he may well have been the father of the yet more celebrated Martin Pateshull whom Bracton revereds. Already

1 The earliest of the known plea rolls has lately been published by the Pipe Roll Society; others of Richard's and John's reigns have been published by the Becord Commissioners and the Selden Society. The earliest charter rolls, patent rolla, close rolls have been pablished by the Record Commissioners.
${ }^{2}$ Feet of Fines, 7 \& 8 Ric. I (Pipe Roll Soc.) p. 3 fi.
${ }^{2}$ Mat. Par. ii. 558: 'Erat autem firmissima regni columna, utpote vir generosus, legum peritus, thesaaris, redditibus, et omnibus bonis instauratus, omnibus Angliee magnatibus sanguine vel amicitia confoederatrs.'

- Mat. Par. iii. p. 296 : 'qui quandoque habenas sane moderabatur totius regni iustitiarii.' Ibid. 542: 'cuius sapientia aliquando tota Anglia regebatur.'
'See Baker's Fistory of Northamptonshire, i. 267; also Dict. Nat. Biog. He certainly was the father of Hugh Pateshull, who was for a while treasurer to Henry III. and became bishop of Licbfield. Simon had a clerk called Martin; Seleet Pleas of the Crown (Seld. Soc.), pl, 18.
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[^115]had as of course, had grown within the intervening forty years. A new form of action might be easily created. A few words said by the chancellor to his clorka- 'Such writs as this are for the future to be issued as of course'-would be as effectual as the most solemn legislation". As yet there would be no jealousy between the justices and the chancellor, nor would they easily be induced to quash his writs.

It is not for un here to relate the events which led to the exaction and grant of the Great Charter, to repeat its clauses, or even to comment on all the general characteristics of that many-sided inatrument. In form a donation, a grant of francbises freely made by the king, in reality a treaty extorted from him by the confederate estates of the realm, a treaty which threatens him with the loss of his lend if he will nuti abide by its terms, it is also a long and miscellaneous code of laws ${ }^{3}$. Of course it is not lang when compared with a statute of the eighteenth century; more words than it contains have often been spent opon some trifling detail. But, regard being had to its date, it is a lengthy document ${ }^{\text {d }}$. Every one of its brief sentences is aimed at some different object and is full of future law. The relative importance of its various clanses historians will measure by various standards. It is a great thing that the king should be forced to promise that no scutage bhall be levied save by the common counsel of the realm, and that an attermpt should be made to define the pational assembly It is a great thing that he should be forced to gay. ' No free man_shall he taken_or imprisoned or disseised or outlawed or exiled or in any wise destroyed, save by the
${ }^{1}$ This Irish Register of Writs is demoribed in Harvard Iaw Review, iii. 110. The me. is Cotton, Julios, D. 11.
s Bot. Clans. Joh. p. 32. A writ of 1205, whioh in technical terms is 'a writ of entry tur diseeisin in the per,' has against it the note 'Hoc breve de cetero erit de carnu.'
${ }^{3}$ Charter 1215, o. 1: 'Concesaimus etiam omnibus liberis hominibus regni nonari, pro nobis et heredibus nostris in perpeturm, omnes libertates subexiptas, habendes ot tenendas eis et heredibus sois de nobis et heredibus nostris.' Byc. 61 power is given the twenty-five barons to distrain the king 'per captionem castroram, terrarum, possesaionum et aliis modis quibus poterant...ealva persona nostra et reginae nostrae et liberorum nostrornm.'

- For an interesting discuasion of a doomment profesaing to be a copy of an earlice charter of liberties, see E. H. R. vii. 288 (Round); ix. 117 (Prothero), 326 (Hall).
- Charter, 1215, c. 12, 14.
lawful judmonet of has peors or the law of the land!" Bus eventa will whow that solme of throse celebrated rinumen are prematans, whike otherm are sagose nrud can be oludid. In the end the very defmite protonses abrut smaller mastern promianes which are alwo lawn-are perhups of gniater value. Precine limita ane get to rognt clatima the atrict terman of money, tume ard ypuce: - the reluef for a knughtis ter is nut to excoesd une butuleed shillenga: the king will huld the felonis land for a year und a day nad bu lunger; nll wains in the Thanoes, in the Merday or clew whens in Kingland, saver aloug the evart of the sen, whall be destoynd'. Sumb protasomen ent be enfinead by courts of law, which can hardly enfores ngninat the biog hio crivigant that he will not sell or delay or deng juntion, and thas be will approtut as julgeen only thome whe kituw the law -


## Pratime

Urex ise
maxter of theccluerles
(1) the whole, the charter constaina little that in almaluse ly new. It is restorative. Juhn in theser lane gram has lewiss bonking the law: therofore the law muat le detizevl and met in writing. In eeteral intatieces wee can prove thas the rule that in land dow: in one that wag wherved during the easly gast of his mognc. In the man the reforms of Horsty 11 is dny are

 conternt unlow four timen in every gatar twon roynl juntices comber into every caunty for the purpuen of pofforming thems. It a fow
 cemealatead The vague harge promise that the chur ho of Eingland


 iary actons in ack tow ledgell : Henry II would harit! biace tron
 harm to the form of kinglaoh law. lar law benp und ruyal guatume will smen be itwoutug elabornse devicen for iarciomonotiog a

[^116]principle which they can not upenly attack!. Even in the most famous words of the charter we may ductect a feudal claim which wall only cease to be dangerous when in course of time men have dhaturted their meaning: -a man is entitled to the judgment of his peers; the king's justices are no peers fur carls ar 432j barums. Fioreign merchants may freely come and go ; they may duell here and buy and sell; yes, but all cities and boroughs are wenjoy all their franchises and free custome, and often rfowligh in the conning centuries they will asgert that their dearest frumbise is that of 1 xcluding or sppressing the foreigner? And yet, with all its faults, this document becomes and rightly beronnes a sacred text, the nearest approach to an irrepealable - fundronemul shatute' that England has ever had. In age after age a contirmation of it will be demanded and granted as a remedy for thuss: oppressions from which the vealm is suffering, and this when some of its clauses, at least in their original uesaning, have become bupelessly antiquated. Fur in brief it means this, that the kiug is and shall be below the law ${ }^{3}$

[^117]
## 'HAPTER VII.

## THE AGE OF BHALTIN:

Melen of Thes reign of Henry IIl. (1216-i2) in th the buntury of o wh
Hewy 14 our law nas ages of rapind, but stosady and permatsent spowetho


 the detate of a selheme wheh in wot before them Re unaltarabloos Is is ibstionlt for nuy hate.isuns not tul lake a arde its the peltitend strugate wheh fills the reigis, the wimmeriug die-
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 might be patiently furtioe. Bitt, Joving the palitical ant cun-
 at the end. will make a brim survey of what has bean dume if the nalm of law.




254] knowr. The sentences which define iustitia, iurisprudentia, ius naturale, ius gentium, ius civile, snd so forth, were copied or inituted ; but, any real knowledge of Romsn bistory being still in the remote future, these sentences served as a check upon, rather than as an incentive to, rational speculation, In practice there is no careful discrimination between ins and les ; the whole mass of legal rules enforced by the English temporal courts can be indicated by such phrases as ius regni', lex regrisi, lea terras ${ }^{2}$, ius of consuetudo regnis, lex et consuetudo, leges et consuetudines, lei do la terre, lai et dreit de la terres. Of course ius, les and consuetudo are not in all coutexts exactly equivalent words; ius and the French dreit often stand for ${ }^{2}$ a right ${ }^{51}$; len and lei are technically used to signify the various modes of proof, such as the nath, the ordeal, the judicial combat? Glanvill and Bracton make sorae apology for giving the name leges to the unwritten laws of England ${ }^{8}$; Bracton can upon occasion contrast ounsuetudo with lex. Of coume too it is necessary at times to distinguish a new rule lately established by some authoritative act from the old rules which are conceived as having been in force from time innomemial. The rule in queation has its origin in a royal decreo or edict, in a novella constifutio of the princeps ${ }^{\text {ra }}$, in

- Clanvill, wii. 1: 'vecundum iuv regai.'
* Charter, 1215, o. 45: ' qui soiant legem regni.'

3 Ibid. 1215, c. 39: 'per legale iudicium pariam saoram vel per legem terrae.' Bracton, f. 128 b: 'atlagatus rite et secundum legem terrae.' Ibid. f. 127 b : 'ante setatem duodecim annorum non erit quis aub lege, et prius extra legem poni non poterit.' Ibid. f. 147: 'seoundam legem Romanorum, Francoram et Angloram.'

- Glanvill, vii. 12: 'secundum ius et consuetudinem regni.'
- Prov. Oxford (Belect Charters): 'La haute justice a poer de amender les tors...eolum lei et dreit de la tere. E les brefs seient pledez solum lei de la tere e en leus deries.'

6 Thus in the connt on a writ of right, "Peto terram at ius et hereditatem meam...pater mens fuit seisitus at de iure...et de eo descendit ius...et quod hoo est ins manm offero probare.'
${ }^{7}$ Dialogus, ii. 7: 'leges candentis ferri vel aquae.' Glanvill, xiv. 2: 'per legem apparentem se purgare.' Charter, 1215, c. 38: 'Nullus bullivas ponat... aliquem ad legem aimpliai loquela saa.'
' Glanvill, Prologus: 'Leges namque Anglicanas, licet non scriptas, leges appellari non videtur abeurdum.' Bracton, i. 1.

* Bracton, f. 1: 'Habent enim Anglici plarima ex consuetudine quae non hebeat ex lege."

30 Dialogus, ii. 21: 'Decrevit ením rex illustris.' Hoveden, iii. 299: ' Edictum regium.' Dialogus, ii. 1: 'ex novella constitntione, hoc est post tempore regis Henrici primi.' Glanvill, ii. 7: 'Est autem magna assisa regale quoddam beneficiam, clementia principis de consilio proceram populis induitum...legalis
provinion' made by the king with the cotrmon counail ot him prolatex and nobles, in an andize, or when we sponk in Einglunh in an 'iselaysse' -the word 'statute' is hardly yet in common
 it in to be explumed ouly by meferencee to the witi of tho. leggo. Lator?' But as yet thum is no deftinte theory as to the nolat ink
 and custrm, the relation betweent taw as it is und taw or it nught to be the nssizen of Henry II. have worked themerive int., the masa of unemacted law, anul their west woma alrondy un be forsenten. Sn the other hand the wroter of Eilmural 1 : day. whin is known to un ore Rertent, ran repposent the whis.
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 Areghonume mochatias."

Yesj bishop of Salisbury weserts s right to the church of Malnoesbury buth under the comano law and by virtne of a papal privilege ${ }^{3}$. But in truth the phraee was usual among the canonists, and they had warrant in ancient Roman texte for the use that they made of it ${ }^{2}$. Prom the eceleasatical it would easily pass into the secular courts. A bishop of Balisbury in 1258 tolle the pope how, acting as a papal delegate, he has decided that the common lew makes in favour of the rector of one church and against the vicas of another. The common law of which he speaks is the common law of the catholic church; but this bishop is no other than William of York, who owes his aoe to the good service that he has done ase a royal justice:. In comnerion with English temporal affair we may indeed find the term iks communa in the Dialogue on the Rxchequer: the forest laws which are the outcome of the king's mere will and pleasure are contrasted with the common law of the realm". A century later, in Edward I.'s day, we frequently find it, though Ins communis (commune liei) has by this time beoome the more esual phrase. The common law can then be contrasted with etatute law; still more often it is contreated with royal prerogar tive; it can alao be contrasted with local custom: in short it may be contrasted with whatever in particnlar, extruondinary, special, with 'specialty' (aliquid speciale, especialte)". When Bracton speaks of common law or common right-and this he does but very rarely-it is to distinguish from rights which have

[^118]thesr origio in sotue sperally worded contrect of dorsatsons. theme righter which are given to all men by the law of the land' It is not until then in a considerable mane of euncted law, until the kug's exceptional provaluges ane being detined, untal the place which lowal castann is to hase in the legal symert so boing fixed, that the term beconees very uneful, and it ta loug before the law yors of the ternupural courta will bear the tete-
 of holy chureh :

The mane of cuacerd law in at get by nus means hoovy. As we have suid above, ther avsures of the twolfth crontury eeen ber be alremily regarded as part of the unenacted ancient $\operatorname{lnw}$. Sie onn is at pautin $u$ g preserve their toxt. An to the Auglin-liaxost dowmen, though aneth anv still at timen evopying nad latupwing with the Latus verstutus of them, theyg are practiezally dead, atent will remain alonomt unkbown until in the sixtexenth contur!
 We have manuscipt tasay collertions of atatutaw trassember in the days of the two sime bilwanta: they medeloto, if evorp. gor bohnd Magua Carta. That (Marter takess ite phoce as the first chupter of the essarted law ; but, mas is wrll kuown, $2 t 0$
The chanters. cext is nut exnctly that which Juhn sealed at Romnguwad in 1215. Itaportant changem were aucle when it was revosued in
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1216; other important changes were made in 1217, and a LE8] few minor changee in 1225. The charter granted by Henry in 1225, when he had lately attained his majority, became the Magna Carta of future times ${ }^{1}$. He had to confirm it repestedly. These repested confirmatione whaw hard it is to hind ibe king by law. The pages of the chroniclers are full of complaints that the terms of the charter are not observed. These complaints, when they become specific, usually refer to the articles which gave to the churches the right to elect their prelates. If on the one hand the king is apt to regard the charter as a mere promise from which, if this be necessary, the pope will absolve him, on the other hand efforts are made to convert every one of ite clauses into a fundamental, irrepealable law. In 1258 with solemn ceremonial the anathems pas launched, not merely against all who should break the charter, but also against all who should take any part whatever, even the humble part of mere transeribers, in making or prumalgating or entorcing any statutes contrary to the sacred text ${ }^{\text {t }}$. This theoretical sanctity and this practical insecurity are shared with 'the Great Charter of Liberties' by the Charter of the Forent, which was issued in 1217.

The first set of laws which in later days usually bears the Prorimone name of 'statute' is the Provisions of Merton issued by the of Mestorion, king with the consent of the prelates and nobles in 1236 on the $\begin{gathered}\text { minster } \\ \text { nnd } \mathrm{Kar} \text { - }\end{gathered}$ occasion of his queen's coronation: a few brief clauses amend borougb. the law about divers miscellaneous matters ${ }^{2}$. From the time of storm and stress we have the Provisions of Westminster to which the king gave a reluctant consent in 12594. He did not hold himself bound by them; they never became a well established part of the law of the land; but in 1267, when the revolutionary period was at an end, almost all of them were reenacted with the consent of great and small as the Provisions or Statute of Marlborough ${ }^{\text {s }}$. These four documents, the two

[^119]Chartera, the Provisions of Merton and of Marlbornugh, arn thie unly documenta of Heary's reign which are gemernlly regunded in after agea as parter of the writell law, thongh wo theme wo many perhapas add the Dhetum of Kemiworth sanued in 120it, (ant esentially tempunary provisuen relating the the pintohment of the manargonta'), and a writ of 12.3 B , which has sometisne berin dyputted by the tule 'thn Sitatike of Lanp Year'; it deala with a amall mather, the cutmputation of the 'vxerescens' duy of sbe browextile. But it is only in retrmpect that the faranity of legiviatson that thern has beven appenery matall. An yout therove

 Henry'x reigh we have twether a ' meatute nall 'soor any ' nolls of porlazaent ; and we have sur newsen we believe that ans aurh recorden were kept?. Cappes of the iwu chartem wore mase ntmus the country; the only authontative necond that we have it tho Provisioss of Mertors is a writ upon the clowe mill, the anty authoritative recurls that we how of the Provinjens if Wiasmineter are writa upon the clowe and paterst mitla, nsud upen theme rollin and the juticual rollen of the king'a court we find troess of other legnalative acts, which fur otse reasoln or manther dind fove permaneutly gain the charwoter of statuhes:

Lem es matarihuse guacz minaribra, provistim met of olatusamse concordive

 regormantasua of tho Frvach forta Masioberio.
'Suseres of the Realen, i. 18.
1 Itud. p. 7. Note Mootk, i. ©s.
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And if merely formal tests fail us, so also will more material Ondinase teate, Of conrse we can mot in dealiug with Heary's day insist, and sta. that a statute must be enacted with the consent of the three estates of the realm; we may be certain that the third astate was not represented at Merton, and may gravely doubt whether it was represented at Marlborough. On the other hand, we nay sake it as generally armitted that the king can not by his nuere worri mabe law. If he legistates, this metaid be by the connsel of the prelates and nobles; even if he ordsins, this stinili be by the counsel, or at least with tre winess of his habitial councellors'. But it is not easy to mark off the province of ardinamces from the province of laws. In $12 . \% 3$ Heary issued an urdinance for the maintemance of the pewce; it contained litsle, if anytbing, that was very new. Matthew Paris tells us that be wished to add to it something that was new, foreign, Savoyard. He wished to give to ane who was robbed, an sctiun against those whose duty it was to pursue the robbers: apparently he wished to do what his son did successfully by the statute of Winchester. Pertaps he desired to imitate an ediet issued by his father-in-law Count Raymund of Provence in $12+3^{1}$. But he had to withdraw this part of his decree, beranse on large a change in the law could nat he made without the common assent of the baronagge. But between large changes and smal!, between changes and ameliorations, betwen lawr and rutes of procedure, no accurate lines could be drawn.

That the king is beluw the law is a doctrine which eyen a The ting below the law.
smportant ordinanne of $12 \overline{5}$ apainat alienation, recently discorered on the Close kutl by Mr Turner and printed by hisa in L. Q. R. xii. 2s9. Bestes all this Matthew raris mentions a conviderable number of acts of a legialative kind, e. y. rol V. pp. 15. 1N, an edict of 1218 relatian to the coinage ; p. 85 , an edict relating so rengeadce upon edulteres. The rolls of Henry's day have yet to be anrefully earitherd for the remning of legislation.
${ }^{1}$ ihnt. Groasetcate Epistolat, p. 96: Grometenta to Ialeigh: 'roothm iduta oum quod crevam ed alicaiun saggeationem sa vel alium sine primeipin et capriaturn comilio poane legea condere vel commutare.'

* Yor thas Geraud, Histore du drost francaie, il. 24. It will be remimhlareal that Henry's queen belongs to the house of I'rovence on hes Gudier's, to that of Savoy on her mother'K side. Raymond himself may have sopied what Mattbew calle is contuctwdo Subauclica.

T The ordinance is printed in the Select Charters. Mas. Par. P. 369: procertim cum tanta legts permutatio ame vommuni ansengu barnagii conntitui suacme vilumeet.'
royal jumtice may fondergly promban'. The theory sthat in everg state thene muat be sume man of ilmisuite bedy of men above the Law, eotue 'sovervigu' withoust datiee nnil withonst nghem. Would have beea rejected. Had it been mocepted in the thoteventh century, tho Finglinh kingship munt have bernme an abwilute monarchy, for nowhere elese than in the permens of the kang cousld the nyuiste ' moverelgnty have beven found. But, for whe sthage. nubedy nupposus that the king even with the calloent of she Engliah prolates and buato cuald alter the motation law of them catholic church. If the thenry of movereagnty pepular anomg Eugliabmer of unr own day bo presend upuog the neluctans middle agres, the whole of Wivtern Christendom must be tronted as one ntates. Theolngy can be broughe in un "apiana or to cunceal any difficulty that there may be ith the covec-ption of a king, who though suliject the munn. in anlogonet on the law :- (jod in subject to law, and has aven made hanawif anbjems
 is any made in which the law ean be enforced ngamus the lang That no ordinary prowem of thas courth will totech hims be ad. miteald. For a while men apeculnte ns in whether mationtreme case the Enrl of Choster ascount of the palace may that have wome conecive prower over the king'. A more nowprable sulutsin. especially when these paintine counta have dred orat. to that she incorpornte realas represented by the barusage may judise the
 there is nue establtohed onderly methend whereby this can be
 who whould be diul'n viras, bist behaveen an the devil's vear' io

[^120]rather a right of revolution, a right to defy a faithless lord and to make war upon him, than a right that can be enforced in form of law. 'The result of the barons' war is to demonstrate that though the king is not above the law, the law has no means of puniohige him, and no direct means of compelling him to make redress for the wrongs that he has done.
-The unenacted part-and this is the great bulk-of the Law Unemactad A103) seems to be conceived as custom (consuetudo). The most im- costorn. portant of all custorns is the custom of the king's court. The castom may be extended by analogical ressoning; we may argue from one case to another case which is similar though not precisely similar ${ }^{1}$. On the other hand, we should be assigning far too early a date for our modem ideas if we supposed that the Law of the thirteenth century was alvoedy 'case-law,' or that s previsus judgment was magorded an 's hioding-authocity'; it would but be an illustration of the custom of the court. Bracton achieved the marvellous feat of citing some five hundred cases from the judicial rolls. But Bracton stands quite alone; his successors Fleta and Britton abbreviate his work by omitting the citations. By some piece of good fortune Bracton, a myal justice, obtained possession of a large number of rolls. But the ordinary litigant or his advocate would have had no opportunity of searching the rolls, and those who know what these records are like will feel safe in saying that even the king's justices can not have made a habit of searching them for principles of law. Again, we may see that Bracton had not our modern notions of 'authority.' He has told us how he set himself to peruse the ancient judgments of the just because his ignorant and uneducated contemporaries were misrepreananting the law; he appealed from them to the great men of the past, to Martin Pateshull and William Raleigh?. On rare occasions

[^121]specific precedents (esempla) tany huve been allogend in crurs' iis Edmad Lín day the plembers are alrouly citug und 'dastin-
 aserstend by clerks, whou were an theor way to betome judgee.
 the consuedule curne und would tuet ferel bollud to argise about puat cramea. The juatires of thi bornch would ofters be fully justried is behwving thum ; tuany of thems wero experveraval tuen whe hat worked their way upwardy through all the ranks of the kingin court nud chancory. Abul ar even the kiughte whi, wore employed tu Lake sasuzen in their ahares, thousgh they fum road uo law, would beliewe that thay knew the law and cumatu applicathe to the enmea that cane before them. Eviry monn whu donet his duty krower a great doal of law and cllstoras a the diflientey in to promurfo him that ho dens aut know eberyitume'.

Tamel cestome

The rowtorile of the kingis court in thr-cyetem of Einglarat
 justives will in genemil phrmen exprover sheir erapers for thems.

 further growth is checked. Fefmecially in wll muttene of pore
 comerol elece all wher cometh. is apt to troat to owtin mo the


[^122]who would apply other rules; they milts be prepared to show uot merely that a local tradition is in their favour, but that this Imalition has borne fruit in actual practice and governed the decisions of the local courts'. The instances that we get of parm, customs peculiar to counties or other wide tracts of land, such as the episcopal barony of Winchesters or the honour of Britanny ${ }^{3}$, are of no great importance. The law about fraukphedge, the law about the preseutment of Englishry, mny be somewhat differentily understood in the vartous parts of England; and in the north there prevail certain forms of land wenure which are hardly to be found in the sonth:-but this is a stuall matter. The county courts are held under the presidency of sheriftis who will ask advice from Westminater when difficult cases come befure them?. Every manur will indeed have ite own customs, and to the unfree men these customs will be very important; such rights as they have against their lords, save the bare right to life and limb, will be but enstomary aud will not be acknowledged by the general law nor sanctioned hy the king's court. Still these manorial usages are mut wo various as we might have expected them to be. If a custumal be put into our hands, only after a minute examinatimn of it shall we be able to guess whether it comes from the west or from the east, from Somersetshire or from Essex. The great estutes of the great uubles have been widely dispersed; the same steward has travelied throughout England holding all this lord's courts, reducing their procedure to uniformity, and completing in a humbler sphere the work of the king's itinerant justices'. When the time comes for the king's courts to protect thut villein tenure which has beeome copyhold tenure, there will by little difficulty about the extablishment of a set of uniforms

[^123]rulee which will serve an a 'common Law' for eopyhuide Withon the wulls of a chartened buruugh pecular cuntentue cans apow vigusoualy, for the chawter will nerve in proturt thems mgan ats the meddeling of the king'n justicese The cunsuefudo of the lourough will be the lex of the borough, aud monetiano it will be solemily committed to writugg'. But eren here shore in 1 ram wricty than we might have lowked for. The asparing towe wes of oftell content we reveive an a proilege the custonn of some fannsus burough, Wimehester or Bratol ur Uxfaral. and sbenereforward in case of eluutht it would nend tu its mother cown for an "xpmation of the rales that should gurde it ? (th the whole tho lucal vanatiom from the genemal haw of the land are of to great moment, and meldonn, if ever, enn we connert them with ethusal diftionencen or with ramote botory. We cas no langer mark off the Daselan from Mercia ur Weseex: we bear of hitle that is strange from (disnwall or from Ciumberland. The semay cerceol power has quacty subifued all thinge unter leotif. Is has
 the maincornano of lia cunsurnar! law.

Eevituals cuatome

Kent an nomewhat of an exceftion; it has a cunsudemble. budy of cumtoras: there is a lar Kionfuse'. In Eismont I:A day
 King'v juticen it eyrec. In the matn they ano conce-rowed with the masntematice of a percular furms of land-ternume known oo gotelkind. The hature mertrat of tell the that the chere charace sersisic of thas tenure is or has beets the gasmeat of gersed. of rent, as dimenguished from the performance of tuhtary mavirex on the ofne haud asul of agmeulumal labotur on the others. Thesw is in Kient a largn elnen of Landbudeders, whir ans:
 lorda; thenr temure it proterted by law : they an- mat buribund mith 'week work.' They are free mets, andend in Ealward I © day it is sand that every une burn in Keme is Lams fres. The crnetorns of Kent are, at leaws fur the turne part, the ctuveme of these gavelkinders; rustems whech fill within the previdie if
: Mow vill be waid of the beroryph matome to a later shaptore

- Wricas vial Morchest, 1259
'Sole Ploolt, pl 1681 - 'menodam hagem Ifaction.'
- Slateron, l. zas.



private law, which regulate the wife's dower and the husband's curtesy, which divide the dead tenant's land among all his sons, showing however a certain preference for the youngest, which determine the procedure that the lord must adopt if his reut be in arrear, and which, contrary to the general law, allow the sons of the hanged felon to inherit from him. Thus the task of
t.maccounting for the lex Kantice is that of explaining a passage in the social and economic history of England, and a difficulte passage. There is little in Domesday Book that marks off Kent from the surrounding connties, little indeed to make us think that at the date of the survey it was a peenliarly free county, that it was as free as the shires of the Damelaw'. We shall hardly find an answer to our question in the fact that the churchee held wide lands in Kent: church lands ane not the lands on which as a general rule we find many freeholders or many free men. No doubt aome traite in the Kentish customs may be described as archaic-they anshrine old English proverbe, and a legend grew up telling how the men of Kent had made specis! terms with the Conqueror-but probably we shall do well in looking for the explanation of what has to be explained to the time which hies on this side of the Conquest? Kent is no mountain home of liberty, no remote fastness in which the remnant of an ancient race has found refuge; it is the garden of England, of all English counties that which is most expoesd to foreign influences. The great roads which join London to the seaboard are the arteries along which flows money, the destructive solvent of seignorial power. The tillers
${ }^{1}$ In Domeeday Book and older charters Keat is distinguished by peculiar land meeraree, the sulung and the goke (iugum). Also it had been lightly taxed ; Maitlend, Domesday Book, 466, 484. We can, however, find nothing is the recond which in any wey suggeste that the numerons villani of Kent are in eny reopect better off then the villani of other counties or that they stand on a par with the cokemanni or the small libere tenentes of Norfolk and Suflolk. See however Kenny, Primogeniture, p. 29.
${ }^{2}$ Among the ancient featurea we may reckon the allotment of the 'aster' or bearth to the youngeat son, and the peculiar nine-fold payment plats a wergild whareby a tenant cas redeem land that he has lost by non-payment of rent. The proverb which eends 'the father to the bough and the son to the plough' maens corrapt. In the oldeas versions of it the son goes to the 'lowe,' the fire, the hearth, the eatar; Note Book, pl. 1644; Statutes, i. 228. The oustumal ends with an assertion that the usages which it describes ere older than the Conqueet. As to the legend of the moving wood of 8 wanscombe, thil first appears at a very late day; Freeman, Norman Conquest, iii. 639.
of Kentioh whil eath maintain thers anerent or wblants new lithertea, berastov their tomis have harnt we want nownery and will ruther have current com thun manorial righex The gavelkinders are properons: they purchane a myal ehartar finen Menry 111: Thare in geteral propperity in Kent: eben the krughte of the county ner unsiour that the lar kiantion should be "bemerved. All clamers in the contaty meth tor be bound luggether by a tie of hoal patroitain. They feed that they are
 znust be 'trentimen on groelkinal' and lennoud hemkn an 'the tenuren of Kent,' for when ouce a diatrat has established nu exemption frow cortant of the undinary rulea of haw, the number of the rules from whinh it is exempt woll be apt in grows: But ou the whale, the brief Kentash cusermal of thes thareenth century in unly a manll execption bo the gomematity of the commen law.

 rumburs went round that the king's dotostathe favountus wen-
 In a cuve for wheb no Einghth preeedent rould br- foumat oner
 procestentes. But the mam contmat to English law was tos befound in the legjes et cananes. Bracless, hantug pribably, wien wome Italian legist at his word. chtiertatioed the betiof that to
 England was rulat by unwritten law and custom: This wis a mintation, for the Reimina jurnopridene was but slanly perso.

 Einglatad was hust goverreed by tho leges ecripkus. All meen know how at the Montom purlunuent the amentiled tammo dinelaral wath one toice that they wonld not change ther lawo if

[^124]England'. Perhaps we do well to treat this as an outburst of nationality aud conservatiom. English law is to be maintained because it is English, for as to the specific question then at issue, namely, whether bastards should be legitimated by the marriagu of their parents, we ahould hardly have suspected our barmus of having a strong and unanimous opinion on se argnable a point. Curionsly enough in the very uext year the Vurman exchequer decided to follow the church's rule, perhaps by way of showing that, despite King Henry's claims, the breach between Normandy and England was final? But it is by no theans impossible that the celebrated Nolumus expresses a professiunal as well as a national conservatisin; at any rate it was no baron but a lawyer, au ecelesinstic, a judge, Bracton's master, William Raleigh, who had to meet the clerical forces and to stund up for Einglish practice against the laws and canuns and consensus of Christendom ${ }^{3}$.

Uf ' cunuity' as of a set of rules which can be put beside the Eqaity. ruted of 'law,' or of courts whose proper function is the adminnistmetom, not of law, but of equity, we shall hear nothing frir a loung tirne to corne. We must however rentember, first, that a contrist between requitits and rigor iuris is alreaty a part of what parses as philosophical jurisprudence, aud secondly, that outr kug's court is according to very ancient tradition a court that can do whatever equity may require. Lung ago this principle was anometel by the court of Fraukish kuge autiat atl events since the Conyuest, it has been bearing fruit in Engtanct It means that the roynal tribunal is not so strictly bound by rules that it can not defers the dexices of those who would une legal fornus for the purponses of chieane; it means

[^125]alw, thut the justicen arve in wome degreen ferm th coutoider all the


 are not at the command of lowlier courts, and the use of thomer prowem an an "xhibition of 'enfurcy.' Uften ob the ploan fotlen we find it wrotes) thit motere urder is maxle 'by the ceatumel of the court' 'de consition curnies. It is as onter that sovatd tme the
 dictune it-would purhape refluse it; but it is made in onter
 Without 'cincinty of acciont'. Thr aned if a separate court if equity to uot yet felt, for the kug's ewort, whel is tuen an jet hampered by ruaty satuke or by mecurately formulateal 'camtsw: call altimister equity.
mo ling. In the widtle of the tharteenth century the higho enurts mmarto that do justice in the kongin tume are mpadly taking what will fonge the therr final forto. When in isis a Aupmone. Churt of Juticature once more nbourbes them, the Cimurt of Kingin Beneh. the Court of Corowun Heas, the Court of Exchrquer and the

 moment at which one: court becane twu or mond courta, so prompa impumatile, for 'court.' wa sur mondern status- bowk would amply prove, is a term that can not izally tre defitued In denling, howaver, with the thorteuth recutury and tho later miditle nyous nee tuight be juatiried is mylug that swith of the high nourta of the malus must have a wes of nille thut wo
 all that it has dospe meortse the eswernee of a courtiontority and
 necond.

[^126]
## ch. vin.] The Age of Bracton.

At what we may call an early time the exchequer ceased to The exchebe a phasse of the general governing body of the realm, and ${ }^{\text {qiat. }}$ bacame a department, with s seal and many records of its own, a finsmoial department ${ }^{1}$. In Bishop Richard's Dialogue we atill see all the great ones of the kingdom seated round 150] the cheas-board. The chief justiciar is there and the chancellor of the realm. Gradually they withdraw themeelves from the ordinary work of the board, though they may attend it on special occasions. The treasurer becomes its president; its seal is kept by the chancellor of the exchequer, an officer who tirst appears in Henry III.'s reign', and the write that it isemes are tested by the senior baron'; as yet there is no "chief baron*' From the beginning of the reign unwards men are definitely appointed to be barons of the exchequert. They are chosen from among the king's clerks, but they keep the old title and are sufficiently the 'peers' of the barons of the realm to enable them to inflict aznercements on noble offeaders. The treasurer is the head of the court whatever it may be doing. The position of the chancellor of the exchequer is subordinate; he keeps the seal of the court, and his accounts may serve to check the treasurer's, but apparently the acts of the court are always attributed to the treasurer and baronss.

The exchequer is called a curia'. In our view it may be a Work of compound institution, in part a judicial tribunal, in part a quer. financial bureau. The process which in course of time will divide a great 'government office' known as the treasury from the court of law held before a chief baron and other barons, has not as yet gone far. The duty of issuing the king's treasure is performed by the treasurer with the assistance of the deputy chamberiains-already the chamberlainships have become hereditary sinecures ${ }^{7}$-and in this matter he is not controlled by the barons. But then in this matter he has little discretion, for he dares issue no penny save in obedience to an order which comes to him under the great or the privy seal ; even for

[^127]"very payment of an artual wningy be nypuines such a warrat froth abive'. "Thew wan. buwever, molne rivalry between the iwo departmenta, and during mome tate yearn of Fidward I. " reign the creasures, mather that the chascellor, wis the king's tinm nunnter? The main work of the exolin or brant iser which he prosalen is that of collecturg the kugis revenue: It receiven and mudite the meounts of the sheriff and othors cal. freturn ; it calla the king' debtons before is, hearn what thoy have to say. incertigates the truth of their allegotans. prasta
 ts the cuntoms and usages of the exchequer.' Wiv muy pachaye call it au admunstrative tritomal If yuevtiona of fact in yefia

 tu che hage aeud to do thix aquintanenimely without worting ming

 the king. Nut that a subjeet call bring an actoth agumet the. king euther here or "lowhere, but whess a uan thonke thas he has a claim agaunst the king. etther in respect of some mens! thut the king owes him, or in rempect of nome land that the king how melead. he will ctios in the corulating proctice of bilward I': day) prowent In [n-1ition the the King and chanall ant a

 right: If a quewtum of getheral law is involsed, thay will ofters be wald to anmeciate wath themefrem the juntices of the twon benches, for they theramelves ane nuppuant to know mathe $g^{\prime}$ ther coures of the excherpuer than the common law of the land. However, during our perind we may ser wo irrepreseathle less. descy at work whoh will give theotan power to adjuctivase in
 they are "ftert furbideten to due this, best they ilo 18 , and in $m$


[^128]that had been exercised by the exchequer when it was a phase of the as yet undifferentiated 'curis,' than to uaurp a new function. We are at a loss to account on the one hand for the offence that they thus gave to the community of the realm, and out the other for the persistent recourse to their tribunal of creditors who might have gone elsewhere, unless it be that a creditor might thus obtain the advantage of some of those expeditious 178] and stringent processes which hed been devised for the collection of crown debts. In the end, as is well known, the exchequer triumphed under the cover of fictions; but this victory belungs to a later time than that of which we are spealcing ${ }^{1}$.

Men are beginning to speak of the chancery as a curia'; but ' even in Edward I.'s reign it is not in our view e court of justice; chuncery. it doea not hear and determine causea. It was a great secretarial busean, a home office, a foreign office and a ministay of justice. At its head was the chancellor, who, when there was no longer a chief justiciar of the realm, became the highest in rank of the king's servants. He was 'the king's secretary of state for all departments ${ }^{3}$.' Under him there were numerous clerks. The highest in rank among them we might fairly call 'under-secretaries of state'; they were ecelesiasties holding deaneries or canonries; they were sworn of the king's council; some of them were doctores utriusque iuris; they were graduates, they were 'masters'; some of them as notaries of the apostolic see were men whose 'authenticity' would be admitted all the

[^129]world over'. Very little wne done by the kwug that now wot done by a docuraent bearang the great mal ; it was 'the key of the kingdom?!' The exchequer and the two benches haul undead seals and could isente writs running in the kingis natue, writs for crumplo, aummoning jurnes, chercing contumacimats fitignatso or carrying judgmentes into effect; but the provitase of nuch write wan nut very wide, and it wise a very general rule that oo actions could bo begun th tho kingia courts and that on ertums wuehing freebotd could be begun anywhere without an 'angrant' or (nu we might say) 'ongitutung' writ, whech procowded trums the chancury and served as the justices' warrant for enhertanimig that action?. During the course of Edwand'a reign writa unders the privy seal became common: but the king was construmed to promise that wo writ which concerned the commun law ehomith insue under that seal', and very many of the writs thus authentreated were addressed to the chanedfer and dad but brd hrun set the great seal to note nestruasent wheh would be the final expressiou of tho king's will'. ''ontidential elerikn ur 'eecritaneme' (for this word was couning into usel) were begrining to inkervene between the king and tus chanoellor, wenduy w blum writen, is carrying to hum ural memagness. The chawcellur wan nown a mand of exaitued rank, anul, though thenrettrally the chatuery ' Gallowed the king.' well as a matter of fact it often happoricel that the kimg was at oue phice white the charcatlor whe at ancther? Io

 priticpporce, for is costala anced thoy hed griver to trider that a ent abieid



 gours of biadwad's nuipa. The amater of the rolle tin the chancwiloe a pronesped paherdiumto.

1 Not Par. Charon. My. v. 180

 the andor fint the lasee of the wris io pot the ecurtio ruts.

- Asscull maper earto. 1300 , e 6 (statuloo. 1, bagi.
 Edward 10 rocen.





CH. vir.] The Age of Bracton. 195
its final forma almost every measage, order or mandate that came, or was supposed to come, from the king, whether it concerned the greatest matter or the amallest, whether addressed to as emperor or to an escheator, whether addressed to all the lieges or to one man, was a document settled in the chancery and sealed with the great senl. Miles of parchment, close rolls and patent rolls, fine rolle and charter rolls, Roman rolls, Gsecon rolls and so forth, are covered with copies of these documents', T4] and yet reveal but a part of the chancery's work, for no roll sets forth aill those 'original' writs that were issued 'as of course".'

The number of writs which were issued as of course for the The origipurpose of enabling those who thought themselves wronged to bring their cases before the law courte, increased rapidly during the reign of Henry ILL A'register of original writs' which comes from the end of that period will be much longer than one that comes from the beginning '. Apparently there were some writs which could be had for nothing; for others a mark ur a half-mark wonld be charged, while, at least during Henry's early years, there were others which were anly to be had at high prices. We may find creditors promising the king a quarter or a third of the debte that they hope to secaver. Some distinction seeme to have been taken between necessaries and luxuries. A royal writ was a necessary for ono who was claining freehold; it was a luxury for the creditor exacting a debt, for the local courts were open to him and he conld proceed there without writ. Elaborate glosses overlaid the king's promise that he would sell justice to none, for a line between the price of justice and those mere court fees, which are demanded even in our own day, is not easily drawn: That the poor should have their writs for nothing, was an accepted maxim: The almost mechanical work of penning these ordinary writs was confided to clerks who stood low in the official hierarchy, to cursitors (cursarii); it consisted chiefly of

[^130]${ }^{3}$ Hart. L. R., iii. 175.

- Exemrpta E Botulin Finium, i. 29, 49, 62, 68; Harv. L. A., iii. 18.
- Fleta, p. 77. Fleta, p. 77; Excerpta e Rotulis Fininm, ii. 101.
filling with namen and sums of money the blaske that were lefi ill the forms that they found in their regiwtens; but sunue elech of a higher grade sepmn to have heen maponaible far every writ'. Nu fisulity wha an yet asuribed wo the regtaters, it was not regardend as rat exhauntive whemer of justice wo which whe adrition coush be made mave by definitu Ingialation, thmagh a commons form, when once weteled, was net se be highely tamapered with. New writs could be made, at all evente if tbey were 'pemonnl,' not 'real - -nny innovation 'rouching freehold' wera socto serious matter-nend they wers madn metmewhet fredy? To take the bent exumple, Uswards the clume of Henry in mgen the
 somewhat audedenly. The chancery hail not yet tallen on fis apmet. from the courta of law that the justiocen conlds note get new writu buule if thay warted them. In munusenpt negotern we find a grous of new wrias ancribed so, Williatn Rnlopgh who was for a while the foremost juige tu the kngis court? Firr mome yeara betore the barous' war Henry attemptext sus ensera withoue as chancellor or with a chauce-llor who wan such mily in name'; his chancery wan no mornuss ubntacle th hin will amil pleasure, thongh mow and again evels o viee-chancellor mazh rosign mather thas set the seal to a dumment thes be seyperded millignal'. Complante against new and unmourtorned write grom
 chnneellor mul olie swort in tsste no writs, nove' write of carame. without wartant from the baroninl council'. Viruter Pidwand 1. two different cansen betulow to kive stabitity and hinatig th the
 that to invont new romoulites was to toake now lawn and "owno wore dueving that suly in a parizatuent of the thme eveation could new laws be moule: avesn whoth tho king wan conererowt.


[^131]
## (H. FII.]

 The Age of Bracton.chancery and chancellor had grown in dignity. There were great chancellors who were usually the king's first ministers. The chancery was by this time independent of the 'benches.' The days when the chancellor would often sit among the justices were pussing away, the days for stiff official correspondence between the courts and the chancery had come.

It is but marely that we hear of the chancery or the chan- The chancellor performing any work that can fairly be called judicial. inntumat. The issuing of the 'original' writs was not judicial work, though
from other sources that it was not always done mechavically: a friend of the chancellor might hope for a few words in his writ that a stranger would hardly bave obtained '. Of say 'equitable jurisdictiou' exercised in the chancery we hear onthing: the kiug's justices still believe that they can do what equity renuires. But even of what afterwards became the 'cumnua law jurisliction' of the chancery, the juriediction of its 'ordiuary' or 'Latin side' we hear very little. In later days that jurisdiction was concerned chiefly, though not solely, with emse in which a subject required some ralie aggainst the king. In the latter half of the thirteenth century a sulbject whi, has aught against the king has, at lenst as a general rule. bit one counde upen to him. He presents a petition to the king or the king and his council. This may come before the king hinself, or before a full meeting of the council, or before a eetect body of councillors assigned to deal with such petitions an can be enally disposed of. If he gets a favourable answer, this-since as yet he has shown but some plausible case for relief-will in general send him before some tribunal which will be instrmeted by a writ from the chancery to hear his claim and do what is just. Commonly that tribunal is the exchequer, which mny be affurced for the vecasion by the presence of the cluancellor and the justices; sometimes it is one of the benches. (reonvirmnlly, but rarely, the chancellor is appointed whear and ducife the canse'.

[^132]The Ima Satrabione.

The king' court-6 say no more of the exchewper and the chancery-has beve nliowly breaking up into thrie calumala; thare is a Comanoms Bench a Kingie Beuch, nud a yoce hugher
 the King in Contmit or the King ibleadmucuh A cloft begass to Hpprear when Henfy 11 . is 11 in apponsted certmin juntsons to sit perminerit! in the court and heme thes complantio of all suris. but neserved the more arducts oamen for humself arsil the- Whe mon of the roalou'. It doxappowared for a while usules the absentee Rucherd; it renpprand under John, who sonvelled through the cousitry with justicus in his trais while ather juatwen remuinal on 'stove beoph' at Wimtumater'. Asain it dinappanad for a while darag the amisarity of Heary 111; we can wo permanent, central tribunal wove that helit by the justicen of the bench' who sit cerm ofler termat Wratainster,
 work. It begres te revappear ated thas tene for gruid wexd all wheo
 103s onwneds-but the exnct late cats haril! be finwd-there nre two differvent cxurts, wech uf whech has its uwn wet of roils? The one in held before the justrees of 'the bench' whos sit at Wewthumeter, ite recurds ane the 'Se bauce polls'; the wher

 Wextmanker': if summoned before the other, hoo must appras - befone us whenmocreor woe nhall bo in Figkiamd.' Abd thes the
 follow the kong, but ane wo bo heart ist monse certanst ghone: Thus 'the bench' has beveume the approperate anturual fore









 tribural.

1 Abume. p. 1:8s.


ondinary civil suits between subject and subject. The complementary rale, which assigns the 'pleas of the crown' to the oourt held coram rege, seems to grow up gradually and not to be the outcome of legislation. The court held coram rege is eaperior to, for it cas correct the errors of, 'the bench '?. Then piren early in Edward I.'s reign 'the bench,' though in formal documenta it will keep its old name and until 1875 be aimply "the bench;' begins to be called the Common Bench, and the name of King's Bench is given to the court that is held conam rege, or rather to one offighoot of it:

We bave to state the matter thus, for the coust thas during Council. Henry's neign is held coram rege breaks into segments. For and ondinary purposes it is a court held by a few professional banchas. justices; but at any moment it may become a fuller and grander tribunal; the king may be there with his councillora; all the prelates and barons of the raalm may be assembled. But whatever form it takes, it seems to be considered as essentially but one tribunal, 'the court of our lond the kivg held before the king himself.' In modern terms we might say thet the court held before the king in parliament and the court held before the king in council are the court of king's bench raised to a higher power. In Edward I.'s reign there consea a further change. The term 'king's bench' is brought into use to signify the court held theoretically coram rege by the professional justices, and just about the same time a third set of ples rolls begins to appear. Besides the 'de banco rolls' and the 'coram rege rolls' there are those records which we know

[^133]ar the "parbament rolls", the earliest extant rull combea form the year 1290. Fur sorne wiuse wo comse, hawever, the cleft is but very decp; the wame plea that is fuund obs a parhament moll may be found nlas on a conam rege roll!. For jucheral purpureso the parliamentary memiuns of the cuuncil can be concerved on atrengheond, as 'atforaml, 'manons of the kingis beroch All the justicen and all the chiefn of the prowe oltices, all the masters at is chancery and mo furth, are meusbers of the cousserl, and, if they are not wanted elowwere, will be summuned to thused plenary equion of the council that are known en 'parliamenta. There gemann in susquase many quextiona as wo the compratuens nud jurendectiou of this highest of all tribusule. Is that intomal to be the asocmblage of prelates nad barona, or in it so be the king's sousiml; is it to be but a court of second instanne, uf is it to have any original juravdiction ! The fourkenth century must answer these questana; the thirteenth leaves them opmens?

## Itiormans

 jroticens.As wo the courts beld in the kingig nato by men who are
 sense of the term are 'itinerant juatices, we munt soy but hitio., though were we to descend to details much mughs be saud, for the kingia puwer hi satue cormmasouns has harily a limit in law. but few limitu tu cunturn, and new seeds nos being ever and anson suet by wew devices But we may distugumh the man typeo of these commumata. What merorun triateyl an the humblows is the commasan th deliver a gaul. Thio in tha lather pars off Henry III.: n-iga in thane very ferpuethty, getmernaly it is dume by nome three or fuur knighte of the share, aund thuse loveg bethres the insutututu of justices of the penoe, the coustitery lingighea hat



[^134]d'ancestor, a vast number of commissions wore issued in every year. Early in Henry's reign this work was often entrusted to four knights of the ahire; at a later time one of the permauent justices would usually be named and allowed to associate some knights with himself. Apparently a justice of assize hat often Lo visit many towns or even villages in ench county; his work
2100j was uot all done at the county town ${ }^{2}$. It inust have been henvy, for these actions were extremely popular. In the second year of Elward's reign some two thousand commissions of assize were issued? Just at that time the practice seems to have been wo divide England into four circuits and to send two justices of axsize round ench circuit; but a full history of the crecuita would be intricate and wearisonne. Above all the other commaseions ranked the commission for an titer ad umnit phecita, or more briefly for an iter or eyre. An eyre was by this time a long and laborious business, In the first place, if we suppuse au eyre in Chmbridgeshire aunounced, this bas the effect of stopping all Cambridgeshire business in the bench. Litigants who hare been widd wo appear before the justices at Westminster will sow have to nppear before the justices in eyre at Cambridge. There is no business before the bench at Westminster if an eyre has been proclaimed in all the countiess. Then, again, the justioes are provided with a long list of interrogatories (capituta itheris) which they are to address to local juries. Every huurred, every vill in the county must be represented beffore chem. These interrogatories-their number increases as time goes un-musack the memorices of the jururs and the local recturds for all that has happened in the shire since the last eyre wook place some seven years ago ; every crime, every invasion of moyal rights, every negleet of police duties must be presented . The juntices must sit in the comnty town from week to week aud even from unonth to month befure they will have got thruagh the tectious task and inflicted the rlue tale of tines and

[^135]amerromenes'. Three or four of the purtmaneme judgre will bo
 the magnates of the district; bishope and even abboces. th the meandal of atrict churchirno, have to merve an justicen in eyws. Prolurbly it was chought expedrate that mome of the groas is frecholders of the county whould be commosioned, in onder taas no mas might may that hiv judgon wero not his preess An eyre was a mone busden; the men of Comwall fled thefore the form of the justicten' ; we hear nuacrtans of a binding cushont that an eyre whall mot cake place more than once in seven yeats: Expedienten weon, being aldupted which in oourve of time would enable the justicen of annze to presude is the coumtry wer the trial of netusix which ware pronding before the benchen: thus
 take place in the cuuntio and juners would no longer bo malled 6. Wizstminuter from sheir memoke hernew. But theae expmideats belong for the more part to Eatwardix reign: under how father a jury westrily travelling froms Yorkshire or Devsunahire towanda Lavalmi mush have been no very uternmon sighes.

Triamph of rongal juative

The king's emmeth haro been funt becouning the only jodicial triburals of any great importance. Throughous the retght the bulk of their plea rollo mereased as a rupul rate. Fivery torm the bench at Westrmisuter entertained a snultitude of canma The litganes who caune before it wene often inets of Inwly rask who were quartelling about small parcels of land. Though ine
 for Surthumberland (Nurtess Sheresy), and in the rolls whoch Mr thentegeb Ifaley in poblinhing for the Somerswiture Incord Bookety.
"Biabrope reso lasaoly amploged is the frat eyro of tho mins. in igm
 10w.
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 tulad of impictia
hear some bad stories of corrupt and partial judges ${ }^{2}$, it is plain that this powerful, central tribunal must have been well trusted by the nation at large. Rich and poor alike would go to it if they could. The local courts were being starved, and this result we can not ascribe altogether to the ambition or greed of the lawyers at Westminster. Of his own free will the small
293 freeholder passed by his lord's court and the county ooust on his way to the great hall. He could there obtain a stronger and bettar commodity than any that was to be bad elsewhere, a justice which, as men reckoned in those days, was awift and masterful; he could there force his adversary to submit to a verdict inatead of finding that his claim was met by some antique oath with oath-helpers. The voice of the nation, or what made itself heard as such, no longer, as in 1215, demanded protection for the seignorial courts ${ }^{2}$; it asked that the royal court should be endowed with yet new and anti-feudal powers; it was to be in all temporal causes supreme ${ }^{3}$. Men were fest coming to the opinion that it ought to be, in Bentham's phrose, 'omnicompetent,' and that for every wrong there should be a remedy in the court of their lord the king. This is not an idea that is impoaed from above upon an unwilling people. Bracton himself, the royal judge, the professional lawyer, doas not thrust it forwand as an obvious principle. He explains or even apologizes for certain manifestations of kingly justice which may seem to be at variance with feudal rules4. But still this principle is at work: it is the king's business to provide a competent remedy for every wrong ${ }^{5}$.

The number of the justices whom Henry kept in his pay Thejadges. was never large. If there were some three or four in his train

[^136]ur hald the pleas corram repe, mome four of tive at 'the bench; and threer or four barons in the exchequer, this wan enorigh Durring the lant yeurs of the reign 'the bench' memin to have but three, ir even but two, oxclipanta. Thane judgee are very truly the kitugin servants; he cas move thetn about as seenas beat the him or dismuse then at a momentin sotice By

 juaticiar whan in beth the king g prime minumery and the pim aitent af the haghest haw count besoure exteriz. Evorin Hibert de Burgh had hardly filled the place of Lacy and dilanoill, of Hubure Waleer and Geoffrey Wita Porter, for hor meltorn ast ou the beweh. For a shart while nfter his fall in 1232 the juationamhip was commotud to a lawyer, to Stephen segrave; hut froun 12:34, when Siegrave wan diagracivi and donmi=ed, antal 1254, when the titne of revelution waw at hand, the justetammitp was in abryyunce. The titie was then revived nad borne for a
 whowe unanes neprosent the alkermatuig fortunes of conturdung

 to holld plizan befires the krog'; and the worts thus mided to the old utle signoified that only for judecial primpoes was he wo be
 blestuces of Ainghund who are but the prosidente of a finw Migrt.
 the common bench begran $u$, tre fornadly keveal un chat
 Lee a siateaman, or of the nutemman that he ohoulde be expers It the law. Wie bear iedical complante that the keng prow


[^137]just what he wants; but some of the judges of Henry's reign were knowin to their contemporaries merely as great lawyers and seem to have earned the respect of all parties in the state ${ }^{\text {. }}$

Many of them were ecclesiastics; among such we may flurtume reekon Martin Pateshull, Witliam Raleigh, Revert Lexington, William of York, Heury of Bratton. Even Stephen Segrave seems to have had ennugh of the clerk about him to serve us a

## Iiv.

 in the tow courts, though they might now and navin apmene jurticen in eyre; but canonries, denneries and even bishoprics were wtill to be carned by good service on the bench; William Raleigh thus won the see of Norwich and William of York the see of Siatisbury. However, all this was beoming somewhat standaluus: the clergy were being furbidden by the lue of the church to study temporal law or decide temporal causes. Before the end of the reign the lay element annong the king's jurigres is beginning to outweigh the eeclesiastical; Thomas Sutton and Roger Thurkelby are laymen who make lames for themselves as learned justices': but even of Edward L's justices not a few were clerks. This is no small change; it means that the study of English law is falling apart from all Other studes. Just at the same tine a class of aulvecates who practised in the king's courts was forming itwelf. Some of Etward's judges had practised at the bar of his courts; his futher's judges seem for the more part to have worked their Why - upraarls as clerks in the courts, in the exchequuer, in the chancerys. The change brought good with it and evil. Our[^138]jindees becaume a little Iman dependent on the kng than thay hal beyn: uur law was protected against Rumanmem and our constitution agninat the monarchical duetenes chut Rowiamais io th mighe have hrought with it. (m the uther hand lar. wan dengoud from litorasure: the age for law ropurte, fur finar Buoks. hal come; the age tor a great expuestion of Euggli-h law tuad grome by. Happily in the fulnens of the time the work had beell tume
Braetor:
Brmeton'm book ia the crown and flower of Fingliah medieval jurimprudenee. What we know of its author has been writen elmewhere, and may horre bo aummed up rory bnefly'. He name was Heany of Brathon! be was aldevonehime man, and an all liketibousd he began his canour an Willman Raleugh's elert In lads he was ulnemdy a juntree is eyre aud wa holdisg a dispestathat granked by Kaleigh mad mentarmed by Inmectat IV. for the wanare of threve benetices. From 12ty untal ham dowath in 126 s be eteadly towk anelzey in the suuth-westers culuntien From 18 is \& $18.5 \%$ or thercabouten ho was ambog the justicen who held plean ouram ypo rege: in other worka, he was a justice of the uamerent cuurt of King s thench, and the very hughext places in churh and wiate muxt hate arethed to bo toper to hime. Wo may whe him witnewing the king'a chatmens aloug with the great fialk of the realru. Shorely after this,
 poutsots in the central court. though to hin dyong day he actud

 in 126t archdencons of Barnataple, and in the aune yoar
 Kug' court just at the time whent the nevolituonary inctemeras
 stw, ut the same time he was tolll to nistare to the iriatory the Jauge stare of plea rulls, thooe of Wartin Poteshull amil Willums Raleigh, which had bewes in his preawewon. Whethes be was disgraced, atul, if so, whether he had offimeted the king us the

[^139]
barons, we can not as yet decide. In the last year of his life, in 1267, he appeared once more in a prominent place; he was - member of a commission of prelates, magnates and juatices appointed to hear the complaints of the disinherited': that is, of those who had sided with Simon de Montfort.

His is an unfinished book; we do not know that it was His book. published in his lifetime. The main part of it seems to have been writtan between 1250 and 1258 , the time when he, had to surrender the plea rolls; apparently he was still glossing and annotating it at a later time; but at present we can not always
19s? distinguish his own addiciones from those of later commentatore. A 'note book' has come down to us which seems to have been his. It contains some two thounand cases copied from the rolls of Pateshull and Raleigh, over against some of which marginal notea have been written; to all appearance they carne from Bracton's hand or from Bracton's head ${ }^{1}$.

Romaneaque in form, English in substance-this perhaps is Charsicter the best brief phrase that we can find for the outcome of his af tous work. labours; but yet it is not very good?. He had at his command and had diligently studied the works of the famous Italiany Latyer, Azo of Bolugna; he also raade some use at first hand of Italiant various partis of the Corpus Iuris Civilis, of the Decretum, and form. of the Decretals, and he levied contributions from the canonist Tancred. His general idea of a law book, of the method by which law should be expounded and legal priaciples harmonized, has been derived from these sources. He has borrowed from them large maxims, such as might well be conceived as parts of universal and 'natural' law; he has borrowed some more specific rules, for the more part such as deal with matters of rare occurrence in England; he is guilty of a few classical pedantries and sometimes uses foreign terms instead of those that were current in the courts. It is highly probable that if many of his fellows on the bench had shared his bent, the romano-canonical jurisprudence would have become a 'subsidiary law' in England: that is, a law to be adduced when enacted law and customary law had no clear answer for a question; but we can not treat his book as a

[^140]prowf that nuch wa the cane in his uwn tha! We del aot know that any of has fellown houl suse thase thas superfictal angumaseanee with the law of she chumh whech was curnmosa amoug
 bixhupar but the judicial functions of bixhopes aud archatoncous were hy this zme commonly delegnteal to themer profosemonally

 of his tume, and wo have latte reasun fur tw-lieving that be had aequired it westemseally. Hiw woologgy leavem nos mark on the terchaical Inaguagn of the courta; the 'tesame fur Leras of grome'



 ersilitise in incsturnhlyly great. Hut fur them, has bewok would have twert impawible ; but for thetus, as the fourhenth owtury will show us, some begkarly colleetiot of annotated write would have beese the bewt that wer should have had frum hums. Wer should have mused not ouly the uplemedis pana, the onderly arraugement, the: keen delemuns, but naw, the mevedulal apunt of tho wurk !

Faticlol. Batrosante.
th the other hand, the main matter of his treatime in genume Engingh haw inboriumaly collected out of the plos rolls if ther


 the magnater. prolates and jumberen daciare that they newrs heand of ototmar











 courruch, Neve Bowl, i. p 21-y3.


 - gricet of the lam. a grueas los areer aftar the contar of tipmae
king's courc. He expressly cites some five hundred decisions, and whenever we compare his treatise with the reconds-and this can now be done at innumerable points-he seems to be fairly stating the practice of the king's court. No doubt our modern, our very modern, conception of rigorous ' case law' was far from his mind. He assumed a much larger liberty of piekiug nad choosing his 'authorities' than would be conceded now-a-dnys to an English text-writer. But still his endeavour is to state the practice, the best and most approved practice, of the king's court, and of any desire to romanize the law we must absolutely acquit him. To take the most obvious instance, In the controveray about the legitimation of bastards he is as staunch an opporient of the leges and canones as the most bigoted baron could be, and indeed we find some difficulty in absolving him or his teachers from a charge of having falsified 200] history in order to secure a triumph for English law'. The few pulitical inclimations that we can detect in his bouk are those of a royal justice; they are anti-feudal and anti-ecolesiastical leanings. He will maintain the state against the feudal Tords, the kingly power afatish seigmorlat justive, and pious chmehman, dutiful son of the pope, though he be, the will maintain the state against the church. As to the flagrant disputes thetween the king and the incorporate realm, the universitus regmi, perhaps his mind fluctuated; perhaps, though no courtier, he sormetures said less than he thought; but at any rate his Romanism has not made him an adrocate of absolute mevaschy'

The book was successful. Some forty or fifty manuseripts Livor low

## ${ }^{2}$ Note B (ook, F . 104-116.

${ }^{3}$ Por the anti-feudal inolinatios seo the arpument in favour of freo alienation; Brecon. L. 45 b- 45 b . For the anti-ecclearatical tendency see thy whole freatment of the writ of prohibition, $\mathrm{f}, 401-410$, many sentencen in which thely enctradict claims which were being made by the high churchmen of the day. Bracton, however, if we milatate not, is within the ecelesiastical ephere a thanoagh-going pepalist. Ho seanbes to the pope not merely in jurisdietion. but as urdinnano iurndetio, over all men. Ab to his poltical opinions see Nole Book, 1 pp. ya-38. We can not decide what they were until some cortain enamer has beca found for the question whether be wrate the dery words on 8. St, bat the zaoderate axd unquentioned pasange on t. 171 b is enough to show thas he wes nesther a courtly flatterer nor a champion of doapotio snownrely, this howeves is evident enough from many other passages, iecluctang that (f. 107) in which he wilfully diatorts (Note Book, i. p. i) the "ted el youd permeryi plectic.
of it will mem a sutherens body of witnomath ur attons its popularsty, especially when we setuember that the cext of sotne of our oldent Year booksy has to be muight fur in unimgu copues. It becames the bumas of the legal literature of Bilwand I.' dny. Gilbert Thornum, chief jumtice of the king's bench, made as epitome of it!. This we have last, unlem is bo repremented by sume of the manuscripte of Bractocis worth which omit his references to the plea nolles About the yeur 1890 two other boukn were writhen which ane to a gimat degree reproductions of the clnesical weative? The mocallend 'Fluta is hitle better than an ill-smaged epurome'; what ite author has not borrowed from Bracton be has for the rusere part borrowed from some of thowe hatte tracts on hushandry and the ecomonic management of manorial affiurs wheh were becoming popular'. 'The so-enlled 'Briscun' has betwor elmums $u$ to be called an original work. It in in F'rench, and the whale law has been put uto the knges tnuuth. It must have beven useful, manuacripts of it are consmon; on the orther hand. Fleca wan to all sppenarance a filure. To them we might aded mases Little tract on procedure meented to Halph Menghan, use of Edwand L's chef juntices. This however is wot the place its Which to spink ab auy leggeh of these producte of the Filwaniano
 will help un wo discuver the law of Heary Illin mign when Brepeon fask uat. After all that ham beerts dube kuruedo problinhing the recorise of that rujgn, wes shall still be depwerimet to Bencton; but enough has been publsted wo prose that be to o gutde who will nus maslenal un, af ualy we are careful to dosimguish - and thas is not vorgy deticult-herween has etaterarst of Engliah lnw utul has commophlatas juriaprudence:

## Ouber haw

 lumburHrus?
TV403
If uther low bumk of Heurg's relgu lition is keawo and Littie need be maid; the gap between therth acul Bractorin Atromma is immense. (iopise of the etwaevry's 'ryinter of ongumal wres. wese pretty widele distributad; often a religtome bouse hand a copy, mometunes brief motew of an isterumely practizal chancter
 pross, an intervating buok of precederate fur the un of phowken

[^141]in the king's court whick belongs to Henry's time ${ }^{1}$, and from that time we begin to get precedents for the use of plenders in the local sourts, conveyancing precedents, and precedente for manorial accounts'; also brief disquisitions on rural economy which throw light on legal arrangements.3. Once mure we must mention-though they are not literature-the voluminous rolls of the two benches, the exchequer and the chancery. About the iniddle of the century these are being supplemented by the rolls of local courts', while mnel may be learnt from the manorial surveys or 'extents,' numerous examples of which have been preserved in the monastic cartularies and elsewhere.
100. Before the end of the thirteenth century there already exists The legal 4 legat prafosion, a class of men who make money by representing lingants before thecourts and giviug legal advice. The evolution of this class has been slow, for it has been withatood by oertain ancient principles!. The old procedure required of a litigant that he should appear before the court in his own person and conduct his own cause in his own words. For one thing, the notion of agency, the notion that the words or acts of Roger may be attributed to Ralph bocause Ralph has been plessed to declare that this shall be so, is not of any great antiquity. In the second place, so long as procedure is very formal, so long se the whole fate of a lawsuit depends upon the exact words that the parties utter when they are before the tribunal, it is hardly right that one of them should be represented by an expert who has studied the art of pleading:-John may fairly object that he has been summoned to answer not the circumspect Roger but the blundering Ralph; if Ralph can not state his own case in due form of law, he is not entitled to an answer. Still in yet Pleedern. ancient days a litigant is allowed to bring into court with him a party of friends and to take 'counsel' with them before he pleads. In the Leges Henrici it is already the peculiar mark of an accusation of felony that the accused is allowed no counsel, but must answer at once; in all other cases a man may have counsel? What is more, it is by this time permitted that one
${ }^{1}$ Brevia Plecitata, now being edited by Mr G. L. Turner.

* The Coart Baron (Selden Soc.), Introduction.
- Bee the edition of Walter of Henley cited above.

4 Soleot Plen in Manorial Courto (Selden Soc.), Introduction.

- Branner, Forschangen, p. 889 ; Brunner, D. R. G. ii. 849.
${ }^{6}$ Leg. Henr. 48, 47, 48, 49, 61 है 18, 19.
of those who 'are of counnel with bim whould sprek for hum The captiousaress of the old prucedure is defeating ita uwn cetul. and no a man is allowed to put forward some one else to apunts for hum, not in order that be may bo bound by that other persun's words, but in order that ho may have a chaner of correcting formal blunden and supplying omimiona What the liesgrat himmelf has said to court he has satd oner and for all. but what a friend has and in his fnvour he may disovow'. The profecmional plevaler malses his way into the courta, nut as one who will repremeat a htigmet, but as one who will stand by the litugratin side and spenk in hir fnvour, mabjeet however to comeretion, for him woodn will tont bind his rlient until that rlient han expresely or ancilly adupuad thom. Perhape the main cobyere uf having a pleader is that une tany hove twu chancte of pleminge corrertly. Even in the threcenth centary we may am the plesuler dianvowid. One Juha de Planses, in plementing for W'illams of Civelham. called Henry 11. the groudfather unseonl if the father of King Juhn; Willinm dinavowed the plom, and the advoeate was aunerced for hia blunder'. Aud m, before an! one is inken at hus plealerin worla, it is usual for the coun bu ank hon whether he will abole by the plewd. Junt breatue the plesuler smakes him appearasere in this utiortual fanhoun, wo a
 sjuaken on his behalf, it ea diffecult for un to dumever whostior plesulest ane oommenly empluyed and whethers they and alrembly
 take no wotee of them unlew they are dimovowerl:
Atrongeg. If tin utherwige with the attornery, fur the attornery mprowents
 wo the bundine in haud), and for groud and ill, for goun mad low













(ad lucrandim of perdendum) he stands in his principal's stead, In England and in other countries the right to appoint an attorney is no outcome of ancient folk-law; it it a royal privilege. The king, as is often the case, has put himself ontside the old law: he sppoints representatives to carry on his multitudinous law-suits, and the privilege that he sasserts on his own behalf he can concede to others. Already in Glanvill's day every one who is engaged in civil litigation in the king's court enjoys this right of appointing an attorney, or rather, for the word attorney is hardly yet in use, a responsalis ${ }^{1}$. But the right is narrowly limited. The litigant must appear before the court in his proper person and must there put some one else in his stead to gain or lone in some particular plea. Whatever is more than this can only be accomplished by means of a royal writ. Thus it is only under a royal writ that a man can have a general prospective power of sppointing attorneys to act for him in future litigations. Such writs are by no means matters of course; they usually recite some special reasons why an exceptional boon ahould be granted:- the grantee is going abroad on the loing's busineas, or he is the abbot of a royal monastery and too old or infirm for laborious journeys: In the commanal courts a litigant could not appoint aza attorney unless he had the king's writ anthoriving him to do so:.

The attorneys of the period which is now before us do not $\begin{gathered}\text { Attornegs } \\ \text { not profer- }\end{gathered}$ seem to be in any sense 'officers of the court,' nor do they as nionil. yet constitute a closed professional class. Probably every 'free and lawful' person may appear as the attorney of another; even a woman may be an attorneys, and a wife may be her husband's attorney'. A bishop will appoint one of his clerks, an abbot one of his monks, a baron will be represented by his steward or by one of his knights. Occasionally, however, as we look down the list of attorneys we see the same names repeating themselves, and draw the inference that there are some men who are holding themselves out as ready to represent whoever will employ them. A change comes in Edward I.'s day which

[^142] the clase of counsellura
Profer. Recurring for a moment to the elnas of counvellorn, we efinal plonedorn. obecrve that Kichurd of Asenty, when he prowecuted has redicisa will. fullowed the nyyal court in ith pmegranations with group of 'freode and helperm and pleadem' in hes train'. For his litigation in the ecelesminetiend cosures ho nuturally neppured profenatinal and, and be land it from Itahan lawyem remadeste in this ocustry; anmong thest whe Mreler Ambrume, who was in erery menne one of the time lawryem in Bouginnd. firat its surne on well an firse in learroing'. Hest evers in tho kingia conart ho who surrounded by fromis and helpern and ploweter, and ansuag thom was Ranulf dihasills. For a long tiftur, however, we beer very litule of proferotomal countsellorst th the: comporal corurta. "This se the mence noteemble beomuse Matthew Parin te full of complamen aganat the paek of bodlowing leggeren whom the kong esnplays and whon he leen slip; wheotever mos episcopad edectano
 law ; they ano moruanixes and canomoter many of thesu aro forrigners: one of the snowt infamoun of thems, if we judge shems by Hathew' nopart, is the remownad Hontuman'? The unly

 not out of a berly of alvocaten mesking for employment from the
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general public, but from among the king's civil servanta, the clerks of his court and of his chancery and those laymen who have done good wofl in subordinate offices. However, when in his nccount of the year 1235 Paris telle us how Henry sought to crush the aged Hubert de Burgh with nocusations, he represents Hubert's faithful counsellor Lawrence of St Albans as having to contend againat 'all the advocates of the bench whom we com[1.19]] monly call countors!' In 1268 'a countor of the bench' assaulted a juastice of the Jews in Westminster Hall; his fellow countors interceded for him. The king already seems to have permanently retained a number of persons to plend his causes for him; but whether these men are free to plead for other people when the king's interests are tor in question, and whether they aspire to eny exelusive right of audience we do tat know. But lawyers seem to have rapidly taken possession of the civic courts in London. In 1259 the king was compelled to concede to the citizens that in their hustings and other courts they might plead their own causes without lawyers (ossuridici), saving pleas of the crown, pleas of land, and pleas of unlawful distraint? This looks as if in London there bad been an unusually rapid development of a professional paste. By this time the practice of the ecclesiastical courts would serve as an example. The attorney is the temporal equivalent for the canonical proctor, and the 'narrator' or 'countor' is the temporal equivalent for the canonical advocate. In 1237 the legatine constitutions of Cardinal Otho had ordained that no one was to serve as an advocate in an ecclesiastical court, except in certain exceptional cases, until he had taken an oath before his bishop to do his duty and not to pervert justices. Thus a close body of professional advocates was formed, and this would serve as a model for a similar body of professional 'countors.'

Then in Edward L.'s day we see that the king has retained Regrantion pleaders who are known as his servants or serjeants at law on oneander. neys.

[^143](arrvientes ad legem). Alrindy is 1275 it in meomerary in shrmean with imprimuanent 'the merjeane countur' who ba guily of collusive or deceitful practice'. Aloo there avern in be abous the court many young men who are learang to plend, ansl whome tifle of 'appreveicrs' mugkewte that they wne the pupula of the worgeanta We may infer shap alneady before $12 i)^{2}$ these

 every county a xufficient mumber of alturneys and absembicee
 so that king and people might be well merserl. The nasgatintum wha moale that a hundrid and forty uf nuch romen wornil be enough, but the justices inight, it they plemext, appont a larger numbinc

By this mosusure, which, bowever, may not have been the first of $12 a$ kend, 'boich brasichen of the profemation' were filancol noder the contool of the juxtioses, and apparaently a momopeoly wan mecurud for thuse who hadd been thus appruntals. Shame twelve yenom carlier the mayor and alderman of hanowing had beron comprelled to haraent the ignornace and ill manamen of the pleuslera atul athorneys who practiand in the envic courta, and to orilan that sone ahould habitanally proctase chere who haw nows bees duly admated by the mayor. They meded thas no consmen was to be an atrorney, and this sanctionet that ' wimatson of the two branches of the profemon' which still endurem in Eagland: but really, as we have already seen, thear swu branches had different mota:- the attomey nepromenta hus elient. appuans in his chent's place, while the counker spenken on behalf of a litigant who is present in court either in pereon or by atcurnay. The civic fasthens were further comupelled to threaten meth ras pension the pleader who tomk anoney with both hande or reviled has antuguaut? It is froen 1298 thas wre get wur first leas Brok, and we see that already the great heigmetion of the malmo.

[^144]the litigation which is worthy to be reported, is conducted by a small group of men. Lowther, Spigornel, Howard, Hertpol, King, Huntingdon, Heyham-one of them will be engaged in almost every case. Nor is it only in the king's court and the civic courts that the professional pleader is found. Already in $12+0$ the Abbot of Ramsey ordained that none of his tenants was to bring a pleader into his courts to impede or delay his reignorial justice ${ }^{2}$, and in 1975 we find one William of Bolton praetising in partnership with other pleaders before the court
FF 108, of the fair of St Ives?. Many details are still obscure, but in Eiward I.'s day it is that our legal profession first becins to take a definite shape. We gee a growip of coumsal of serjeatats and npprentices ou the one hand, and a groupp of professional attorneys on the other, and both of them derive their right to practise from the king either mediately or immediately:

So suon as there ta a legal profession, prufessional opinion is Profen. among the most powerful of the forces that mould the law, and mioul we masy see it exercising its influence directly as well as indirectly. In Edward L.'s day it is impossible to uphold a writ which 'all the serjeants' condemn, and often enough to the medieval law-repurter 'the opinion of the serjeants' seems as weighty as any judgment?

That the professional pleader of Edward I.'s day had learnt Declino of law as a science, had attended lectures or read books, we do not know ; very probably his education had generally been of a purcly empirical kisd. Sumetimes he was a legist. In 1307 a judge says to counsel, ' Passeley, you are a legist and there is a writen law which speaks of this matter, Cogi pussessorem etc."' A certain knowledge of, and reverence for, the broader maxims of 'the written law' is apparent. 'Volenti non fit inimria,' Melior est conditio possidentis,' ' Res inter alios acta,' such phrases as these can be produced in court when there is occasion

[^145]for theto ${ }^{1}$. They could be umoly found; the Drecretals of Prope Bonifice VIIL, end with a bouguee ef those shuwy proverim'. When in any oentury finm the thorenenth to the asmeteenth an English lawyer indulger in a latin manxim, he in gersenally. though of this be moy be profoundly ignorant, quoting from the Sext. Huture lave only to look at manuarsipta of Brantanio sext to sea shat the cutcuence of Ruman law iw an the wars. to alreoule very might. Tranmeriben what ran enpy cortorty

 had bormwed frums Aze. A clamas in ranched when the actio famblue herciocundre her become an action nbous the family of the lady Horriacunda, or, xiner ervon her name an ouclasduh, the lady of Herturesenbe, who pribably had satese in Devonwhine?

Sincarea cos 1 mm tyyamern.

In England that Homan inatitution, the notarial ayseoms,
 perind priviloge of appotiting sutanow, boor ilid cour law nexpurm that deeala or wills or other instrumenter in commons ume shonid be preparad or atconted by profesional experta Nuw asal ganin when some documens was to be diawis up which wrould demand the credence of forognons, a papal notary would ber employed. It was a papal notary whil frownd the mont magrisfieent recond of King Eilwanlis justice, the recond of the wuns is which the errown of Senfland was at neakres. But it in wivehs of remark thes, while is oner tempnonal courte the art of neworsing pleven had been broughe to a lugh dongree of perfeetwon, tho Einglash coclesinutseal courts meem wh thave brotno ationoug oun-
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 be valat in furengo coarke.
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John of Bologna, who dedicated to Arehbishop Peckham a collection of judicial precedente, destined-so its author hoped -to reform our slovenly insular documents!. In later days there were always some apostolic notaries in Englanil. In the fourteenth century the teatament of a prelate or baron will sometimes take the form of a notarial instrument. But an acquaintance with the law of the land sufficient to enable one to draw a charter of feoffment, a lease, a mortgage, a will, was in all likelihood a common accomplishment among the elergy, regular and secular. If we closely ecan the cartulary of any rich religious house we shall probably infer that it had its own collection of common forms. It is quite conceivable that some instruction in conveyancing was given in the universities. From the second half of the thirteenth century we begin to get broks of precedentes, and sometimes the formulas of purely temporal transactions will be mixed up with instruments des* tined to come before the ceclesiastical courts? ${ }^{3}$. From the Norman Conquest onwards the practice of using written instrumenta slowly spreads dowwards from the king's chancery. The private deeds (curtua) are for the more part very brief, clear and business-like instruments; they closely resemble those that were executed in northern Franee. The most elaborate documents are those which proceed from the king's court. If a man wishes to do with land anything that is at all unusual, he does it by means of a fictitious action brought and compromised in the king's court. The instrument which records this compromise, this 'finsl concord' or 'fine,' will be drawn up by the royal clerks, and one copy of $i t$, the so-called 'foot of the fine,' will remain with the court. By this means, before the thirteenth century is out, some complex 'family settlements' are being made. Also the Lombard merchants have brought with them precedents for bonds, lengthy, precise and

[^146]ntringent forms, which they eumpel their Euglinh debuss to exeruke!.
Gunaledse IIn the whole it in hand for 13 to deternaine she degree to of the lew. whech knowledge of the law had becouse the excluave property of a protemanonal clama On the one band, there were many thingw in Hnaton's bomk which were beyund the comprehension of thes hity-worne thing\%, we suappect, that were tow refiond for the rindenary lawyer-and it wan fully admuthed shat the prudent litigent ahould employ a skilful plenaler ${ }^{1}$. Evet the writer of the Leges Henrici had observed that we better underntand anuther prowon'a canse than our own'. Bus the grons of profernional Inwyens whech bual formed itaelf routul tho

 atrornerg_mermed nomuph A groat deal of leggal buninoso wan atill beiag tranacted, a great deal of justice dose, by thine who wrote not profenoisal experts The knught, the setive country gentleman, would at tumes be employed an a justice of nasise or of gaul delivery, benden making the judgractits in the county court. The eellaner of the ubbey would pumside an ita manorad courem and be remely w draw a lenee or a will. The trewholdern of the shire, beveden metoming the communal and the manorial courts, would have hand work wo don an junose; often would thay be called to Wewtmonter, and an yeb ithe
 that a juror mould afford to know nothiug of legat sulto is in one way and another the common folk were conmunely meiring
 the courts even inta courtm prosuded over by a fasceshont

 froms hariag it all bis own was sad making the law sem fitm a thing firs sutrenues suco.

## Runtum

 10w it WelmeBongliah Luw was alrenuly npresuling beymed the boundo of Englanel In 12.2 the wine had aleners contse whed Walas would be suljugated and Edwardin grane Statution Witllueso.
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during the middle ages, would be promulgated. Meanwhile in the marches English and Welsh law had met; but the struggle was unequal, for it was \& struggle between the modern and the archaic. Welsh law had indeed a literature of its own, but had hardly passed that stage which is represented in Evgland by the Logee Henrici. No doubt there were those who cherinhed the old tribal customs. The men of Urehinfield, a district within the English county of Hereford, tell the king's justices that the manslayer may make his peace with the [0. 2000 kinsmen of the slain, and thay ask that this ancient ueage may be observed ${ }^{2}$. On the other hand, the men of Kerry, which lies within the modern county of Montgomery, petition the king that they may live under Eaglish law, because that Inw has sappressed the blood feud and does not punish the innocent along with the guilty". The old law of blood feud and wergild, or galanas us the Welsh call it, will die hard in Wales; still it is doomed to die, sud along with it the tribal system whence it springs

Into Ireland Eaglishmen have carried their own law. A Engliah stnaller England has been created across the Channel, with ircind. chancery, exchequer, 'benches,' council, sherifts, coroners, all reproduced upon a diminished scale. Statutes and ordinances and 'the register of original writs' were sent from England into Ireland; the king's English court claimed a supremacy over his Irish tribunals, and multitudinous petitions from Ireland came before the English council at its parliaments'. It is probable however that, even in those parts of Ireland which were effectually subject to English domination, the native Irish were suffered to live under their old law so long as they would keep the king's peace; but we may see Innocent IV. intervening to protect them against what seems to be an iniquitous

[^148]application of the ayatem of ' penuanal law!' Itrivivilual Irish. men, like the men of the Welsh Kerry, petituoned that they might be sllowed the benefits of Englush law: they prubably anraut by thas that they washed their lives proteced by a law wheh knew how to hang a manslayer instead of auffiring thme ur purchase peace by wergild ur 'ence fine'.

Whether the king of Seollaud wan in any degrve subject to the king of England, wes a queation abrut which Englahmann and Sient would have diwagreend in the year 1872 and nbout which they will harilly be brought to ngree evenn nuw. Old preedente of homage aud releave from homage were benag in 2 tremarect on either miste of the bomler and were woun tor ber brought into debata. But the utmont claimen for the Bughath kngg wis a feudal overionlatup, nud Eughish law, En Bughturh haw, had no powor north of the Twevf. Neverthelew, we may doubt whether a man who cromend the river felt that he had passed from the laud of one law wo the land of another. In the tinst place, for mome while he would have kanwn trimself to be under a law mettled and put in wratug by a joint ounmatioe of Engtind and Souttish koughis, the taw of the unerbene whach diected that whenever a charge of felony Lay berween Bacglathtana atud Sicut ther" must be trial hy battie--ber wetuld hase known humself to be under a trie internatumal have'. Hut eupproe hun served with a writ he might nothee ther samare of Henry where he way necustomed to see Al-mabulef. is the
 Westmonaterium ; bit nothing whe is the writ would seets ntranges. If the proper names becourctued, wro shall hardly nour uell a sometime charter of fereffinent from an Englingh, and the
 from the threwath century mught have beens writen by the clorke if thetert Bruce, the cbirf juntiee if Eughand if whas wemt on beymen the forth it is not for us wh hasurl a word. but far long nges pait the liw that prevaidel between forta

[^149]and Tweed must have been very like the law that prevailed between Tweed and Humber. And then, if Frankish feudalism in the guise of a Norman army had conquered England, it had atmost as effectually, though in more peaceful guise, conquered whatever of Scotland was worthy of conqueat. On the whole, for a long time past the two nations, if two nations we must call them, had been good friends; the two kingly families had been closely allied. Many a great baron can hardly have known to which nation he belonged. The concentrated might powel of the English kingship, the imperious chancery, the exact and exacting exchequer, were ideals for the Scottish king; the English baron may well have yearned for franchises and regalities that were denied to him but enjoyed by his Scottish peers The problem of the Regiam Maiestatem, the Scottish version of Glanvill's book, we must not try to solve; but it seeane clear enough from abuadant evidence that, at the outbreak of the war of independence, the law of Scotland, or of sonthern Scotland, was closely akin to English law ${ }^{1}$. That it and been less romanized than English law had been is highly probable: no Bracton had set it in order by the method of the Summa Asonis. That it was leas uniform than was English law is also highly probsble; the Scottiah kingship was not so strong as was the English, and in Scotland there were ethnical differences impeding the progress of a common law. These seem to be the main causes which, when enforced, during the atruggle for independence, by a loathing for all that was English, sever the stream of Scottish from that of English legal history. Romanism must come sooner or later; the later it comes the stronger it will be, for it will have gone half way to meet the medieval facts'. Uniformity, if it can not be evolved
${ }^{2}$ In Aots of Pariement of Sootland, vol. i., Regiam Maientatem is collated vith Glanvill. The present state of the question as to its date may be gathered from Neileon, Trial by Combent, pp. 99-104. Of all the various theories that have been started, that which ascribes this book to Edward I. will seem to an English. man the moat improbable. If Edwand had attempted to foist an Englinh law book on Scotlend, that book would have been founded on Bracton or Britton and not on the antiquatod Clanvill. The Engligh Law that in borrowed in dietinotly law of the twelth centurg.
${ }^{2}$ Solhroder, D. B. G. 746. The Roman law that comea to Ragland is the law of the early 'glomentors.' The Roman law that wins victorien in Scotiand and Germany is the hew of the inter ' commentators' (Baldus, Bartolus and no forth) which hae scoommodeted itseli to practical neede.
from within, mut be imported from without. Thun in the end Roman law is received is Scothand ns subaidary and meadenne lnw.
Procosions A cormparimon of the legel symterus of varnus atake in they Eusioniyut :inglaw hav. were at souse remote point of time will alwayn be a difficuls task, aven for one who knows tho histiry of earh enpante nywem. But if we could loak as western Bunupe in the

 nubertance: was, to may the lemut, as modera asud enlightenoed es wan that of the systerna with wheb it could be protitalily censparivi. It had supprewed some arehmans which mighe astll be found in Fromer or at nay meve in Gemmany. It knmw mething of the wergild save nen $n$ tmit of Welsh barborisns; at the perneis bodeleng it had abolinheal the ordeali is waw mpodse confinimg the juchual combat anal the anth with ath-holjown withun iong garruw lumes. But we would spank nather if in form ition or

 what we snust here call a large borly of macted low. And if in one wente Englatis wan brever wo be a 'momery of the written law.' it thad become premunently the country of the written reourd. Every sight, every nomody must be maile detinito by writang; if it can not find expmenowsis in atem chancery formula, it munt conae to exist Then, agran, Bingtiah Isw is becoming the law of one onurt, or of a serall group of intimately connected courta, the law of Weatamanet Hall,
 mea, the king'a justivere Erory righs, ereny relmints, in boug sharporteyl and hardened by the ceacelese activity of a courn wheh is thr cuurec uf a jest ierotes thouspula of socen the greatuat and sho rmallesin gotming bes it from all somerat ste Inni.

Ctranmer trenald prightat $4=$.
l'mfurmity th thus mecured, and even a cortann atenphemey
 must, if we have regard to ountinental nyozerme be caliact aus. proungly aimple. Closely monuected with the unfominty so asucher distercetve smat:-in Eughand the Law for the graat ment has beoushe the law fur all somin bexaluse the law at thr firc.


from their native home among the military fees through all the subjacent strate, and the one 'formal contract' of English law can be made only by those who can write or hire others to write for them. Certainty also has been attained; Bracton's hands are far less free than are the hands of Philip Beaumanoir or Eike of Repgau; at every moment he must be thinking of the formulas in the chancery's register. English Law is modern in its uniformity, jts simplieity, its certainty; it is urodern also in the amount of Romanism that it has absorbed. In Germany the theoretical sanctity of Justinian's texts has as yet borne little fruit in practice; in northern France the new Roman jurisprudence is still lying on the surface and hardly beginning to mix with the traditional customs, while in England it has already done a great work, and almost all the work that it will ever do. But all these modern excellences are being purchased at a price which may be heavy. The judges can no longer introduce much that is
3.201] new; they know nothing of any system but their own; Roman law has lost its glamour. All now depends upon those who will wield the legislative power in this country, upon the 'sovereign one' or the 'sovereign many.' A vigilant, an enligbtened, an expert legislator may be able to keep this rigid formulary syetem in harmony with the ever changing necessities of mankind, introducing new 'forms of action' and (for this will be equally necessary) ruthlessly abolishing all that is obsolete. But unless we are to have this continuous legislative activityand we can hardly have it without despotism-the omens for the future of English law are not very favourable. It may easily become a commentary, an evasive commentary, on antique writs and statutes. It will circumvent by tortuous paths the obstacles that it can not surmount. Archaic institutions which the rationalism of the thirteenth century had almost destroyed, wager of battle, wager of law, will live on until the nineteenth, moribund but mischievous. It may become an occult science, a black art, a labyrinth of which the clue has been loct.

But now, having brought down our general sketch of the growth of English law to the accession of Edward I., 'the English Justinian,' we may turn to an examination of its rules and doctrines as we find them in the age of Glanvill and the age of Bracton.

## BOOK II.

THE DOCTRINES OF<br>ENGLISH LAW IN THE EARLY MIDDLE<br>AGES.

## CHAPTER I.

## TENURE.

jer How best to arrange a body of medieval law for the use of arrangemodern readers, is a difficult question. Of the two obvious this book, methods each has its disadvantages. On the one hand, if we were to adopt the arrangement which would be the beat for a code or digest of our modern law, though we might possibly succeed in forcing the old rules into new pigeon-holes, we should run a great risk of ignoring distinctions which our ancestors saw, and a yet greater risk of insisting on distinctions which for them had no existence. On the other hand, were we to aim at such an arrangement as a medieval lawyer would have adopted, the result would be to hide those matters which interest us behind the intricate mass of procedural rules which interested him. The nature of both these dangers may be explained by a few words.

The arrangement of Bracton's treatise will for a moment Pomible seem one that is familiar enough to every lawyer; it is the methods of most famous of all schemes. Following the Institutes, he ment. (1) The treats of (1) Persons, (2) Things, (3) Actions. But if we may medieral take the number of folios given to each of these topics as an of law. indication of its importance in his eyes, we find that the relation between them may be expressed by the figures $7: 91: 356^{1}$. Nor is this all. It is to his' law of actions' that we must often look for substantive English law. To a high degree in his treatment of 'persons,' to a less, but marked, degree in his treatment of 'things,' he is dependent on Azo and Roman Law. It is only as he approaches the law of

[^150]'rections' that we begin to know that he in giving un pructienble of Eanglush haw and not speculative juriapraderice. As to (ihonvill, the whule of his book is, we may mey, devound us the law iff aetions: he plunges at once into an arciunt of the whe of right; and such arrangement as the Leyon Henrict have. pmics jurimeliction and procedure in the forefront. That chasertenothe mark of ancient jurisprudence, the prominent plave kiven to what we sometimee apeak of an 'adjective law,' the appanist subortination of nghta to remetiea, is particularly meticrabte in cur own came, and euduren until modern thuen: and naturally. for our common law is the law of comrta whirh gralually aryuired their juriastiction by the development and unkerpintatern of proeedural formulas. Still, though we whall bowe to eny much about the 'forme of netiont', we neas not introduce the rules of property law an though they were but nutmultary to the law aluut navizes, write of night nad actions uf trompoas.

Tho denger that would be run wase we to futlor the ettines of the two coursess ming be illuntrnted by references to thant division of law into 'publes' and 'privater' wheh meerse emmnently wall suitud to be among the fime outlinen of any inatitutional work on modema law. Bractun knew of the disanetums and ecould nuthec it is a mather of methenatic leanong; but he nakes litale use of it? He could hnorly have used it atod yes dealt fairly with his mnturiala ferudalum, we may say, to a denial of thim dartunction. Just in wor for the woal of feudalism is profecely realized, all that wer call publir taw o mergisl in private $\ln w$ : jurialiction to property, office ts prom-
 hne to ntand now for owneritiop and nuw fur formatup Agaun. the thoory arged by a mondera writers?, that 'pulhic law' is but a departiment of the 'law of permans,' however unpplicable to modem statues, may mometimen be appliexl with aivinntaper ion the middle agese Any much concerptran ne thate of "the whace"

[^151]hardly appears on the surface of the law; no line is drawn between the ling's public and private capacities, or it is drawn only to be condemned as treasonable. The king, it is true, is a highly privileged as well as a very wealthy person; still his rights are but private rights amplified and intensified. He has greater rights than any other lord; but it is a matter of degree ; many lords have some 'regalities'; the Earl of Gloucester has many, and the Earl of Cheater more. Certainly it would be easy for us to exaggerate the approach made in any country, more especially in England, to the definite realization of this feudal ideal; but just in so far as it is realized, 'public law' appears as a mere uppendix to 'real property law' modified in particular cases by s not very ample 'law of persons,'

Now albeit we can not adopt either of these two methods to the suglect of the other and must consider both medieval lawyers and modern readers, we need not worl without a plan. In any body of law we are likely to find certain ideas and rules that may be described as elementary. Their elementary character consists in this, that we must master them if we are to minke further progress in our study; if we begin elsewhere, we are likely to find that we have begun at the wrong place. Onily some experience of the partienlar body of law that is in question will direct us to the proper quarter; but as regards the law of the feudal time we can hardly do wrong in turning to the law of land tenure as being its most elementary part. We shall begin therefore by speaking of land tenure, but in the first instance we shall have regard to what we may call its public side; its private side we may for a while postpone, though we must not forget that this distinction between the two sides of property law is one that we make for our own convenience, not one that is imposed upon us by our authorities. From land tenure we shall pass to consider the law of personal condition. The transition will be easy, for the broadest distinction between classes of men, the distinction between free men and men who are not free, is intricately connected with land tenure, in so much that the same word villenagium is currently used to denote both a personal status and a mode of tenure. Then we shall turn to the law of jurisdiction, for this again we shall find to be intertwined with the land law; and along with the law of jurisdiction we must examine 'the communities of the land.' Having dealt with these topics we shall, it is hoped, have said
etoungh of pmititienl wormeture and puble affam, for thwe manthere which nre adouguately itsectased by hintoriana of conf constatution we ahnll avond. Turning then to the name privite branches of our law, we ahall taker sum our chsef rutince, ' ()waerswhipsand Pownewsm,' 'Cintract,' ' luheritance 'and ' Family Law: while our iwa lant chapters will he devnted, the one to 'Clame and Tort, the nther w' Procedure: Wie are well nware that thin arrangemont tany locok grotewque to morkern eyes; stiros. for example, it chrumes the law of persons intas the modithe of the law of pmperty. ()ur dofethee suins be thas, athop many experimentin. Wen have planned thin itinornary ma thas which will demand of tas the lever mmoure of Trpotesuss aud anticipation, and therefore rnable us 10 ray meas in the fewest words. We shall mpark for the mome part if the law we it stocxl in the pernal that liem betwinn 11 ind and 1272 . Thas will not prevent un from making occmatoral excumans int. earlier os later times when th do wo swins sulvisable, nor finms limiking now and again at foreign countries; but with the agg of Cilusiall atad the ruge of Bractou, we shall be gramanly roneornorl. Agrain, we ahall be primarily connerged with the evelution of legal dictrines, bate shall try th illustate by moll examplua some of the political and ecomonic canmes and effereas of thowe rulow that are under our examamatman. Wio bave not to wrike a practical hatul-bents if anevtieval law, motr. on the wther hand. hase wo en deseribe the whuld of merti-
 very protitable. Thersefore, withoust mone wla, we pert se the Inw of land tenure nod begin with ine fundanemtal diegona

## §1. Tenure in Gieneral.

Dertitatiom Eul ingeres. den: ronare.

Every acm of Engliah moil and ewnry proptictary nighs therom hove been bruughe withus the compuan of a sungle formula, which may be uxpresend thut:-Z Resee terrom dision da.....dominu Rege. The kiug himelf hibls land which is is every mefne has own; bo one elme hao any proprsitary night an it but if we leave out of acconst thes nigal do mombe. theth every anen of land to 'held of' the kumg. The presmote whom we unay call ite awser, the perencon whos has the ngite tat une and abser the lami, to cultuate it or leave it uncultwated, to keep all
others off it, holds the land of the king either immediately or mediately. In the simplest case he holds it immediately of the king; only the king and he have rights in it. But it well may happen that between him and the king there stand other persons; $Z$ holds immediately of $Y$, who holds of $X$, who holds of $V$, who holds......of $A$, who holds of the king. Let us take one real instance:-in Edward I.'s day Roger of St German holds land at Paxton in Huntingdonshire of Robert of Bedford, who holds of Richard of Ilchester, who holds of Alan of Chartres, who holds of William le Boteler, who holds of Gilbert Neville, who holds of Devorguil Balliol, who holds of the king of Scotland, who holds of the king of England ${ }^{1}$. A feudal ladder with so many rungs as this has, is uncommon; but theoretically there is no limit to the possible number of rungs, and practically, as will be seen hereafter, men have enjoyed a large power, not merely of adding new rungs to the bottom of the ladder, but of inserting new rungs in the middle of it. The person who stands at the lower end of the scale, the person who seems most like an owner of the land, and who has a general right of doing what he pleases with it, is said to hold the land in demesne; $\boldsymbol{Z}$ tenet terram in dominico, or in dominico suo ${ }^{2}$. We suppose that he holds it of $Y$; in that case $Y$ is the lord (dominus) of $Z$, and $Z$ is the tenant (tenens) of $Y$. But $Y$ again is said to hold the land; he holds it however not in demesne but in service (tenet terram illam, non tamen in dominico sed in servitio); and $Y$ again must hold it of someone-let us say of $X$-whose tenant he will be, who will be his lord, and who also will be said to hold the land in service. Ultimately we shall reach the king; $A$, or some other person, will hold the land immediately of the king and be his tenant in chicf (in capite). Every person j.212] who stands between the king and him who holds in demesne, every mesne lord or mesne, is both lord and tenant, lord as regards those who stand below him, tenant as regards those who stand above ${ }^{8}$.

[^152]Taiveres. lity if the wombert trainse

## Fandal teluare.

Bafore atcempting to amalyee shis mothon of dejeondens atht derivative tenure, lut un fint obeerve how unvermally it han been spplied!. Not anly has every acre of land beven brought within the scope, wo that the Englimh lawyor cons bot wimat eveus bane purwibility of land being hulden of too one. but the self-ranoe formula has been manle to evver solntionation which have little is common. An Entl of Chenter, whu may at sutsen thehave like as suvereign prives, bulds ha cuanty palasinve: of the king; the cottier, who like enuugh is persmally unfres. holds has litele crof of sosise mexne lomi, of of the king hammelf. Even when of late a new mode of cultivaing the sul has mule 1ts appearauce and lurds have let inod wo fanmens for termas of years at substantial money rentas shon now relacionshop has beon brought within the ould fornaula : the lemeece hulido slae lanal of the leseor. Eveu when the cename has aus reat su foy, soo tempoml service to perform, even when the land has ben devoted to (ion and the mante and in porvenoed by a relogromas
 to the: cecrawinn : the neligioum exmmanity holde the land of the donor. We sou at ance shorefore that the formala fursat ter

 clask, and we may way the manue of 'feudal' tenure.

The Lern feudusn, which in Augho-Firwish is repnematevt by fe, fie, fee and in Englinh by joe, is ulue of the warde whach camm

[^153]in with the Conqueror, and perhaps for a short while it carried about with it a sense of military or noble tenure; but very soon it was so widely ubed as to imply no more than heritability'. This is its settled sense in the thirteenth century. To say of a tenant that he holds in fee (temet in feodo) means no more than that his rights are inheritable. He does not hold for life, he does not hold for a term of years, he does not hold as guardian of an heir, or as one to whom the land has been gaged as security for money; he holds heritably and for his own behoof? But nothing more is implied as to the terms of his holding, the relation between him and his lond. His duties to his lord may be onerous or nominal, noble or humble, military or agricultural, but if his righte are heritable, then he holds in fee and the land is feodum surm, at all events if his tenure has about it no taint of villeinages. Thus we can not, as contimental writers do, treat feudal law as distinct from the ordinary law of the land, a law to be administered by special courte, a law which regulates some but not sll of the proprietary righte
244) that men have in land. We can hardly translate into English the contrast which Germans draw between Lehnrecht and Landrecht. Our Landrecht is Lehnrecht; in so far as feudalism is mene property law, Eingland is of all countries the most perfectly feudalized. But this truth has another aspect:our Lehnrecht is Landrecht; feudal law is not a special law applicable only to one fairly definite set of relationships, or applicable only to one class or estate of men; it is just the
${ }^{1}$ There are two patsagee in the Leg. Henr. in which feodum mearns to signify ruther inherited than heriteble rights: $-70,821$, the eldest son is to inherit the father's feodum, while the emptiones and acquisitiones the father may give to whom he will; here the feodum teems to be the ancestral estate and is opposed to lands aequired by purchase :-88, 815 , there seems a contrast drawn between the feodum and the conquicitum, though the passage is not very plain an it retands. See alwo Maitland, Domesday Book, 152.
${ }^{2}$ Glanvill, siii. 2: 'at de feodo vel at de vadio...nt de feodo vel nt de warda.' Ibid. siii. 24 : land held by a church in free alma is feodum eccleaiaaticum. Where 4 churoh is tenant, there is of course no inheritence; but the oharch has a perpetnal right in ite feodum. The contrast between fee and gage dimappears When the gage take the form of a conditional feoffment.
${ }^{3}$ Perhaps the tenant in villeinage was not yet spoken of as holding in feodo. Demandante of castomary land, while closely following the forms by which free land wes demanded, seem to avoid saying that their ancestors were seised ' of tee,' while aseerting that they were meised 'of right,' or 'of hereditary right'; Manorial Plees (Seld. Soc.), i. 84, 39, 41. On the other hand, among the sokemen on the encient demesne we find seisin in tee freely asserted; Ibid., 128.
commun law of Euginond. That extenaive application of the fetudal formula ( 1 ' tenet in feodo do $X$ ) which it characternatie of Eingland, and which perhaps was posesible only in a conqueresd country, bust have unpared ita untorsive force. If it hime to dencribe the relation between the kuIg and the palatite cart. the relation (slight enough in Einglaud) between the furse founder and the religious house that he has endowed, the relation between the lom of a manor and the hemanta wha help to plough nund reap his fields, the mere 'eanh nexus. between a lessor and a lessew who has caken the land hernably es a full money rent, it can not menn very much. Bur this collection of the mome divenser relationshipw under one heod will have importasut etfects; the lowor 'tenurce' wall be assimulated to the higher, the higher to the luwer; the 'feud' muses lowe half ite moening by becoming univeruals.
Arulytio of
Alegmulrut
 temurn.

It is clear then that of depondent or of feudal meaure an general. hathe can be raud : but stall sonue amalysus of it is possible. We may at lowat sution that it weetres to ber a entepoles of personal righte and of real raghen. (In the one hanul, the lurd han righte ayminat hum wonath, the tetuant righte agatcos his lurd: thes cenant uwess sorvices of hix lond, the lond, at leart normally, owe dofence and warranty th hin tenant. In the othor hand, buth lond and cenant have righte is the latsd, in the tenement, the subject of the tenures The wennes in ilerwerac.

[^154]the tenast on the lowest step of the feudal scale, obviously has rights in the land, amounting to a general, indefinite right of using it as he pleases. But his lord also is conceived as having rights in the land. We have not adequately described his position by saying that he has a right to services from his tenant. (If him as well as of his tenant it may be said that he holds the land, not indeed in demesne but in service, that the laud is his land and his fee, and even that he is seised, that is, possersed of the land'. What has been said of the demerne cemant's immediate lord, may be said also of that lord's lurd; be atso has nights in the land and the land is in some sort his. This, when regarded from the standpoint of modern jurisprudence, is perhaps the most remarkable characteristic of feudalism:--several different persons, in somewhat different senkes, may be said to have and to hold the same piece of land. We have further to conceive of the service due frum the tenant so his lord as being a burden on the tenement. It is service owed by the tenement. This idea is so deeply engrained in the law that the tenement is often spoken of as though it were a person who could be bonnd by obligations and perform duties: bides and virgates must send men to the war, must reap and uow und do suit of court ; 'these two half-hides ought to carry the king's writs whenever they come into the county ${ }^{2}$.' But
10. 216 the vast liberty that men have enjoyed of creating new tenures uad sub-tenures gives us wonderful complications: the obligation of the tenement has to be kept distinct from the obligation of the tenant. The tenement may be burdened with military service, and yet, as between lord and cenant, the lord and not the senant may be bound to do it: all the satne the land itself is burdened with the duty and the lord's overlord may have his remedy against the land.

To take a simple case:- The king has enfeofied $A$ to hold obligations by military service; $\boldsymbol{A}$ can now proceed to enfeoff $B$, (whether if the and he can do so without the king's leave is a question which we in the

[^155]pontpone) and may enfenff $\boldsymbol{B}$ by mome quite ather eervice: $\boldsymbol{B}$ for oxnmuple is w puy $A$ a money rent. Nuw as rogarda the king. the land is burderod with and awea the motitary arvice : the king can enforce the wervice by dintruining the lamb for to performunoc, that in, by meiziug aryy chatesla that are found on it, which chatrele will probably betong to $B$, of tat leavt is meno came) by scizing the lant itself. Hut $A$ and $B$ on the ownaso of the feruffiment, though thry can unt destroy the kunge nght or frev thi land from the military nervice, ulay nosm the lowe $\infty$ between themumbes, exttle the incodence of that servier: A may ngree that he will dov it, or the bargann tuyy ley that $\mathbb{B}$ so wo du it, besides pmying hin monay runt $4, A$. The werminoleng of Bracton's day and of yet earler times unatly expremeas the diatanctions berween the mervice wheth the remans uwnas soe ho imsnediate lurd by resson of thr burgain which raints betwems them, and the service which was incumberat on the cenmment
turringec abul feris. ave certion whilnt it wan in the lons's hurul. The fornas is intersmece arrice. the lathar forinme merviou; the furmer is the mervies wheh a crented by, which (as it were) arines within, the hargain betwiwa the ewo permonn, $A$ and $B$, wheme righten and dusen we aro discusesing; the lather arimes outnule chat bargain, is 'furngga' io that bargain; nuthing that the borganners do will shife it from the land, chough, as betwiets thatnevera, thry cand detormise
 thin buriew, thess if the king attackn the land is $B 0$ hurwl. $B$ will have a retnidy asparast $A$; them in an mectal form of netion by which much retnody 28 worighl, the action if momes (lonese de medra), very sumbions in the thirteesth comsury, A who is mesore (medima) lwetweeses the kiog asol $B$ in bosurol wo

 wall reaplumar it a morre cotmplicataul ahapen, mothe new woruse
 proy fur the soul of $B$ 's annestom; hut thene ase twil uthes Rervices becumbert on the lnsal, the reat that $B 6$ owe in $A$, the unlstury errocer that $A$ owed to the klug. and is uthe way or nonether shime mervices muas he prowideal fire. Ao betweent themnolven, $B$ and $C$ can mettle than matear by the werme of thens bargain, but withonat projudioe sa the nythe of $A$, and if the

[^156]CB. I. §1.] Tenure in General. 239
king. It is no impossibility that Edward should hold in villeinage of Ralph, who holds in free socage of the Prior of Barnwell, who holds in frankalmoin of Farl Alsn, who holds by knight's service of the king'. Just as at the present day one and the same acre of land may be leasehold, copyhold and free-hold-for there is no land without a freeholder-mo in the past one and the same acre might be holden by many different tenures. It owed many and manifold services, the incidence of which, as between its various lords and tenants, had been settled by complicated bargaining'.
p.318) Little more could at this moment be said of tenure in Claseifos-general-an abstruction of a very high order. Efforts, however, tienures. had been made to classify the tenures, to bring the infinite modes of service under a few heads, and before the end of the

[^157]twelft century the grint outlines which were to ebrlure for long ages had been drawn, though nether in Glanvill, mor even in Bmetun, dos we find juat that scheme of tenuren whech hecame final and clossical. In particular. 'fee farm' and • burgage' threaten to be conardinate with, nut suburdanate tu, 'frive sucage'; 'tenure by banuly' is spoken of no notsesthag ditiereat from ' tenure by knght's service'; nod in the worth there are such tenures as 'thegnage' and 'Irengage' which are giving the lawyern a griat dead of coubble. sitill, subject to eomed explanations wheh can be given hereafter, we may any thas in Brackin's day tenures ase clansitied thna:-they ane athers frew or not free; the free tenures are (1) trabkalaion, 121 military wersice, (i) merjeaty, (t) free suchge. In this urder we will *peat of them ${ }^{2}$.

## § 2. Fiventalnumin.

Franal tanti.

At the begnting of the thrteroth ceutury at ever-mennamg guantity of land wha held by ecelemantios negriar nexd seculare in right of their churches by a tomure nomutomly known as fraukalmoin, free alsas, libera elomosina. The service amplion by than teuure was is the first place apiritual, in uppuneal tu seeviar service, and in the mand place it was an indefintee nartimes. such at lenot was the ductrine of later dinys. Wie tayy take the exconed characteriatie fims. At all evente in later dala. if hand wang given to a churchman and thero was a mipresations
 a stipulation that the dontere ahould atrig a mean nuer a forar ur whould dsotribute a certans sam of moncy among the pwor), the






[^158]terns of the gift (as was often the case) said nothing of service or merely stipulated in a general way for the donee's prayers, then uo fealty was due : and only by ecelesiastical censures could the tenant be compelled to perform those good offices for the donor's soul that he had impliedly or expressly undertaken. Perhaps this distinction was admitted during the later years of the period with which we are now dealing; but we shall hereafter see that in this region of law there was a severe struggle between the temporal and the eccleainstical courts, and very possibly an attempt on the part of the former to enforce any kiud of service that could be called spiritual would have been resented. The question is of no great importance, because stipulations for definite spiritual services were rare when compared with gafts in frankalmoin?

Here, as in France, the word elemosina became a technical Mramng word, but it was not such originally. At first it would express rather the motive of the gift than a mode of tenure that the gift creates, And so in Domesday Book it is used in various sonses and contexts. In some cases a gift has been made by the king in elomosina, but the donee is to all appearance a layman; in one case he is blind, in another maimed; he holds by way of charity, and perbaps his tenure is precarious. To hold land 'in charity' might well mean to hold during the giver's pleasure, and it may be for this reason that the charters of a later day are carcful to state that the gift has been made, not aerely in alros, but ' in perpetual alms?'. Then, again, in some

[^159]parts of the country it is ferequently noted that the parish priotst has a few neres in denconms; in one care we learn that the neghboura gave the church thirg acres it almu'. There are. buwever, other cawew in which the term neems to bent a thowe wechuical sense: : wotue relygioun bouse, Eangtush or l'much, hotido a considemble yuantity of land in alms ; we can handly doubt that it unjoys a certann imenumty from the ordinary bardens incumbent on lauatholden in gonernl, includagg anotug such lanuthoidere the texe favoursel ehurehes!. And no agatis in the enrly chartem the word seemn to be gradually beaoming a wond of art ; sonnetimes we miss it where we should expect is find is. and instend get some other phruse capable of exproming a erruplete freedum from seeular burdernn? In the tweifth cesttury, the century of new mounstic orthen, of hivinh endow menta, of ecclemantical law, the gift st free, pure, and perpetual almo han a well-known manalugs:

The nutuon that the tetnant in frankuimuin tuolde his lausd by a merviae dube to his land wemas wo grow mant definite it comme of time ins the general theory of temure harderna and the church finla in its endeavour to samert a jurisdictron over doputer relating to land that han been given wo ticul. The werurn

 Whether the grikstin Lemare wem foee of jwechous

 gomarally holde notbe acrise "of fres lated' in shemuenme.




 ounnuetcultam.

- Thuo whes Kienry I. maloe rifts to tho Abbey of Abimidue ' wo the at


 Hise. Abungel. it. es. 24.





 Sobsi's could mit the
thus becomes one among many tenures, and must conform to the general rule that tenure implies service. Still this notion was very old ${ }^{2}$. In charters of the twelith century it is common to find the good of the donor's soul sad the souls of bis kingfolk, or of his lord, or of the king, mentioned as the motive for the gift: the land is bestowed proanima mea, pro saluts animas mene. Sometimes the prayers of the donees are distinctly required, and occasionally they are definitely treated as services done in return for the land ${ }^{0}$ : thus, for example, the donor obliges himself to warrant the gift 'in consideration of the ssid service of prayerss.' Not unfrequently, especially in the older charters, 2223 the donor along with the land gives his body for burial' ${ }^{4}$; sometimes he stipulates that, should he ever retire from the world, he shall be admitted to the favoured monsstery; sometimes he binds himself to choose no other place of retirement; often it is said that the donees receive him into all the benefits of their prayerss.

We have apoken as though gifts in frankalmoin were made to mes ; but, according to the usual tenour of their terms, they cod nonims. were made to God. AB Bracton says, thoy were made primo et principaliter to God, and only secundario to the canons or monks or parsons ${ }^{\text {s. }}$. A gift, for example, to Ramsey Abbey would take the fortu of a gift 'to God and St Benet of Ramseay

[^160]and the Absot Walter and the monks of Si Renet,' or samply 'tu God and the church of St Bropet of Ramey.' or yes tawne brawtly ' $w$ (hod and St Henct?'. Tho Givet that sthe latod was given to tioul was made manifest by apprupriate cerretasniss. Oflum the domor land the charter of teviftraent, or sutue trafe or other symbol of promessaus upwn the altar of the
 agusamt all who should diaturb the donece's pomesouion dod beot go out of ume at thes Nomans (ionquist, but may bo foutad in chnrtem of the iwelfth century', nor was it ulvomenate fios a religuona bouse to obean a pupal bull contirmagg gitu already made and thereafter to bee tuade, and, whatever mught the the lognal uffect of auch instrumenta, the moral eftiet twast have beven great: We anc unt entitledt to friat these phnuwen whith of
 more than oure founde argumenter upen thems. and they onsgent that land given is fraskalmoin is cint aide the epthere of use foiy humasa jumsice.

Pro elma
 nec nevine.

In later days the featere of conune is franksitumen whe ts netracter the wotice of lawyers in a monely megatare fiatum. natnely, the abvetuce of any mervice thas cus be enforeal by the

 perpetund alous, and in that case nut vely wostef tom mevaler serviee be duee froms the donew to the dotuer, but ine. land in the donese hand would uwe no socular nervice at all Bus tolimso in frankalmain ix by on teceans spermenrily a tathuse in chief of the crown; isubiod the: quantity of land held in chof of the
 stood that an ecelvamatical parwan might well hood latrota, ases boid them in ryght of hin rhurch, by wher teturea Tho awseets

 held a barovy. Bewide this, we constantly fiad relogrowe thonere

[^161]taking lands in socage or in fee farm at rents and at substantial rents, and though a gift in frankalmoin might proceed from the king, it often proceeded from a mesue lond. In this case the mere gift could not render the land free from all secular service ; in the donor's hand it was burdened with such service, and so burdened it passed into the hands of the dones?. If the donee wished to get rid of the service altogether, he had to go to the donor's superior londs and ultimately to the king for charters of confirmation and release. But, as between themselves, the donor and donee might arrange the incidence of this - firrinsec service' as pleased them best. 'The words 'in free, pure, and perpetual alms' seems to have implied that the tenant was to owe no secular service to his lord; but they did not necesses-
-294] rily imply that, as between lurd and tenant, the lord was to do the forinsee service. And so we find the matter settled in various ways by various charters of donation:-sometimes it is stipulated that the tenant is to do the forinsec service ${ }^{2}$, sometimes the lond burdens himself with this ${ }^{3}$, often nothing is said, and apparentiy in such case the service falls on the lord.

Another rule of interpretation appears, though somewhat purs alms. dimly. In accordance with later books, we have spoken as though a gift in frankalmoin, in free alms, always implied that no secular service was due from the donee to the donor. But the words generally used in such gifts were 'free, pure, and perpetual alms,' and in Bracton's day much might turu on the use of the word 'pure?' Seemingly there was no contradiction between a gift in 'free and perpetual alms' and the reservation of a temporal service, and many instances may be found of such gifte accompanied by such reservations. This will give us cause to believe that the exemption from secular service had not been conceived as the core of tenure in frankalmoin; and if we find, as well we may, that a donor sometimes stipulates for

[^162]seenlar nervice, though the makes hon gift not ouly in fro but even in pure almas, our lelief will be strengthenell!

Fres alma Acd werla. -ilinitiral jurambletion

The key to the prothoms in given by the Constitusacan of
 than freedom frum sacular morvice has been the fucun of fronkal-
 betwion a olerk and a laynani, or betwerel a loyman and a cle-sid. conoernug any tenement which the clerk nowerth to be dowoumina and the layman agmorts to be lay fee, it ahall bedetermaneal by a recogration of twelve lawful men and the judyanent if the chief justicins whether (ustum) the teucment belungs for dimsossind or betonge to lay fees. And if it be fousul to tretonig tos elomosina, then the plea shall gu forward in the ecelemusitend const: but if it be lay fie, then in the kiug's cuust, or, in cene buth litigasta clases $w$ hold of the same lust, thess in the fondis courh. And in consequence of such a necugntma, the proun who in neised is not wo lose hia mosun unsel it han been deratignod by the pleas! Lat isa ohwarve how large a concmation to the church the great Henry is cumpelletid so make, event before the munder of Becket has put hims in the wrusig. This ia all thas thine amitue leges, of wheh he talkn mo froyuesitly. will give him, and the clamn num mone. The clergy have establiathel the principle:-All litugation cunceraing land held in altonets
 inaises on in this: that, if theng is dowpute whesher the lavel be aluovis or ho, this prelimenary yluewtsons tount be deesdiad by an mavize under the eye of han juncictar. Thus the amace L'trum in entublishent. It in a predomangy prumen; it will bus even sorvo wh give the claimant a prowstann ad interam. the
 fand, but the sumprience uf courtia H -so thens we fitul the



[^163]tribunals of the church. Even to maintain his royal right to decide the preliminary question of competence was no easy matter for Heary. Alezander III. freely issued rescripts which ordered his delegates to decide as between clerk and layman the title to English land, or at least the possessory right in English lands: he went further, he bade his delegates award possession even in a dispute between layman and layman, though afterwards he apologized for so doing. The avitas lages, therefore, were far from conceding all that the clergy, all that the pope demanded '.
2951 They conceded, however, more than the church could per- The Anixe manently keep. If as regards criminous clerks the Constitutions of Clarendon are the high-water-mark of the claims of secular justice, as regards the title to lands they are the low-watermark. In Normandy the procedure instituted by Henry, the Breve de Feodo at Elemoaina, which was the counterpart, and perhaps the model, of our own $A$ ssisa Utrum, seems to have maintained its preliminary character long after Henry's son had forfeited the ducky : that is to say, there were cases in which it was a mere prelude to litigation in the spiritual forum?. In England it gradually and silently changed ita whole nature; the Assisa Utrum or action Juris Dtrum became an ordinary proprietary action in the king's court, an action enabling the rectors of parochial churches to claim and obtain the lands of

[^164]their chumbes: it became 'the parmin's writ of mght'. Between the tiuse of Glanvill and the time of Bracton than grvas change was effected and the ecelebratical trbunals suffored a severe defeat?

1) intmal uf ther arife plaviual clasaz.

The formal nide of this procem reems to have conimitavi it e gradual denal of the amize litrum to the manyunty of the tenants in franknimoin, o denial which was juutifind by the stabernent that they had other nemedieen for the nussurgy if their landa. If a bishop or an abbot thought homest cutitesd to lands which were withhulden from him, tie might ther the ardinary remediek competent to haymen, he might haro mooume to a wrt of right. But one clewe of terianta is frankeminema Wis debarred from this remedy, namely, the recturn of parwh churchese Bractum explame the suater thun:-When tand is given to a religrous house, though it is in the first plece given to God and the chumeh. it in gwell it the mecoud plane to the ahbort and mosiks and their succemors. of to the dians and canuens and their succeswom, so alos tand may the given tur a bushop and his sucereserms If then a bishop or ans atione hew wecesion to sume for the land, her caal pliead that one of his prede-
 the sebals of has ancustur. But with the pariah panain is is mas
 make them to the eloureh, eg. ' W (ival anod the ehureh of at
 sion, he tuny have an assare of noseld dismisno, for he hitumalf hum beese mised of a free tenement; but a propretary ine

 and has successors, it has been gaven to the church; bo can

[^165]not therefore plead that his predecessor was seised and that on his predecessor's death the right of ownership passed to him; thus the assize Utrum is his only remedy of a proprietary kind ${ }^{1}$.

In another context it might be interesting to consider the The parran meaning of this curious argument; it belongs to the nascent land. law about 'corporations aggregate' and 'corporations sole.' The members of a religious house can already be regarded as constituting an artificial person ; the bishop also is regarded as bearing the persona of his predecessors; the vast temporal possessions of the bishops must have necessitated the formation of some such idea at an early time. But to the parish parson that idea has not yet been applied. The theory is that the ${ }^{228]}$ parish church itself is the landowner and that each successive parson (persona ecclesiae) is the guardian and fleeting representative of this invisible and immortal being? It has been difficult to find a 'subject' who will bear the ownership of the lands appropriated to parish churches, for according to a view which is but slowly being discarded by the laity, the landowner who builds a church owns that church and any land that he may have devoted to the use of its parson?. However, our present point must be that legal argument takes this form(1) No one can use the assize Utrum who has the ordinary proprietary remedies for the recovery of land; (2) All or almost all the tenants in frankalmoin, except the rectors of parish churches, have these ordinary remedies; (3) The assize Utrum is essentially the parson's remedy; it is singulare beneficium, introduced in favour of parsonss. This argument would naturally involve a denial that the assize could be brought by the layman against the parson. According to the clear words of the Constitutions of Clarendon, it was a procedure that was to be employed as

[^166]well when the clamant was a layman as when her was a clerk. Biat ewon the doctrise of the culuris begen tu. Huctuate. Barlin Patombill at one thene allowed the layman this wition, thens be changed has opmon, because the layman had other nesootes: Bracton was for retmeing thin whyp, bersume trial by latele and
 cuncois relie of the unginal meaning of this writ remasuest untal 12xs, whon the Second Sitatuto of Weasminater gave as section to decide whether a piense of land was she demaninas of une uf of anuther church? The nowze hat arginally been a
 macher of seurlisg such disputen to the compertate cosurem temopomal of aphatual, and the Constituthonn of Clamminth mentain a plain admanion that if bush partien agree that the lanad as demarina, any dinpute betweest them in nu concorss of the lay courts.
Mannen if We have burn appeaking of the formal side of a legmal chauge. frant
 (t) Star thatuonitb लuthry but tnust not altuw this we coucend the grave tuportate of the matem that were at stake. The arguenens that none bess

 of courtn, into a propnetary wetsuats dectiong, aud deending fivally, "yumatio uf entlo so lasil, sutwlve the meertuon that atl ceroame is frankalmush (exceple such rectom) can san and be suevd and wught to sue nosd be suest fir landen in the wimjerad

 The eccleanatical contis ant art in medrlle in any way with the ithe to lawil alteit held in frmakalnous. To prevent their an doing. wits are in commot use prohititiong both litigmite and
 in the courtn C'hristian ; and su Remotonis day is in firmly rotahinhoud that for thas purpose land may ke: lay tea though is is hetel in fres, pure, nad perpesialal almes. The suserfenmee of the afuritual courts with larul has buen hemmed within the namem-

 extew of churcher and monaatertes and thotr chnerhyario. Iow

[^167]which, according to Bracton, may be added Iands given to churches at the time of their dedication ${ }^{3}$. The royal court is zealous in maintaining its jurisdiction; the plea rolls are covered with prohibitions directed against ecclesiastical judges"; and it is held that this is a matter affecting the king's crown and dignity-no contract, no osth to submit to the courts Christian, will stay the issue of a writr. But the very frequency of these prohibitions tells us that to a great part of the nation they were distasteful. As a matter of fact, a glance at n 200] any monastic annals of the twelfth century is likely to show os that the ecelesiastical tribunkls, even the Roman curia, were constantly busy with the title to English lands, especially when both parties to the litigation were ecclesiastics. Just when Bracton was writing, Richard Marsh st the instance of Bobert Grosseteste was formulating the claims of the clergy :-- He who does any injury to the frankalmoin of the church, which therefore is consecrated to God, commite sacrilege; for that it is res sacra, being dedicated to God, exempt from secular power, subject to the ecelesiastical forum, and therefore to be protected by the laws of the church?!' It is with such words as these in our minds that we ought to contemplate the history of frankalmoin. A gift in free and pure alms to God and his saints has meant not merely, perhaps not principally, that the land is to owe no rent, no military service to the donor, but also and in the first place that it is to be subject only to the laws and courts of the church ${ }^{\text {s }}$.
${ }^{1}$ Bracton, f. 407. Suoh lands conetitute the charch's das or dower. See also f. 207 b .
${ }^{2}$ See Note Book passim. The writ of prohibition is found in Glanvill, xii. 21, 22. It is found in the earliest Chancery Registers. Bracton disousses ita coope at great length, l. 402 II.
${ }^{3}$ In the twelith centary the donor sometimes expressly binda himself and his heirs to submit to the ohurch courts in case he or they go against the gift; nee e.g. Rievaulx Cartalary, 83, 87, 89, 69, 159, 166. So in the Nowminster Cartulary, 89, a man covenants to levy a fine and submits to the jarisdiction of the archdencon of Northumberland in case he fails to perform hia covenant. For a similar obligation undertaken by a married woman, eee Cart. Gione. i. 804. As to anch attempts to renounoe the right to a prohibition, see Note Book, pl. 678.

- Ann. Burton, p. 227. See also the protest of the binhops in 1257, Mat. Par. Cbron. Maj. vi, 361.
* Viollet, Histoire du droit civil, p. 702: ‘la franobe aumone....un franc alleu ...echappant in toute jaridiction civile.'


## § 3. Knight's Service.

MCilatary Celoure.

Wo now turn to snilitary tenure, and in the first plome shonatd warn ouncelves not to expmot an racy task. In sotue of cur
 which it never hual olmewhere: An arny is meteleat on the land. is routed in the land. The groulen in 'the nervice' corropponed ho, and indeed nre, the grawlen of Iatulholdernhip; the nupnome Imallord in mommander-is-chiof; each of his imasodiate temants is the general of man nerny curpa; the negitnonta, miaminno. comapatios, answer wo hotaury or mantome or knughe's fores All in ncerurasoly definerl; esch man knows him place, karswn buw many dny" ho must fight and with what arma This ferudal gyserm' is the military absterts of bagland from the Nosmusu Conquest onwarde throughout the rabdelle ages ; loy mosinn of is our land in defiondod and enor vieturese noe wons in Wialee and in Incland, in Sicubland and in Frmace:- Whant however we lewh as the facta, all this delinituthest, all thin mabultey, vatanh. Wee ore growth and doveny : we sue decny begisang bofore growth in as an end. Hefore there is much law aboute molitary tenure is has aluose ceand to be military in any real selone. We must have regned to dotew. Fivery one knowa that the mulatary temun of Charles 1 .is reign was very differsus froms the military temurs of Edwand li's: but tham agnin was very different fouse the military tenure of Henry l.'s or even of Heney II: A Nelsm.

Tirnoth cenl jouesy of tanlitery tenars
 of service in the army becumes moterl in the kemune of band.
 system of kught's fees ham been well isolered arod arrangorl, the
 what they want, or ts nut all that they wask. It may' more ho defend a border, to barry Wialas is stooland fur a few wroko in the surumer, but for contanuons warm in frrance it will nue ertr. the kugg would rather have muney. he beigus we talin scusage This, as we ahall moun see, praetically allers the whole ruturn id the uatitution. Another ceutury gene by and mutage stion ha berome autigunted atad unpostitable; another, and seutase is no longer Lakin. Spraking mughly we may saly that them is one ermtury ( 10 itib- 116 b) in which the malitary tenure ses really moltatary, shough an yne thore in lotele Lnw atout threa
that there is another century (1166-1266) during which these tenures still supply an army, though chiefly by supplying ita pay; and that when Edward I. is on the thone the military organization which we call feudal has already broken down and will no langer provide either soldiers or money save in very inadequate amounts. However, just while it is becoming little better than a nuinnomer to speak of military tenure, the law about military reaure is being evolved, but as a part rather of our private that of our public law. The tenant will really neither fight nor pay scutage, but thare will be harsh and intricate law for him mbout the reliefa and wardships and marriages that his lord can clains because the tenure is military. Thus in speaking of t-mure by knight's service as it was before the days of Edward I., we have to speak not of a stable, but of a very unstable institation, and if of necessity we desuribe it in general terms, this should not be done without a preliminary protest that our generalities will be but approximately true, As to seutage, in the whole course of our history this impost was levied but some forty times, and we can not be certaio that the method of n.252] Assessing and collecting it remained constant. An English lawyer turaing to study the history of these mattera should remember that if Litzleton had cared to know much about them, he would have had to devote his time to antiquarian research ${ }^{2}$.
${ }^{1}$ There is only one half-centary during which scutages are frequently imponed, namely that which lies between 1190 and 1240. The early history of seutage in now in the orncible. New materisla have been rendered sooessible by the publication of the Red Book of the Exchequer and some of the Pipe Rolls of Elenry II.'s day. Two importent trecta have come to our hands at the laot moment, viz. (1) J. F. Baldwin, Seutage and Knight Service, Chicago, University Prem, 1897; and (2) J. H. Bound, The Red Book of the Exchequer (privately printed), 1898. Mr Bound makes it fairly certain that our atatement (infra, p. 287) as to the existence of sertage before the days of Henry II. is not strong enough, and he leaven os doubting whether at this point Heary did mach that wan now. Mr Baldwin has thrown light on many details. While agreeing with us in holding that in the last days of scatage the temant in ohiaf can not eacape from the daty of military service at the cost of paying noutage, Mr Baldwin seems inclined to hold that in the earlier time the seutage was treated as a foll equivalent of the service. His researohes seem to show that Henry II.'s endeavoru to charge the tenanta in chief with the namber of fees that they had oreated if it exoeeded their old cervitium debitwm (infra, p. 266) was not permanently successful. Not the least interceting result of Mr Baldwin's essay is the proof that, as com. pared with other mources of revenue (dona, auxilia, tallagia), the importanoe of the acutagen may eacily be over-rated.

Cnita of meditery sorricte

By far the greater part of Firgland in belid of the king by knightin service (jper servelum moltare) - it is comparsis.ly raro for the kung' tenante in chuef to hold by any of the e ither conuma. In orior to underatand thia tenume we znut form the conarpetten of a unit of mistary nervice. That unit mente to bo the sarvice of one kught of fully armod homertian loermiven unius moltias) to be dosse to the king is hiw arny fur forty days in the year. If it tee called for. In what wara nuch service muns be done, wo theat not heme determine; nor would it for rater to do No, for from time tar time the king and ha harasse have quarrolleat alwitt the axteoth of the nblignatest, and mane thats ine crisis of coumatutional lametary has thes for its caunt. It is a question, wo may say, wheh never recolvioa any legal answerp'

## The forly

## daye.

Even the limet of forty dayn whene tol have exinted mather is fáb theory thme in practice, und its thenretee exalenten ran hand! to

 law, and attomptes have feeen maile to snure it back to the days of the Kirlovingiau emperuns. Froto the Tinuranne if the thir teenth centisy we have a defifite miaterment "The harime or
 brat and (o) Nerve at their uws etent forty days and forty bughta with as many knightem nat they owe hims......Ansl if the king will kenep them mose thus forty dayes atal forty mights at thour exme, they nowd nut stay urilewn they will : bat if tho king will hewo them at low rowt fir the Neforiow of ther matas, thay ought big righen teratay. but if the king wonlif take thetn neth of the naims.
 forty days aud turty mughese? Hest the forse of suech a rolm to

[^168]feeble; when in 1226 the Count of Champague appealed to it and threatened to quit the siege of Avignon, Louis VIII. swore that if he did so his landa should be ravaged ${ }^{2}$. In Eugland when a baron or knight is enfeoffed, his charter, if he has oue, says no more than that he is to hold by the service of one knight or of so many knights. When the king summons his tennats to war, he never says how long they are to serve. The exception to this rule is that they are told by John that they are to serve for two quadragesims, eighty days, at the least ${ }^{3}$. Occasionally in the description of a military serjeanty, it is said that the serjeant is to serve for forty days, but to this are often added the words 'at his own cost,', and we sre left to guess - 2:4) whether he is not bound to serve for a longer time at his lord's cost ${ }^{2}$. In 1198 Richard summoned a tenth part of the feudal force to Normandy; nine knighte were to equip a tenth; the Abbot of St Edmuuds confessed to having forty knights ; be bired four knighta (for his owa tenants had denied that they were bound to serve in Normandy) and provided them with pay for forty days, namely, with 36 marks; but he was told by the king's ministers that the war might well endure for a year or more, and that, unlens he wished to go on paying the knights their wages, be had better make fine with the king; ao he made
 Welsh campaign for eight weeks; during the first forty days they served at their own cost; afterwards the king paid them wages ${ }^{\text { }}$. No serious war could be carried on by a force which would dissipate itself at the end of forty days, and it seems probable that the king could and did demand longer service, and was within his right in so doing, if he tendered wages, or if, as was sometimes the case, he called out but a fractional part of the feudal force: We have to remember that the old duty of every man to bear arms, at least in defensive warfare, was

[^169]naver-not "ven is Frinace-completely morged in, or obliterated by, the fetuinal obligation'. Juat when there semme a chance that thiw oblogntion may berome atmetly detioned by the operation of the taw courta, the keng in tegraming tol fond of other quartern for a mupply if soldiem, to invint that all meas shall be arnewl. in compel then of sutatauce to brewne knighte. even though they do not hold by military tenure, and to ssue commursmina of numy.

But these unite of military service, hnwever indetermmate they may be, huve become, if we may so sppakk, territurnatisead A cerratin definato pieco of land ina kimght's fee (foedermenalitas):
 fenes: nuother in half, or a quarter, or a forteth part of a knightis fied, or, to whe the current phrues, it it the fee of balf, or a quarter, ur a forteth pare if one knight (feedum quadrogeanowe partu unius motitis)!. The appearance of nemall fractional paren of a kught's fee could harily be explanued, were it hot that the king bas been in the habit of taking romey in theu of tultury service. of taking scutage or escunge (acctagnm), a sum of -s much money pers kught's fee. Withour referwer to this wo mught madeed undentand the existence of halven of kmight's fees.
 milen, two wrijeanta will be necepted in lieu of one krught', bus n fortieth part of the mervee of one kaight would be uaso. Welingible, wero it tot that from tume to tume the mervion of nome kurghe cans lx. expmexted in terma of money: Almady in Hetrigy II: nciga we hear of the twelfth, the iwenty-fourth part of o kughtin feec, in John's reigd of the fortuesh'; and wreman howe of single nerva wheh owe $n$ detinise yonatum of milluary service, or mether of scutage.

Vaptinc ecra if kngibl fees

To repment to oumetven the meraning abd affert of thro apportwonnent in no cmay matter, In the timt place, we have






 eprak of the brughtio few ec a ormfum, partacolarly is relerveco so lavale to


to ohserve that the term 'knight's fee' does not imply any particular screage of land. Some fees are much larger than others. This truth has long been acknowledged and is patent?. We may indeed see in some districts, for example among the knights of Glastonbury, many fees of five hides apiece ${ }^{2}$; but in a single county we may find a hide of land reckozed as a half, \& third, \& fourth, a fifth, and a sixth of a knight's fee'. In the north of England one baron holds sixteen carucates by the service of ten knights, while in another barony the single knight's fee has as many as fourteen carucates 'The fees held of the abbot of Peterborough were extremely amall; in some cases
sass, he seems to have got a full knight's service from a single hide or even less"; on the other hand, a fee of twenty-eight carucates may be found"; and of Lancashire it is stated in a general way that in this connty twenty-four carucates go to the knight's feer. In one case, perhaps in other cases, the law had made some effort to redress this disparity : the feos of the honour of Mortain were treated as notoriously small; three of them were reckoned to owe an much service as was owed by two ordinary fees". Perhaps a vague theory pointed to twenty librates of land as the proper provision for a knight; but even this is hardly proved!

Another difficulty arises wher we ask the question, what Nsture of was the effect of this apportionment, and in particular what tionment. persons did it bind? Modern lawyers will be familiar with the notion that an apportionment of a burden on land may be effectual among certain persons, ineffectual as regards others. Let us suppose that $A$ owns land which is subject to a rentcharge of $£ 100$ in favour of $M$ and a land-tax of $£ 10$ per annum; he sells certain acres to $X ; A$ and $X$ settle as between themselves how the burdens shall be borne; they agree that each shall pay a half, or perhaps one of them consents to accept

[^170]
## P. M. 1.

the wholo burden. Now, allowing that this is an effectual ngnemmetit between them, wo still have the queation whothers it can in any way affect tho rughte of $M$ or of the kong. who bave hitherto been able the treat the whole land na subject to the whole restecharge and the whole tas. It will not therefore surpine us if we find that the apportumment if malitang senve was tot absolute.

The apros. thennat
 the buty noull:s trosas to ctand

We may bugun by conaidening the relation betwewin tho king and hia tenanta in chef. We have goad sonern wa belurves that the Cimqueror when he enfeoffed his followers with trarts of forfesterl latul detineal the number of knughte with which they were to aupply him, aud alow that he detined the wumber of knighta that were to be found by the cathedral and nubastice churebea whome land had nout been furferteul. It would nons bee true to may that ith thas wry the whole of Eingland wns. as between the king and hise immotiane kernamea, cut up who
 tesuates who held of hitn by frankalmoin, by s-rjeant! , us mompor ; still in thim tamatar a very lange prast of kighand was bronkthe Wit hin the acupe of military cunsmets or what mated be rexamtid
 to all sesening they were not expresord is writiug. The onsly docutu-stary endence that the great lorsl of the Cinnaperve's sioy could have pmolured by way of title-dowal, was, in all probitotity come bref writ which commandial the nignt offieem in pus hum
 by which ho was to hold thetr. And again, is then cane of tho
 right worl; it was in the king's pewer tu dectate h-rma and he dictated them. Whether is so dosgeg be pand imoth or asy rogard to the old English law and the anctent laud towito is a question not pasaly deculed, for we know little if the li at eonmetutuen of lanild's army. The rosile wan abpricuras Tho
 St Alhata and Rummey can rut have bern espheord ty the
 it the twalfoh cotatury; it Altane may have problted to a



[^171]English nobles, William had a free hand; te could stipulate for so many units of military service from this count and so many from that baron. Apparently he portioned out these units in fives and tens. The number of knights for which a great baron is answerable in the twelfth contury is generally some multiple of five, such as twenty, or fifty. The total number of knights to which the king was entitled bas been extravagantly overrated. It was certainly not 60,000 , nor was it 32,000 ; we may doubt whether it exceeded 5,000 . The -308) whole feudal srray of England would in our eyes have been but a handful of warriors. He was a powerful baron who owed as many as sirty knights. We are not arguing that William introduced a kind of tenure that was very new in England; but there seems to be no room for doubt that the actual scheme of apportionment which we find existing in the twelth and later centuries, the scheme which as between king and tenant in chief makes this particular tract of land a fee of twenty or of thirty knights, is, except in exceptional cases, the work of the Cunqueror'.

At any rate in Henry II's day the allotment of military Hotoors service upoo the lands of the tenants in chief may be regarded darouiien. as complete It is sirendy settled that this tenant in chief owes the king the service of one knight, while another owes the service of twenty knights. Historians bave often observed that the tenants in chief of the Norman king, even his military tenants in chief, form a very miscellaneous body, and this is important in our constitutional history; a separation between the greater and the lesser tenants must be effected in course of time, and the king has thus a power of defining what will hereafter be the 'estate' of the baronage. In Henry II.'s day the king had many tenants each of whom held of him but one knight's fee, or bat two or three knights' fees. On the other hand, there were nobles each of whom had many knights' fees; a few had fifty and upwards. Now to describe the wide lands held of the king by one of his mightier tenants, the terms honour and

[^172]17-2
barony were uned. Between these two terman we can draw no ham line; howour weens to be genemilly resorved for tho vary largeteb compluzen of land, nad perhapw wo may eay that evory troonser was decmed a baruny, while not every bamny wes uanally cand
 than by law ; for instanser, it in usual to gove the name barrawy. nut honour, wo the lanala which a bishup bold by molitar! service, though sonse of these bammen weme sery langen! Tin
 W.. rota uot saty that any pmrticular nutaber of koighta' fean was either necravary or aufficiont to constatute a barouy , ti parturular, We can nut aceept the thenry current is anter tames. that a imouny containa thirteron knighter fien aud a thard, arxd theroluro is to a kughtion fee as a mark in $\omega$ a shullogit. Thus rquature seretan to have beren wheninat. nut by ass uxductive pravema, tais by a deductuon, which started with ther rule that white thes reiter prind for a augle knight's fee was a bundrad whilionga, thas paid for a banony way a huodrad marka. But neuther can we emado the factan muare with thas theory, nur, se will be mon belaw. cin Wr truat the rule about reliets an being mo mucket an the onto
 honour as surmuarded by ang-fence; fragmente of it will often he seatcered abuut in vanous countres. though thone to solner castle or motron manor which is aconuntedi the heal
 int Lumatur con. (fenet baronuan). but alwe chat her hulde by barnay itemet for
 bas the finmernt it in indy necossary tu nutice that overy milatary
 deretand to one the service of a certatis sumbiner of knighea Thas numbers may be large or stuall Lat us auppone that in a down came it in tifty. Then in a sense then ternant masy be naul en t... 1
 by one evtle, nuad evory pert of it, is nuswirable wo the hmiz for the fity ksughta Tha temant eway entionf antue maty

[^173]knights, making each of them liable to serve in the army; he may enfeoff more, giving each feoffee but a fractional part of a fee, that is to say, making him answerable for but a fractional part of one knight's service; he may enfeoff fewer, making each of them answerable for the service of several knights; he may retain much land in his own hand, and look to hiring [rvol knights when they are wanted. But, as between the king and himself, he has fifty knights' fees; he is answerable, and the land that he bolds is answerable, for the production of fifty men. Every acre in the honour of Gloucester was liable to the king for the service of some two hundred knights and more. If the Earl of Gloucester makes default in providing the due number of knights, the king may distrain throughout the honour, or seize the honour into his hands. The exact nature of the power which a lord had of exacting service due to him from a tenement need not be here considered; but the main principle, which runs through the whole law on this subject, is that the service due from the tenant is due also from the tenement, and can be enforced against the tenement into whosesoever hands it may come, regardless of any arrangement that the tenant may have made with his sub-tenants.

This may be illustrated by the case of lands held in frank- Relativity almoin of a mesne lord, who himself holds by military service. of thight's In this case something like an exception was occasionally ad- ${ }^{\text {fee. }}$ mitted. The canons of Wroxton held land in frankalmoin of John Montacute; the land was distrained for scutage; but on the petition of the canons, the sheriff was bidden to cease from distraining, 'because the frankalmoin should not be distrained for scutages so long as John or his heirs have other lands in the county whence the scutages may be levied.' This is an exception, and a carefully guarded exception; if the tenant has given land in frankalmoin, the king will leave that land free from distress, provided that there be other land whence he can get his service ${ }^{1}$. Thus, let us say that a baron holds twenty knights' fers, and has twenty knights each enfeoffed of a single fee; the boundaries between these fees in no way concern the king; the whole tract of land must answer for twenty knights. An early example of this may be given:-at some time before 1115

[^174]the Bishop of Harrford gave Little Heneford and C'Thagnwork w Walter of Glouceater for the mervice of two knighte; Walter gave Cliningwiek as an marrugge putton for has datughere Mand frue from nll knighe's service, and thun, au betwown all persons clauning under him, the whole survice of twok kntghte was throw a at wis Littie Heswfust. This smally a kinght's fore ta a melatise serm; what is two kuighta' fees as between $C$ and $B$. as bus pars of two as betweren $B$ and $A^{\prime}$. In the time of Helury 11. Wher. the ling was bugiming to take stuck of the amount of military service duo to him, it was common for a tenant in chavis tis anawer that he confensed the servico of, for onample, ten kughen, that be had five koughta onfempinixd earh of a kuughte fee, and that the other five he provilial from the detto aller- In one cars, evers at the end of the thirsernth century, alurd hmul not carveal out hin laud inte grugraphically dintiart bughes. feas. Somehow or another the abbut of Ramsey held his brion lands by the service of only four knightw, and we many theno. fore say that he had four knighta' feras. Hus thowe fers wero nows separated areas: he hail a unuber of tenante owing hum malisasy service; they chows the four who an asy partocular necmanom aluald gis w the war, mad the uthers cuntrbuted ted defny thir expense by an asewment on the hules. Thun the ntalement that a mana huldas a barooy, or a parcel of knighta' fome of the king. tells un nothing an to tho milatwinahip butwern hase and hise
 at all.

Dutit of
thor rath. Eyry firmatis thatherel

The unditary tenamt is chef of the crown wan an a kevoral rulce bround to gete the war in person If he helid hy ther son wo of tifty kinghts, ho was buumd to apprar in permon with firti-



[^175]substitutes and so might ecclesiastics! The monks of St A529] Edmunds thought it a dangerous preoedent when in 1193 Abbot Samson in person led his knights to the siege of Windsors. How the nature of this obligation was affected by the imposition of scutage is a question that we are not as yet prepared to discuss.

We mast first examine the position of a tenant who holda Puation by knight's service of a mesne lord, and we will begin with a military situple case. One $\Delta$ holds a mass of lands, it may be a barony or no, of the king in chief by the service of twenty knighte, and $B$ holds a particular portion of these lands of $A$ by the service of one knight. Now in the first place, $B^{\prime}$ s tenement, being part of $A$ 's tenement, owes to the king the service of twenty knighta; it can be distrained by the king for the whole of that service. But, as between $A$ and $B$, it owes only the service of one knight, and if the king distrains it for more, then $A$ is bound to acquit $B$ of this surplus service; this obligation can be enforced by an action of 'mesne'. On the other hand, $B$ has undertaken to do for $\boldsymbol{A}$ the service of one knight. The nature of this obligntion demands a careful atatement:- $\boldsymbol{B}$ is bound to $\boldsymbol{A}$ to do for 4 a certain quantum of service in the king's army. We say that $B$ is bound to $A ; B$ is not bound to the king; the king it is true can distrain $B^{\prime}$ 's tenement; bat between $B$ and the king there is no personal obligation*. The king can not by reason of tenure call upon $B$ to fight; if somehow or other $A$ provides his twenty knights, it is not for the king to complain that $B$ is not among them". None the less, the service that $B$ is bound to do, is service in the king's army. Here we come upon a
${ }^{\text {- Robertun de Markham infirmun, at dicitur, offert servioiom dimidii feodi militis }}$ in T. faciendam per W. de L. servientem.'
${ }^{1}$ Thin is often shown by the form of the summons; the lay man in told to come with his earvice; women and ecolesiastica are bidden to send their ecrvice.

2 Jocelin of Brakelond (Camd. Soc.) 40.

* See above, p. 288,
- Thus, according to William Rufus, the knighta of the arohbishop of Canterbury appear in a Wolnh wer without proper armoar; Rufue makes this the ground of a charge againat Anselm. Freeman, Will. Ruf. i. 674, argaes that even if the charge be true, it is not well founded in law; but we can not egree to thin. Anselm may perhaps complain sgainst his knights; but the ling's complaint must be against Anselm.
*The king may compel $B$ to do his service to $A$; see e.g. Rot. Cl. i. 117 (for Balph Berners), 297 (for the abbot of Peterborough); bat we must diatinguish between what the hing does as feudal lord and what he does as anpreme judge and governor.
pruciple of great importunce. Aceorting to the law of the king a court, no tenaut is lwund to tight in any ariny bint the kingix army, or in any quarrel but the kingंa puarml. If might well have been ctherwise ; we may we that it marly wan otherwive : wo may be fairly certarn that in thiw nupwet the law wan no adivpuate expresosion of the curnobt monality; atill is we can mot gay that the Law of England ever deltuanden) frivase warfuse'. Indubitably the mihtary tebant often cobceived homwiff butsid wo fighe for his lord is his lond a yuarrel, bots the law enformest no much obligation. True, tbe cobligntion wheth it masetionesd was one that buund the tuan to then lond, and in a ecorain sense bound hom in fighe for his lomid. It was at the lurd's summons that the man carne armest to the beots. asul if
 banner: still be was only bound to thght in the kiwige army and the king's quarrel; hin morviee wan due to has lowl, still in a very moul menke it was dosee for the kung and unly ten the kang in short, all military service wis regale aerritum. It to the mure nomestary to lay atreas upon this priseiple, for it had not pres valied in Nummady. The Norman hathen haul knighten who wire buand $w$ wern hime and the wervere due from them to bime hand to be dastenguished from the service that be was beutrad to firul for the duke. The buahup of Cinutames awed the duke the mervice uf five knights, but eughtew blaghten were beund tis parse the bishop. The hugrour of Mantfint motatainerl twesty-
 for the duke'n mersite the jumm could nus say. The trothop of Bayeux had a bundrev) and nimetron krughts fows nond a hal!. he war bound so arind his teu beat kaghte to me reo. the hetug of the Fresech for forty dayn, and. for thear appupment, he twobl twenty Humes shilloge from every fre: the was bund ur rud forty kughtis to serve the dake of Nimumaty for furt! dant asid for their expuipment he lexalk forty Romets phillowso fom every fee: bus all the huadred and mineter:d hangice wery bound to warve the bishop with nems nowd homes
Yuret t. As a riater of fact, however, we mupetrine fitud, evon in
 el. जैwem kinghtin wrivico in dues, wa lord who ow tos are trughtion mesino

[^176]to the king, or that more knight's service is due to the lord than he owes to the king. One cause of this phenomenon may be that the lord is an ecclesiastic who has once held by military service, but has succeeded in getting his tenure changed to frinkalmoin by the piety of the king or the negligence of the F 34. King's officers, The chronicler of the Abbey of Measux tells us how the abbot proved that he held all his lands in Yorkshire by frankalmoin and owed no military service, and then how he insisted that lands were held of him by military tenure and sold the wardships and marriages of his tenants ${ }^{1}$. Since he was not bound to find fighting men, his tenants were not bound to fight; still their tenure was not changed; he was entitled to the profitnble casualties incident to knight's service. A similar result might be obtained by other means. The abbot of St Edmunds holld his barony of the king by the service of forty knights; such at least was the abbot's view of the matter; but he bad military tenants who, according to his contention, owed him altogether the service of fifty-two knights: or, to put it another way, fifty-two knights' fees were held of him, though as between him and the king his barony consisted of but forty". The view taken by the knights was that the abbot was entitled to the eorvice of forty knights and no more; the fifty-two fees had to provide but forty warriors or the money equivalent for forty. But in Richard I's day Abbot Samson, according to the admiring Jocelin, gained his point by suing each of his military tenants in the king's court. Each of the fees that they held owed the full contribution to every scutage and aid, so that when a scutage of 20 shillings was imposed on the knight's fee, the abbot made a clear profit of $£ 12^{3}$. Bracton says distinctly that the tenant in socage can create a military sub-tenure. This, however, seems to mean that a feoffor may, if he chooses, stipulate for the payment of scutage, even though the tenement

[^177]owow note to the king In such cano the sembenge may metm to un but a rist capricturaly amaseatd, but apparently Braston would call the tenure msitary, and it would werre to give the is lord the profitable, righte of warcinhip and tnarnagres. The extraordinary licenee which men enjoyed of creasiog now umumg gave birth to some wonderful evenplicationa If $B$ holefo a krught is fer of $A$, then $A$ can put $d$ betwent himandf azod $B$, so that $B$ will hold of $X$ and $X$ of $A$; but further, the mervion by which $X$ will hold of $A$ nowd not be the mervien by whech $B$ has hetherte been bolding of $A$ and will now hold of $X$. In Ruchard's reign fielary do la Pomerai placen Wiltsam Rriwem between bimself and a number of teante of his whe allogether owe the enrvice of $\delta \delta_{3}$ kugghts or thereaboute ; but Willum is lo huld uf Heary by the service of one knught? Tn * wark suss
 fur us a difficult lask: intill no good would conse of our representing our suhject-matter as simpler than really it in Lasty. as already hinted, we must not suppose that the batoon or even the preiates of the Nurman resgas were alwnya thinking mernly of the king'n righta when thoy nurrounded thenserlvoe wheth enfeofled kughte. Thuy whas had their enesuses, and among thome enemisa mighe be the king. Sill the ouly molstary nervice demanded by wiything thas we dam call kinghab law wow servire is tho king's howe It would further erom, thas
 this priseiple the conclumom that if a bemant in chaf rafieflat mure kuighte than he owend to the king. he thesoly itwenemed the amount of the service that tha king could idemand form hion Sucb a tomant in chef had, we may my, beren making oridene


 downwant apresul of the tenury thas was callod culitary. Tice extent of the ohligationt costid now be appenead ith corma of

 bard. she history of actughe ts full of the inost perplasing diffi-


[^178]mind the fact that scutage is an impost of an occasional kind, that there never were more than forty scutages or thereabouts.
R20] We are wont to think of scutage as of a tax introduced by Henry II. in the year 1159, a tax imposed in the first instance on the military tenants in chief by way of commutation for personal service, a tax which they in their turn might collect from their sub-tenants. But it seems extremely probable that at a much earlier date payments in lieu of military service were making their appearance, at all events in what we may call the outer circles of the feudal system ${ }^{1}$. In no other way can we explain the existence, within a very few years after 1159, of small aliquot parts of knighte' fees. When it is said that a man holds the tweutieth part of a fee, this can not mean that he is bound to serve for two days in the army; it must mean that he and others are bound to find a warrior who will serve for forty days, and that some or all of them will really discharge their duty by money payments. We read too in very ancient documents of payments for the provision of knights ${ }^{*}$ and of an auailium exercitus, the aid for a military expeditions. In Normandy the equivalent for our scutage is generally known as the aucilium exercitus ${ }^{4}$. In England the two terms seem in course of time to bave acquired different meanings; the lord exacted a scutage from his military, his nominally military tenants, while he took an 'army aid' from such of his tenants as were not military even in name ${ }^{5}$. But what we may call the natural development of a system of commutation and subscription between tenants in the outer circles of feudalism, was at once hastened and perplexed by a movement having its origin in the centre of the system, which thence spread outwards. The king began to take scutages. At this point we must face some difficult questions.
r.247] In what, if any, sense is it true that the military service of the king

[^179]the verantes in chief was conswuted mote seutago o The bitige trar guew furth sammoning the host wa campaign. It magy no worl of acutage. ('an the barou who cween twont! knighte ast at home and say. I will not go wo the war, and if I dos bint gas no worme can linfall mo than that I shall have to poy neutage fur my twenty fees, and thin tadeed will be wo hoary burden, fur I dhall he entilled to take a welluge from the knishts where 1 have enfeoffed'- -can the barous ज्याy thas! Even if bre ond, wo must butice that his self-uterented calculations inowhe who unknown quantity: It may be thas on contie criomiman the king really did give the baroun ats option bretween leading his kmightes to twithe and fuythg somme tixish sums hut eweh was twit the ordinary connew, at all eventa in the thirternth consury. Tho rate at which the mentage was tio be Ievinl wan nes determaned untal after the deffulterm had cummetuad their dietantu and the campaigu was over; the baron therefore who ntryed it bime dud not know whicther be would have to pay swroty masha, of twenty prounds, or forty poundele but as a mater of firt we find that in Henry Ill.'A day and Ealwaml I.A she cenans in oturef whes dowe mit nbry the summonis munat pay far more than tho enutuge ; be mons fay a heavy fine. No upton hay fewn gare huss; be has been dimbedient, in strictuess of law he the

 a seluage of throe mark= (£2) was umprawl upon the kright.



 utherly diffirent thing from the wellage; it 1304 be atamberes
 Wimen, if they prefer to poy money mither than minl wami n' We hear of much finues as $i=0$ on the foe when the wulagie we bust $£ 2$ at the feed. Furtherusere it mewne content that it as


 that Heary II. When be tank twin harke thy wey if rutage

- Mestor. Jisehejuer, I. OAO
${ }^{2}$ bere the aris in larite fleperi, wis lae
1' trocte Alcostath: it 90.
from each fee, took a sum which would pay a knight for forty days; in other words, that he could hire knights for eightpence ${ }^{1}$ day ${ }^{1}$. But while the rate of scutage never exceeded $£ 2$ on the fee, the price of knights seems to have risen very rapidly as the standard of military equipment was raised and the value of money fell. In 1198 the abbot of St Edmunds hired knights for Normandy at the rate of three shillings a day'. In 1257 the abbot of St Albans put into the field an equivalent for his due contingent of six knights, by hiring two knights and eight esquires, and this cost him hard upon a hundred marks, while, as between his various tenants, the rule seems to have been that a knight, who was bound to serve, required two shillings a day for his expenses ${ }^{\text {a }}$. At about the same date the knights of Ramsey received four shillings a day from their fellow tenants ${ }^{4}$. We may be sure that the king did not take from the defaulting baron less than the market value of his military service.

Thus, so soon as our records become abundant, it seems The tanant plain that the tenant in chief has no option between providing in ervice his proper contingent of armed men and paying a scutage. The can not be only choice that is left to him is that between obeying the by acataga. king's call and bearing whatever fine the barons of the exchequer may inflict upon him for his disobedience. Therefore it seems untrue to say that as between him and the king there is any 'commutation of military service,' and indeed for a moment we may fail to see that the king has any interest in a scutage. If he holds himself strictly bound by principles that are purely feudal, the scutage should be nothing to him. From his immediate tenant he will get either military service or a heavy fine, and we may think that the rate of scutage will only determine the amount that can be extracted from the under-
19] tenants by lords who have done their service or paid their fines. But this is not so.

We must speak with great diffidence about this matter, for The senit has never yet been thoroughly examined, and we are by no tage of means sure that all scutages were collected on the same prin- tenants. ciple. But from the first the king seems to have asserted his right to collect a sentage from the 'tenant in demene'

[^180]who hotdn his land by knighti" service. There are two coopficting elements in the inpont; it is in part the equivalent for a feudal, a cenurial service; it is in part a royal tax. The king will rugurd at now as the one, and now an the utber, na sumes hun beat. He refumes wo the a mare lond of lords; ho is alse a king of subjecta The undertemant of an mome lont, if the uwes military service, owes a service that in to twe dose for the king: the kung will, if thas weetns protitable. deal directly with hum abul excuse him from enrvice on his paying muncy. And ma in the thithenth century tho king, while to in exarting malitary service of finew from has bugante is nhsef, will also collect
 entatled to be paid for the same thang twice uver. If a barun bus eather prombuced the regunite number of knighta ore comspounded for hos breach of contrace, it ts he and not the kong who ought wo reverve wellenget in the one rate he onght es get a sculuge from nuy milstary tebauts of has whos have divihe yov hin call wo arms, in the other all bis malluary setuanto suay bave ts pay, though he has not given them a chance of grougg en the
 Strech in baron, havigg proved that he fultillied has montrart inf pand bus fiuc, will have a suyal writ de acufago hobiends. whereby the whenfif will be ondered ber caume hims on have the sculage due from his tements. Sibll, before ho cali git hat scutage, he has wo ubuan witmishing shat the kiog is apt wo

 which anistary service ta incurabent, and leanoug the vanous
 of the burder as bent they may. What onrues inter the kituro bande generally stoys there. Has fursther, in Honry III is umar. the lutrutes, assumang en act in lehale of the whole cominnint!



 and to kenp the nculagis due froun theur urulemeriante. outag'o


 Hall Later is lneas is. Fis
pay to the kiag. Much will remain obscure until the exchequer rolls have been carefully anslyzed; but this at least seems clens, that the terant in chiefs duty of providing an armed force is not commuted into a duty of paying scutage. Indeed the demand conceded by the Charter of 1215 , namely, that no scutage be moposed without the common counsel of the realm, would be barely intelligible, if John bad merely been giving his tensata in chief an option between furnishing the due tale of warriors and paying two marks for every fee?

We must now turn to a simple case and ask a simple ques- The tion. What was the duty of a man who held by knight's service mullit of a mesne lord? We will suppose him to hold a single kuight's tomante. fee. In the days before scutage his duty probably was to serve in person if summoned by his lord to the king's host; only with a good excuse might he send a substitute"; but women and ecelesiastics would do their service by able-bodied representatives. Failure to perform this duty would be punished by a forfeiture of the tenement.3. But the practice of taking scutages seeros to bave set up a change, and how far that change went it is hard to decide. The knights began to allege that they were not bound to serve, but were only bound to pay a seritage, and only to pay a scutage when their lords had obtained from the king permission to levy it*. It would further seens that many

[^181]of them made good this astertinn by stedy perneverance. The is lords were often compelled to hire soldress because their knughto -their knights so callod, fur many a kenant by knughsix mertice wan in hubut but a yemomi-would not right. It would oven meem that the wemnilea nes a budy got the better in the atrugato. and entablisherd the rule that if they did not chowe ta servi. so worse could happens to them, than to be compelled to, pay a meutage at the rate fixed by royal decence. surn much liser than they would have sprot houl they hired sulatitutes 2o fill their plarese In nhort, 'renure by knightin earvice' of a twewne lond, becomes first in fact, and then un law. 'tanure by eseunge!

Tenare l.y oer uays.

The atages of this procron we call nut tmice ifinithetly, hut it was cluedy connected with the gradual dectine and fall if the fourdal courts. The ford whis kept at eltheredt cruart of and fie his military tenants maght in uarly days enforem a forfortun of
 on have geven hurs litile or do astistance, asul by dogrees stie momelow afforderd by the royal coibunal became the otatuland of Englash law s. The proces must havo luva hastoned by the sf
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subdivision of knights' fees. We come across persons who hold no more than aliquot parts of fees; we find them even in what we may call the primary circle of feudalism, the circle of tenants is chief; they are common in the secondary circle. Sometimes a fee preserves a notional integrity though it has become divided into aliqual parta by subinfeudation or by partition amoug coheiressus. The abbot of St Albans confessed to holding six scuta or knights' fees. Each of these scuta was divided among several tenants holding of the abbot. When the king surnmoned his host, the various tenants of each scutum had to meet and provide a knight; sometimes they did this by hiring a knight, or two serjeants; sometimes they elected une of their number to serve and contributed towards his expenses'. But we soon come upon small fractional parts, the tweatieth part or the fortieth part, of fees, which fees have no longer any existence as integral wholes. Such fractions could hardly have come into being but for the practice of taking a scutage in lieu of personal service, and the tenant's obligation is often expressed in merely pecuniary terms; the charter of feoffinent says, not shat he is to hold the fortieth part of a knight's fee, but that when acutage is levied at the rate of 40 shillings on the fee be is to pay a shilliag". When the holder of a knight's fee has cut up a great part of it into little tenements each owing him some small amount of sentage, the understanding probably is j that he is to do, or to provide, the requisite military service, aud is then to take scutage from his tenants. All this must have tended to change the true nature of the obligation even of those temants who held integral fees. If to hold the fortieth part of a fee merely meant that the tenant had to pay one shilling when a scutage of two pounds per fee was exacted, she tenant of a whole fee would casily come to the concluseu that a payment of forty shillings would discharge his ubligation. Thus a permanent commutation into money uf
all the prelater of Encland were compellod to pay as much an 50 marke per kurghe's fee for defunlt of service. However, soon after this even the abbot of Bi Albman had to make tine for default of sertice, on one oocanton with 120 marto, on another with 2120. (Mat. Psr, Chron. Maj. vi. 372-6, 437-9; Geata Abbotavi, i. 485, ni. M)

1 Mat Par. Chron. Minj. vi. $437-9$ : Grenta Ablatum, ii. 45.
${ }^{3}$ Siese e.g. Nota Book, pl. 785, where a lenement is suid to awo 10 perne sodither, when the rate is $\mathbf{E 3}$ on the knight's fee
the persubal morvice due from the oubvamala neemn to haveLaken placee.

The locil: right to reatege
tripucs iscotean of arutane
 seems hardly wo have beets rogamisi, at liant in the thirtwenth contury, ne a right givess hy the commun law. A lowd whe hand dour his mervice, or mate tive for sut dang it, erould wah motartrouble to himwalf obtain $n$ writ de acutagio habende, whath ardered the aheriff to collect for him the rewtage from ho krughte' fees'. The king is suid ws grant wo the lunds thess sculage; until the kugg hes fised the amount where so sothong that thry can collece, and fow if noy of theen attempteat tho collect it without oblainiug the kigg'w writa. Indeeal it worold nerm that, at loust in Ilenry Ill.'n diny, shey bad no muhe io collert it. If thay did nut whtans a grant of uctutuke form thr king, then the king himself tonk the seutage from their tosianta
 abement of moyal and antional taxation which is incosilgateble with parcly feudal principleas.
 right in du mervice in the arsuy inatand of fuymogecumage wa question that we are aboulved from diselisang. for periap

[^182]it was never raised'. But as regards that duty of 'castle-guard' which was a common incident of military tenure, the Great Charter lays down the rule that, if the tenant is willing to do the service in person, he can not be compelled to pay money instead of doing it'. However, in the course of the thirteenth century this duty also seems to have been very generally commuted for money payments.

One more exceedingly obscure process must be noticed. Reduction Somehow or another in the second half of the thirteenth cen- ninmber of tury the tenants in chief succeeded in effecting a very large $\frac{\text { mightw }}{\text { feesh }}$ reduction in the number of fees for which they answered to the king: When, for example, Edward I. called out the feudal host in 1277, his ecelesiastical barons, who, according to the reckoning of the twelfth century, were holding about 784 fees, would account, and were suffered to account, for but little more than 100, while some 13 knights and 35 serjeants-two serjeants leing an equivalent for one knight-were all the warriors that the king could obtain from the lands held by the churches. The archbishop of York had reduced his debt from twenty knights to five, the bishop of Ely from forty to six, the abbot of Peterborough from sixty to five. The lay barons seem to have done much the same. Humphry de Bohun offers three hnights as due from his earldom of Essex; Gilbert of Clare, 50. earl of Gloucester and Hertford, offers ten knights, with a promise that he will send more if it be found that more are due. While, however, the lay barons will generally send as tuany men as they professedly owe, the prelates do not even produce the very small contingents which they acknowledge to be due. Now these magnates were not cheating the king, nor endeavouring to cheat him. It was well known in the exchequer, notorious throughout Cambridgeshire ${ }^{4}$, that the bishop

[^183]of Ely, who would confros to but sis fiem, had forly at the leame. The kiug whe not dreceiverl. The biahop, having eent now knighte nt all, had to poy a fine of 240 murks, that is, 4) raark, for each of the wix feus Some of the prolates, we are told. hal to pay ar much an 50 unurkn for every feat, and yet th" scutage for this war was but two pounds, that is, three raarko on the fee. The revluction in the nominal ambunt of fiem fore which the baron is cxomprilled w nnawer as accompabsed by an at lemat proportional inervane of the amount that he pays in revpect of every fee.
Mraning of Thin cloange seemn in will un three thinges In tho fims the rhatuge place, it was imposmble for the prelate to get military entrice. ous of his miltary wenants. The pratise of sulunfrisdatioss. fowtered by the kingix coust, hail ruined the old syatem. His foes were now spilat up intu wanall frarbous, and they wese in the hande of yownen and mmall murows. Sivoradly, he wha willing to pay in large mum rathor than hisr knighta. Th. kuight with bis elabumer punoply had becotre a evomparticte. In the thind plece, the kurg by thim time wantert monny moto than he wanterd knighta; if he had ranney, he sould get woldeeso of all sorts and kinds on pleased humbent. Abd so he esems in have waked at the ineroduction of a new termanolugy, fiep really there was little else that was sew. Pruvided that thr bishop of Eily paid hime eltio fors hin Welah campaign, be dol not ense whether this was called a fite of ax morts fire enarb of furty fees, or a tine of forty marke for eneh of six foem ; whle sho. bishop, who would handly fuad six temanta willung th figits prefers the new net of phraves. Hut then, uur alromaly comfumet nywtetu in further confounded. for the bishop, who han bust as fixes for the king'n mervice when the call is for warnom or a time will assurudly meert that he has, no uf mids, forty fove whes ibs
 this way ho may, at the: rate of thrie markn per fiee, neriver, if how is lucky nnd perxintent, about half the sum that hr has lind f... pay to the king. Hut in cruth, the whole symems in bevornorige

 of the large revenus that they drow from wambohpe. miamosio and wo furta; nually they would have het huthe time'

[^184]We have next to observe that a lond when eufeothing a Militery teoant was free to impose other services in addition to that military service which was incumbent on the land. Suppose that $B$ holds a knight's fee of $A ; B$ may eufeuff $C$ of the fee, stipulating that $C$ shall do the military service and also pay him a rent. Perhaps it was usual that a tenant who held a whole knught's fee should have no serious service to perform in urdition to the military service, though, in such a case as we have put, $B$ would often stipulate for some honorary rent, a pair of spurss, a falcon, or the like. But when we get among the holders of small plots, we constantly find that they must pyy seutage white they also owe substantial rents!. A few entries on the 0xforishire Hundred Roll will illuntrate this At Rycote, Admm Staufurd holds the whole vill of the earl of Oxford for half a knight's fee; the has a number of freeholders boldug small plots; they pay substantial reuts and 'owe autage '; one has a virgate, pays 78. 6d. a year and owes *sin scutage ; another holds three acres for the rent of a penny and uwes scutare?. Often it is said of the swall freeholders that beside their rent they owe royal or forinsec service (debent regule, debent forinsecum) $)^{2}$, and, at least in general, this seens to mean that they pay scutage and are nominally tenants by kuight's service; for Bracton's rule is clear, namely, that if the wnant owes but one hap'orth of scutage (licet ad unum obolum), hus tentire is military, and this rule is fully borne out by
here deals bot superfocully with s moat difficult subject. We shall have done some nood is wo persubile uthens that there are yet muny questions to be asawred by a dilagent atudy of the exohequer rolls. See Hall, Lall. Rub. vol. ii, Profece.
${ }^{1}$ The fines of Riohard's and John'e reigns present numerous inatances of hspontions of both thene olareea:-thar (Fines, ed. Hunter, i., p. 22) a gif of huls a huda to be held of the donor 'per formnecum serviciure quod ad tantum Lerrme pertinat': (p. 81) a gift of a vigeate to be held of the donor 'facieado inde formocum xervicium quantum partonet ad illam sirgotam terrme pro omni ectrecio'; 1 p .91 ) gin of a quarter of a virgate to be held of the donor by the sorvice of one pound of peppener annually 'salvo forinseco sorvitio quoul ad domunum kegeat pertunct de caders quarta parte virgatap ecrrae'; (p. D5) ain of o mearumpe and seven virgates to be held of the donor by she cervice of 34 shultuge annualy 'ealro regneli servicio scilicel sorvicio dimidil militis'; (p. 374) - grif of a aneenuage and threo acres to be held of the donor at a reat of 22 penoe, eavieng the kug's eorvice, namely, 3 pence to so soutige of 20 shillinge and no is propurtion.'

[^185]plearlings and decisions!. This point in important - the divason between tenaute in macage and tenanta by krught's service dows aot corrowpond, suswe it the renghewt maseser, to aby pritional. asial ur ecunome divistorn. The mall yemman often holden hat litale tenement by a tenure which in momanally and legally the mane tenure as that by wheh the kught holds has manos:
(iontic. prased

With the daty of nttending the king is hin wam whe iftorn coupled the duty of helping to gavnoon has cautles; more rundy the lateer doty appears' without the formers. 'The kosghte if the Abbey of Abiugdon were bound to guard the kingi e eqatle of Windsors', the knughta of the Abbey of Peterimoroush the castle of Rockunghama the knighta of the Abbey of it Bistennad hus camele of Nurweb. In Heury I'in day the biohup of Ely purchased for his knoghtes the privilege of denge ward withis the inle unstesud of at Norwichs. Such mervice was woll known in Normandy and Fronce', and is mentontied in Thomesday Buok:. The forty or fifty kmighte of it Ejmonnls wem divided ints, four or five troops (constablulute), eweh of which hat
 a tenement owed 'wand' to a far-off caotle; thum in ('ambondgushire were lande helid of the Coust of Aumale which uwed wans to hin coutle of Craven". and latada beld of the limunt inf Britanny which owad ward tes hin camele of Ruchmand 't We speak as though those cantlon beluagisl wo their fonante in

[^186]chief; but the kings were wont to regard all castles as in a sense their own, and the duty of castle-guard, like the duty of service in the host, though due to the lord, was to be done for the king. Before the end of the thirteenth century, however, paymente in money had usually taken the place of garrison duty ${ }^{3}$.

While the military system of feudalism is thus falling into Thecgange decay there still may be found in the north of England drenkagu satitered traces of an older military system. The Norman milites are already refuaing to do the service to which their tenare binds them, but there are still in the ancient kingdom of Northumbria thegna holding in thegnage, drenge holding in drengage, thegrs who are nominally bound to do the king's 'Atware.' Were these tenures military or were they not? That was a puzale for the lawyers. They had some features akin to temure by knight's service, for thegns and drongs lad been summoned to fight John's battles in Normandy; in other respects they were not unlike the serjeanties; they were sometimes burdened with services which elsewhere were considered as marks of villeinage; finally, as it would seem, they were brought under the heading of free socage. In truth they were older than the lawyers' classification, older than the Norman Conquest.

Above we have made mention of tenure by barony and passed it by with few words; and few seem needed. True, we may find it said of a man, not only that he bolds a barony (tenet baroniam), but also that he holds by barony (tenet.per baroniam), and this may look as though tenure by barony
ie] should be accounted as one of the modes of tenures. But so far as the land law is concerned there seems no difference between tenure by berony and tenure by knight's service, save in one point, namely, the amount of the relief, about which we shall speak below. So far as regards the service due from the tenant, the barony is but an aggregate of knights' fees. There is no amount of military service that is due from a tenant by barony as such; but his barony consists of knights' fees; if it

1 Hall, Liber Rabens, ii. p. Coxxzvi.
${ }^{2}$ See Maitland, Northumbrian Tenures, E. H. R. v. 625; Hall, Liber Rubeus, ii. p. coul. II.
${ }^{3}$ Rot. Hund. ii. 18: 'Redulfos de Gaugy tenet feodum de Ellincham de dom. Rege in capite per baroniam per servicinm trium militam.'
consiists of twenty knighte' fres he is anawerable for the servioe of twenty knighte, if it cunsists of tiny knighti' fees, then be teust produce fifty. Atrd so, again, with the various urendente
 there seetn wi be no apreial rulee for wenure by barvaly or for the tenure of a barony; it in but tenure by knight's nernee of a certain uumber of knights' fees, unlese indeed it ber-and in some casen it is-temuro by grand serjeanty. The fact that a certain mase of lands is deewed a barmay hase some fow legad consequences of a subordinate kind. Alwayn ur generally motae castle or some uantor is refarded as the heal of the berony. and it would seem that for mone fiscal and adromsemative purponea the whule barony wan treated as lyrak in the county that coutained ite head. Then, agaus, a widum is not $w$ be eudowed with the capme burunue, and the cupve lonnmue in sut ui bee partituoned among cubeiremens!. Such rules an cheore way neeesitate an inqury whether scertais manur is the buad ufo barony or a single knightis fee held by a separate athos, but they will not justify ua in co-ondmating tenure by haroay with the otber tenures, such as knightis sorvice aud serjewoty.

Of counsp, however, 'hamoty' can not br tmated as a avere nather of land wefrure. The barome, wherther with the evarts, have beeone an arate of the multa, asul to mako a ranas a member of this whate it in sut suffiesent that be should be a malitary cennest its shiof of the erown. A line han berti draw es which euta the burly of such temanten inke two clasera The !luestiou by what menne and in accordanow with what pribery
 be geat the truth if, its acrosiancen with rucent writers, we regard the distioction an une that in groblually istrasducav by

 aus un erotate of the realou. The grater unen deale dirveziy with the king, paid their dues diremsly wo the exchenguer brought
 mumert the do surt is the kuigin court by writa durectevi to therea

[^187]by nanse ; the smaller men dealt with the sheriff, paid their dues to him, fought under his banuer, were summoned through him and by general writs. Then two rules emphasized the distinction :-the knight's fee paid a fixed relief of 100 shillings, the baron made the best bargain he could for his barony; the practice of summoning the greater people by name, the smaller by general writs was consecrated by the charter of 1215 . The grester people are muiores barones, or simply barones, the lesser are for a while barones secundae dignitatis, and then lose the title alugether; the estates of the greater people are baronies, those of the smaller are not; but the line between great and small has been drawn in a rough empirical way and is not the sutcome of any precise principle. The summons to court, the political status of the baron, we have not here to consider, while, as regards the land law, it is to all appearanco the relief, and the relief only, that distinguishes the barony frum an aggregate of knights' fees, or makes it necessary for us to speak of cenure by barony.

When, however, a certain territory had been recognized as Explieated a barony or an honour, this name stuck to it through all its fortunes. Honours and baronies were very apt to fall into the hands of the king by way of forfeiture or escheat owing to the tenant's treason. When this happened they still kept their names; the honnur of Wallingford might have escheated to the king, but it was still the honour of Wallingford and did not lose its identity in the general mass of royal rights. Nor was this a mere matter of words. In the first place, the escheated honour would probably come out of the king's hands; the general expectation was that the king would not long keep it to hiasself, but would resture it to the heir of its old tenant, or use it for the endowment of some new family, or make it an appanage for a cadet of the royal huuse'. But the conbinued existence of the honour had a more definite, and a leyal meaning. Nurmally, is we shall see bereatter, the military tenast in chief of the king was subject to certain exceptional burdens from which the tenants of mesne lords were free. A wenast holds of the lord of the honour of Boulogue : that honour eacheate to the king; the tenant will now huld immediately of the king; but is he to be subject to the peculiar burdens which are generally incident to wnancy in chief? No, that

[^188]would tre unfar, it wuald be chaughg the weraus of his sumure: This was recognizad by the prorwce of the exchequeer under Henry 11.' and the sule wne contirmed by the tirvas Charser? Thus it becomes necosoury to distingulab betweets those tetmote
 of the king, and thome who buld of the king metrely bereaume
 whu hull of the king ne of his erriwn (nt de coromes) and sbure. whi holit of hime as of was escated botour (mt de enculefo. us de honores, ut de buroniss)? On the othor hatud, the relief fur a barony having lwent tixed, twu basulea do wot beeome wer morely berenise they nor held by whe parson ; the hoscour of Clare, the honour of Cinucestors, the honour of St Hilary axul a moiety of Earl Giffinsl's honour moes in the hamela of Farl Giltwert; he has to pay for his three and a lasif homoum a roitef
 of lands which frum of uld have been held by a angle suter.

## §4. Serjeranty.

IMmurnity of do someng metranaty

The ulem of a serjeranty as cunceived in the thirtorsth cuntury in not eqully detinerl. Here elewhere wro find
 hearling so that the bond thit couneres thens is ulighs, ales w. find it difficult to mark off arrjeanty from knightis worvier is. the oto hand and wreage on the where. The teate nuggenked the lateletors an atagrobrable to the decumathem of than agge" W. can not way that the duty of expeasty theat he performent iy the telosint in his proper premoth, we cass thas moy that pult morjemty' has percemanly any cunnexion with war, or shat Whe: cals surt buld by merjeasaty of a tucatu. lund, or that pesty

[^189]serjeanty is 'but socage in effect'. Even the remark that 'serjoantia in Latin is the same as servitium's is not strictly true.

Here indeed lies the difficulty :-while every tenure implies Berjeanty a service (servitium), it is not every tenure that is a serjeanty yervice. (seriantia, serianteria): every tenant owes service, but not every tenant is a servant or serjeant (serviens), still less of course is every tenant a servus. A single Latin stock has thrown out various branches; the whole of medieval society seems held together by the twigs of those branches. Here we have to deal with one special group of derivative words, not forgetting that it is connected with other groups ${ }^{3}$.

We may begin by casting our eye over the various 'ser- Typeas of jeanties' known in the thirteenth century. First we see those ormed by forms of service which are the typical 'grand serjeanties' of the hings later days, ' as to carry the banner of the king, or his lance, or to chief. lead his army, or to be his marshal, or to carry his sword before him at his coronation, or to be his sewer at his coronation, or his carver, or his butler, or to be one of the chamberlains of the .268] receipt of his exchequer!' Some of the highest offices of the realm have become hereditary; the great officers are conceived to hold their lands by the service or serjeanty of filling those offices. It is so with the offices of the king's steward or seneschal, marshal, constable, chamberlain; and, though the real work of governing the realm has fallen to another set of ministers whose offices are not hereditary, to the king's justiciar, chancellor and treasurer, still the marshal and constable have serions duties to perform ${ }^{\text {s }}$. Many of the less exalted offices of the king's household have become hereditary serjeanties; there are many men holding by serjeanties to be done in the kitchen, the larder and the pantrys. Even some of the offices which have to du with national business, with the finance of the realm, have become hereditary; there are already hereditary chamberlains

[^190]uf the excherfuer who do their marvice by deputy ${ }^{\text {. }}$. We abserve that all thesen offices, if wo rogand only their citlen, have womeching enenial about them, in the old and propar and of the word 'mseninl'; their dutien are eervitin mamionaliss, they are connereted with the kingix houmehuld. It may be lung matere the prodecesmers in wite of theso men really coroked the kingio dianer or groomed the king'n horsen: but they glary in sulew which ituply, or have amplied, shat theis duhue are of thas mental kind; nor te 12 al ways eney to may when or whether the suty has become homorary. Whes the Congueror goven half a hude of land is Gloucentesshire wo his cook', it warm boul to rey that this cenant did not renlly romet and boul: and what whall we suy of the oonk of the Cionnt of thoulughon' Then scactoroud aboust Eingland wo find many uners who ano mand to hold by merjeanty and are bound by thear comure wo the other nervices, wheh nove not wo distucely monus), that is to soy. are not mo clomely comectad with the king h houwhold. Thig ane bound wearry the king's lethern, ho act min the kingion summmers when the baruns of the neighbuarhoxad are wh mumnassied. the sid in conveying the king's treanure from place wo place, or the like. Again, and this is very cummon, theim as motae serjeaty uf the furist, they aro chseff fureaters, of usulers foremtom The
 bound by kesure to keep busuedn and hawke for him, ha fond arrown for him when he goes a-showting, und wee call mot wy that theme ane honorary or particularly honowable nesvicos tho find a truse of nersw for the hamge watar chamber when be atoys

 royal cantle sa retura for hes work bulde a suspout ${ }^{2}$. Bas, axasm. many serjeastles ane oubliected with warfurs. The cotstambers of all is that of finding a mervant or mergeant (apromentewo) he del
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serrientern equitem) ; often the nature of the arms that he is to bear is prescribed; often he is bound to serve for forty days and no more, sometimes only for a shorter period; often to serve only against the Welsh, sometimes to serve only within bis own county. It would be a mistake to think that tenure supplied *) 805) the king only with knights or fully armed horsemen; it supplied him alan with a force, though probably a small force, of light horsemen and infantry, of bowmen and cross-bowmen. It supplied him also with captains and standard-bearers for the national militia; men were bonnd by their tenure to lead the infantry of particular hundreds ${ }^{1}$. It supplied him also with the means of military transport, with a baggrge train; few serjeanties seem commoner than that of sending a 'serjeant' with hurse, sack and buckle for the carriage of armour and the like ${ }^{?}$. It supplied him, to some small degree, with munitions of war; if one was bound by tenure to find lances, arrows or kuives, this was reckoned a serjeanty.

A man may well hold by serjeanty of a mesne lord. Serjeanty Bracton speaks clearly on this point. The tenant of a mesue of uesurde lord may be enfeoffed by serjeanty, and the serjeanty may be one which concerns the lord, or one which concerns the king. Thus, for example, he may be enfeoffer as a 'rodknight' bound wo ride with his lord, or he may be bound to hold the lord's pleas, that is, to act as president in the lond's court, or to carry the lord's letters, or to feed his hounds, or to find bows and arrows, or to carry them: we can not enumerate the various possible rerjeanties of this class. But there are, says Bracton, other serjeanties which concern the king and the defence of the realm, even though the tenant holds of a mesne lord; as if he be enfecffed by the serjeanty of finding so many horse- or footsoldters with aumour of such or such a kind, or of finding a man with horse, sack and buckle for service in the army".

All this is fully borne out by numerous examples. The Typar of grand serjeanties of the king's houschold were represented in somer to the economy of lower lords. Thus John of Fletton held land at $\begin{aligned} & \text { mernmes. } \\ & \text { loris. }\end{aligned}$

[^191]Fleten in Huntugedonabre by the service of being siawant in the nhbut'n hall at Poturimnough'; at Coltenfurd in "asteriature
 years ; in the astre connty a temant of the Farl if Linceln muse place the last dish befire the earl, and shall have an nul fromes the earl like other free serjeantes? The abbet if (ileorwetor has tenanta who spread his table, who hold towels and pours water on his hands: In the twelth century the nowarriahup of the Abhey of Nit Fistmunds was herelitary in the famuly of Hastings, but was executed by deputys. On the whole, buwever, the prelates and baruns scen to have fullowed the policy of their myad manter and seldoth permithed subsumtenl priwes to lappee into the hande of hereditary officern; the high atewand of a mumatery; like the high steward of the rentm, was a man for pagesits rather thas for business. Stall mach serjematies exasted. The service of carryug the lond'n letters was not uspconmun and may have been very usefut' : the nervice of tanking nher the lomp's mood was reekoned a serjeanty: In vanous parta of England we find a consudermble clane of ternanta bound to go a-ndug with their lords or un their loni's errauda and

 known as 'esquires, and themr tenum is a "merranty of eypury"

Mittiary Rery juatite blobt of 2turstap bervl.

But agma, there may, wat Hoctun aym, be warlake morvice in te done. A ternant, for emample, of tho alimet of Kamany io
 carry the hasnem of the kisghta lonomi for the W.inh war". the prior of sit Uovolph at Cillohenter to Loutus) tas the wome

[^192]service by mesne tenure ${ }^{2}$. Again, the tenant may go to the war in his lord's train to fight, not as a miles but as a serviens; Reginald de Bracy is bound by the service of serjeanty to follow William de Barentin as a serviens at William's costs.

Now it may be impossible to bring all these very miscellaneous tenures under one definition which shall include them, but exclude knight's service and socage. However, the central notion seems what we may call 'servantship'; we can not say 'service,' for that word is used to cover every possible return which one man can make to another for the right of enjoying land. Obviously in many cases the tenant by serjeanty not only owes 'service' in this large sense, but is a servant (serviens) ; he is steward, marshal, constable, chamberlain, usher, cook, forester, falconer, dog keeper, messenger, esquire; he is more or less of a menial servant bound to obey orders within the scope of his employment. Modern efforts to define a 'servant' may illustrate old difficulties as to the limits of 'serjeanty'; it may be hard to draw the line between the duty of habitually looking after the king's bed-chamber and that of providing him with litter when he comes to a particular manor. But the notion of servantship, free servantship, as opposed to any form of serfdom, seems to be the notion which brings the various serjeanties under one class name, and it points to one of the various sources of what in the largest sense of the term we call the feudal system. One of the tributaries which swells the feudal stream is that of menial service; it meets and mingles with other streams, and in England the intermixture is soon very perfect; still we can see that serjeanty has come from one quarter, knight's service from another, socage from yet a third, and we may understand how, but for the unifying, generalizing action of our king's court, a special law of serjeranty might have grown up, distinct from the ordinary law of lated tenure ${ }^{3}$.

[^193]The -rjeruet isi the army

Rerymenty
In fration ala, Bhats

As regarde the military werjemention we must mencember that is : in the lnugnagge of mulluary affanm nervienes had ampured a diftinct mentuing. An army in largely taule up of multeres and errientes, of fully armed humesiet, atud of iseo who, whether they merve on foot or onf homse, have wot the full knighisly pariopty!. Now when a kenant by merjeanty is bound en go to the war an a merviens with honw, purpeint, ison cap aud lawee. the difficronce between his tenure und knaght's wervice seenus bo
 and another, or one powition in the army and anneher; anal it is powable that $n$ certnin arubiguity in the wond artions, which will muand for mervare, and will stand for thght armed middere. may have nutracked withon the aphere of werjeanty artans Wenures which hal about them no wtrong truen of what we have called 'servintuthip. Still origually the serecentes of the annys were no culled bereaume they woro attendanten on the multued. whowe shelelds they carriesd, and whowe esquins they were - for the esplure (acutifer, urmiger) of thowe timmen wan whe who carreed the wheld or armin of his lomi. Thus by che way or anuthor we comme bewek to the itea of 'nervantantup' as the corv of surjomsty ${ }^{2}$.
 in eceing the prefleovesine of theme temante by mirycanty in the servientes of Dormesday Prook. Niar the end of the surcy of a county we colnetimes unct with a special meetion darubel to Servientes Regna. Thus in Wiltehire after the Terra Tannutum
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have a special section ${ }^{2}$; in Oxfordshire we find Terra Ministrorum Regis ${ }^{3}$, and when elsewhere we meet with Famuli Regis ${ }^{3}$ we may suppose that this is but another name for the Servientes and Ministri. We can tell something of their offices. Among the Wiltshire Servientes are three chamberlains (camerari3), a hoarder (granetarius) and a cross-bowman (arbalistarius); elsewhere are an archer, an usher, a goldsmith, a baker, a bedchamber man; near the end of the survey of Hampshire we find a treasurer, two chamberlains, a hunter, a marshal, a physician and a barber holding in chief of the king'. In some cases it is possible to trace the estates of these persons until we find them definitely held by serjeanty. Again, there can be little risk in finding the anceators in law of Bracton's sudfrightes ${ }^{8}$ and the abbot of Ramsey's ridemanni in the radchenistres and radmanni of Domesday Book, It is true that is the western counties these radohenistres are occasionally found in large groups; there may be even tweaty of them on a manor ${ }^{\text {; }}$; but in what was for Bracton the leading case on serjeanty the abbess of Barking asserted that she had full thirty tenants on one manor bound to ride about with her whesever she would'. However, the makers of Domesday Book were not concerned to specify the terms on which the tenants, especially the tenants of mesne lords, held their lands; of serjeanties we read little, just as we read little of knightly service. So soon, however, as any attempt is made to classify tenures, the serjeanties appear in a class by themselves. Glanvill, after defining the relief payable for knights' fees and for socage tenements, adds that as to baronies nothing has been definitely settled, the amount of the relief being at the will and mercy of the king; the same, he says, is true of serjeanties?. In 1198 p. 270] the distinction was enforced by the great fiscal measure of that year; from the general land tax the serianteriae were excepted, but they were to be valued and the servientes who held them were to be summoned to meet the king at Westminster to hear and do his bidding'.

[^194]serpenty
sin ather anit athes เvilums

Other destiectious appear in eonsma of cime. Evea us
 yer fixued; it was to be 'requmable' but no ruure than thes could be said!. In later daye wo titad it fixal at one yonr: value of the land; but how or whes chin detinition was arrived at we don nut linw '. That the mergenatin molef semaino unoertain lang after the reltefir uf baruma. knightes and maregen are tixesd is asuther liset which puinten to the peculiar nature of thet rolatusshaip which had been iswulved in the kenume. If was nut the meere molatum between loml and henast, or betwoves
 mervant, and, though a feroftuent bad been made to the thetans and his homs, the low was slow to decuste the ternue upose which the lord must reejive che herr inte his mervice. Again, we, tisul that a teserusent held by serjeaty in weated as suathemable and impartible. As regands aloctasesin wee ahall be bester able tao sperak hereafter, but will prenuse this rasch, that the kung is
 his leave aliconter their land, ososs by way of mubiuforiatatano, as

 mo late am Jubis's relgis it was thoughe that onerjeanty could
 would take the whole ${ }^{3}$ :-thas almo is an intelligible rule if we have rugard to the 'merviential' rhmmeter of the woure. merjeanty must nut be 'locoratiad': An wo the ward-hip anod

 'gratod' and what werne called 'potty" eryeantux To thes mattes wee inust retura ; but by mesuis of the ruline to which
 from wenurs by kaghtis mervice on the one haad asd sonure by surage on the other, and evess to the cundifle of the thatewenth coustury it still had ass enportance which is but fantly inpro.


[^195]
## § 5. Socage.

Any temure that on the one hand is free and on the other somage hand is not spiritual, nor military, nor 'serviential,' is called tenure in free socage:-to this result lawyers are gradually coming. Obviously therefore this term socags will cover a large feeld ; it will include various relationshipe between men, which, if we regard their social or economic or aven their purely legal aspects, seem very different from each other. We may louk at a few typical cases.
(a) The service which the tenant owes to his lord may be Typen of merely nominal : he has no rent to pay or has to give but a rose every year just by way of showing that the tenure existe. Such st case zany be the effect of one of various causes. It may originate in what we should call a family settlement; a landowner sometimes providen for a daughter or a younger son by a gift of land to be held by a nominal service. Or again, the gift may be a reward to some dependant for past, services, or a retaining fes for services to be rendered hereater, which services however are not defined and are not legally exigible. Or again, there may well have been what in truth was a aale of the land: in return for a groes sum a landowner has crested a nominal tenure. To have put the purchaser in the vendor's place might have been difficult, perhaps impossible; so the purchaser is made tenant to the vendor at an insignificant rent.
(b) Such cases gradually shade off into others in which a substantial rent has been reserved. We pass through the very numerous instances in which the lord is to receive yearly some small article of luxury, a sparrowhawk, a pair of gloves, a pair of gilt spurs, a pound of pepper or of incense or of wax, to other cases in which the rent, if we can not call it a 'rack [p.272] rent,' is 'the best rent that can reasonably be gotten.' We thus enter the sphere of commerce, of rents fixed by supply and demand.

Such tenures as these may be found in every zone of the territorial system. The tenant may be holding of the king in chief; the king has, as we should say, granted perpetual leases at substantial rents of some of his manors, the lessees being sometimes lay barons, sometimes religious houses ${ }^{1}$. Again,
${ }^{2}$ Thus e.g. the prior of Barnwell held of the king the ancient demesne manor of Chesterton at a rent of $£ 80$; R. H. ii. 408.
from thr Cionipueat onward, to way nothing of an varlive sime: very grwat men have nut thought it iwowath thetn (w) buld shurch labds at cosy reitas . It is an amenantion crifnomen in monastic annala that the abhnta of the Nurman time dewapaterd the lande of their homees by improvident grames the there firetgh

 in socage below them, who will juy them horivier mone lilis. mately we come to the netund oreupant if the mall, wheme rut will in many cenes roproment the host uffor chas hax latwillowt could ottain for the land. (Neranionally ho may be peving more for the land than can be got from the ville-110 of the rames villuge.
(c) Sumetimen we find in chartarn of ferefiment that the fevsfice, beaidea prying rent, is wo dot or geve done a certam
 phorshing, we much reaping. The fiveffost may be a mate if mark, an abtwet, n banom, who will have many tenation under him and will nover put his hand tu the pleugh". "Thene comen asp of imanotuase becaumen they meem to be the channel by wheh tho term nucatge gradually sprooule theolt.
(d) Finally, within a manore there oftesi arm tomanta bomend to pry divera duen in mbiney and in kind and bound to dow our get doue a fixed iquatity of agricultural a-rice for thiojr lowdo
 charters which express ita ternas? Horewanter wo shall was shas it in not always eany $u$ toark the exant line wheb soparnios them from the tomantes in villemage amousg whom thes lite stut along with whens thry labosur fur the lend'e protit. Sither of

 of the enown. Of thers prestims we meist aprak benafter. for it catn only ber diactused it conneximeth whe unfrew henurem

 firm.







Now to all appearance the term socuge, a term not found in Gramual Nurnandy, has been extendiug itself upwards: a name appro- of the tuo torm priate to a class of cultwivatiog peasants has begun to include the ocage. baron or prelate who holds land at a rent but is not burdened with multary service. Of such a man it would seem natural wsay that he holds at a rent (tenet ad censum), and for a century and wore after the Norman Conquest it is rare to call his renure socage. He is sometimes ssid to have feodum censuale; far mure commonly he is said to hold 'ius fee farm.' This teran pee tano. has difticulties of its own, for it uppears in many different guises; a feullee is to hold in feafirma, in feufirmam, in fedifirmam ${ }^{2}$. in jende firmann, in jeudo firma', ad firmam feadalem², but most commonly, in feodi firma. The Old English language had buth of thee words of which this terts is compounded, both feols (property) and feorm (rent)'; but so had the latguage of France, and in Nirman documents the term may be found in various shapes, firmam fedium, feudifirmum?. But, whatever way be the precise history of the phrise, to hold in fee farm means to hold heritably, perpetually, at a rent; the fee, the (9. 2i4] inheritance, is let to farm. This term long struggles to maintain its place by the side of socage; the victory of the latter is nut perfect even in Bracton's day; the complete merger of fee farm in socage is perhaps due to a statute of Edward I., though the way towards this end had long benil prepared?.

As to the wurd socuge, a discussion of it would opell a scries Monong of in difficult problems about the administration of justice in the "oocser: days before the Conquest. These have been discussed elsewhere'. We uust here natice two puints. Bracton believed-

[^196] histary of the low-that suceage hail to do with ave, tho Firesseh word fors m ploughahares ; lestanem is mange them form are eswentially agraculeumstes, and the doty of ploughosg the loond's
 if we turn to the true dosivation, we come to much the mame result, mesage is at startugg the colluse of thomere sotemen of whom we reve in Domesalay Ihwk; ewape in an alutmet torm
 and therefore nttesuated until it is capable of expressing sonse but uegntive characteristies:-monge as a tenure wheth is new sfirstual, Dut molitary, nut mervientiad. Dio mimilar extertatom has beew given wo the wern sukeman; in the thartuenth century many perousas hold in micngte who would be inauleal were they cullial mokermost ; for the moketnets ane a hutuble. shought it may be a well-hoder claws?

Anregre titi cuntrate fac milltary toan

Korean me the y tuluar? enuore

That thry have beetio numernue rlues we buay unthars ao
 cose grout ntasuling esmeraut to military conurs, amil an then
 tnass whil would free his hodding from the bundens of wandolitp and muarriage in anxtousm to prove that he holden ins masaso

 distinctive marke of that tebure are imanamtion- Dus mewtake to warlahip, no marringe ${ }^{\text {. }}$.

 apeak: it an non-tnilitary, nuth-merventanl non-tolomanary. It howeser, we go baik th the first half of the imalith ventery. we begin to denibt whether we can stru-sly uluass ant sthe uncms rhanclariatic of thew negutive atinhater The armes in bets gradually takiog to new shape: the eaketineth of the ativen

[^197]of Peterborough serve along with the knightar ${ }^{2}$. In Edward L's day the tradition among the Oxfordshire jurors was that the ancestom of many of the bishop of Lincoln's socage tenants were free sokemen or 'quasi sokemen' who served the king in the was for forty days at their own cost with purpoints, lances and iron capos. It is not in the past that we must look for clear definitions.

Tenure in burgage, if we examine but one specimen of it, Bargage. may seem to differ in no essential from free socage ${ }^{3}$. The service due from the tenant to his lord is very generally a mere money rent, though there may be a little ploughing or the tike to be done. But if we thus isolate a single tenant from his fellows, the spirit of burgage escapes us. The tenant is, at least normally, a burgess, on momber of a privileged community, which already aspires to become a municipal corporation. This is not the place in which to discuss the history of the boroughs, still we ought just to notice that tenure has been an important element in it. From a remote time there have been in the greater and older boroughs men who paid rents for their houses but did no other service. Their tenure becomen distinctive of the boroughs, and when in later days a manor is to become a borough, the abolition of labour services and the introduction of burgage tenure is one main feature of the process ${ }^{6}$.
[p.276] Regarded merely as a tenure, the chief characteristic of Burgage burgage is its subjection to local custom. Other free tenures, ${ }_{\text {borough }}^{\text {and }}$ socage for example, may be affected by local custom, but castoma. what is exceptional in their case is normal in the case of burgage. The lord has made over to the men of the borough his court and the profits of his court; very frequently a roysl charter has conceded that actions for burgage tenements shall not be tried except in the court of the borough; thus local custom has room within which it can grow and is not liable to he set aside in favour of common law. It is chiefly within the domain of private law, it is about such matters as inheritance

[^198]aasi dowes, that the borough customm have their say. The
 burgage tenembint an an artiche of commerce; it is likened to a
 suld like a chastel.'

## ilue man

 ming tiont? la, many s parverA toan might hold of masby different lords by maty dofterons kesures. This no one would deny; but mome of the clamatal expeationa of 'the fertal xymerm' and 'the matounal asterm' are apt to make the cexture of mesheval mencty lenk sunples than really it was, and wos thosk it part of cur duty hi inamas that the factas which the lawyon of the tharesenth cestury had tu bring withos therr theurnes were emmplusated. Thereforo
 what he beld on the day of has theath in IEsts. He brht latrie nt Cirathons in llampolite of the king at a reot of liss : he held lauden at Hon in Ketue of the abbore of Rewalang at a mowney rent; he held Lands at Crufton in Burkinghamabure of Witham de bay by some mervices that the jurum dill but ktoww. lee heird a manor in Sorfolk of the bushup of Surw ich thy the nerviow of a suxth part of a krught's fee and by cmotle guand; bee helfi masor in Suseox of the carl of Warembe by the servire of
 in chief by the eserjeanty of finding a fint-maldoers for forsy days; be bedd cetoraseates in Lovilus of the kong is choef by



 inough the man who holde of the kuig in cherif will hold alo. of other lonla; be will hold by kughtin mervies by ersuansy. in fee farm, is mange aund it burgage:

## § 6. Ilometer rand Ferslly.

Hastane etont Irals.

Fory getherally the tuen land of teture an cotmplionted witb
 tuss done hetrage and aworn fealty, ir is theth entitiond and
 duty go togesther; in one particular case it may be tho. loond.

[^199]in another it may be the tenant, who will desire that these solemnities should be observed, for each of them may thereby gain something.

When we read what the law-books say of these mattera, we Legal and feel that they are dealing with institutions, the real importance efrects of of which lies but partly within the field of law. The law of homase. homage as adminiatered, or even as tolerated, by the king's court of the thirteenth century is but a pale reflection of moral aentiments which still are atrong but have been stronger. Glatrill and Bracton seem to lower their voices to a religious whisper when they speak of homage; it is in this contert that Glanvill introduces a word very rare in English legal documente, the antique word vasalluss! The ceremony of homage is as solemn as ceremony can be. But when we ask for the effecto of hosuage, we get on the one hand some sules of private law nsom; about warranty and so forth, rules which may seem to us of no great importanee, and on the other hand some vague though itmpressive hints that these legal rules express but a small part of what is, or has been, the truth.

The ceremony of homage (in some of the older books homi- Thie care. nium, hominatio ${ }^{2}$, but usually homagiume) is much the same Lomage. all Europe over ${ }^{2}$. According to Bracton, the tenant puts his hands between the hands of the lord-this symbolical subjection seems from the first to have been the very essence of the transaction"-and says: 'I become your man of the tenement that I hold of you, and faith to you will bear of life and member and earthly worship [or, as some say, of body and chattels and earthly worship], and faith to you shall bear against all folk [some add, who can live and die], saving the faith that I owe to our lord the king.' Britton adds that the lord shall then kiss his tenant ${ }^{\text {c }}$; Littleton adds that the lord sits, while the tenant kneels on both knees, ungirt and with his head uncovered; and these we may accept as ancient traits?
${ }^{1}$ Glanvill, ix. I; for the use of this word before the Conquest, see Maitland, Domesday Book, 293.
${ }^{2}$ D. B. i. 225 b : 'G. Episcopas clamat hominationem eorum.'
= Waitz, D. V. G. v. 46 ; Sohröder, D. R, G. 391; Warnkōnig, Franzöeische Rechtageschichte, ii. 857.

4 Waitz, D. V. G. v. 47.
${ }^{*}$ Bracton, f. 80. Cf. Gleavill, ix. 1; Statutes of the Realm, i. 227.

- Britton, ii. 87.
* Littieton, see. 85. Compare the details from Frenoh books in Warskōnig, ii. 358. The man mast be without arms, or spurs, or mantle.
 hedpleses en the liord and has been nexevived into the lond. protoctiom.

Hontage in 'dome, Peatry is 'sworth.' and it is warthy of
 gymitolic act and can be exacted in manay cowe is whot hernage is not exigible. The terinat now stande up with has haud on the goweth aud sayn. Hear thim my tord: I with bear frath to you of life aakd member, gorsle, chattele and marthly worship, ou help, uer diod and these holy guopete of thal ; sonse

 the fath due to the king: Uut denbtiens ther was adidedt? There asth of fonlty thus umita the wordn 'I buwotue your mann: © sigunticant onuesion. Fenalty of coumer, is the Iatun nidelofice but it in miterenting to noflee that on maniural mills whitems by elerks who were no greas Latuminte, the murd bemonnes ferdelitas or feoditus, so cluse is the connextom between fath and fee.

Siopremere.
 hormage and of fivalty swom en a heger loud. The woad liavo meens to meas simple, ubenoditival, theugh verg hirly at quite enarly time a fulden dersvation frims the Latin beghere is.
 diensa uncenditionend homugge. If now he neyurion a fee firia. nuuther lood, his hemage must be conditumed, the nuunt save the

 eithes tol the lowt from whom he claums tha princopal dreitiong









 perectine.

 - de qi il luast oun olines mencmatr.'
the oldcst of those feoffinents under which he claims ${ }^{1}$. The person to whom liege bomage is doze is by no means necessarily the king; but the king has been insisting with ever greater success that there is a direct bond between him and every one of his subjects: the growth of nationsl feeling has favoured this claim ${ }^{3}$. Not only has he insisted that in every expreseion of homage or fealty to another there shall be a esving for the faith that is due to $\mathrm{him}^{3}$, but he has insisted (-300) that every male of the age of twelve years shall take an onth of feaity to him and his heirs, an oath 'to bear faith and loyalty of life and limb, of body and chattels and of earthly honour; an outh which of course makes no reference to any tenement, an oath which promises a fealty so unconditioned that it becomes known as the oath of ligeance or allegiance (ligeantia):Williams the Conqueror, it would seem, had exacted, unt anly an oath of fealty, but an act of homage from sill the considerable tenants of his kingdom, no matter whose men they were, for so we may fairly construe the words of the chronicler, 'they bowed themselves and were this man's men's; later kings as well as earlier had exacted the oath of fealty from their subjeets in general. But this is a strong testimony to the forces of vessalism. It suggests that an outh is necessary in orler to constitute the relation between ruler and subject ; it suggests that the mere omission of a saving clause might make it a man's duty to follow his lord even against the king; it makes

[^200] the relation between lord and vawsel. This was ans see evers if we look buck to the first dayn of incopieat feudalams: 'All shall awear in the name of the Lond fivity to King bilmumd an a man ought $w$ be faithful to his lond '; the oblegutiua of man to. lond in better known, anore strungly felt, than the oblthasian of mubjest to king. At the ncosession of Eilward I. she dangeer meoms pant, at leaut for a whate; the fendal forec meotas bos have well-righ sperat itmelf, but ubviounly hotuage asm feably, luege humage and liege fealty, have meent a great deal.
toambum 32. : lue 1-n: Heuries

In the Leges Henrici we may tind tho high-wnter-mark of Finglinh vissulasia. Every mas ower fouth wh has loud is lifo and limb nud earthly wurshp, and must wberve ho lord': comenand in all that is houronmble and proprer, asivig the tarth due to (bod and the ruler of the land ; but thef, tremons. mations. or auythug that ts agunst Gixl and the catbobir fasth, such things are to be ermmanded to anome, and dotie by towne Saviag those, however, farth must be kept to limpar, sasuen expectally to a liege lurd, and withuut hes conimet une may lave no oshors burd'. If the logit takes away hin masis land ore dowaren ham in mortal peril, he forfuita his lombhip; but the sams nuss be loug suffering, he mait brar with tis logitin malermationt of hom fur tharty days us war, for year and day in peowec. Fiverg
 lawful; and wor the thend is bound tor holp has man with and aud courzel in all chayme. and muy be hus marraus - at lomas in
 lond is compared wo blisphemy agruast the Holy Gibust, it is a crime to be pumated by a death crued etough to exoll a fis bogrinning for the curmesses of hells. If, wo the other harud, the lord magx his mate who bou done no wrong, the uffore cen be poid fur with inntac. ${ }^{\circ}$

[^201]Bracton defines homage thus:-Homage is a bond of law kractou on (evinculum iuris) by which one is holden and bound to warrant, defend and acqnit the tenant in his seisin against all men, in return for a certain service ( $p$ ar certum servitium) uamed and expressed in the gift, and vice versa whereby the tenant is 'really' boumd (re obligatur) to keep faith to his Inrd and do the due service; and such is the connexion by homage between lord and tenant that the lord owes as much to the tenant as the tenant to the lond, save only reverencet. Such a definition tends to bring the whole matter within the legitimate province of the law of contract: there is a bargain abont a tenement; the lessee is to do certain services, the lessor is to warrant the Eitle. Warranty is still an important matter, and the doing and receipt of homage atill have important resulta in the law about warranty : but even here the courts are beginning to neglect homage and to lay stress merely on the relation which exists, -282. Whether humage has or has not been done, between a feofior and his feoffee. Aud, as Bracton here bints, the feotfee's obligation to perforin the services is beginning to be conceived rather as the: outcoue of a 'real'contract than as an ontcome of the at of homage. To this point we may return hereafter, since it lies within the domain of private law. What had been the public, the political or anti-political, force of homage may best be seen by comparing passages in the text-books which deal with the prublems which may arise when a man holds different tenements of difficrent lords and those lords quarrel.

Such prublems were pussible even at the beginning of the Humnes twelth century, for a man might hold land of divers londs". War privato Glansill. though he distinctly snys that the tenant may have th fight: rgaiust his lurd at the king's command, says also that if a man hos done divers homages for his divers fees to divers lords who 'infeat' each other, and if his chief lord orders him to go in his pruper person against another of his lurds, he must obey the commanl, saving the service to that other lord from the fee chat is held of him'. This can hardly he read ntherwise than as a statement that private warlare may conceivably be lawful.

[^202]Brawhsu clealang with a like cuse uses more ambiguous worda. If entuities ariec between his differnt lonia, the kenant muast in hix proper permots stand with, hite (ntubs oum eor) th, whon he ham dione ligerance, while the inust stand with has wither lierio by athrucy'. There in a great dififermer butween Bractonin stare cum and Glanvill's ire cumbra. Brachotin wordm tray br matimbad by supprenigg a cenuut bound to do suit to the courte of two
 eourh, by aturatay wo the other. In Bintuotin book, however. no at least in mone manumertipe therevf, it in writen that the
 are handly entitled to any that thin doctrase, evern an a begal of deectrue, wan of no forme. It in probubibee that even the hing * courts would trove held thint the tand was justifiel, iff as tems
 howite atherkw, and such doffenee mught evaly bewome dotensave warfote. 'The great cium wheh proves that Didwand L. had tho will and the power ho pule dewern private war with a beary bunct. evess when it was levied betwees tho muet powertul mes of has realin, the cane in which he ment an cond of (H)wemester and and

 haviug gone on with thon war contrary to an myal prombititura, and that the memalyy of the time would hardly suttier may merese punishment to be intlictext upon thoee of throte mett who havi followed their banners in ignorame of the trages emmenamt Sueh permons, if guilty of hetthesde, mubbery, anom or the hike. rught doubtless be dealt wath an comruxen entantumbs. Inet fir the trere firet that they had gone out with banmer dhuphayead it woutd be hard to brugg wo brear upwn them thas preingation procelune which was ant in motion in orfer we cru-h etho deribectient rarla At nuy roter, privnter whr wha an ufone wheh tught be retornoumbly rauggeratevd by breweh of a roywl pritibitions:

[^203]The same feeling may be seen in auother quarter. That a sanctity of Iord should make an attaok on his man, or a man on hia lord, even under the forms of law, is scarcely to be tolerated. If the mana will bring an appeal, a criminal charge, againast his lond, he must first 'waive the tenement'? When a king ia going to
. abib declere war upon his barons he frst defies them, for there should be no attack while there is affiance. Heary III. in 1233 defied the Marshal, who then was no longer his man, but - outside his homage ${ }^{\text {rs }}$; before the battle of Lewes he defied the earla of Leicester and Clouoester, who thereupon renounced homage and fealty". We can hardly say that all this lies outside the sphere of law, for rebellions and wara are conducted on quasi-legal prineiples: that is a characteristic of the time. Bracton fully admits that a man who holds laud both in England and in France may be bound to aid both kings when they make war on each other; his liege lord he must serve in person, but none the less he must discharge the service due to his other lond ?

But the most curious limitation to the force of vassalism Hommge will be found in the fact that a man can hardly 'go against, nol frlons. any one at his lord's command without being guilty of the distinctively feudal crime, without being guilty of 'felony.' Common law, royal and national law, has, as it were, occupied the very citadel of feudalism. Whatever may be the etymology of felony (and of this we shall speak hereafter), there can be no doubt that the word came to us from France, and that in France and elsewhere it covered only the specifically feudal crimes, those crimes which were breaches of the feudal nexus

Under Henry I., Ivo of Grandmesnil 'guerram in Anglia coeperat et vicinoram rurs suorm incendio combusserat, quod in illa regione crimen est inusitatam, nee sine gravi ultione ft expiatum.' The ordinary Englinh oriminal law is trong enough to auppress anything that we could fairly call private war; just for this reason it is needless for Glanvill to say with his Norman contemporary, - Nullus hominum andeat versus alium guerram facere'; Très ancien coutumier (Tardif), c. 81. He can even indolge in a speculation as to the vassal's duty of following one of his lords against another, for this must be read subjeot to the rules of criminal law which forbid homicide and the like. In France there aroee a jurisprudence of private war, for which see Viollet, Etablissements, i. 180 ; Esmein, Histoire du droit français, 252.
${ }^{1}$ Braton, f. 81 b, 141.
${ }^{2}$ Mat. Par. Chron. Maj. iii. 249, 258.
'Chron. T. Wykes, 149. Other ohroniclers notice this incident as important.

- Bracton, f. 427 b.
and whech would work a forfeciture on encheat of the fieff. ur an the case wight be, of the Iondship: for the lund omight be griilty of felony agninut hin man just wan the man might be grulty of felony ngmint his lori. A merv cormation ertme, howevors
 there must twe mome breash of that fnith and truse wheth on cht (6) "rum between lord and onan. Now it wended ewom that fur s while the word was usent here ns well as cleewhere in thio rostrichat eense; it the Lagen Hennem felome to me annog many crimes'. A littlo later it eneme so cover every crime of any considemble grivity, and metns to have no niferemen whitever to the fewinl bond. anve in one rempect. namin!. thas
 has bearun an indopertimble part of every charge of avery erime that is wo be pumathed by death or autilation: The details of this proceses asm ohscure. Pimatly the lounto anw no harm in a changre whech brought thron abuundant esehenats; thut
 To be true to your lord when there was any real atrain the tho fendal lsind, tug gotut with hum wheu be 'wetst ugamat' enctase one elene, would end, thke ennugh, in yomer finding that !min howd commiteded a felony. Thix of conume is no superticulal change in the ume of words; it husan withese to a deup change in thoughe and festing. All the hatend and comesmpe which nen to houst the word felon are enhested aggainst the enmanal muriener robler, thief. without refenace to any breach of the botud of homage and fenlty.

Fintal leivorys.







 caus for an escheat. Cilanvill aprakn bindly -the totans will threak the lwoxd of homagge if he dowe anything that mey


[^204]person'. Bractor's phrase is 'anything that may turn to 'the disherison of the lord or any other atrocious iajury.' We can nut prove from decided cases that any delict falling short of a 'felony' in the modern sense of that term, and uncounected with the teaure of the land, would have been regarded by the king's courts of the thirteenth century as a cause of escheat; but it would be rash to deny that the tenant mught lose the land by reviling his lord, particularly if the lord
(sab] kept a court and the tenant were duly forjudged the land by his peers; and Bracton distactly says that auy violeut laying of hands upon the lord will cause a loss of the tenement ${ }^{3}$. As to the dealings with the tenement which might work a disheriswn, lord or leuant night well lose his rights in the land by lisavowing the tenure. In Bracton's day this principle was being degraded into a mere rule of property law, one of the complicaned mass of rules about warranty and so forth ; but we have juat seen how in 1225 such a disavowal was still spaken of ан a felonys.

In other quarsers we may see that homage has been loaing Homago. its meaning. It has been connected with military tenure, done and Accorsding to Bracton, it is due if the tenement is held by reserved knight's service, even though but one half-penny of scutage be piyable; it is due also if the tenure is a serjeanty, at all events if the serjeanty be one that concerns the king; but it is not due from tenants in socage, though as a matter of fact they sumetunes do it; if the tenure were villeinage, it would be darigerous to take the tenant's homage, as this might imply an 'ufranchisement4. Glauvill gives us an important clue when he says that a woman can nut do, though she may receive homage' ; in Bracton's day this is otherwise, a woman may well

[^205]do homagu". Homage has impliexd a wallingnoess 4, fight if noud ins, and even when it hat beconer admettod that witaen might hold military fiefo-here in Englanil thoy serom, wo will the
 onwarelx-they coteld not suy the wonls wheh impertad as
 wun puasing away, nonl, despitu what Broctons sayw, it mostase is


The krol's ablicalitt:

The coutruct was not orsembled. The lamb was brumbl to deferod aud warmat him gifn. When we hear of 'warmusy' we are wont to thisk of a mom institute of private law comanom venoghat the prosert day, the oblugation of a w-llor far mom-



 not that of making costupanation. His ohbgation tu give heo

 of the primary wbligation, mamely, the duty of deforoding the


 if he dad har duty defermbed it Sow horge we new a ganat forre me weirk. The what wee may to make nll mest equal laffore the
 tion; be can crumanal the hems advice, the beat alluwacy fiss in the mubdile naves the mbanfoges of the noh and pawertul must have. Inest efturtuous Happy then wan the ta coant whe
 rememiner that lubhod sme yout will find the carl or the atowes such an answer would utton be final. We unat underamend



[^206]bnck to hold by rent and services receives a "valuable consideration' for the surrender and submissin. This is so even within the sphere of law and litigation; he has mode his hold upon the land secure, for he bos at his back o warrantor whom no one will rashly sue. We must add that he has a lord who may nse carnal weapons or let loose the thunders of the church in defence of his tenant ${ }^{\text {t }}$.

## § 7. Relief and Primer Seisin.

20;
The lord's rights can not be summed up by saying that he The inis entitled to service of one kind or another from his tenant. cilinntre. of Blackstone in a well-known passage enumerates 'seven fruits and consequences inseparably incident to the tenure in chivalry, viz. aids, relief, primer seisin, wardship, marriage, fines for nlienation and escheat ${ }^{\text { }}$.' Of all of these we must speak, but we shall speak of them in a somewhat different order, snd in the consse of our discussion we must point out how far they were peculiar to militery tenure.

In the thirteenth century the rights of a person who holds Heritiole land ere usually beritable; when he dies the land will de- lignd. scend to his heir. We must not here discuss the canons of inheritance; it will be sufficient if we notice a few salient points. In the first place, the 'heir' of English law is an essentially different person from the Roman ' heres':-he never claims under a will. With few exceptions, the broad rule holds good that no one can give rights in land by his will, and even in those cases in which such rights are thus given the person who gets them does not get them as 'heir.' Only God, says Glanvill, can make an heir, not mans. A distinction between land and movables is thus established; even when the dead man has not bequeathed his movables, the heir as such has no claim to them. In the second place, one main rule of the law of inheritance is the primogenitary rule:-among males of equal degree only the eldest inherits. This rule has been

[^207]grodually extending itaelf; once approprinte tor tho milhury cesures, it is becoming the combinum $\operatorname{lnw}$ for all. Wumsen can whertit oven though the tenure bo military; they are poas. poned $u$ malen of equal degroo; Neveral wourn of aqual degroe will share the inhertance between them, will be cohetryme coheredes. Lastly, though the righte of a cetumt of land are uswally hrritable, thim in not nlwayw the comes, A nuny gow hand W IB werely for his ( 18 a) life; on the death of thion wotans for life there will be nothing for his heme; the innd will ' netuma or 'nevert' the d. But triore, to maker the nghts of the duture herituble righes, the giver must use wordes whech inaker thise io a plann; if he enerely gives the land 'un $B$ ' then $B$ in onl! a temat for life; he must gave it 'wo $B$ and has herse':
Rerlase. But the hrir, whom we will suppose to be of full ager , thene not come to his inhertance without having to pay for is, he bas $w$ puy to his luerl-aterd this on what conrerns un bern-a relief (relerium, or in earlier doctumenta relention or relecratam : In (ilnuvili's day the retief for a knight's fier un fixed at 100 a for swage land if 18 olse year's fent: ins the bantine amb serjantues, there in no matelowi rule; the heir must mak." them
 tells us that ther relief for the kmight's fow in lokke., that fois
 foremont atmonght the grevarieen alloged by the twatio at 1215, they anked that the heir should have has inthertaser
 the charter. And by the chater of $1: 15$ it wian defiluost. the beir of an rast's bannuly was to pay $f(0)$ ). the beere in a baron's bareny 玉100, the heir to a kmghter fies 190. © Thase wha repretued in the chartwere of 1216, 1215 and 123:3, tom at wimb. thue or nmethar the retbef for an burrinin lammy was
 thas the nutiont that a barminy consiote of 133 Smishtas liat whan engendend. The change, howewr asul whenever it wes

 of lievolitury, ith jom whilatas.

 Laviay, Lizerpple oliol. Fin l. ins glas.
introslueed, was sanctioned by the charter of Edwand I. ${ }^{1}$ Bracton states the law as to earldoms, haronias and knights' fees in Its final furm; the relief for serjeants is still in the discretion of the londs. As to socage, he seems to doubt whether anything that can properly be called a relief is payable; fur the- lord has no wardship of the sokeman's hetr, and in general relief and wardship are connected rights. However, the heir has to makr a certain payment (quaedam praestutio), namely, an arditioual year's rent. Then as to fee farm, Bracton suys that no fixed rule has been established: but a reasomable payment should be made, regard being had to the needs of the lond and the means of the tenaut ${ }^{3}$. In Nurmandy the Nlief seums to have had much the sume history. In the wldest statconent of Normau law the reliefs of counts, barons and knights are mentioned but their amount is not defined, while tenements that are not held by military service are rated at 5 shillings for the capital messuage and 12 pence per acre for the land: A litile later we read that baronies pay 8100 and koights' fees $515^{\circ}$. As in England, so in Normamly a relice was paybble by every lieir, eveu though he were the direet drucendant of the dead temant. This is noteworthy, for, accordsag to a very common French custom, a relief was only exigible when the land descended to a collateral heir; but in France, as in England, we often find that one yoar's rent, or one year's protit, of the land, is deemed the due relief ${ }^{6}$.
' Hee the fucsimiles of the various charters in Stat. of the Realm, vol. i.: and Ebenont. Charsem dee libertin, pp. $\times \times 21.47$.
${ }^{3}$ Bracton, f. 4 b.
${ }^{2}$ Bracson, i. © ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~b}$ b. B6. In thin passage fie farm is treated as distinot from socawe; by 'socuge' Bracton seema hore to mean the temure of the soliemen. bee sbove p. 994. Brition, ii. 60, agreen that a relief in only due when the tenurn te knight'w mervice or grand serjeanty. So doe the apocryphal statate
 p. $\$ 51$. Howeves, the addutional year'a rent payable for sucaqe land was usually cailed a rrliel Thus on the Fine Rolle of Bracton's day it is common to find a 'retraf' paint for hneage land held of the ting; wee Excerptn e leot. Nin. i. 78, 97. 120. LiN; bas these are not paymenta from the king's 'sokeman' : the ohemen would setule thoir affairs with the manorial bainfis. Somatimen a chartar of feofment fixes a conventional relicf, and burgage reliefs aro nomekames fived by the borongh charler ; nee ceg. Keg. Matmesb. ii. S\$.

- Trie ancien coutumer (ed. Tarlin), c. 17.
- Ihid. c. H4 , Somtan, g. 107 ; Ancienne contume, c. 34 : Delisle, Riblio-
 worth much leve then the English.
- ITherbas de Jubainville, Biblioth. de l'École den chartea, Sér. III, vol. iii.
itighta of the laret on 14.er twitat. shath
 the nume impurtarst, jume that has been in dehute. A serzans des: fur hear was living in the sume bouse with him or has heir was nut living ous the selletwett but at one prament himailf: or his beir has gune to the wars, ur has grose ing pilgromage : or two clanmathen appenar, ench aswertong that to
 metting up a clam an heir, or relying on mome citle adocree to the anocestor, or itit him atrong right arm: what in all etore. cares ane the rights of the luml' To simplify the questiots. What is the getoral nutiun of the lund'e nght-is he cotstiat to take the laud assd hold it until the Lrue hoar naten for it, thens homage aud prom rolsef, or is be only entitiond to meverve the reliof having nu comeern with the land? 'There han been a
 interesta Alnudy its Cilansill's elay it is metelos that if the henr is in sprmas the ford way not tures hum unt ; the how may rease the lorl. Still the lord in entieleal to a vartain secyrmitrob of the fart that. though the wemement beloshe bet the wolment. it

 Bracton repeata thas: is the ouse just pat the lowd many hasve 'a stuple metmin' of the land which diem aut diaturt, tho
 at the ancosatur's death the hour may be atimat the testecuent left vacant. Is thas case the lume tway enter, and thess the bo ir when be nppran must not ount the lisil by forw ; if he doee $=0$ the lond will have an aetion aganent lomand will lar notorod to
 ishertature necther of whom is yet in perewtass the homd muy enter nod hubd the laud untal obse of the two hee pronos hia right? We muat sumetuleer that if tee hous appemes. Sher tesu-tuent will betong to the lord fore grat and all, when that





 frencese. walk

is received without prejudice to the rights of other clainants. A contlict between two sets of proprietary rights, those of the lord and those of the tenant, is thus complicated by the lord's jurindictional powers. In the atruggle which precedes the Beroas' War the grievances of the teoants who stand low in the feudal scale become audible; and this is one chief grievance-on the tenant'l death the lord anters the tenement and wastes it; the heir can get no datuages. Au [p.20] attempt to redress this grievance wan made by the Provisions of 1259 ; s more successful sttempt by the Statute of 1267 ; the heir is to have domages if the lord does any harm, for if the beir is forthcoming and in possession of the land, the lord is entitled to no more than 'a simple' or as we should say a formal, 'seisin'.'

But here, es in many other cases, the king is outside the Prerogncommon lew. This is fully recognized by the Statute of of tive hinhe Marlborough (1267) ${ }^{2}$ and made yet clearer by the document knowis as Praerogativa Regis'. When a tenant in chief of the crown dies, the king's escheator seizes the land and inquires who is next heir (inquisitio part mortem) ; not antil the heir's right has been established by inqueat, not until he has done homage, and paid, or given necurity for, his relief, will he be put in seisin ; and if, impatient of delay, he puts himself in seisin, this will be a mere intrusion upon the king; for the king is entitled to the primer seisin (prima seisina)t. The machinery for enforcing this right seems to have been slowly perfected under Henry III.; but there is no room for doubt that the right itself had been enforced, though perhaps with less regularity, at a much remoter time ${ }^{5}$. On the Pipe Roll of

[^208]1130 the relieffe that are onentornod are is matse camary hiph ${ }^{2}$. and the paymeat of relief as spoken of as thangh it were a condition procedent to the enjoyment of the lamil".

Partion huatury of neluels.

We ans thum brought withon meventy yeare of the (compuant on As to what hat happeued in that interval, we have swo elll. phatic declamtinas. Henry 1 . in hin comnation charter mad, - When any of my barosss, carls or cithem, wha hold of me shell dhe, his heir shall not rembeem, or bisy hack therva sums won redsenet) his land, as he used to ifo in the time of nyy benthers. bue shall relseve it with a juse and lnwful retiof; and in like wime the men of my barons ahatl selieve their landa from their lorda by a just and lawfisl rehers". In the meond plans. the chrumeler whens helling how Rufus kept bishupries aud ahbery vacant and made protit out of their cempmatitus adde thas he desural to be the hear of nvery mas in Esugland hallowed or lay:. We me then that there alrosady was an idan of a jowe and tawful rehef. that Willarm Rufus hand exevediad ite thmaum, sond had in effert reyuired the heir to parmase his ancentorio Inad!. In urdor to ducover what was the juat and lawtul nelvel, we natumally turs to the begen of the sume, aud we find that the compulem of thein conmider that the muxdere relsef is but the ascient Fonglish henot under a new rasue.
Rorltos and throw

We ase whid that the ancleat hessit (herrgmata, malitary upparel, had at one cime conmateyl of the hersoen and artans bens by the lond to his man whech on the man's death wren $m$. tursed to the lord. In the lawn of Cisur it an ead that if by ongligenee or in monampurnew of auddell death any whe quite thas life inteitate, the lond shall take no more of ham propery thus hin nghtinl benost. The herlut if mese in elght bumme.

[^209]four maddled and four unsaddled, four helms, four hauberks, enght spears, as many shields, four swools and 200 mancusses of gold; that of a king's immediate thegn (cyminges pegenes pe him nyhste syndon) is four horses, two swonds, four spenrs, as many shields, helm, hauberk and 50 mancusses of gold; that for a mesne thegn (medemra pegna) a horse and harness, his weapmons, and a sum of money ${ }^{2}$. If a man falls before his lori in batile, uo heriot is to be demanderla. We see from this and from nther evidence that it was expected of the thegn that he
15 wor whit make provision for the heriot in his will. Now it is likely that for a long time before William's landing the old theory had ceased to describe the facts; the lurd no longer provided armour for his dependent warrions; he gave them land instead, and prry possibly the horses, arms and money rendered we the lord on his man's death were by this time cousidered as a due paid by the heir in respect of the land. At all events the Normans had no difficulty in regarding the heriot as a relef. Un the first page of Domesday Buok we read how, when a Kentishs alosliarius dies, the king has the relemationem terrue, except on the lande of certain great lords ${ }^{3}$. In Berkshire when a kng's own thegn or knight died he used to leave as a relief to the king all his arms and one saddled and one unsaddled honses. In Nottinghamshire a thegn who has more than six mamurs pryss ex fur the relief of his land to the king; if he has but six or fewer, he pays 3 marks to the sheriffs; a similar rule prevailed in Yorkshire". But the most instructive entry is that which concerns the English (as opposed to the French) burgesses of Hereford. When a burgess who did service on horseback died, the king used to have his horse and arms ; from one whon had no horse the king had either 10 shallings or his land with the houses. If he dred without a will, the king harl all his muvables (pecuniam)? Probubly if we could now unravel the knot of the uld English laud tunures, we should find that weveral diffirent 'death dutien'-w use a large phrasepmocerling from different principles were becoming intermixed aul consululaterl, and that this process was hastened by the Nonnan Cinayuesh However, it is oul the basis of Cunt's law

[^210]about heriots that the compulers of the leges atheust $\omega$ construet a lnw of relinfer The leeges Hennes dentre she relerutumes of the coarl, the kiagis thego nuwl the raediate thegas (meducneras chutymi) by cranslaciug the words of C'out! The Leis Hilliume follow the mame mollat, but shd that thot nolvef of the villesa is haw beat brawe, atal that a yoaris reat in the rolief of one who holds land at a yearly noub? Pamang by for the wetustut thin saention of the akricultural clavens, we: sasus eatitled th the sufereace that Cinut's law appranad us the waly mewsure by wheh the 'just and lawful nileet of Henry's charter could be deturnamed (If any cotngerting Xintoman mensure we hear mothugg. In Nurnamily, ine in Fingland, tise
 therefure, is the aldent setsume of the wornd, a 'hestout's Bhat stowe Heary observed, or prommed to ulewerve (inut in law, mer enag aut
 considered, and was juatitied by Nurman law in cosonalemig. that, at least in the case of enrldomes and hasutues. there was no tixed male. The reloffo mentionert in the ubse fige 12 oll of has mign that has come dowa th an alsgeat shat he allowesd
 sules abuut lovrouta

Hortalas. uty of hee ins the 1 on greme 0 Trisn:

We: are thus liad we the guestaun whethog the followers of thee Cinsqueror wher receriverd groven gilles of bisughell laude bowd Chowe lande beritably. It is certain that they did, hat thia atawer may remurre qualiticastom and sho dothenity of the
 sombe casmo misecreded thetn, and we evest tind worness nuectavitug
 exintang at a luter dhy that can be thucsi barks ton the 1 'ins.

 into the keng'n havel by way of ewobent. True. that iti all or must cano the cauw why the heir dud ines mheris stis! haw


[^211]the king chuse to treat as such ${ }^{\text {! }}$. But this practical $\mu$ reecariousnees of tenure would check the formation of a law of inherntence applicable to military fees, and we have to remember that new canons of ioheritance, primogenitary canons, were being evolved. Prmogeniture was new in England, perhaps it was nut very old itu Normandy; near the end of the twelfth century both ins Eingland and in Normaudy some of the most elementary points athout the rules of descent would give an openiug for the king's interferences. Add to this that the line between office and property is long an uncertain, fluetuating line. Are the curldorns, the cournties, comitutus, to be hereditary ; nre the shentfodums, the vice-counties, rice-comitatus, to be hereditary; is the comes to be the successor of the anciont caldormau; is the sheriff to be like the Norman viecount'; And what of the new castles that the king has erected? The very cupme homoris, is it not a royal fortress? Any rominiseence of precarious herreficia that was latent in Nornau law would bear fruit when such questions as these had to be anawered by a comqueriug king who was burlding upa kingdom for himself and his heirs. Nu doubt his foltowers beliceved that they obtained hereditary estates, though we do not know that they had any warrant for thiu belief on parchment. But they knew that their heirs must relieve their lands. What would be the measure and conditions of the relief, time would show.

And as with the king, so with the mesne lords. The abbot Mesme of Abingden soon atiter the Conquest enfeoffed knights to fill herrialitil the places of the thegns who full at Hastings, regardlues of any loem.

[^212]righte that the hein of thowe thegras mught have. Prortapw they were disinherited on the gcore of what was nombinital the telony of their nncentum This, however, is nut the cluffreco. relise ofs by the chnotucler of the nhlury, whe was not without jatrintimat the thegras, he thenke, hal little enough nght tet the prossesaion of Innds that hav bown given in the chureh. There in the days of Roffus one of the wew knighta dead loavime three dnughters: ibe abbot of the day atoutly demed that there had beets ayy hemdotary feoffinent, and at las woulal omly ndmis tho heireoses and their humbands na semanen for life on their abjurng all heostable righta. Dare we ay that he was ehwomsly in the wrong" A historian of law may easesty envit hix charnctern with tixe mach fireseght; the truth in that men pave lands snd toxik lands and left the terma of tho tevpure ta be idemind thereafter by the conrse of events and their wow atrong willa' And wo the feodn of the Norman nugris ano iadubitubly henclitary : the vary wond is begnomang ion imply. even if it doses nut alsendy elearly douote, hentabilsty, bus ther lond has rights and in detise thats is diffieult The pans hatory of the precarias which besanue benericis, the besenious Whels bermenter feoda, the pwolutios of primingemitary sulow. the conduest of Fingland and conserguent cloanh of Laws, tho
 harons, all tended for krep the matter in uncertanty, atwl when timally the kingin rights e-nougge intas elone daylught they arv large: the hese of the bame miset make sho beat burgmint that be enon. Tu, aumbere the law of mollefo and primer semmen to the corefousneso of Rufus and the rumbung of Finmband is te limek unly at the aurfice:
1110 gom tion bernal

 has larel with the beat sud promergal ething that he has mest thin to 'reengnize. the chureh'. Hracton mpouts the the lowl phonlei luve the beat clactal, the whireh slue mavital inas, or


- Hicl Atanal it. as


 pro lad terra. Harsue liark p. 30.
- (dlatedill, ral ;
for customs vary. This will remind us of the gifts of arms und money made to the kiug by his thegas in the old days wath a request that their wills may be allowed 'to stand.' Elsewhere Bracton calls these testauneatary giftes to the lords 'heriuts'; he tells us that the lord gets them by grace rather than by right, that they are regulated by local customs, that they do not touch the inheritance and that they must not be compared to reliefs. Britton adds that in general they (9.200: are paid rather by villeins than by freemen². Turning to mazonal surveys, we find it among the commonest of customs that whet a tenant in villeinage dies, the lord shall have the best beast; sometimes a similar due is taken from the gouds of the dead freeholder, and it is to these customary dues that the name 'heriot' permanently attaches itself. Occusionally we: still hear of the frechulder's horse and armour going to his lord; but far more commonly the tenement that is burdened by a heriot is a preasant'a hulding, the lond gets the best ux, and in this case the term heriut must in the eyes of the etymologist be inappropriate ${ }^{z}$. We may guess that in the heriot of the later middle ages no less than four ancient eleneents have met:-(1) the warrior who has received arna from his lord should on his death return then ; (2) the peasant whe has received the stock on his furm from his lond should return it, and if his representatives are allowed to keep it, they must recognize the lorl's right to the whole by yielding up ane article and that the beat; (3) all the chattels of a ooff bolung in strictuess of law to his lond and the lord takes the best of them to manifest his right; (4) in the infausy of testamentary power it has been prudent, if not necessary, that the walld-be testator, however high his rank, should purehase from the king or some other lurd that favour and warranty without which his bequests will hardly 'stand.' But at. any rate in course of time the heriot is separated from the reluef.

If a relief is payable when the original tenant dies and felimf on has heir takes up the inheritance, should not a similar pay- ihenti. aent be made when the origmal lord dies \& We are tehl chat, is the early days of the vassalic beneficium, the death

[^213]of either party w the contract put an end to the tonancy, and on the continent the new lurd ou aucereating su his aucestor crould often exnet a payment from the torusis'. A remarkable decument has come dowa to us in wheh Willum Rufus fixes the relexamen which is wi be pand ta him by the knighta of the repiscopal bmonyy of Worrenter; Hugh de Lary
 of Eveahan Lisu, and wo firth. The wecterion of the m-hif
 chowsem to rognad himandf sus the aucecomor of sit Wialtitan. sutere the cermporntitses of the are in hes hanal; ' for he womit to the heir of every man whether halloweal or lays. Than we tnay rogarel an un act of ofpensmios, but the legnt -xcume for it probobibly is that a relbef an dune from the beratala
 mone uncler ther name of relliefs: bat in Nomazady whe of the regular 'ands' payable tas the lard was ant notl tawnels helpuinge
 tho might whtain from him teruntex by wny of auld In Finglatil wo den net rookon this among the megular adeln, that 1 ilansail
 the new hishop or abhot uftert expoeteyl that has koughte atul
 enterevl then proseresions of his tempuralisiens?

## §9. Wartship und Marritum:

Wartalitp ant. 1 Hunctiars

Uf grent and incrensing importance sam num griw wraltitier cand bognol to traftie 15 ull maner of roghte, ane the righte of
 bugium), and theme have been anoug the chuef caums of that clusastication of teraures which has croue bufine us

[^214]In Bracton's day they had reached their full stature. Their Rrecton's nature may be illustrated by a simple case. A tenant, who has ${ }^{\text {ruleen. }}$ but one tenement, and who holds it by knight's service or military serjeanty ${ }^{1}$ of a mesne lord, dies leaving as heir a son who is under the age of twenty-one years. The lord will have the wardship of the land until the heir attains that age or dies without having attained it. He will take the rents and profite of the tenement for his own use, but ought thereout to pro[asor] vide for the youth's maintenance and pay the dead man's debts ${ }^{\text {' }}$; he must not commit waste; if he does so, he forfeits the wardship? But, besides the wardship of the land, he will be entitled to the wardship of the body of the heir; if the heir escapes from his custody, if another takes the heir from his custody, this is a wrong to him; by legal process he can compel the restoration of the heir's body'. But further, as guardian of the heir's body he is entitled to the boy's 'marriage'; he can sell him in marriage ${ }^{6}$; but the marriage must not be of a disparaging kinds. The law does not go so far as actively to constrain the ward to marry the mate provided by the guardian, nor does it declare null a marriage solemnized without the lord's consent, though we have a hint that early in Henry III.'s reign such an union might not have all those legal results that a marriage usually has'. The maxim was admitted, strange as this may seem to us, that 'marriages should be free', ${ }^{\text {, }}$ and the church would neither have solemnized nor annulled a sacrament at the bidding of the lay tribunals. Still if the ward married without the lord's consent, he wronged the lord, and so did any one who took part in procuring such a marriage? Without making any great
${ }^{1}$ Bractoni, f. 35 b; Note Book, pl. 758.
" Glanvill, vii. 9 ; Bracton, f. 87. The duty of paying debts is gradually shifterl from the heir to the executor.

* Note Book, pl. 485, 717, 1840.

4 Note Book. pl. 256, 349, 812, 1131, cases before Stat. Merton. In pl. 1608 "e find that it might be dangerous for an abbess to receive a young lady as a num.
'Sometimes, even in pleadings, this is frankly stated; 'Adan dicit. .quod vendidit ei predictam Emmam cum terra sus': Note Book, pl. 270.

- Charter of 1215, c. 6; Stat. Mert. c. 7; Petition of 1258, c. 6.
* In Note Book, pl. 965, it is suggested that a woman, who has married a warl without his lord's consent, ought not to have dower.
"Bracton, f. 89, quotes this maxim, 'Libera debent esse coniugia.'
* Note Book, pl. 1286, Quare perminit se maritari after the Statute; pl. 1280.
change is the substantive law, the Statute of Menou (ISSis) detived the lund's rught by giving hime now and offeremt remedtes:-the current of legrolation haud in thin instanco nee in bla favour.

If the heir was a wornum, the ford'a rught of wardnhip nes

Wemlaity of ferialer brism

Irfumest? ettringe dranta much the aame, bat whether the warlship of a woman was to enture antil she athinced the age of twenty-orle, of was tas cease when she attained the age of fiourteven, wellon $u$ have been a moot pront'. Marriage with her lordis econwont gute is an end to the wardahip of a woman. But accombing to whd law, which Brocton regarded as atill in forre, so womass boldang by wihtury mervice could lawfully marry withus ber kind a conment, and evens at futher holding by malitary mervion anald not in has lifetime lawfully give his daughtes tu umstuyro without his lori's conseut? This nghe the king ngarcusly enforces overs widnws who huld of him in chaef; be tramy nuch a widow without the kingin licence is a grave ufferner? The lordies righte. it will be understoud, wen: prowit agarnse any claing on the part of evens the monrest of kin; the hers fell into the lorif: bunds evess thongh has mother were alive An njpurent exeeptun existed when the hes mhented froms his another whale hus father was liviug; but thin was havily an exeception, for in this case the fisther, moxurlang 4 ast ropetanas that wan gradually prevalong, continued ist preseriantas if his late wife's land, nut ges guardath of the hear, but is hag uers right.

If the deoul man held by kuight', morvice or mahtiar? ocrjeraty of several usatue luris, sach of them got the mandthip of the weneturest that war holden of has. A- 5 , wheh of the m whoulil have the wandship of the heir's bouly atul with is the nght of nuarruge, there was istneate law. the geveral rusp

 where asciosturs, the suris asesemt citle wan itenverd, thas find

[^215]would usually have been, not merely the dead man's lord, but his liege lord:.

If the dead man held his one tenement in socage, burgage, Whas or feo farm, or by a non-military serjeanty, his lord had no give wardright to wardship or marriage: such was the general rule. alip As a matter of fact, however, we find socage tenure subjected to these burdens. This seems to have been the case throughout the bishop of Winchester's barony ${ }^{3}$; the dean and chapter of
200n] Hereford claimed wardship of the heirs of all their freehold temats ${ }^{3}$; the archbishop of Canterbury, the prior of Ohrist Church, the monks of Dover claimed the same right over the heirs of their gavelkinders". This Bracton regarded as an abuse, though one that might be sanctioned by prescription ${ }^{6}$. The ordinary rule was that the guardianship both of the land and of the child should go to the searest of those relations who could have no hope of inheriting the land. Thus, in the common case, when the dead tenant in socage left a son and a widow, the widow would have the wardship of her son and of his land; she would be 'guardian in socage,' for she never could be his heir. To state the main upshot of the rule-matemal kinsfolk have the wardship of a pateraal inheritance, paternal kinsfolk of a maternal inheritance!. When the beir attained his fifteenth year, guardianship in socage came to an end ${ }^{7}$. If the dead man beld one tenement by knight's service, another by socage, the wardship of the one would belong to its lord, that of the other to a kinsman of the heir; as to the wardship of the heir's body, this and his marriage would belong to the lord of whom he held by military tenure ${ }^{\text {b }}$.

Once more we see the king above the common rules'. If Prorognthe dead man held in chief of the crown by knight's service or avip. by grand serjeanty, the king was entitled to the wardship of the heir's body and to his marriage, no matter how many other lords there might be, and no regard being had to the relative antiquity of the various titles by which the tenements were

[^216]huldern: nu one can compele with the king. But further, the king wes miteted to the waridhip of all the latuln which this dend man beld, no manter of whom be betd them. Suech wao
 Charter had beell neeeseary to keep it within thome ppocmuse buundra'. The king was theroby exeludied from a prosigation wardship when the ketserneelt beldeen in chief of she crumu nos
 He wan ulao excluded when the dewad mas, though a tetanat is chief of the king, held nut 'as of thr crown' but 'ato of ant

 a differwee betweers kenire ut de curona and cenure ont de hunure?

Thls Juind risht. vecmible.

The gunardian's righes in the pernom, in the marriage: in the tants of the beir are regaried es property; they are matentule. aenginable rightas; large sulus arv paid for the warthtipo and numernges of weulthy hesin'; sudewil so thonsughly pmipnetary and pecuniury are these rights that they can be desposend of b:? will; they pmas like chnteten to the gunarimein excetbatm? In Brawhin's day no diatinetion in this roughert meems dramo beeweren the guarlian is choviry nat the graardias it emach Nether uze nor the wther aned secount to the heer for the puritite of the lausl, the one like the other cath will the wants.
 one of the Privisuons of Wistminater, ofluerwando contirmaed by she Statuke of Amalburvugh, land down the mile tbas the
 acousat whim or her fur the profits of the land, and so tind is give of mell che ward io marrages save to the protit of the wurt: Thas should be hasd in mond if we aro to undermanas the righte of the grauriour it ehaviry. The tuemaity of the twelith century kaw nothung shameful in the ealo. of a miantiann.

[^217]
## c⿴囗 . §. 8.] Wardship and Mamiage.

the law of the time looked upon guardimship as a profitable right and would hardly have had the means of compelling a guardian to render accounts, even had it wished so to do ${ }^{1}$.

One small point remains to be mentioned. It is the law Wardahip
1.soul sbout wardships and marriages that gradually divides the mati fere serjeanties into two classes, known as 'grand' and 'petty.' In ${ }^{\text {tieas. }}$ the Great Charter, John was forced to say that he would claim no prerogative wardship in respect of 'any small serjeanty such as that of supplying us with knives or arrows or the like '. The term 'small serjeanty' seems one which is not yet technical, and the nature of those serjeanties which are too trivial to justify the royal claim is indicated in the rudest manner. In Brecton's day one opinion would have applied a merely pecuniary test ; \& great serjeanty is one that is worth 100 shillings ${ }^{8}$; but gradually a different line seeras to have been drawn: the tenant by grand serjeanty must do his service in person, and his service mast not consist of a mere render!. Another question was whether tenure by serjeanty of a mesne lord would give the lord wardship and marriage. Here aleo a line had to be drawn, but where it should be drawn was a question betweea Raleigh and Segrave. The 'rodknight's' serjeanty of riding with his lord, will this give wardship and marriage? Raleigh decided that it would; Segrave dissented. Bracton seems inclined to hold that the lord's rights only arise when the serjeanty is one which concerns the defence of the realm ${ }^{\text {. }}$

Looking back from Bracton to Glanvill we see but little The lem in change. In his treatment of these matters Bracton has but revised and expanded his forerunner's text. The Statute of Merton has at a few points given a sharper edge to the lord's rights; the Great Charter has suppressed some abuses which

[^218]had grown up under Richord and John, in the main ahuwso of the prenogatival rights. To npwok of the Einglivh Lumin an groming under the burderse of wardahip and marnage is haritly permmaible', we do not hoar thom grimana In the days of their power, in 1215 and in 19.5s, they hat litele wo muknor ; it wis enough that the heirin hund should nut the wasked. that warda whuld sut bor masriesl below their atation'. Ceresunly thers was at one time a tonditoon that it or almast the yoar 1829 - the magrates of Eugland grantead to King Benry the warlatop of their heirm and of thits lands, which wia the beguning of many evila in England". This atory, however, has nut beess truced beyoud chroucless which in this coutext must be ntylowl monderro, and as it is absolutely certain that the king's right to wardship was much older than Henry III': day, we may well doubt whether there is even $n$ grain of truch in the talo. More important in it for tas en notion with many meane wrikers that Glawsill saym nuthing about the lord's nght to the mornoge of a male ward : he speaks otaly of the marratges of womes. This is renarkathe, but we can not artopt the peppular
 mituply ous a merained converuction of the gellemal word heroles in a maction of Magim ('arta?' We cans srace the sale of tho smarsingis of buys back wo very few ywars after (ilathoill:
 suarke buga froms the king the wardahipy of Staphets thans hamip and the right to unarry hom whenver be may planee: Suek?

 the wurdship aud marringe of Rubere Stutoville, though the
 men who have filled the offive of chuof juxtiona weest these

[^219]money thus, the security is fairly good. We must suspect that under Henry II. the sale of the male ward's marriage was a growing practice. As to earlier days, the one extant Pipe Boll of Henry L's reign shows us the king selling wardships², [ascos] and selling the marriagee of women'; it seems to show that evea the male wand could not lawfully marry without his lord's coneent".

Then however in our backward progrese we come to the Bertiver declaration of Henry $I$ in his coronation chartar:- If any of ${ }^{\text {mit }}$ my barons or other man wishes to give his danghter, or sister, or niece, or cousin in marriaga, let him speak with me; but I will neither take anything of his for the licence, nor will I forbid him to give her away, unleas it be to an enemy of mina. Aud if on the death of one of my barons or other men he leaves a daughter as heir, I will give her with her land by the counsel of my barons. If he leaves a widow, who is without children, she shall have her dower and marriage portion, and I will not give her in marriage against her will. If she has childran, she ghall have her dower and marriage portion while she remains chaste, and I will not give her unless with her consents. And the wife or some other relative who has the best claim shall be guardian of the land and of the children. And I bid my barons keep within the same bounds as regards the sons, daughters and wives of their men.' That Henry made these promises is certain, that he broke them is equally certain; but here again, as in the matter of reliefs, the question arises whether his promises represent the old law as it stood before the tyranny of Rufus and Flambard, or whether he is buying

[^220]support by rulaxations of ancient ralea. The question is difficult, for of the C'onq̧ueror'n practice we kaow litele, asul of the Norman law of the eleversth contury we know, if shat be prowitle. lowe.

Sirmata Lav

Tho N...niman apounct

In later dnyw, Noman law and English law agree; thry ngrese even in motue of the minuter detale of frencigative warlahip, for an in Englasd no lord can rompethe with the king, so in Nomnandy none call compete with the duke. Fiorhapa under Fronch dominion some of the wornt rharn-teristies of the Anglo-Norman law werp mitigated. In Cilanvill's diny the rule that a wand might uot lawfully marry withous the lord's consent wan applied in Normandy to male on well an h) formale wariv; in later mentermenta of the rule wo bear
 of Gilanvill, we have, what no Eugglinh Iavyers givea us namoly, A defence of the Inw, and a curinus defenee it is - A fathortes heir ronkt be in ward to wome wee. Whe shall be he gumelun? Hie mother? No. Why not! Sho will take nuerther histiment and have suna by him, anil they groedy of the hemenge, will moy their fimethra brupher, or the atep-father will slay bue
 kinmoners? No. Why not? Leat, thonting fore ho he-ntage: they dostroy hom For the prevention of wurh futhlige ervelty, it is establasherl that the buy be in wand to urne whor was fonumb to his fither by the tie of homigge. Arid who is anth ats ceve I The loul of the land wher nevere can tuberit that trext in

 nhly inlucaked. 'Thase when are brought up in ther luris homes ane the apter wo morve thair locins fitithfully asd lowe thome in troth, and the lords can not look with hatrow on threw whem
 Woncla and cencesnenen and nfply the prostite uf thour latat to these adonasernent. As cue presugatise waviolufe. the dute
 wh have e care for the exphats'.

That this frasint apolingy in mere numarne. wu ary teat equtitled to nay. Thore wha as strong foelugg thas bo cremots

[^221]the care of a child to the custody of his expectant beir was to set the wolf to guard the lamb. Fortescue, when he sang the Lauds of the laws of England, made boast of the wisdom of our males sbout socage grardianship. Some French customs managed the matter yet more pradently, giving the custody of the lands to those who might inherit, the custody of the chind's person to those who could not inherits from him. Still we can not regard the righte of English and Norman lords Ip soel as instituted for the protection of infant life, or fur the advancement of the ward by education in a 'good house,' though bere we may see some set-off for what we are wont to regard as tyrannous exactions. The real qquestion is whether we are entitled to find the explanation of the English and Norman, and (it should be added) the Scottish, law of wardship in the ancient history of the precarious bemeficium.

The history of the law has been pictured thus:-Oradually Orimin the 'benefice' lost its precarious character; it became an rythes. usurfuct for the temant's life; the beirs male of his borly, if competent to perform the lord's service, acquired first a clain, then a right to succeed him; female heirs, collateral heire, were slowly adruitted; even an infant heir has a claim to succeed, a claim to succeed hereafter when he shall be able to serve the lord; meanwhile the lord will hold the land and train the heir. As to female heirs, if they are to be admitted at all, it is certain that they must not marry without their lord's consent. Gradually tenants at will are making themselves absolute owners. The English and Norman law of the twelfth century represent a particular stage in this process. In the duchy, in the island kingdom, under pressure of strong government, customs have crystallized at an early time, while the financial necessities of the king, the wealth of his subjects, the early development of commercial ideas, give to the law its most repulsive features:-if any one has a right in England, that right must be a saleable commodity. When French and German law become definite in the thirteenth century they represent a later stage in the transformation of the beneficium; yet further encroachments have been made upon the lord's rights, though of their once wider compass there are many memorials. The lord has a certain influence on the choice of the heir's guardian; he confers the fief upon the guardian and sees that his own
righta are nut therehy impainul; if mo kinaman is fortheomisge, then he keepe the fiof ill his uwn haonde; the has aluo a worl ter say about the marriage of his female tetants Theme Froseh and German phomomesn tind theur buen explatation on the law of Eingland asul Normasaly?

## Ther <br> prowerimes berosiciow.

Huw far this hyputhetieal history enn be verntied in theecanty annula of the Normant duchy is a yurateon about whoch Wet dave saly no mor" than how been weal alowes. Thers memas howeves tus be jutit entugh evidence wh show that thr (intrepursir buth in Nurtnaedy and in Einginod expmetal that he wotald bo
 frew-usk wo hersulf a husband, aud, ar alriady remiarked, thee inheritanme of xreal fiefs, at lenst whom an athive wan bansal up with the land, was nut aluggether bryond hia control? There were casen in his own famly which mighe suppors surh a elaitn; had not kichard the bearlew been in want wo has lont
 Henry? Mens said ser". If the kngge of the E'rench had howen cosopelled ur ababodon all hupnes of contesting the horitulnlitg of the great fiefs, they hal yoolded sluwly and reluctant! and perthapm had harilly yout brought thesnowlvem en acknuwlad go tho full maport of the unphosasat factas. The kong of the Fieg! ion was tor be but lese of a king than the king of the Firrowh, ass righte of wardwhip and smarrage: were necoseary wh him is bo
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 ervel bofo.
way to keep any hold upon his fendatories. The use or abuse of such rights for merely fiscal purposes may begin at a later time; but there the rights were. As to the meane lords, they seem to have taken the first opportunity that occurred of saserting similar rights; in the reign of Rufus the abbot of Abingdon was alroady claiming the wardship of an infant tenant ${ }^{1}$. On the whole it seems to us that the old is the true [1.20] story, and that the rights of wardship and marriage are, if we look at IJurope as a whole, the ontcome of a proceas which is benafiting the feudatory at the expense of his lord, though it may also be reducing to the level of feudatories men whose predecesars had no landlords above them. Unfortunatoly in England feudalism itself becomes commercial.


## § 9. Restraints on Alienation.

In the middle of the thirteenth century the tenant enjoyed Eitorioal s large power of disposing of his tenement by act inter vivos, iboories the though this was subject to some restraints in favour of his powneof lord. About the history of these restraints different opinions have been held. The old English tradition, represented by Coke, regarded it as a process by which limits were gradually set to ancient liberty ${ }^{*}$. On the other hand, the cosmopolitan 'learning of feuds,' which Blackstone made popular, assumed the inalienability of the fief as a starting point:-gradually the powers of the tenant grew at the expense of the lords. Of late years a renewed attention to the English authorities has occasioned a reaction in favour of Coke's doctrine ${ }^{4}$. The evidence deserves a patient examination, the result of which may be that we shall see some truth in both of the rival opinions, and come to the conclusion that the controversy has been chiefly occasioned by an attempt, common to all parties, to make the law of the Norman reigns more definite than really it was.

[^222]Wroine of aliestiatioms.

Sisme diatinctions must first be drawn. The tenant may desire to aleenste the whole, or valy mone part of the toterneris by substituting for himself sume now ketuat whe will boin the ternement, is the part so alionatarl, of his, tho atimatir's lood: or agan, he guay desire to add a new rung to the butorn of the neale of teonse, for have a cornane whes will held the wholso or part of the land of him, and in this cun the mervices for which he ntipulatew anay be differwint. from thowe by whech he himealf

 the lond, but the harm done by the one will. in a lawyor's eye, be differeat from that done by the other. First, bowerme. we have to notice that anthing that the senant cad do withons
 that mervice which is dus en his leod from hatn and froma in The tenement itself owes the service: the ' menlity,' if wo may an spenk, of the burchen can be brought home by moons of distrems to any one intar whome huads the land way cotues lest though this be mo, an alicmation of any kind may maker agnuyse the loorlin internat. If a new is substituenf for an old tenant. a pros may take the place of a rich, a diahunest that of an

 nnether man in his rewom. If the sulstitutum affiveta part waly of the tonemont, the loml may mafler in another wioy and it is




 nowidue ${ }^{\text {P }}$. The harm deme by mahinfembetion is of a doffen of
 reath the lons wall gue $n$ rolief of promithy a warlahip and suartiage, afl his ideath without hosm, atl archeat. These nethe will not be deatnoged by the wohinfoudatom, bust the se raise
 holld by kught', wervice and that $B$ coffonfind ' 'te holld as a


[^223]$A$ ie entitled to a wardship; but it will be worth very little: inatemd of being entitled to enjoy.the land iteelf until the heir is of age, he will get a few annual pounds of pepper. And mo in cese of an escheat, instead of enjoying the land for ever he may have but a trifling rent'. Obviously the case is at its worsti [n. 518] when the tenant makes a gift in frankelmoin; a wardship will now be of no value at all ; an eecheat will give but a nominal seignory over a corporation which pays no rent, which never dies, mor merries, nor commits feiony. Still, it is plausible to suy with Bractoi, that the lord in not injured; his rights remein what they were, though their value is diminished; he soffiens dammum, bat there is no iviuria".

Also in our investigation we must keep our ayes opeam to Prudmp differencoss between the varions tenures. An just said, a gith in mindime Atrankelmoin, though a very common, is yet an extreme cues; it reduces the value of the feudal carualties to nothing. Tenure by merjeanty again masy require special treatment, for is a servant to alienste the fund which should sustain him in his loed's mervice? Lautly, though pure feudal theory can draw no distinction between the king and other lords, still wo have already moen that the English king has very excoptional rights within the feudal sphere. Even if no exceptional rules were applied to him, still his position would be unique. Too often in discussions of questions about feudal law we are wont to speak of lords and tenants as though they were two different classes of persons with conflicting interests. Therefore it is necessary to remember that the king was the only person who was always lord and never tenant; that his greatest feudatories had one interest as lords, another as tenants; that the baron, who did not like to see his vaseals creating new sub-tenancies, could not forget that he himself had

[^224]a lord. The cuntict of interests takes place wathon the tuind of every anguate of the natan, and the rowule in that the dovelopment of definite law is sluw.

This premised, we turn to our hastory, and time ho that pare of it which lies withis legal mesuory; of the varlors ume wo shall be better able, to apeak when we have seen its uutertus. Now the azaun facta of which account must be raked ane an $s$ tel follows:
© 3 tuavit.
(1) Glanvill nowbere says that the wonat can not alienace him lased without his lord's eonsent, through, as he spuake nt some length of the restraints on alesuatios that ane met by the rightes of expectant heirs, the has an excellent uppurtuasty for saying that the rights of the lord alwo must be comandended.
Thir frem (2) Thee (ireat Charter of 1217 La the finst docturnetbt of a Chimpter. begimative kind that expreowly mentions any restrams in finour of the lord. It mays-' No frere man shall hemeforth give or sell so much of his land res that out of the resulue he may mot sulficiently do wo thes lurd uf the fee the serviee which pertume to that fees.' This has all the appramace of being a rule

 to itm words. Cajke apeaks as thungh ite valy eftert wa te suake the excerwive gife vondatile hy the donuris brat': trut 18 certanaly combld be avoidewl by the dontris's lant, this we lewo buth frutn Hrachus aud fruan in deession on wheh the relies:

 angues labornosily that it duess no wroag, though it usag the daramge, to the lorita? 'The very carneatimet if his arguratiat
 take his opumon on that of the nusal court. The rule laul down by the thand exlation of the Charter he montanis ately in an very casual way, an though it were dimetod cho fly, if mont
 and collectious of pleas form his sure meeto to whow thet if

 copoebt, thete sas bo ho exboliswtun.'


- 2nd tuer rab.
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produced little effect? The strength of Bracton's inclination in favour of subinfeudation may be shown by a passage in which he gots so far as to queation the justice of the rule which treated service as a burden on land. He supposes that
(p. 314. A enfenffs $B$ to hold by a certain service, nnd that $B$ enfeoffs $C$ to hold the whole or part of the tenement by a less service ; the rigour of the law, he says, permits $A$ to distrain $C$ for all the service due from $B$, but this is against equity? Then as to substitutions, he hodds that even when $B$ has done homage to $A$. neverthelesg $B$ may give $A$ a new tenant by enfeoffing $C$ to hold of $A$, and $C$ will then hold of $A$ whether $A$ likes it or no ${ }^{3}$. Bracton does not even expressly allow $A$ to object that $C$ is his personal enemy or too poor to do the service, which is very remarkable, since he does allow that the lord can not substitute for himself in the bond of honage a new lord who is the enemy of the tenant, or two needy to fulfil the duties of warranty". He doeed not सven say that the tenant eall not give a fragment of the tenement to be holden of the lond by a proportional part of the service, though we may take it that in his opinion the inepuitable rigour of the law ${ }^{2}$ would prevent the tenant and his feoffee from making an apportionment which woutd biud the lord.
(4) Just in Rracton's time alienations in mortmain were Lesidation brginning to cause murnurs. The charter of 1217 had struck mortumin. at cortain collusive practiess to which the churehes had been privy". In 1258 at the Oxford partiament the barons prayed remedy, that men of religion may not enter the fees of earls and harons and others without their will, whereby they lose for ever their wardships, marriages, reliefs and escheats?. In 1259 the Provisions of Westminster ordained that it shafl not be lawful for men of religion to enter the fee of any one without the licence of the lord of whom the land is hoiden? These

[^225]Provinsing were now law, nuw not law, as the bandua or the
 she Sitatute of Marlborough in $1 \geqslant 1 \%$, hut not the provieno now is questum; from which we may gathor that the elerge were intuential uluugh with the king, who was enjuying bis aw is again, wo put off the evil day. Bnt not for long. for in 1829 the Sisatuk lo Viris Religsosis', aftor referring to she Brom vasuas of Wientmuster as though they were or hud bered law'. put a check upon alemations in mortmatis. No relisman persons were to sequire land; if they divi, the land was un be firpfeitell to the lorid, and he had a brief torm given hom for cakiag alvanauge of the forfeture; if be failed to do $w 0$, the lurd mas above tum in the feudal scale had a airmine opportunity; aud
 gifs in frunkalenoin; the relugious ans nut to myure coure land, even though they are willing to proy a full reme for it However, the king and the other loris, if any, whow intureste were concerned could bind themselves to take nos alratage of the statute, and liounces to acpuire land is momenan were mumewhat masily oblannex.

Abluatwon of or rycate. 4ns.
(5) From a compmantively carly date we feam that ser jeantuza were inalienable. Alrealy in 11 sos the isatermat justices were dirvetad in rakie inguest worloung the kuig serjuantiea'. In 1205 Juhn undernd an mapuent as tor the merjeanties, thegnages, dnitugnges and other serviees and lando of the homour of lancuster, whech honour was then in the handa; the shersfin werv to meme all nurh an hirl lowes mheratas since the curunstion of Heary IL wotbent licemere frotes the kang or nther gound warrant? Than choin was stoadily wasisLanaed by Heury III." Tuwards the mandile of hom roigu is was enfureed with retruppective ngrour: Bubers f'asoetew wiol methe
 say, to chauge the senure from merjeanty intu kotghio antue



 our clanereal cumananlabors.
${ }^{2}$ Havedoas. If 62.
 p. celeswe

or socage. One instance ont of a very large number will serve to show what was done. Walter Devenish held land by the sorjeanty of finding three arrows when the king should hunt on Dartmoor; he had alienated parts of the tenement to subtenunts, his services were now changed into a rent of three [9:ref abillings, one-third of which was to be paid to him by his subtenants. That many of the king's tenants by serjeanty had alienated parts of their tenements by way of subinfeudation is instructive: we learn that a restraint on alienation might exist in theory and yet be much disregarded in practice. Our evidence chietly concerns serjeanties held of the king; but we may guess that other lords thought that a similar rule might be applied to their serjeants; and the serjeants of the honour of Lancaster, whose alienations John attacked, were not tenants in chief of the crown.
(6) Bracton nowhere says that any special restriction is imposed on the tenants in chief of the crown; the utmost that ho does is to suggest, and this not very definitely, that the minnsectinte Charter of 1217 has been construed favourably to the king. The tenant in chief by kuight's service of the king zaay not make a gift in frankalmoin, or a feoffiment which reserves a less service than that due to the ling?. But just about the time when Bracton was writing Henry UII. issued an important ordinance. It takes the form of a writ dated the 15th of July, in the fortieth year of the reign (1256). The king asserts that it is an intolerable invasion of royal rights that men should without his special consent enter by way of purchase or otherwise the baronies and fees that are holden of him in chief. He declares that for the future no one is to do this, and bids the sheriff seize the land upon which any one enters in contravention of this decree. This writ, however, remained unknown to our historians until it was published in 1896, and, as we shall see hereafter, even the lawyers of the fourteenth century seem to have been ignorant of its existence*. Perhaps the king did not wish or did not dare to enforce in all cases the

[^226]broud rule that he had laid dumn; the Barmisi War whe at hamel. The apocryphal Statute Proteragativa Reprs, whech may represent the practice of the marlow yems of Filward 【. ways that so one who bolles of the king in choef by knighe's service masy withuat the king's licesere alenate the groater purt of his land so that the rosiduse is nut suffionent in fo the
 parcels of the said lands. It addes that tho king bus beres accustomed to ect to rent (arrontare) exjometies that have boen aliensted!. In 1290 n petitioner mys that the kong hom a jrerogutive that thow who hold of him in rhief can sont give or alenate thoir laudy without his lieesee. eertainly they can not nlienate all that they wo hols? Britum ntaten that earla harone, kingher and serjeants who hold of the king 101 chaf can
 ajoct the purchasers, wh suatore how maciont the alseratomb.
 that wo terumente holdorg of the king can be given wishout hus assent. Thin bumomes the law of after tumox Raform she end of bilward'a mogn buth theory nud pmotien draw a ruarked dieturtion between the kagg and other lomin, and the hang to making a convidemble reverues out of licemeres to aldentate and finem for alimathons reffectod withoust licences.
trumth of (7) The growsh of the ruyal right may be tnved aleo in

 who how thezs, atui through whom, nad buw much, and what they aro worth'. A similar ingury in found atnong the artiles of Henry III.'n reign ; but, theragh there ware down wher
 there masisa he have been notse an yot intur mheratoma of tambe not holeters by serjeanty'. But ist or about 18.56 a alamai nom.
 Quo Wiaranto anguiry of balwant I'm roigh, and atbotige tbe articlen, besudes that about nergeantrea, there serma for ban
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been one ' of kuights, frecholders, men of religion or othen, hulding land on the king's demesne by gift or sale of the sokemen or by provision of the warden or bashtfis, and another of men of religion who have entered the king's fee so that the king luses wards, reliefs and tallage ${ }^{2}$.' The right asserted is growing inore ample: and two years later the king issued the decisive writ. And so the inguiry becomes mure extensive. In 1274 it runs thus:-' of the fees of the king and of his venants, who now holds of him in chief, and how many fees each holds, and what fees were wont to be holden of the king in chief but now are held through a mesne lond (per medium), and what messne lord, and when they were alienated, and how and by whom ${ }^{2}$ : Theuceforth this is one of the usual articles of the eyre, and as such it is given by Fleta and Brithon'; it formed nae of the Nova C'apitula which were distingaished from the more aucieut articles.
(8) The famous statute of 1290, the Quia Emptores yuia Terrarum ${ }^{\text { }}$, lies outaide our limits, but a word must be said of emporeses. 1t. It declared that every free man might sell his tenement or any part of it, but so that the feoffee should hold of the same loord and by the same services, of whom and by which the teoffor held. In case unly a part was sold, the services were to be apportioned between the part sold and the part retained acording to their quantities; this apportionment was binding on the lord. The statute is a compromise; the great loris had to concede to their tenants a full liberty of alicoation by way of substitution-substitution even of many tenants for one tenant -and thus incur a danger of losing their services by the pmoess of apportionment ; on the other hand, subinfeudation with its consequent depreciation of escheats, wardshipe and marriages was stupped. Nothing was said about the king's nghte and no one seems to have imagined that the tenants in chief of the crown were sut free to alienate without ruyal licence; on the contrary, it is just at the moment when all vther tenants are gaining perfect freedom, that the king's claim in restrain any and every alienation by his tenants in chief athans its full amplitudes.

[^227](9) What was the legal basis of this prenogutire ngst Alnesd in the umdille of the fousturnth werstury the lan gem hat (b) certain answer fur this queatson. The writ of 12.4 si shey ant to have forgoten or but vaguely mommbereat and incorne-t dateal; also their sppeculations are ubweured nand vitiated by the belief that tho I'rueroqutown Regie was a matute. Almaly Vdwand 11.'s day it was elour that the noval claime were to externave to be corverisd by the clatase in the Charter of 1211 In 1325 emmplants was made in parliaunens that the rel applicable tel tecoants in chief of the cruwis was bring matomid 4) tellante who held of homoura which had fallen intes the king hande: thee king acknowlevjged the distinction; an lond uf al honour he had only aumbrighte aa were given to all loordo by th Charter'. In 1827 a ntatute was reppuined to mettor chas, a an alienation without licence, the king was entated unly to I reamonable fine and not tan a forfeiture of the Innd' In 1361 was suggested in court that before the thirtioth year d Henry 11. a wenaut in cheef might alienate without linomet In $1: 34$ it was asoertiod and demed by ploaderm thas bofone of twentuth year of Henry III. a termans is chunf uf the esual could alieuate like any wher comant. The reportes apparemef han his domben and cells un to convider the clate of tho I'ruon th
 Heary III's resga the tenant in chef could nubirum-ulate wit out lieence, and appareuely the decesion was wo the cffert $\%$ he coulde'. In 183.5 the Inwyorn ane unoe mom dehatug whec momethugg happened in the swentieth yuar of Henry $\$ 11$ prevent the corgast. in cheof frous aubinfoudatiog'. Wh they aingle ont the twentieth of thirtioth your 11: 1243-6) of Hriry 111. as impurtant Tin may with that in the twenteth for mother in the following) year Parta whe emnfirmed, ta mue satinfamory; the same trit mid of mo many yeare. and the Mngon Carta of tho I ntatute buokes was the churter of 9 Henry III (122:) a by Balward 1. To may that they refernel the Prom Rege to the twentieth or thirtieth gear of Honer impowible, aince that empmatieal document ments.

[^228]Edward. Probably they were thinking of the writ of the fortuth year (1256). The discussion, however, was taken up in parliament, and there the king's right was treated as the ultcume of the Pruerogativa Regis, and was said to have had its heginning in the reign of King Edward I. ${ }^{1}$ A declaration of the law whs demanded: but the king desired further inforanation. The question was of practical imporance, for it came to this:-Could the king attack a possessor of land on the ground of an alienation made without licence in the days of King Henry-or, more generally, was there any limit of time that could be set to this prerugative right? In 1360 a statute confirmed all subinfeudations made by the tenants in ohiof under Henry III. and earlier kings?. As we can hardly bo! bulieve that Edward III. gave up any right to which he cousudered himself justly entitled, we may infer that the result of repeated discussions in the courts and in parliament was to date the change in the law at the accession of Edwand I. in $127 \%$, about sixteen years after what we may now regard as the decikive ordinance?

On the whole then, we may be inclined to accept, with morne modification, Coke's theory of this episorle. We may brlieve that the only restraint on the alienation of teneutents bulden of mesne lords that existed nfter the year 1217 was the momewhat vague restraint imposed or defined by the charter of that year: that, apart from this, the tenant might alienate the while or any part of the land by way of subinfeudation, and the whole, though perhaps not a part of it, by way of subatitation; that the king's prerogative right gralually grew out of the right allowed to all lurds by the charter, though it exceeded the worres of that compant ; that it was first asserted in all its brealth in the writ or ordinance of 1256 , and may not have been stringently enforced until the accession of Edward I."

[^229]Bust as 20 nas cartur puricki, there is suuch to be sand ous theather mule; thare am the ance fashirimable argumenta drawn from 'the learning of feuds; whle more molid argumamen may be derived frota Euglish mad Nortuan deenk

As regames 'the original conntitution of feude' lotile nowed here be wad: it wan an uld story loug bofore the battim of Hastangn. Very generally the continental vaenal coulal tous substutute a nuw vnemal for himself withrist his lond's manemits. but comenonly he had wome power of subinfeudations' Wheserer to en we louk in the twelfth century we sene difforeticen of practus: and is sume cases the inw is becoming more favourable to the lanis, leas fasourable to the tenants' Ita this instanme how. ever we lave no need to look beyond Fingland and Normandy For the perned between 10 ti and 1217 we have handinvts of

Anegh. Nurman shorien English chartave, and at first night they serm tou gro tho full length of proving that from the ('onqueat onwand no ketant could alienate his laud wathout his lord's consent. It wh happean nimo that in Nommandy we can trace this matraint us alienation back to the time whes the duke of the Nornams weo nut yes king of the Fougliats? The chmomele of ITredonic is foll of guten made wo the Abhry of tit Eivroul, ated in cate wflas

 suonks ameend the meale of henure and do wous atop untal they rench the dukn:. Then, after the Cionyuest they weryuise Latero in Fingland: fur itsoance, they acyure landa from mome of the surn of the sarl of C'hester; they moek the ratis cosotionntios and the Hag's. The abbot journeyw ho England and obtane
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Every collection of monastic charters tells the same tale. No gift is considered safe until it has been confinned by the king and all who stund between the king and the donor'. Often the donor's lond joins in the gift itself; it is marle anmuente domino mea, concedente domino meo.: still more ofteo he conhirms it after it has been made. What is more, he sometimes confirns prospectively whatever gifts any of his men may make to the favoured monastery. For a while we do not hear much F 3 za, of money bring paid for such confismations; lands are plentifust and lords are pious; but already in Heury L.'s day men are paying for confirmations ${ }^{5}$, and now and again we read storics which seem to show that a lord would sometimes call in queation a feotiuent to which he had nut cunseated".

But considerable care is necessary in drawing inferences mienuwion from these documents. Mowt of the very parly charters that chariters. we possess relate to gifts in frankalmoin, and, when examined, they will often appear to be confirmations and something more. In muyal confirmations it is common to find words that are nut merely confinnatory. Sometimes the king denounces a p-nalty, a firfeiture of $£ 10$, against any who shall disturb the doneres ; often he wills that the donces may enjoy 'sake and wku' and uther liberties, which, at least in his opinion, none

[^230]but he can grantu Then agrain, words which lionk mendy confirmatury, detanad a careful erithetam. For untauce if $B$ holden of $A$ by kngghis service and enferifis the abtent of $C$ in free alma, then, whess $A$ contirms the gife, we mume be dolggent to obarve whether be rewerves his right to exnut the eurvsex from the laud, or twes woris iurporting that the land is wo her frankalming, not meroly ns betwems $B$ and the nbbrit, but even an regarin the enotirmor himself. Thus, wh taken mad nsataple. Whin Hubert carl of thouceaterer coutirms a gift wheh wee of his cumanta har mole to St Pecer's Abbey, he adden ' I will that the said monks huld the sarme freely, quietly and bobonsmbly in frankalmoin for ever?. Such wond wheh are very ountanaly found, will in all liketshoud debser tho evol and hia beom froms ever exncting any service from thin land. Indenal in Bnarkons day a lond contirmug a teunatis gift had we bextrmandy cautious if be wahed to retain the service dare firons the latad. if $B$ who held of $A$ at a reut of a huudred shillingm enfeutiad © at a reat of one shilling, the zere wurd confirmo ured by $A$ might, if unexplained, deprive hin of ninety-mme shilling a
 to muggent that a subinfeudatson efliwed withoat the lards consent was nevther reid iwr voidables by the lond so lunk no the mesne meiguory of the dotar enduned; the duace's changore lay in this, that by the donorin foteng of want of heon the seignury wuld inehent and the denor's lond wuld thea be ables to avoid the gaft'. Aghu, we must reluark that it shim cuntest little atrew enn be land un cuntirmations when the contirtuer in the kiug, for, qute apore froms all feutal therry, a royal hawtor was a very efficueut protertions ugniane litigatione Whens werm much a charter was prouduend by the persmint in prownotiot stoo kinge justees would ntay their hasala; they wrubld pormonod ano further roge inconsulfu? We find tew that relighom boumem an:

[^231](ab) sot content with one royal confirmation; they obtain a fresh charter from each successive king, for, be the law what it may, mo prudent man will trust to the king's respect for his ancestur's promises. Lastly, to complete the picture, we may add that the usual practice of the monasteries was, not to apply to the kiug whenever they received a gift, but to wait uutil they had a considerable number of gifte and then get all of them confirmed by one instrumeut.

In the teeth however of the long series of diplomata suretching twuek to the Conquest, and in Normandy beyond the Conquest, some of which deal with cases in which the donee is a layman and the contirming lord is not the king, it is quite impossible for us to hald that the restriction expressed in the charter of 1215 was a new thing, or that the free alieuability of 'the fee simple' is the startiug point of English law. We saust be conkent with a laxer principle: with some such idea as this, that the tenaut may lawfully du anything that does not seriously damage the interests of his lurd. He may make reasutable gifta, but not unreasonable. The reasunableness of the gift would be a matter for the lord's court; the tenant would be entitled to the judgment of his peers. The charter of 1217 is a fair, though a vague compromise of conflicting claims. That it shonld have been zo favourable to the tenants as it was, may fairly surprise us, if we have regard to other countrits, and to the extreme severity of our English law about reliefs, primer seisins, wardshpss and marriages'. But the confirmation ahould not utay the action, unleas the charter was no worded that the lung would to bound to give an exchange to the donee in case of his being ovicted. At leasi trom John'e reagn onwards roynl conflrmations were usually mo framed that she king was not bound to give an exchange. He would be wo tound if be mamply conitimned ' the gift of $A$. B., bat te wne not so bound if he confirmed 'ther remonable (i.c. Inwful) gift of A. B.'; in the lattur cane be ouly confrmed the gift in so far ase it was no wrong to any one. For thist rule ree Braut. I. 59 b: and ree Rot. Cart. p. 79, where it is noted that by upecial order of King Jahn the word rationabiliter was omithed from 8 charter of oonfirmation. An to the special value of royul charters eren in the worst days of the French kiogrthip, mer Leluchaire, Instututions monarahiques, 1. 117.
' The Prench velgnetr, who did not usually get a reluf from the hair, if the herr was a descendant of the dead zan, did vary gonernily receive of fine when the tememeat wis alienated, under such namon as fode ef centes. quat ef requint: seo he had the rrrout feadal or right of repurchasing within a ourtain hustod tanne the land sold by him teasut of the price given for it. For Normandy, wee Trim mnamu coutumer, 0. 57. 89-21 , Bomma, p. 46 ; Ancienne contume, a. 28 ; on the tace af thumberte, Norman law seems to grow smore farourable to the lords during the thirteanth orntury.

Sominan Conquast muse for a while have favoured free tradein land: Williarn, when he exonfersul the furfoited eatatoas of Fonglish earls and thogus on him Firench followera, mase have known and inuended that these whould be mome nomosuable amount of aubinfendatur. This what abolutaly reyured by the now military system; ther count or barcus whe to have knighes to follow bia banner, and the mervicess of knughse coubld ouly be secured by foutlinenta. For a lung time it would be puasible for the vasanls to endow sub-smesala, for the sub- riweals ic) exdow other nub-wneals, without any losa boug influted an the groat lombur on the kiug. We munt add to thas that for a full century after tho Conqueat. dompite nceasional quarmla thon king was in close league with the church; ane againat his was rebellious baruns he relied on the prelates, ned the prelates of mouse dexired that men whould be free wo make gifen in pimise useas And just when the snteresta of the church in an ameryures of land were beginnang to come into mernuan conflict wath the needs of the state, the function of deelasiug the low of Emigland was bering commatted to a group of profeemorial lawyers whon foom several rensotin were likely to favour free aharantisa. Inem they were ecelemination: always they were the kingin meromate and as auch anclinerd to loosen the feutal twond whernever the could be done whthout prejudice to their matar's nghea But besidee all this, it senerum clear that morely an juriota, and xdl considerations of political experdic.bry apart. they weme diapumel to eoncede to every tenaut the fulleat pmoible pmwer if ilraling with his land. Just when they were dendiag that the contanows law put no reatriction on thim puwar in favour of the lond they were rapiolly and finally desalnying the neatrations which bud
 will come befom 13 se henenfter, but whould be sutseod 181 the context. If the Fingliah lanyem are shutsing theis care ber the clanss of the Gorls, they arr shutting there enom to the ctantus of the kindrad aler, nurd thax juat as a torae when in Nuetmady and other wountrios the claims of tho loat and the clumas if
 jurnppulence. Wherlier wee uncribe thes roult to the percricious matunts of cour symborn of mogal jumaces. of ton cetem

 jeidal, it knows the rotrus logmager.

As regards the form that alienation took, subinfeudation ['snul forma was rertainly much commoner than substitution. Still we find sumeras the laterer at an early date, if not in charters, at least in fines levied before the king's court. Not unfrequently in John's reign one party to the transaction grants a tenement to the other party $u$ hold 'of the chief lords of the fee ${ }^{1}$.' It is not always possible for us to discover the real meaning of such a transaction, as we can not always tell whether the fine is the settlemant of a genaine dispnte, or a mere piece of conveyancing machinery; but it seems clear that fines were levied with little, if any, regard for the lord's interest, and that their effect often was to give him a new immediate tenant of the whule, or even (for so it would seem) of part only of the tenement. As regards modes of convayance less solemn thnn a fine, had it not been for Bracton's distinct assertion, we shousld probably have come to the opinion that a new tenant, even of the whule tenement, could not be forced upon an unwilling lord. Whether we look to collections of charters or to collections of pleariugs, we find the lord's consent frequently mentioned'; indeed wimetimes the tranmaction takes the form of a surrender by the old cenant to the lord and a feoffment by the lord of the new tenant. When about the middle of the twelfth century Regizald Puer sells land tu Whithy Abbey, he resigns all his right into the hand of Roger Mowbray to the use (ad oppus) of the mosaks, to whom Rugger gives it, putting them in seisin by the same rod (lignum) by which the reagnation had been mades. When Alexander Budlicombe sells that fith part of a knight's fee which he holds of Hawise Gumey to Thumns FitzWillism, he 'demises himself' in Hawise's court and renders the land to her by the branch of a tree, whereupon she gives wimn to Thumas by the same branch:. Still there are Bracton's plain words:-albeit the tenant has done homage (and this of course makes the rase extreme) he may put a new cenant in his place, and the lord must accept him, will he. nill hes.

To sum up the whole of a lengthy argument, the suund
(terictal mamunary A. 4. alienition ly ther trimat.

1. Firme, al Mrnter, i, 82, 54, 110, 115, 123, 188, 289; 1i. 59.

- Noter Bıxik, pl. 1277, 779, 047. !194, 1816, 1924.

3 Whitby Cart. i. 203.

- Madox. Formulare, p. 55. So T. de G. and bia wifa baving aold land to the shbot of Mosuz aurrender is by the rod to the canns of Aumite is his court (A.5. 1160 -1)R2), Chron. do Melach i. 165, 324.
- Ifrecton, 8. 81.
couclusion wems to be that, in treatug the mather as cobe of purely Englinh bintory, we mont ntart not from the almalute inuluenability of 'tho tief,' nor from the abmoluse abresublitity of 'the fee simple,' but from something mosch lews matisfuctions. an indeterminate right of the lord co prevent alosiations whith would m-riously impair his intervestes, a right whech maght remain in abeyance so lung as there was plesty of scope fur s subinfeurlation and the liberty of endowing churebet wan sant abumad. a right on which the king's court was meldom if ever called upron to pronusunce, since the lord could enfurce it in tha own court, a right which wes at length delisesd, though in looe terme. by the charter of 1217 . But very probably theking'n legnal positaon was from the first exceptunal, and is oertainly became exceptioual in the course of the thirteenth contury; with no text of law to rely upon but the charters, be suceceded, uncher strea of pocuatary troublion in groulually extabliaking a right which could not be justined by the serame of that inatrufuent.

That we may be right in taking as the mearting pount of our

## (linn muake

 ly a lamil with ther rasiment af Ition cumal
 we mornetimem find a lurd conauleng or profossang to corasult hes termases before he makera a feotfucesh Whers Aubrey de Vere gives land wo the Abbry of Abingidon. 'all hia krughts are suad to join in the grant'; Earl Hugh of ('hoserer apratso with 'his barons' betore he tanken an anmaregits', Husere do-
 of 'bis mews ''; 'the kugghes' arod the 'guabl men ' iff the abtoss

 by the counmel of his barorse ritarns the bermago of Reibers
 group netives wo be a little ntake; ite ruler nod hio subje to alike have as inkeret in all that moserns itn termeory sitll thue nution, that sho lord oughe to hodd a parluanosst be fore bo unakes a fertifuent, sever hureletas mato law.
 of $n$ - man righte wers a lorgant and his lestememe whent thas termat.

[^232]consent? We will suppose that $A$ has enfeoffed $B$ who has enfeoffed $C$, and ask whether $B$ can, without $C$ s concurrence, either put $X$ in his ( $B$ 's) place, so that $C$ will hold of $X$ who will hold of $A$, or place $X$ between himself and $C$, so that $C$ will hold of $X$, who will hold of $B$, who will hold of $A$. Now here we 29] have to consider two different difficulties. First there is what we may call the feudal difficulty, that of giving $C$ a new lord, of holding him bound to serve $X$ when he has contracted to serve $B$. Secondly there is a difficulty that is quite unconnected with the nature of the feudal bond but may be thus stated :-Every gift, every transfer of rights, involves a transfer of seisin, of possession. When a tenant is to be enfeoffed as a tenant in demesne, then in order to complete the feoffment it is absolutely necessary that the feoffor should deliver possession of the land to the feoffee, and this act is performed on the land; the feoffor solemnly puts the feoffee in seisin and then quits the land. But there can be no such delivery of possession in the case that is under our notice; $C$ is tenant in demesne; it is not intended that $X$ shall become tenant in demesne; $B$ and $X$ have no business to go onto the land and disturb $C$ in his possession; what is to be given to $X$ is not the right to take the fruits of the land but the right to $C$ s services. We can not in this place discuss this notion that a gift or a transfer of rights involves a transfer of possession; but it is deeply engrained in the law of the thirteenth century. It would seem then, that the only mode in which $B$ can complete his gift to $X$, is by persuading or compelling $C$ to recognize $X$ as his lord. When such a recognition has taken place, then we may say that $X$ possesses the object of the transfer; he is seised of C"s services, he is also seised of the land 'in service' (seisitus in servitio). The two difficulties then, though in a given case they may conspire, are essentially different; the difference is brought out by the question: Has $B$ any legal process for compelling $C$ to accept $X$ as his lord?

According to Bracton, we must distinguish. If $C$ has done Law of homage to $B$, then $C$ may, for good cause, object to having his ${ }_{\text {ment. }}^{\text {attorn. }}$ homage made over to $X$. He may object that $X$ is his enemy -a light enmity says Bracton is not a sufficient cause-or that $\boldsymbol{X}$ is too poor to fulfil the duty of warranty, or again that homage is indivisible, and that he can not be bound to do homage to $X$ for part of the tenement, while he still holds
the whors part of B; biet untes wuch caume is whewn, ris hrimage can be transfernal to $X$. As regarden the service due from the erobemont, as distinet from butunge, thas cata always br tranaferted, even aganat the tenant's will; the culls has a procesen for compelling the temant (o) nckuowledge that he betrds of the new lard: it has a processes for 'actorning', s.e. turnutig orer. the tenant to the new lond'. He gives a cace finsu 1223.-d demanded homage from ( $C$, mying that $B$ had abtorserd $C^{\circ}$ " homage and mervice to him, $X$; therempon "inald that he held aothong of $\mathcal{d}$ and that ho would nut depart from $B$ who what the lond: thets $B$ was aummoned and mented that he houl soade the gift to $X$; but $C$ wtill whjected that he held two tencersherse of $B$ by a mingle homage and mervice, only ove of whach tenemente had been given on $X$, aud that he weruld not divide hes homuger ; whereupors the court adjuigeal that $X$ nhould have masan of $1{ }^{\circ}$ mervisas, but that $C$ could nut be compedled to do hotamgr. Lu IS Service, saya Howion, casa nlwayw, but homagne cass uut always be altomers):

Objortime (5) attars thent.

It in wonewhat chrions, se sutiend abow, that framos should allow the teruat to whict to hus humagr being trameferred, for he dow not allow, at least expremely, any simular objisetion mit the part of a lond whase teanat idowire 3 press a new temant in his place. Posubly the nemenaty fier an attoreinent, wheb nally reated on guite other grounds. kopt alo. one side of an ancient rule while the uther made had witherot. But Bracton in very favourable to temumta. Ho bosuls fir example, that the cemat can alway, walwe or maly hie ceriement and mo froe hatawelf from the dathes of merrime and homage, whule tho lood can nut waive the houage or m-fuse the reevice, und sa) frew himelf from the duty of warranty ; sust the tennme tmay objout if asy ntternpt be mule to submtatus. an inmolvent for a malvent warrantor:
Pratime of alol ntomg cigtursm.
(In the whole we linve litile reman the alpyome that eto righta of the ternanta had evoer in thls comentry lewen a moneas

[^233]obstucle $w$ alienations by the lordse. In the charters we tind the lords apparently exereising the fullest power of giving away the homages and the services of their tenants. If there was
(aon) auy reason to suppose that the teuant would object to recugnixing a uew lord, then a fine would be levied, and the temunt would be called on by a writ known as Per quae servitia to show cause why he should not be attorned ${ }^{\text {s }}$. Fines transferring services are quite common; the subject-matter of the tmansfer is uatilly deseribed as the service, or the homage and service of such an one ${ }^{2}$. It would be a mistake to suppose that the lufty feudal ladders that wo find in the thirteenth century, had been always, or even generally, manufactured only by the process of adding new rungs at their nether ends; new rungs were ofter inserted in their middles.

## §10. Aids.

The duties implied in the relation between man and lord Duty of are but slowly developed and made legnl duties. There long patherl the remains a fringe of vague obligations. The man should come $\omega$ the aid of the lond in all his necessities; the man's purse we well as his body should be at his lond's disposal if the lerd is in a strait. Gradually the occasions on which an aid of woncy may be demauded are determined. Glanvill mentionn the aid which helps a ford to pay the relief due to his overlord, the aid for knighting the lord's eldest son and marrying his eldest dnugbter; also be raises the question whether the lord may not demand an aid for the maintenance of a war in which he is concerned; such a demand, he thinks, can not be pressed! From the Normandy of Cilanvill's tume we hear of

[^234]the aid for the lowl's relief, for marrying hus daughtur and
 thes threen nids, which the king enight eake without the nomsmons conamel of the realm, that for revisumang him bexly, thas for uarryiug his daughear and that for knightiog hus moll; navi sueh aides wem so be rusurazble'. As is well known, the claces which deale with thas mater appeared in no later iddition onf the charter. During John's reggu the prior of sit Swathas's torok an and frot his frecholdarm, farmers and villeins for the paymerat of his debte"; the biwhop of Winchenter unok an aid for the expenees to which he had been put in the mosnemanace of the king's hononar and the diguity of the chureh'; the abbut of Peterborcugh took ans add to emable him to pay a fine foo tbo kings'; the rarl of Sishaboury to enable hum to atome how hand ${ }^{\circ}$ Nor do such ajds cease with the year 1915; in Henry 111.'s reigu the bislop of Bath wovk an and for the supprom of lue knights in the king's service'. In 121\%, nfter a Wedoh war. the kingis anditary tematate who had done thest survice rearibed permussion, not ouly to coilect the seutago from thear kiaghte. but alan wo raise a rementahle aid from all their frie tsen. Howeser, thes clause axpunged fimm the chartes meerns practs. cully to have tixerd the law. We lesara alow that it was anst the itaquestble for the lords to collect mids without ubimatime the kang's writ nad the whenffe constance. Thant wnt worulid name no wam; the aid was to bo "remonnable, 80 lowe oo 1235 we see Hetrry Tracey, having first iblauncal tha kinge writ. holding a lotele parluatoent of hia krughen in lheviessahomthey grant hom an aul of $\mathbf{2 0}$ shillenges on the knestein for fior
 adse na dum nuther of grace thast of right; they anv the mutcotree of a pertworal not of a predial obligntion ; they ane ame to
 it mamt alnsady have been wholeseent. A matule of $123^{\circ}$; tixed the rate of the aill hi Ine Laknen fir marryoug ther rlides

 gweren oum hardly mame.o At Hatorind was.


- Chmertar ni lijs. a. 12.
- Ible lear p 02.


20 Ilmetua, \&. 36 h.
daughter and knighting the eldest son at 20 shillings for the knight's fee and 20 shillings for 20 librates of socage land ${ }^{2}$, and thus in effect destroyed the doctrine of the lord's need and s02] the tenant's gracious help. This statute bound the mesne lords; a later statute was required to bind the king? The constitutional side of the history of sids we need not here discuss, but the aid is one of the most widely distributed of the feudal phenomena:


## §11. Escheat and Forfeiture.

In the background but ever ready to become prominent Escheat. stands the lord's right to escheats. This forms as it were a basis for all his other rights. The superiority which he always has over the land may at any time become once more a full ownership of it. Though he has given the land to the tenant and his heirs, still there may well be a failure of heirs, for the tenant can not institute an heir; only God makes heirs; and in this case the land falls to, escheats (axcadere) to the lord. Already in Glanvill's day a lawyer may sometimes speak of the lord as the tenant's ultimus heres ${ }^{\text {; }}$; but such a phrase hardly expresses the law. When land escheats the lord's superiority swells into simple ownership; all along he has had rights in the land ${ }^{5}$. Nor is a failure of heirs the only cause of an escheat. If the tenant is outlawed or convicted of felony then, after the king has exercised the very ancient right of wasting the criminal's land for year and day, the tenement returns to its lord. A distinction is established between treason and felony; if a tenant commits treason all his lands, of whomsocver they were holden, are forfeited to the king, while the felon's lands escheat to his lord. How far back this distinction can be traced seems doubtful; but John and his successors apparently insisted upon it when they enriched themselves by seizing the terrae

[^235]Normannorum, the Englishls lands of thoso who profornd whe
 Phlip Augustus furceyl upors them the shoice betwerns :wis untionnlities. As regracls felony, we have sern that the jowe
 ' morious erime.' it had unce stown for 'breach of the fewdal homet. Ont the onse hund, the londs hand gainest; they gut eschozate if
 the other hand they had luah By appenly disavowiag has lond the teriant maght inderal luen hix weromene; evers is Brackuin day such a disusowal wan mometimea called folonsoun', asal is mosh later cimes a deavowal and a conserguent forfortuse mighs be foumd is the fact thast che enomast haud puad his mone or dose hus humage, $t w$ a wrougful, insuead of $t u$ the rightful, clammans of the selpromy. But, on the uther hasad, the lond somens wo have had very little power of rjectung a kenant for tho enore sen-purfurnazice, even the wilful and grutnchat nun-purformance of his mervices. I'his is a matser which suyuires momer exarminathos.

Laurte renurlies acours dvanthemp to bisult

Hirconix diay the lorit when the metides an io arress
 theught this is nut hir readerat retuedy-an actuon th the buige court for the recovery of ctisumas and mervirew. Thw is a Inhorienmaction. It in rogurded an parprietary, nor pomenary
Aetran ta the hing'? everrs. A lord will bardly use it unlosen there is work denpute bintwrea bim and his tenant about the nature or quantity of the merioces Is that came it will concluavely mublish the lurat'n cutle, atal the vichorious lord will have the sherifin nad in dentsaluitog
 of the senurv on the tewantis part, thene is no a-tion in the kergigs court that will give the loost the hand is domovir Fexpfirm and fensteron are indeed free wo make the rapeos bargasa that if the servseeses are is arrear the feotether amay onters ossee more on the land and culie it to himoelf. Lut we. shali mee few surh burgains made befurs the mudde of the thur toenth century". Swoh thens is uar consuoss law, and if to weli

[^236]Werthy of remark; it does not turn out the tenant from the land because he can nut or will not perform his services. Two statutes of Ldward I. Were required to give the lord an ampler nemedy:-the action called cessavit per bienniuns was invented; if the tenant allowed his services to fall into arrear for two years, the lund might claim the land in demesne ${ }^{3}$. There can, we think, be little doubt that this new action was borrowed immediately from the canou law and mediately from the legislation of Justinian. It is one of the very few English actions that we can trace directly to a foreign models.
(2) The lond's handiest remedy is that of distraining his Distress. cenant to perform the services that are in arrear. This means that, carefully observing certain rules as to when and where aud what he may seize, be takes the chattels that are found upon the tenement and keeps them until the terant either tenders the arrears or finds security to contest in a court of law the justice of the seizure. The idea of distress (districtio) is that of bringing compulsion to bear upon a person who is thereby to be forced into doing somethiug or leaving something undone; it is not a means whereby the distminor can satisfy the debt that is due to him. He may not appropriate the namium, the thing that he has talsen, nor may he acll it ; he snust keep it as a gage (varlium) so that the person from whom it has been taken may be constrained to perform his duty. This right to distrain for services in arrear is in the latter half of the thirsenth century a right that is freely exercised by every landlord, and he exercises it although he has as yet taken no juducial proceodiugs of any kind against his tenant. Nevertheless, we may see much to make us think that this power of extra-judicial distraint is not very old. Bracton speaks as though it were still usual for a lord to obtain a judgment in his own court before he distrains a tenant into

[^237]the performance of his services; and we may sec that in his day some Inerls were still taking this course'.

Pronmad. Hege it the hons on wis cumert
(3) This leads us to speak of the pmombility of procoedinge $x$ being taken in the lond own court for the oxnctun of ther nems or the expulaion of the defaulting tenast. It is powable thas at nae time the non-performanoe of servicus was mpantid as a sufticient cause of furferture. Apminst any diasolaing of the tenant ' withous a judgriont,' thero had for a loog same pas been a wtrong ferling; it firdia uthernoce in the mont famus words of the Great Charter. Hut probably the kerd when kept a court was entifled to demand of it a juiggome abjudienting' from the tenemnat a temant who, aftor sufticieat warnuge, would not reader his dua service '. However, it moma that our king's court will nut maction so strong a measure. The inust chat it pernita the lord $(u$ do in this i-after distrain. ing the tebant by his chattela, the lond may sbeas frum hus serguonal inbuand a judgment authorazig him w distruan the tenant by his land. This obtained, he can nelze the land into has own linnd, but only by way of datreas, only as a meme knge (omplax namium), aud a mode of coereng the remont inten the path of duty. He may take do fruts froten the land, her may make no protit of is, he must ever be mauly wogo it up of the temant wall amasty all just demands". Eiven the as prestble only to the lurd whe is great enough to keep up an effecsets court for his frewholders In England the aluoriginal muatues and rapid degeneration of the feudal tribunale, and the daminance of a suyal court wheh duen bot love miguonal jussine mecure so the freebolding tenant a very tught grip wo the land At the end of Heary 111 : reigrs he in wo woll off. If be chovera to let the laud 'Ise fresh, wheep isu distmanable chatteb

[^238]on it, his lord is powerless. An action must be borrowed from the canonists in order that he may be constrained to fulfil his engagements or be turned out of his tenement ${ }^{1}$.
${ }^{16]}$ However, in the thirteenth century the possibility, never very remote, that the land would escheat, was, when coupled with the power of distress, a quite sufficient manifestation of the idea that the land, though it was the tenant's, was also the lord's. The tenant's interest in it might at any time expire and leave the lord's interest subsisting.

We are now in a position to foresee that of the four great $\begin{gathered}\text { Survey of } \\ \text { the varions }\end{gathered}$ free tenures one is destined to grow at the expense of the rest. tenures. For a moment it might be thought that the trenchant statute of 1290 , the Quia emptores terrarum, would stereotype the tenures for ever. To some extent this is true in law but only to some extent. Even after the statute a new tenure might sometimes be created. Every feoffment made by a tenant in frankalmoin in favour of a layman would create a tenure between the donee and the donor's lord which could not be frankalmoin, since the donee was a layman, and which was reckoned a tenure in socage; thus in a perfectly regular way socage would grow at the expense of frankalmoin:. We have seen also that in the course of the thirteenth century many of the serjeanties were deliberately commuted for less archaic tenures, in some cases by the consent of both parties, still more often against the tenant's will: he had put himself into the wrong by alienating without the king's licence, and the king exercised the right of 'arrenting the serjeantys'. But we will here speak of changes less definitely made. When once it was established that the little serjeanties gave the king no prerogative wardship, 'petty serjeanty' came to be regarded as but 'socage in effect.' A similar cause gave rise to the doctrine that tenure of a mesne lord is never tenure by serjeanty ${ }^{\text {a }}$;

[^239]the rightes of a meane lond wo the warduhp atal inauriage of his tenaut by serjeanty seens to have become donbtiul, and to theve finally dimappuarivi, and by this time the term annoge already covered so beterogenoons in thas of teriures that is could be easily stretched yot a little further no na to incluche what Bracton would cortaisly have ralled mojeanties'. A sams. there can be litele doubt that a very large sumber of asthtary tenures became hevures in mocage, asod this without anyone olwerving the changee In Bractorn'a day the sosat of mollairy tetuure is the liabilaty in scutage, and, as alnady sad. the pmeant or yeoman very often bad to pry it; if he had noit to jay it, this was because his lond had consu-ntod to bear the burden. In Eidwand lis day scutage was becoming, utoder ho grandson it became, oberlete. There was suthug thris is actual fact to mark off the services of the yeomass who was liable to pay reutage well as th pry reat, from thume uf the yeoman who wan free even in law trom this metrer cullembed tax. The one was theoretically a mulitary temant, the whous whe but: in the one came the lond minght bave clanmerl wardship and marriage, in tho othors he muld not: but then wre have he obourve, that, if the temant held at a full or evan a mithatantial rent, warlahip and marringe would be unprutitable righta The lond wanted rent-paying tennofan; be dut but want land thrown on his hutodn engethers with a troup uf girle and lwoyn wash rlausu for foorl and clothing. Thus, erutage beag extinet, wandahip and marringes miprotituble, mere oblision mauld dut the mos. many a tenure which had onen bewn, at lemat in name a nulitary temume would become sueage. Thus socage begine teo nwallow up the other tenures, ator preparation is alroady tuade fir the day when all, or practically all, temates will beld lyg the what humble cenure of the oukenanmi.

## §12. Liuireo Tinntre.

Prosbinh trame

The conures of which we hase hitherto spokion and frew

 the kenant it villemage. Thas in the coutrast sughesend by the

[^240]word 'free'; but the terms 'free tenement' and 'frceholder' are becoming the centre of tectnical learning. We may well
(8) find that a man holds land and that there is no taint of villeinage or unfreedom in the case, and yet thut he has no frechold and is not a freeholder. These terms have begun to imply that the tenant holds heritably, or for life. Perhaps we shall be truer to bistory of we state this doctrine iu a negative form:-these terms imply that the tenant does not bold merely at the will of another, and that he does not hold for some definite space of time: a tenant at will is not a freeholder, a tenant fur years is not a frecholder. Such tenancies as these are bocoming common in every zone of the social system, and they imply no servility, nothing that is incousistent with perfect freedum. Thus, for example, King Juhn will provide for his foreign captains by giving them lands 'for their support in our service so long as we shall think fit,' and in such a case this temancy at will by a suldier is from some points of view the beat representative of the beneficia and feorla of past centuries?. But now-a-days such tenancies are sharply concrasted with fouda; the teuaut has nu fee and no free teuement. And so again we may see a great man taking lands for a term of years at a money rent; he has done nothing in derogation of his freedom ; the rent may be trifling; still he is no freoholder.

A full explanation of this phenomenon, that a man should Tecthieal boid land, and hold it not unfreely, and yet noi hold it freely, freotiold.' can uut be given in this context since it would involve a discussion of the Euglish theory of possession or seisin. But we mist not fail to notice that the term 'free tenement' has ever siuce Henry IL's day implied pussessory protection by the kiug's court. 'This is of great moment. From our statoment of the relation between the freehold tenant and his lord we have as yet onitted the element of jurisliction. The existence of this element our law fully admitted and at one time it shreatened to become of vital importance. It was law that the lord might huld a court of and for his tenauts; it wus law that if $\Lambda$ was bolding land of $M$ and $X$ desired to prove that he and not $A$ ought to be $M$ 's lenant. $M$ 's court (if he held one) was the tribunal proper to decide upon the justice of this ciaun; only if $M$ unade default in justice, could $X$ (perhapes after recourse to all $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}$ s superior lords) bring his case betore

[^241]the king's court. This principle of teurdal justico is notmited. is as though its operation has been harnpured and coutmollen; th porticular, the king has given in his court a pnemwory remedy ko every ejected frechulder. Eivery one who can may that be bon been 'diserised unjustly and without a judgrent of his fiem tonement shull be rustored to his mivin by the kingin justacen Thus the veron 'free kenement' becomse the prest of a whoke aystem of remedies. Clearly they are denied to one who bea been holding 'unfreely,' who has been halding in villioliage. but a dnctrine of posmeswion now becormin nerumary and bas many problems before it. What if the ejected persan whe holding at the will of another? Perhapo it in notural tor my that, albeit he cecupiad or 'detained' the tenement, atill be was not powsersed of it. At any mio this wan enid. The sement at will tenef momine alreno; puovidet cuous mamime ponadetur. eject the terant at will, you disorise (dinpmesers) ont him, bat his losi, and his lond has thre remedy. And what of the ternast for years? The sarue was said. Ho bolden oo behatf of atoothers eject hims, yous disueiso that ather. Such was the domenae of the twelfh century; but alrevely before the middale of the thireaventh the lawyer hid dincovenel that thay luad made s mistake, that the 'temmor' or conant for youm deamerved pramesnory pmenction, nod they invented n new action for ham. The nethan bowever was nuw, and did not incerfore with the whtef
 Late to may that the hermor had a frue kemernent or weo a frectholder. This apinode in ous legal history had impmotans oonsequencen; it rules the terminology of our law even as the present day and herenfter wo whall npratk of it taire at large it is an episode in the history of private law. In the thertewnth century the main oontrase nuggeated by the phomer 'firm s-anment 'was still the villein cemement, and woure in rillemagn is intimately nornbested with somo of sho than principhe ut public law ; indeed from one point of view it may be rezordeal na n creature of the Inw of jurisurtion. of the law eoxth extablishes cmurte of jussece and savgras to eoch of thom the proper aphese.

Pislatace er Lronuro ebl en Alatic

The natue 'villejtage' at ince tells us that we are apponebing a region in wheh the law of cenume is an a matere of ber intertwitued with the law of permonal status: 'villetmary' is a ceunre, it is also a statue Un the use band. the ternast is

Wi villeinage is normally a villein; the unfree tenements are held by nofree men; on the other hand, the villein usually has a villein tenement; the unfree man is an unfree tenant. Then a gain, the villanus gets his name from the villa, and ths way well lead as to expect that his condition can not be adequately described if we isolate him from his fellows; be is a member of a community, a villein community. The law of tenure, the law of status, the law which regulates the communal life of vills or townships are knotted together. Still the knot may be unravelled. It is very possible, as Bracton often assures us, for a free man to hold in villeinage, and thus we may speak of villein tenure se something distinct from villein status. Again, as we shall hereafter see, the communal element which undoubtedly exists in villeinage, is much neglected by the King's courts, and is rather of social and economic than of legal importance.

We may suppose therefore that the tenant in villeinage Yuein is a free man. What then are the characteristics of his tenure'? Now in the first place we may notice that it is not protected in tupno. the king's courts. For a mument perhaps there was some little the king the doubs about this, some chance that Pateshull and Raleigh would courta forestall by two long centuries the exploits ascribed to Brian and Danby, and would protect the predecessor of the copyholder even against his lord's This would have been a bold stroke. The ready remedy for the ejected freeholder laid stress as the fact that he had been disseised of his 'free' tenement, and, however free the tenant in villeinage might be, his tenement was unfree. A quite new remedy would have been neocseary for his protection; the opportunity for its inventiou was lost, and did not recur until the middle ages were expiring.

[^242]It was law then, that if the tenant in villeinage was ejortori. either by hin lord or by a third permon, the king'n enurt would not reatore him to the land, nor would it give him dnmmano againat his ford in respect of the ejeentment. Ho hetra the lacst nomine alieno, on his lurd's behalf; if a third person ajichesd him. the lord was disecised. Before the end of tho thartasenth eentury, the kung's courts were begiunang to stake their doetnne in so more ponitive shape:- the tenaut in viltoraggo in in mur eyces a tenant at will of the lord'.

Went of metaculy Emit wimb of riglis.

The mhale of mennong which such words bear at nay girma monment in band to enteb. fief thas depronds on the relatum brewevn the king's conurte and nther courta. At a tirnn whens the feudal courta have berome inrigaticant, domal of remedy in the kingis court will be equivalent to a deeunl of right, and to may that the temant in villemange is devernod by the kinge. court to trided at has lurd's will is in wffeet to my that the lawd will do nothing illegal in ejectiog him. At ats earlere time the royal tribunal wan but one among many orgrate of tho law, ams the cause for our wonder should be thint it has unilertation $\omega$ prutect in his pussesesiun overy abe who huldo frevely, nos that it hosestopped at this point and denied protection thitice who, albwit free men, are doing what ane denertowt villeth nervious We have but to louk abruad wo see this. By to mare fur avery frecholder, though he were but a acouge wisant with sanay lorda nbove him, our king'a court would gmatially proygate the oution that those whom it left uncand for wrow rightlosm. But this would be an affair of tume Even it the tharkesth cesstury, the frewholder could not always britig a propersetary actsons berfors the ruyal vilumal withous the brlp of mome legal fiction, and in Brachonin day men haw sout yout foe gotisu that the soyal remerlies which were in daly ute wers

inserpalated, sppareatly for the wry purpome of eborwisk that lase eew en


 nemate

 งениния


6) As a matter of fact, tenure in villeinage is protected, and if we choose to say that it is protected by 'positive morality' rather than by 'law properly so called,' we are bound to add that it is protected by a morality which keeps a court, which uses legal forms, which is conceived as law, or as something akin to law'. The lord has a court; in that court the tenant in villeinage, even though he be personally unfree, appears as no mere tenant at will, but as bolding permanently, often heritably, on fairly definite terms. He is a customary tenant, custumarius, consuetudinarius; he holds according to the custom of the manor. Wore we Germans, wo might say that he holds under Hofrecht, the law of the manor, though his rights are not recognized by Landrecht, the general law of the realon. This we can not say; the manorial custom very rarely, if ever, dignifies itself with the name of law; but still it is a custom which has been and ought to be enforced by a court, enforcen If need be by compulsory processes which will eject the wrongful in favour of the rightful necupant. The tenant in villeinage does not scruple to say that he is seised of the land de iure 'according to the custom of the manor"; though his lord may be seised of it according to the law of the king's courts. Such evidence as we have goes to show that, when his lord was not coucerned, he was well enuugh protected in his holding. The rolls of manorial courts bear witness to a great deal of litigation concerning the villein tenements; it seems to be couducted with strict regularity; the procedure does not err on the side of formlessness ; it is rigid, it is captious; the court is no court of equity which can overlook a pleader's blunder and do natural justice ; it administers custom. No doubt there are cash transactions between the lord and the litigants; the lord has procedural advantages for sale; but theo so has the ling. There is nothing disgracefnl, nothing illegal, in buying the right to have an inquest, a good inquest, nor even in promising an augmented price if the verdict be favourable. Thens as to the case between lord and tenant, the tenant can not sue the lord in the lord's court; the tenant in villeinage ejected by the lord has no remedy anywhere. But is this, we may ask, a

[^243]denial of legal right? The lang diserimee the Firl of Oloa- is y comuer; the curl han mo rumody, no remedy anywhere, yot we do not deny that the honour of cilouctanter is the cardin by law or that in diswoikng him tho king will break the law.

Evilesern of then - extmonty.
stmayn फ. ir frime - 111 l cia peacte.

The tialsurial ERYM. ment.

A good proof that tho lords in genural felt themselves bound more or lew comelunively by the woma of the cuswatmery tenures is to be found in the care they took that thuso werma should be recorded. Firmon tume bo titnce an 'extent' was made of the manor. A jury of cenants, often of unfroe men, wan sworn to set forth the partsculars of each conascy and ste verdict condenceniled to the armallent detaila Sisch extents were made in the inkerent of the lurds, who were anstous that all due servicen should be done; but they umply that other and greater servicen are not due, and that the customary tenanta even though they be unfise men, owe these services for thetr tesemente, po less and no more. Stntements to the effect that the tenanta are not bourd to do serveet of a particular kuad are not very uncommon.

As characteristics of villonn senure we have therefore there two fentures:-it is not proterted by the kingin courta; is geaseral it is promested by anothor court, the court of the land. thongh even thure it in not protocuad agmanet the fort Stall a a mather of legal thesary wo can not regand three finatures an tbr enaence of the ceuure. We ahould invert the order of laskir Ware we wo say that this woure in villoti becuse the bappe. justiens treast it as a mere senure at will: mather they treat it wo a mere tenure at will becanse it in a villem, an anfree, tewure We must louk therefore in this is in other cases of the semices which the cenant performs. if we ane to deline tbe aature of hes tenure. He holds in villeinage because be performo villens serviata

A bref dignesaion into a domain wheh belong rather en cousomis thas to legal history hen becornes usevitable Tbr phenometin of merdeval agriculture ane now astractuge the athentum that they deserve: here we are only concernoud with them in mo far an monse knowledge of thens mumb be preapponent by any expmation of the law of the thirtewnth ovoturv' P'usporang until a laker tume any debase as to whether ibo

 Howit. 3628.

41] term manor bore a technical meaning, we observe that this term is constantly used to describe a proprietary unit of common occurrence:- the well-to-do landholder holds a manor or many manors. Now speaking very generally we may ssy that a man who holds a manor has in the first place a house or homestead which is occupred by himself, his bailifis or servants. Along with this he holds cultivable land, which is in the fullest sense (so far as feudal theory permits) his own; it is his demesne land. Then also, as part of the same complex of righta, he holds land which is holden of him by tenants, some of whom, it may be, ane freeholders, holding in socage or by military service, while the remainder of them, usually the large majority of them, hold in villeinage, by a merely customary tenure. In the terms used to describe these various lands we notice a certain instructive ambiguity. The land that the lord himself occupies and of which be takes the fruits be indubitably bolds 'in demesne'; the land holden of him by his freehold tenants he indubitahly does not hold 'in demeane'; his freehold tenauts hold it in demesne, unless indeed, as may well be the case, they have yet other freehulders bolow them. But as to the lands holden of him by villein tenure, the use of words seems to fluctuate; at one moment be is said to hold and be seised of them in demesne, at the next they are sharply distinguished from his demesne lands, that term being reserved for those portions of the soil in which no tenant free or villein has any rights. In short, language reflects the dual nature of tenure in villeinage; it is tenure and yet it is not tenure. The king's courts, giving no protection to the tenant, say that the lorl is seised in demesue; but the manorial custom must distinguish betweeu the lands holden in villeinage aud those lands which are vocupied by the lurd and which in a narrower sense of the word are his dernesne ${ }^{2}$.

[^244]The ferld 5ylump

Wio have maually therefore in the manor landa of thrme is ${ }^{\circ}$ kinds. (1) the demesne strictly so called, (2) the tarnd of the lori's freehold wountes, (3) the villenagnum, the land bohden of the lord hy villein or cuntomary tenuro. Now in the eommon ense all these landa ary bound tugether into nomgle whine by iwu ceonloruic bouda In the first ploce, the demeans lamess ane cultivated wholly or in part by the labour of the tenanta of the other landy, labour which they are buund to supply by remonn of their tenare. A litale labour in the wny of plougharg and reaping is gut out of the freehold tenadis; wuch labour of many various kinde is obtased from the tenata in villematro. ou much in many cavea that the lond has but nimall, if any, mowl wh hire labourers. Theu in the soroud plece, thew vanusas temernemite lice intermingled; neither the lordia demmene nor the comatis tenement can be surrounded by one ring-funce? The ford bas his house and homestead ; esach tenamt has his house with mone or lewe curtilage surrounding is; bus the ansble purtions of the dementer and of the manous other conemmote lie mixed uf together in the great open tields There wall be two or three or purhape more great fields, and onch temament will convint of a number of small stripa, of an eure wr half-arse aplece, dimijuated about in each of theme fields'. Theme fielth arv-abligected to a cotnubun course of agriculture, a swor-limed gyatem or a three-field syasem, mo that a whole field will to ille at une titne, or be mawn with winter mevel or, wh the coun may be, with kpriug maxd. Affer harveat arid untal the tume for tilling councs, the lowd and the tenanen turn thorer boarn to grase over the whole feld.

Thes we further notice that the rariouss termoments, at Inase thuse held in villeiunge, sne supponevi to bo of expual axtmen and of equal value, or suther to fall inte) a fow chacems, ther trontation of ench class bering eqpual arnong themative Thise it so usual whind a number of retanate to villemang noch of whom an oud is

[^245]to hold a virgate or yard of land. Eseh of them has his house and the same number of strips of arable land; each of them does precisely the same service to his lord. Then there may appear a class of balf-virgaters, each of whom dowes about bnif what is done by a virgater; and there may be classes which have smailer tenements but which yet have some arable land. Then, most likely, there will be a class of cottagers without any arable; but the cottage and croft of one of them will be regarded as equal to the cottage and croft of another and will provide the lord with the same services. And we sometimes seem to see that the distribution of the arable strips is so arranged as to equalize the value of the various tenements. All the virgates are to be equal in value as well ss equal in acreage so far as is possible. One virgater must not have mure than his share of the best land. The strips have been distributed with some regularity, so that a strip of $B^{\prime}$ 's virgate will always have a strip of A's to the right and a strip of C"s to the left of it Then again, the manor will probably comprise meadow land and pasture land. Each virgate may have a piece of mendow annexed to $i t$, the meadow being treated as an appurtenauce of the arable land; or again, some of the meadows may be divided each year by lot between the varions tenants, and the lord may have certain strips thereof in one year and other strips in another year'; but, when the grass has been mown, all the strips will be thrown open to the cattle of the lond and his unants. There is also land permaneutly devoted to pasturage ; a right to turu out beasts upon it is commonly annexed to every tenement or th overy considerable tenement. Lustly, we must just notice that in the lord's court the manor has an orgat capable of regulating all these matters, capable for example of deciding how many beasts each tenement may send to the pasture, and, when the rights of the freehold tenanta are uof concerned, the decrees and judgments of this court will be bindiug. for the king's courte will give no help to those who hold in villeinage.

Now npeaking generally we may say that the services which villew the tenant in villemage owes whis lord consist chiefly of the bersices. duty of cultivating the lord's demeane. Before the thirteenth century is over we may indeed find numerous cases in which the payment of a money rent forms a substantial part of his

[^246]service and be is handly bound to do more labour than wo er. acted form many of the frecholders, wome ploughang and arme reaping. It in very pueable that there are motae clucion of temanta now reckoned wo hold is villeinage, whowe prodevemurs were is this same pumition at a remote time, they urs guadmumni, mess who pry gupol, of they are cemmurni", and much thear forcfathere may have been all along'. T'o suppose that in all casos the xywtern of renta pand in isoney or in produce bop grown out of a gyatem of labour morvicen in w make an unvertied asumption. On the other hanil, it very tanay canes we can nee that the moncy rent in new. We may cre the process of comenutation in all its various stages, finven the ntage in which the lord is beginaing to take a ponay or a halfperny instuend of each 'wurk ' thas in that pmaticular year be does not happen to want, through the shage is whetb be liabitually takes enoh yoar the sames vasm in rwapect of sthe sanse number of worke but has exprosuly reworved to himelf the power of exacting the workn in kind. in the ulumase stoge in which there is a diatinct undsontanding that tho coneat io to pay nent instead of doug work. But we may for a cunmmat treat as typical the caseen in wheh the tenat hardly pays atsthong. Of such coners there are plenty. The cenast samy py nome emall sams, hat theme are not regasded as the reat of his tedement. They bear Eugluh names; mantuns shey arom us have their origin ia the lurd's jurindictornal powenn rather than tu his righte as a landowuer, as when we soad of ruchinypaway. mardpenny, witepenny; sometumes thoy look like a return made us the lond, wot for the whement itwelf, but for ryghes over ibom wastes and waters, as when we read of fieholoer. covedoifore. redyesilver. But in the main the wasat taunt wurk for thes Wherment.

Now the labonr that be has to do is often minucely dehemes, by the manoral cuntom and deseribed is the manurial 'erteont. Let as take one out of a thuunams exmmplex. In the Atibot of Hamery's manor of Stukeloy in Huntumblunuhire the sorme of a virgnter are theen': From thr. 2vih of texptomber untal ito
 and Wendnewlay; and ons F'rulay he inutit plonigh with oll tbe
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Christmas and for a week at Enster and at Whitsuatide. If one of the Fridays on which he ought to plough is a festival or if the weather is bed, he must do the ploughing on some other day. Between the 29th of September and the 11 th of November he must also plough and harrow half an acre for wheat, and for sowing that half-acre be must give of his own seed the eighth part of a quarter: whether that quantity be more or leas than is necessary for sowing the half-acre he must give that quantity, no more, no less : and on account of this seed he is excused one day's work. At Christmas time be must make two quarters of malt and for each quarter he is excused one day's work. At Christmas he shall give three hens and a cock or four pence and at Easter ten eggs. He must also do six carryings (uvevigna) in the year within the county between the 29 th of June and the end of harvest at whatever time the bailiff shall choose, or, if the lord pleases, he shall between the 20th of June and the 29th of September work five days a week, working the whule day at whatever work is set him, besides carrying corn, for he shall carry but four cartlonds of corn for a day's work. If at harvest time the lord shall have two or three 'boon works' (precationes), be shall come to them with all the able-bodied rnembers of his family save his wife, so that he must send at least three men to the work. He pays sheriff's aid, hundredpenny and wand-penny, namely 63d.

Now the main features of this arrangement we find repeated Week work in countless instances. The tenant has to do 'week work, as it indmy. has been called: to work two or three days in every week doring the greater part of the year, four or five during the busy summer months. Then at harvest time there aro also sume 'boon days' (precariae, precationes); at the lord's petition or boon the tenant must bring all his hands to reap and carry the (30) crops and on these days the lord often has to supply food; at Stukeley it is bread, beer and cheese on the first day, mear on the mecond, herrings on the third. But matters are yet more minutely fixed. Our Stukeley tenant has to 'work' so many days a werk; the choice of work rests with the lord, but enatom has fixed the amount that shall be accounted a day's work. For instance on the neighbouring manor of Warboys gathering and carrying three bundles of thoms aro rugarded as a day's work'. At Stukeley if the tenant has to fell timber, the

[^247]day's work is over at noon, untess the lond provides dinner, and chen the work lasts all day. Sometimes it to retnarked that a tank which counts as a days work can really be doue in half a day". The "xart distance that he must gu wath his hord": wagons in order that he may clam to have performed an averagium ts well known, and, whin the lual in buond tu nupply food or drink, the quantity and quality thereof are desemanaed. On the Rammey manors a sick konant wall be axcused a whule year's work if his illneem luste so lotg: after the year he must get hin work done for hitn an best lie may. A balf-virgater will do proportionately lees work, n cottager atilt lesa; thum at Stukeley the cottager works on Mondayy throughout the year and on Fridaya also in harreat time.

Mrichert nin! tallemor


There is nuore to be sadd. (Jar Situkeley singater pays 'merchet' as beat he may, that to say. if he wawhem en give his daughter in marnage be zoust pay mousy to the land and the amount that he has to pay in not fired If he has a foal or calf bors of his owis thare ur cow, he must aus rell is withous the lond's leave. If he has an onk, ash or pear-tme growing is hin court, he must not fefl it , except for tho rejnar of hum boume. without the lond'a leave. When he dses his widow shall pay * hersot of five shollong and be quit of wark for thirty daya Theae are common featurea, and tho merches is of pecultas
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 high and low' Ide have en bess) (Ofeen they aro brosind ton'suat of mill,' that in to may, they munt nut grond their ram elorwhere than at the lord's inill. Abriet all theore mattorn or sometimes find rulem which set ceriais detimte bismas to the terastis duty and the lord's righs?
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holder of a villein tenement. As yet, however, we have attained to nothing that can be called a definition of the teture. To say that it is a temure defined by custom but not protected by the kung's courts is no satisfactory definition, for this, as siready said, is to mistake the conseguence for the cause. Now Bracton coustantly assumes that evesyone will underatand him whes he speaks of villein services, but he never undertakes to tell us precisely what it is that makes them villein, and, when we turn to the manorial extents, we not unfrequently meet with tenures that we know nut how to classify. Apart from the teuants who certainly are freehulders and the tenanta who certainly hold in villeinage, we see here and there a few men whose position seems very doubtful; we du nut like to predict either that they will or that they will not find protection in the royal courts. We have to remember that the west which in later days will serve to mark off freehold from copyhold wenure is as yet inapplicable. No one as yot holds land 'by coply of court roll'; the lords are only just beginning to keep court rolls and it is long ere the court roll becones a register of title. If alienations and descents are eutered upun it, this is doue merely to show that the steward has received or has yet to crillect a fine or a heriot, and the terms on which a new cunant takes land are seldom mentioned. If from a moderu convegauce of a copyhold tenement we abstract the copy of the court roll and even the court roll itself, we still have left the internediation of the lord between the vendor and the purchaser: the land is suppused to pass through the lord's hand.
[ $\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{saz}$ ) But when dealing with the thirteenth, to kay nothing of the twelfh, century, we cau not make the lowl's intervention a proof of villein tenure. We uay well find the conveyauce of a freehold taking in all essentials the form of 'surrender and monitance' ; the uld tenant yields up the land to the lord, the lord gives it to the new tenant; the transaction takes place in cours; the syubblical rod is employed; wo charter is necessary ${ }^{2}$. Inderd when there was to be no subinfendation but a substituciun of a new for an old tensant, we may well be surprised that this could ever be effected without a duuble conveyance. Mureuver if we say that the lord can prevent the alienatiun of villein. but can not grevent the alienation of free tenements we still have not solved the guestion; to say that a tenement is vilfons

[^248]because it can not be alienated without the lond's consent is tw pat the cart befrose the hone.

Nor again can we fital thes eolutson in the phrae 'tos hold nt

The vill
 the will of the lond.' If for a moment we take thes pihnoce merely to denote that the cenure is unprutacted by tho king'o court, we are bronght once smore to the finutlews propmatana that it is unprotected because it in unprotected. If. on the ot her hand, we lake tho phrame to imply that thom in not cown which prosoctes the unurre, or that the lord can at nay mumume eject the temant withoust breach of may cuatain, thon, wi my the lesat. the great mame of villeing courem will swape from curs detinition. Tcunnes which really ane ternurne at will.' unprotected by any custems, are to be found, arnd that (ons in high places. but then they are in gerseral carefully dowerganabead from the villein tenuris. In the extente aswl zomborial molle of the thirleenth century it is rare wo find thas the somande in villemage are xuid to holds at the will of thm londs stall When wre tumb, we now must, we timd the elemumt in villein mervices wheb nakse thein villein, thes pheseac int the lerrds: will ' muxt agais ancet un.

That a kutare which compels to ngriculenral labour is $p$ the usfreet, thin we cortainly cass nut may. The phulalugy uf sher time made ploughng service the chametanstic fewtum of socage's and often ebough a frewholder howl wh give has and in ploughing noll renpong his lonif: Alousence; nor man we nay toup certaiu that he couldt always ise hew work by depmety, fore the
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[^249]during a few weeks in the year; he helpe at the 'boon works' but does no 'week work'; still it is difficult to make the distinction between freedom and unfreedom turn upon the mere amount of work that has to be done. If there is no villeinage in labouring ten days in the year why should thene be any villeinage in labouring three days a week? On the whole our gaides direct us not to the character, nor to the amount of the work, but to its certainty or ancertainty ${ }^{1}$. The typical tenant in villeinage does not know in the evening what he will have to do in the morning?. Now this, when properly understood, is very generally true of the tenants who are bound to do much labour, to do 'week work.' They know a great deal about the amount of work that they will have to do in each year, in each week, on each day; they know, for example, that the custom exacts from them three and no more ' works' in every week, that Tueeday is not a work day, that if they are set to ditch they must ditch so many perches before the ' work' will be accomplished, that to drive a cart to one place is ' one work,' to another place 'two works'; they know whether when set to thresh they can stop at nones or must go on to veepers. Still there is a large element of real uncertainty; the lord's will counts for much; when they go to bed on Sunday night they do not know what Monday's work will be : it may be threshing, ditching, carrying; they can not tell. This seems the point that is seized by law and that general opinion [p. 354] of which law is the exponent: any considerable uncertainty as to the amount or the kind of the agricultural services makes the tenure unfree. The tenure is unfree, not because the tenant 'holds at the will of the lord,' in the sense of being removable at a moment's notice, but because his services, though in many respects minutely defined by custom, can not be altogether defined without frequent reference to the lord's will. This doctrine has good sense in it. The man who on going to bed knows that he must spend the morrow in working for his lord and does not know to what kind of work he may be put, though he may be legally a free man, free to fling up his tenement and go away, is in fact for the time being bound by his tenure to live the same life that is led by the great mass of unfree men. Custom sets many limits to his labours; custom sets many limits to theirs; the idea of abandoning his home never

[^250]unters his hemed; the lord's will plays a large prart in shapung bum life.

This then sectas to bave been the uat ustually appolavi by

Dutfuftent of willo tr apt illome

Trots of vill at tetuspe. the Kug's court. If the labour services aro 'uncertans.' the u-nun is unfres: nnd it in a leat which condemenn as unfore the grat bulk of the ternures which obliged mes he perform an! consuderable anount of aqricultumb laturur for their land, berasise. however minutely momse particulare of thowe nervines may be defined, there ir genemilly a aqucioun f(x)tn lef for the puisy of the lord's will. Thus the test roughly coincides with abother:labour service is nut necessarily unfree, but a mornace wluch consasts of much labour, of labour to the done all tho joar mound. is atmont of necessity unfree; for almuat of necrasity the temant will bo bound to ubey, withen wide limits, whatever commanda the hord or the lordi's bmiliff mayg give hus. Thus ta hohid latud b! 'fark and fanil,' by work dose day by dny, or weak by wask wt the lund's demeane, is wa buld in ville-bangn' .

Uther teates ano in use. Any sorvice which stnmpo the wnant an an unfree mum, stamp his tentury an unfrev, and in commou opimun such services there ure, nutsbly the mershefom Now anong the thousands of antriex in English documetote relatug to tha payment, it would we beliwe be utterly inipmostike W titud one whech gave any sunction to the Laling if a im promise notis. ${ }^{2}$. The cuntext is which this duty is usually mentimand 6 a explams at lewat olle of the revanos wheh underlie it The tenamt may nut give his daughter (in sorne canea hiw on of dusughter) in marrugge-at leant wot untrinte the mandir, - ans be may net have has twis ondainet, and he maty not on Il horse of ox, without the lurd's leave:- the wteck os the terneriment is twot 4) be danimished. No doubt a mulyocetoons to thas nstrame was
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[^251]and a fortiori of unfree tenure. Braeton will just allow thut the man who has to pay a mercher need not be a bondman: it may in a given case be an incident of unfree tenure rather than of pensonal servility. However, though this test was commonly applied, we can not say that it was conclusive even of the unfreedom of the tenure. In Northumherland there certainly were lowds of mauors, lords of entire vills, who paid murehet ${ }^{\text {a }}$, and then we have to remember that in Scothand. at least according to the Regriam Maiestatem, every woman, were she nuble, were she serf, paid 'merchet,' paid it in kine' (an earl's daughter paid twelve cows)? while in Wales a similar payment was made on the marriage of every girla . Very possibly several different payments originating at different times, perhaps among different races, and expressive of different ideas have been fused together; but in Eugland the merchet is generally regarded as a base payment, a mark, though not a conclusive mark, of personal unfreerlom *

[^252] the lord's reeve whenever the lond plemses, the limbility wo the

 mon insuond of to the eldent swis or ho all the mone, the anfer-teere is monothmos drawn that it in mot froe. On the wholo, howeror, our brokk contantily bring us back to the 'uneerhanty' of the enrvice as the bewt criturion of villein tenure. Certmoty aml unceprainty, however, ane, as we have neen, mattens of dugros In fun, if uny, cancul in there sus custum selting thuzels (s) the touase's duty of working for his lund; in mest ramem many bounds ane mut ; the uunbere of daym in overy weak whath he
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that a tenement is unfree, that tenement will not become free, at least in the eyes of lawyers, even though the services are modified or transformed. Without any definite agreeuent, a lord begins wo take money instead of exacting tabour, and gradually it becomes the custom that he shall take money, and a precisely fixed sum of money, in lieu of all the week-work. This change does not give the tenant a freehold, a right in the land which the king's collts will protect; something far more definite would be required for that purposes, an enfrauchisement, a feoffment. Thus it falls out that a tedant who according to the custom of the manor pays a money rent and does no more labour for his lord than is owed by many a freeholder, may still be no freeholder but a tenant in villeinage: he still is protected only by custom and in the view of the royal justices is but a uenant at will. Then gradually what has been called 'the conveyancing test' becoues applicable. Dealings with villein tenements are set forth upon the rolls of the lend's sourt; the villein tenement is conceived to be holden 'by roll of court,' or even ' by copy of court roll,' and the mode of conveyance serves to mark off the most beneficial of villeinboids from the most onerous of freeholds; the one passes by 'surrender and admittance,' the other by 'feoffment.' In
pses: Henry III.'s time this process which secured for the tenant in villeinage a written, a registered title, and gave him the name of 'copyholder,' was but beginning, and it is possible that in some cases the lord by taking money instead of labour did $2 s$ a matter of fact suffer his temants to become freeholders; but probably he was in general careful enough to prevent this, fur him undesirable, consequence, by retaining and eufurcing a right to some distinetively servile dues. But our detinition of sillein tenure unst be wide enough to inctude cases in which there has beeu a commutation of labour serviec into reat, and on the whole we may do well in saying that villein tenure is the tenure of one who owes to his lond in respeet of his temement 'uncertain' labuur services, or who (by bitmsedf or his predecessors) has awed such servicees in the past, or who is subject to distinctively servile burdens such as merchet, arbitrary tallage, or the duty of serving ass reeve. This we betieve th be the minin iden; but wo must receive it subject to two remarks, namely, that, as so witen snid, 'uncertanty' is a runtter of degree, and that in some cases a teuure which all
along had been konure at a money rent may have bown bonagbt within the ephere of villeinger ly sume untrie, or at all evente unverified, theory as to its past hiatary. Here an ollow wore law has roine its wark of clawsitication by mouns of typwe rather than by means of definut ous?
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- Atave. p 359.
tempted to regand the custom as but a revocable expression of their own wills'. Certainly the lawyers began to use language which must have suggeated th the lords that they might nject their henants whenever they pleased". On the whole, however, the two clauses of the formula which is in after times to describe the position of the copyholder, grew into definiteness side by side :- the tennant in villeinage holds 'at the will of the lord,' but 'according to the custom of the manor.'

Our task is the more difficult because fully developed copy- Treatment hold tenure, even as it exists in the nineteenth century, allows tenure un that there are many acts and defaults by which a tenant may rmation. forfeit his tenement. Now a strict definition of these causes of frarfeiture only appears late in the day; little of the kind is to be fuund in the 'extents' of the thirteenth century. Seldom, if ever, were the lords brought to acknowledge that the causes of forfeiture were definable. Many admissions against their uwn interests the 'extents' of their manors may contain: they sutfer it to be reconded that 'a day's work' ends at noon, that in return
wo, for some works they must provide food, even that the work is not worth the food that has to be provided; but they du not admit that for certain causes and for certain causes only may they take the tenements into their own hands.

As a matter of fact, it is seldom of an actual ejeetment that Ejectmens the perasant has tu complain. If he makes default in his services, he in general suffers no more than a small amercement ; seldom doess it exceed six pence. Even if he commits waste, if, for example, he lets his house go out of repair, he generally has full waming and an opportunity for amending his conduct before the lord takes the extreme measure of ejoeting hils. An extreme measure it. was, for tenants were valuable; then as now 'it paid to be a good landlord.' Two motives, and perhaps two ouly. might. make a lond wish to clear the cultivatora from his land; he might wish $w$ fill their place with beasta of the chase or with monks. Happuly for the peasantry, rights of spourting wene fratuchises which had to be purchased from the king, while we suay hope that the pious founder dealt generously with bia tenants. One of the shories which best illustrates the nature of their enatomary rights tells how when Henry II. was founding

[^253]the Carthumian priory of Witham in Somemetabire he elearnd the villeits utf thr land, but gave ench of thets the rowose of beoonning free or receiving a cenement in any royal manor that he might chouse. But the huly Uugh was nut ounterst with this, he made Henry pay compenmation to the villesin for their lioumen. nor dud he aup there; they muat be alluwerl wearry may the matarials, though for theme thry have already nesesvind a numery enpuivalemt'. At an earlier dato an Easl of Lancola, cleariug the ground for Revewby Abley, had given the dispmenseded ru-tion a cbure between freedurn and other whrments?

## Inervent nerices

What the conaat in villesuage had wo fiser wos not at much arbitrary cyectuent an an atherupt to raise his retut, or to exacs from hin new and degnading servicus whath wubld nuke him an unfree man. We can nut altogether acyuit the lirido of nurbs atternpts. The fact that the serviees deacribat in the Later er tents 'seem heavier than theec deacribed in the carleef. the fars that the ifebaning merchelum newms to become far cumbisisues an time goes un, thewe facter are tues very corrout. for she extetheo besonse snure manuse and jarticular and we suldotn caus be qiunte sure that what is exprisenel is the laker idocumenten was thet


 the custern and imponed new burderns upon them. They antaktit te show in cease sfter case shat they were living on the sure at Hermesne of the crown, and that thenefore they wem proteoteod
 day Bumk was produced and proved that they lual ise rasts 1 in clasm the king's belp 'The fart numums thas the? hosl hoped to prove that the lorils were breaking tho cumberta. Ti, this we
 bouser: Now there wh ploty of evidence that of all lathillotedo

[^254]the religious houses were the most severe-not the most oppressive, but the most tenacious of their rights; they were bent on the maintenance of pure villein tenure and personal villeinage. The immortal but soulless corporation with her wealth of accurate records would yield no inch, would enfranchise no serf, would enfranchise no tenement. In practice the secular lord was more humane, because he was more human, because he was careless, because he wanted ready money, because he would die. Still it is to the professed in religion that we may fairly look for a high theory of justice, and when we find that it is against them that the peasants make their loudest complaints, we may be pretty sure that the religion of the time saw nothing very wrong in the proceedings of a lord who without any cruelty tried to get the most that he could out of his villein tenements. We may well doubt whether the best morality of the time required him to regard the villein services as fixed for good and all, or as variable only by means of some formal agreement such as never could have been made had but one tenant
[p.362] refused his consent. The process of commutation, which in the end was to give the copyholder his valuable rights, was set going by the lord's will; he chose to exact money instead of labour, and, if he took but a fair sum, he was not to be condemned. We can not contend therefore that the lord's will was fettered by rigid custom, or that any man conceived that it ought to be so fettered. On the other hand, as we shall soon see, there is in the king's treatment of his peasants, the men of 'the ancient demesne,' a convincing proof that the just landlord was expected to pay heed to the custom and not to break through it save for good cause.

Had the tenant in villeinage heritable rights? Of rights Heritable recognized by the king's courts we have not to speak; but the villein in manorial court frequently admitted that his rights were herit- tenementw. able, at least as against all but the lord. Often a claimant comes into court and declares in set terms how he is the rightful heir aurl how some one else is wrongfully withholding his inheritance. Thus, for example: 'John of Bagmere demands against Juhn son of Walter of Wells one virgate of land with the appurtenances in the vill of Combe as his right according to the custom of the manor, and therefore as his right, for he says
manor in question was not on the ancient demesne, and only in two cases (if we mustake not) did the tenants get a judgment.
that one Johse of Bagmene his grandfather dhed meisul thenvif as his right acronling to the cuatom of the manor, and from that Johs the right dowerested acourding to the custorn of the emanour to hiw sum Willum, the demandant'r father, whome heir the: demandiant is moording th the chatoms of the manar?'. Thas is junt the formula whel a man would use is the kingi's cours were he claimug a freehold inheritance, save that at aury turn meferener is made ter the custom of the mater; accurding th the chatom inheritance is a matcer of strict right as agation all bete the tord. The documenter ane mush mure chary of outmatiang that nes agminet the lowl the heir has any rughea (bs the dacath of a turnat $a$ horiot becomee due, nkially the bat lanat of trat chated of a fixesl sum of moncy; hut thim in nygurded losew ne a 'rolsef' tu be paist by an heir than as a payanens due out uf the deand manse centate, and if an 'ustent' newerkes of the hear at all. this is in general to toll us that her mant doe the legri'n wall, one must ' rederen the lnend at the will of the lorel!' The court mills x 24 serem wh whw that as a mather of fone besm were whtathes in fairly eany terms, the lorit taking shaditional graris nens of the like, and the pleadng in which berehtary nght in now.rnal ugninat uthers that the lond unatify to a strung fevting that the villeits tenemeuts ane berituble: still as mganst she loed the hoir han mether a clnim tu inherit thas an inhoritanes. The reoumbe of this age lust mosoly say that a cenant is shlmatiod 'to bold to hom and has heriss.' gesemolly they why tow more thate that the forrl han griven the land to A $B$ Whan, an weatd gencrally be the case. the comants were peranally unfers tho lond would hase run smone danger in talhisis alamet tho is bo in for lawyere wero mying that the werf conald have no heor hat his fand and drawing theroer the deductiont thas $n$ aerf might be enfrounchimed by unguarderl worlan: Thie may be the nowe why warly court mollo when they da exprosed! allow that a ome


[^255]his heira but of his sequela. This is not a pretty word to use of a man, for it is the word that one uses of pigs and the like; the tenant is to hold to him and his brood, his litter ${ }^{1}$. We shall better understand the nature of the heir's right agninst the lord, a right to inherit if the lord pleases, if we are persuaded that in many a case the inheritance was not very valuable. Certainly in the fourteenth century there were lords who would but too gladly have found heirs to take up the villein tenements at the accustomed services? We may hardly argue thence to an carlier time ; but no doubt the services were often as goud a return for the land as could have been ubtained. A strong man with strong sons might do them and thrive; the weak and neevly could not, and were removed with the full [0.061] approbntion of the other men of the vill, whose burdens had been incrensed by the impotence of their fellow-labourer.

Further the lord urok care that the venements should nut Uaty be broken up among coheirs, Utten the tenaut's widow enjoyed the the the whole tenement during her life or until she married a second time without the lord's leave?. Often the customary rule of inheritance gave the land to the dead man's youngest son, and this was accounted a mark of villein tenure'. Perhaps in some cabes the farnily kept together, aud the son who was ardmitted as teuant was regarded as representing his brothers; but this must have been a matter of morals rather than of law or of euforcenble custom. By one means or another the unity of the wenement was preserved and it is rare $w$ find it held by a party of coheirs. Exceptions there doubtless were, but on the evidence afforded by the 'extents' and the Hundred Rulls it is hand to believe that in the thirteenth century the lords held themeilves bound by custum to admit the heir on his tendering a fixed fine'. 'I'recarious inheritance,' if we may use such a term, was

[^256] molief had but Intely been deta-mineel; the temant by aegratity atell relieveed his land 'nt the will of the lond.' Wee know rew that in fiker dnys the hoor of n ceply bold temant very जitten had th) pry an 'arbitrary' thee, white in other caves lounts havr
 were but ennanita for life ${ }^{2}$.

Alirumition
if illow. telat tuent.
of the aloenntion, of the sale and purchase, of witcits tomesnente wo. noud lette. Wre mny be kures thet thix coult nue the efferted without the lori'a lestere ; the w-Her caune intor the lurdin court and surrendered the land isto the atewand's homed, who thereupon admitted the nuw tununt and gave him devemn. The new tenant paud a liser; ofton to would be utee year's calue of the tenement But in this region there socms to have leen but lattee custom, and wee may be fairly certais that the lowno of this perioul did acet allow that new senante could le. forectal upon them nganast their will. If the comant atterngmod tos aluenate the teroement wathoust thre lord's leave, thas was a czation
 a cause of forfeiture, subjected him to an amerecoment ${ }^{\text {a }}$

## Viblela

 tombre and -11.015 olmbuefisully we mbat sure that the conant in villontager wan
 merrus. Thut a freve mus mhusld hold in villesonge wan pamblate. nud up and down the country thore ung have lewin matir for anon with villem tenements: what is more, tham likell alongh

 greater practical impertance than villoin ntatiur 'To prowe thest
















a man was personally unfree was, as we shall see in the next chapter, a difficult matter, and a case in which a lord had in his own interest to undertake this proof was not very common. So long as the tenant did not make up his mind to quit hearth and home, leaving the means of his livelihood behind him, the lord had seldom to fall back upon an assertion of personal bondage in order to get what he wanted. If the tenant was refractory the lord could distrain him, could take the tenement away for a time or for good and all. For all this however, the 'extents' of the thirteenth century show that in the estimation of their lords-and, we must add, of their neighbours,the holders of unfree tenements were as a general rule unfree men. This is apparent in 'extents' to which the tenants themselves pledge their oaths; it is plain upon the face of the Hundred Rolls. The juries of different bundreds may choose different phrases; but in one way or another, either by using such
[p. 966$]$ terms as nativus and servus, which imply personal unfreedom, or by laying stress on the payment of the merchet, they generally show that in their opinion the case of a free man bolding in villeinage is uncommon and may fairly be neglected by those who are dealing with large masses of men.

## § 13. The Ancient Demesne ${ }^{1}$.

The king is a great land-owner. Besides being the supreme the lord of all land, he has many manors of his own; there is a a ancient constant flow of lands into and out of the royal hands; they aud the come to him by escheat and forfeiture, they leave him by gifts eatates. and restorations. Now a distinction is drawn among the manors that he has. Some of them constitute, so to speak, the original endowment of the kingship, they are that ancient demesne of the crown which the Conqueror beld when the great settlement of the Conquest was completed and was registered in Domesday Book? What has fallen in since that time is not considered as so permanently annexed to the kingly office; it is not expected of the king that he will keep in his uwn hands the numerous honours, baronies and manors

1 See Vinogradoff, Villainage in England, p. 89 fi.

* See the Fixon. Domesday, D. B. iv, 75: 'Dominicatus Regis ad Regnum pertinens in Deveniscirs.'
with which felony and treason and wazt of heim are cinstantly supplyag him; rather it is expectend that her will give these awny again. On the other hand, be ought not the
 and thas. too to be held of hime heritably, but uthen he resurtise as subatantial zuoney rent ; they ner so be held uf hutu 180 ' fer firm.' Thim is hardly a matcees of law ; all the kisg'x smanoos are the king's wive upon what cerms be plovames; null bus ancicat patrimony in regarded out unce clomely banoll up with his uftice than ary thowe toerse windfulls which now and agous corse to his hands.


## 1 mentina

teve of the exkient detrintio.

 in the houth of a subjeet, so that $\omega$ appeak of the keigg an havoug fronchimen would be as contondietion in hermat Sinore theleses in early hintory the king appoung an the fimt of all franchave holders, the timat in polat of greathene and the first, it
 burrow a wurd from abroad) 'itumumtien, perhapa the ildass of all immunutses ; they stand vutarde the aurual, tathomal tyat as of justice: police and tibance. Inwale shem thene ginevasts o royal, which is alsa a setgnorial. justrev. and which remaume distinet from the orditury justice of the realm, west when shas is dume it the king'o sumbe. The temata on the ancoent, the
 fluw froms the kingis rights, they are tul a very hugh degres exempte frots all justices, seve that whels is dotse atmeng the om
 a ruyal baliff. lxampe wa very high dogree evers form the justice of the king'x "courta of connmons haw' what the coure have contse ithus exista-ace: They know lithe of the sheritf . shre? haves not to attasid the moota of the alare ore the hosudnat thery teed sut nervo an jumorex; wherrever they gno they pay mo hais. they are not taseed like other folk; wa the wther homel the! ara liablee to be calliagnd by the king. The baig pronita by the immanitios; him mation ane goverted from whthes, the cul-



 porvestion coth of liwnard 1.1 cee Stolites, Cueos. Hios. in Ju;
to him. He attracts men to his land; the serf who lives there unclaimed for year and day is privileged against recapture.

When new manors come to the king's hands thay do not oure enjoy these immunities. On the other hand, when the kiug dicturnene, gives away in fee farn or otherwise one of the ancient manors, almayns the donee takes it with all its privileges. This we may say is droursume. an illustration of a general rule of law :- the escheat of a uesue luriship should leave unaltered the rights and duties of those who are the subjects of that lordship, and if a lord puts a mesne betiveen himself and his tenant, that tenant should
ip. aes. wether guin nor lose by the change. Thus, once ancient demesne, always ancient demesne. The tenants who have been free of will but liable to tallage should still be free of toll but liable to tallage, though the king has ceased to be and the Prior of Barawell has become their immediate lord.

All this would make the ancient demesne of importance in the tistury of political arrangements, in the hiswry of the franchises, of justice, police and finance, though here the franchises and immunities enjoyed by the king's estates would have to take their place beside the very similar franehisses and immunities enjoyed by the estates of other privileged persons. But we do not at once see why there should be any form of lanil tenure peculiar to the ancient demesue. However, such a form of land tenure there is.

Briefly stated, the phenomenon which deserves investigation The is this:-On the ancient demesme there a large class of per proublem sons whose economic and social position is much the same, if not quite the same, as that of the ordinary holders in villeinage. but who are very adequately prolected by law, or by custom which has all the foree of law, in the enjoyment of their tencments. This protection is given to them by two remedies specially adapted to meet their case; the one is 'the little writ of right close according to the custom of the manor,' the other is the writ of Monstraverunt. We will speak first of these remediee and then of the class for whose sake they exist.

The 'little wrot of nght elose' is not uutike the 'great writ Tho birtle of right patent.' This latter is the ordinary proprietary rikitit.

[^257]remedy for one who thakes that he oughe to hold laskd by frow renure of a mome lond. The writ patent is dineread by the king to the torsme loord ; it bids him 'holid full righe ' p plenum rectum temeas) to the demmardant and melds a threat that if be is reunine, the king's sheriff will interfers'. The lord then, if he han a court, holix a court, and justice can there be domes to the dermabudant, though there are several waye in wheh the cowe can be withdrawn from him errbual nud nesnuevel first into the cunnty erourt and then inta tho kang's evurt. Nuw the littlo writ in a similas writ. It in disuctend by the king to ste basliffs of the manor2-thin will be so whether the ding is hinmelf the inmerlate lord of the unaor or whethor it io to the hauds of a mewue-and it bids the bniliffrs do full right tos the demandant 'acconding to the cuntwm of the matnor'? It contains no threat of the mhenffin interference, and this ray the the reasus why it is a cluse wnt' mad aut a 'patent wrat.' since no one hut the recipient, who is not a public utherial, in styured to act apon it. Thercupurn then count of the nasture proceeds to hear and is fully compertant to determine the canses. Stll it acta undor surveillance. If it is gong wobog, she ohond can be sent with four knighte of the county to watch sta pris coedinys: and them are means by which the umathar cats bo brought before the king's contral cours!. Thes wris. we al, is in use both when the manor is in the king'a hand, ar thas the demandant in claimang to hold immedialely of hum, and atac when the manor has leen given th a rucase lord. In the lataes rave the lood himaulf may be the deferidunt. Si, botige on llos king in the immednate lord, there can be no writ againas the lund; of course net; but the would-be penaut of a fee
 than the mightiest of the lamins ; he who would get juetice- oust of the kug zoust potition fur it in bumble wien Bys mown the manur bins bewn given to a subjert, then the writ will live agranst him; he conl be neypured to do justice in a man is which, if the complaint be true he humeif in the evesi

[^258]
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doer. This is a remarkable point. The abbot of Ramsay holds the manor of King's Ripton, which in part of the anoient demesme. Joan of Alconbury think that she ought to hold eight mores which are in the abbot's hand. The abbot is summoned once, bwice, thrice and then distrained ance, twice, thrice, to appear in hie own court and answer her demand ${ }^{2}$.

Now solong as the manor is in the king's hand, the case of Mraning of the persong of whom we are speaking may not seem to differ writ redically from the case of villein temants Any one who claims to bold in villeinage is likely to get good enough justice in the lord's court, provided that his opponent be not the lord. The difierence may seem to be merely procedural. When a man claime villeis land in an ordinary mamor, he proceeds without
[p.370] any writ; ordinary lorde do not keap chanceries; when he clains unfree land (for so we will for the rooment suppose it to be) in a manor of whioh the king is the immediate lord, and which is regarded at part of the parmatant eudowment of the crown, he must use a writ. This is bat a detail. For a moment we may even feel inclived to say that there is nothing in the distinction but that love for parchment sad was which is natural to egovernment office. Even when it is added that the court of a manor on the ancient demeane acte under the supervision of the courts of common law, we may find analogies for this on the estates of prelates and other great lords. Such a lord sometimes has a central court, an 'honorial' court, which controls the doings of his manorial courts; the so-called courts of common law, it may be said, are the king's central court, the court of the great honour of England. Still, though there may be some truth in these suggestions, they must not be suffered to conceal a really important distinction. In the case of the ancient demesne, even while the manor is immediately subject to the king, the consuetudo manerii is put on a level with the law of the realm; it is enforced by the highest of all tribunals; indeed it is lex et consuetudo manerii ${ }^{3}$. Nor is the mere use of a writ of no importance; it solemnly sanctions the custom. We have far more reason for saying that the distinction between 'great' and 'little,' between 'close' and

[^259]'open ' than that the destinction between 'writ' and 'no writ' as trivin. But when the manur goes uut of the king's hatud, then there is a truly abnormal state of affairs; the king compela the lord to do juetice to clamantas of hand who yet claus nu frechold. A climax in reached when the lurd bimself has to answer in the manorial court and submet humelf in its proces.

The Hion. ofnegermat

Ithis as at all. The little writ serves the turs of a mans who clams land accurding to the custom of the manor; bus the tenanta of whom we are speaking are protected, aod pin). tectul collectively, against any increase of thetr servins. Thas is very plaus when the manur is th tho hauds if a monne lomi. If he atternpts to increame the customary nervines, mone of the , wl ternaries, acting on behalf of all, will g" wo the royal chaneery and ublaus a writ against him. Such a writ bugus whth the word Monstraverunt!. The king addresser the lousl. -' A. H and $C$, men of your manor of $X$. which is of the ancient dememe of the cruwa of Englatul, have shiwn is that giu exe-t froms them other customs and grvices than thow wheh shay awe. and which their ancestem did in the time whon that manar was in the hauds of our preveresinom, kinge of England. therefore we command you $\omega$ cenne froms such exactuns, whermse we shall onder our sherifi' to interfere.' The tond being deal the thas command, anuther writ is acint compellong hum to cones and answer for his disubcelience before the kung or lwfore the justices of the Bench. When the rase collues before? the migal evort, the complusaants have in the first place for show thas the
 for this purpose an a conclusives remb. Them, of thas fart to prowed or sulmitied, there arises the quewtion whet her tho lows han exacted unaceustomeal sorvices, nad if then zo anawered
 then we see a clang of cemanta who ase mut irmehotione bins Whis ane fully protectend is the king's mourt agrause tho is liment Of cousme if the ramnor in in the kingis hand. there is no place for this procedure ${ }^{2}$. Stall if the ternutite allegre thas tbey are betug opprenoed by the kugg buslitia, they can purazat a

[^260]petition to the king and the matter will be investigated in the exchequer.
372. And now we may ask, who are the persons for whose sake Thecleses these remedies exist. Bracton in a classical passage tellis us if freanotonty that on the king's demesne there are several kinds of men. In ntutrment. the first place there are serfs or born bondmen who were (ia in the persons of their ancestors) serfis before the Conquest, at the Conquest and after the Conquest, and to this day they perform villein services and uncertain services and they are bound to do whatever is commanded to them, provided it be lawful and right. And at the Conquest there were free men who freely held their tenements by free services or free customs, and, when they were cjected by the mighty, they came back and received the same tenements to hold ia villeinage by doing servile works, but certain and specitied works; and they are called glebae ascriptitii and none the less are they free men, for, albeit they do servile works, still they do these, not by reason of persoual status, but by reasou of their tenure; aud for this reason they canuor bring the assizes of novel disseisin or mort d'ancestor [the freeholder's possessory remedies], for their tenement is silleinage, though privileged villeinage; they can only bring the little writ of right according to the custom of the manor; and for this reason are they called glebue ascriptitio, for they
${ }^{1}$ As to thin last point Bet Vinogradofi, p. 108. It is very probable that the Sonatraverunt did not become a wris 'of course' ontil a comparatively late tizne. It is not mentioned by Glabvill or Bracton, nor bave we found it in any Rexintrum Broviuzo of Heary III.'E reign. Thers is wome wign that tho stop of makiog it a writ ' of courne' wes not taken antil 1290 . In that year the mon of Grundon, aseerting that hey were on the auciont decoesae, oumplamed of their lorde to the ling. The petision is thas endorsed: 'Let the Chancellor convene she justices and provide for thin and aimilar cases a remedy to endure for all time': Kot. Pari. i, 60. But auch white were in une early in Hoary LIL.'s reign: Noln Book, pl. 1930, Isy7, Placit. Abbrev. 118, 119 ; and were extremaly cotmon in the early years of Edward I. The comparatively late appearance of this writ as a writ de curzn is ao proof that the principle which 18 exufuroed whas bew; but it is, an Vinogradoll has well argued, some prout that the procedure aquinat meanc lordn grew out of a prosedure akaiust royal builifts. Agunat the royal bailiffo there would aaturally be no writ 'of course' : if a man would complain of the king'a ageats he must begin with a petition to the king. A) to the litule writ of nght, Glanvill does not, and hat no oceanion to mention this: tn his day 'original write' of any kind were sull somewhat new an normal antifules of the law. On the othor hand the writ is found in a Kegatrum of Beary 111 .'s timu as a writ de curcu and is curreatly mentioned by Brachon ma well-knowu thing; wee Meillasd, Begister of Oruginal Writs, Harvard Law Heview, iil. 170.
anjoy the privilege of not being removed from the moil so hag tan they do their naght service-uc aratter to whoee hambs the king' ${ }^{\prime}$ demeane may come; nor can they be compolled to huind their tenementen agninst their will. Then there in anothers wis of metl on the king's manors who bold of the demeste by the manc cusuman and villein marviens as the nbwer, and they the sot hold sh villeinage nor are they merfa, nor were they sueb at or before the Conquest, but they boid under correnant which they have maxdeg with the lond, and mome of them han eliarto on and wone have not, and, if they are cjected from their lezse-
 dinseisin, and their herss ahall have the smaize of tneure d'anceratur. And there are other surts of suen in the kingis manums and io fol demesnes, whe there, as mught be the case ele where. beld freely
 mant snade since the C'ozyuest'.
firecturis - taternacht legal theory, but two teuures that munt for our premedt purpens. be dintingushad, on the ascient demsone we have at least three. These are frecholders of the common kind, boiduig in free ancage or by military merviee, and they repurre ese njwial remodue. There are merfo holding in ahowlute villowage. Buts between than there wa ciner of tenante whom Bractons adrity enough calle gldute uscriputis becaume thry cau not tee rgatid from their boldngx; they are frow men, they cask leave thos tenormonts when they will; they huld by valtern servicea. bus servicus which are certain; they uee the litte whb of ngth Lantly there in a claes to which we may be alloweds sos give the Hawe of 'couventioners'? They dafteg from the ascrpatis rather in the orggin of their bohleng and in the nature of thous reunerlies that in the subutance of thear nighta nent dutires The uscrijutitio ane supposed wo troee the ungin of theotr claes back us the Consuuest, they hold by customary tenum ; the 'conventionem' hold under modern agrewinenta, and it so arguable that, though they dos villew extices, they have the ordiary remedies of frevoholdion.
A merra elatersuant.

In auother and equally well known pasagos we hear if the
 referevee to she anciest demosme, and retanakg that willeinogr

[^261]may be either absolute or privileged. Absolute villeinage is the tenure of one who, be he free or be he serf, is bound to do whatever is commanded him, and does not know in the evening what he must do in the morning. Then there is a villeinage which is not so sbeolute; as when land is granted by covensant to a free man or a serf for fixed, though villein, customs and services. If such a 'conventioner' is ejected, Bracton (disallowing the opinion which would give him the freeholder's aesires) holds that his proper remedy is an sotion on the covenant. Then, says he, there is another tind of villeinage 8743 which is held of the king from the Conqueat of England, whick is called villein socage, and is villeinage though privileged villeinage; for the tenants of the king's demesnes have this priviloge that they may not be removed from the soil so long as they can and will do their due sarvice, and these 'villein sokemen' are properly called globas accriptitii; they do villein, but fized and specified, services. Lastly, he once more remarks that in a royal manor there may be knights and freeholders, holding by military service or by free socage?

Theee freeholders we may diswaise from our minds; they the foor have and they require no peculiar remedies; indeed, the term temsanta. 'ancient demesne' having begun to imply peculiar remedies, we find it contrasted with 'freehold,' and in a judgment of Edward I.'s reign we are told that the lord of the manor, be he the king or no, can change 'ancient demesne' into 'freehold' by enfeoffing a tenant ${ }^{2}$; after such a feoffment the tenement is no longer ancient demesne, but 'is at the common law'.' The case also of the 'conventioners' we may for a while postpone, for it is not very important, though it is very curious. There remain two classes of tenants: those who hold in absolute villeinage and those who in Bracton's terms hold in privileged villeinage, or in villein socage, and who are villein sokemen and 'ascript to [i.e. irremovable from] the soil.' It is the men of this last class who use the little writ of right.

Such is the legal doctrine, and at some points it corre- The theory sponds well with what we can learn of actual arrangements. bypractice.

[^262]On an ordinary manor we rarely find morv than two claceso of tenanta that can be called legal clasmes. We may find mone than two economse claseess:-in the common cace there will be a clase of argaters, a clase of half-vingaters, a clase of cruftem anil notters, and there may well be a clase of tenanta who jmy rents and do but little labour, while other clasaes must do 'week work'-we find censuanii as well as operarii. Alow. as already said, we may find some wnants (but hardly elnowes of tenantes) about whome tunung we may doubt whether is be froehold or no. Still in general there as a clear dichnoneny: there are frewhulders nod then there is one sther gnont elaw The lather may be called by tliferent names ancoreding to the taxte of the jurom; sum members may be termed serri, sultor, burdi, rillani. cortumarii, consuetudsmarii; but logally theif tenure is alwayg the watme : thoy hold necoreting to the cumemen of the manor but their tenure is unrecogmaed by the krma'e cuurts. When, however, in turning over the Handen Rollo Wh onine uposa a manor of the ancient dornowise, wo ofton wee a mare elaborate atmbification, and in particular we nawl of enkemen; and converonely whess wio thin trare Minderater etratitiontion and liscaver nokernen, wo can usually fearn thas we are on the anciont demewne. Thus at Sinham in C'antondereshire, beeides urdmary freeholdere, thero are free mileterpaz, bword sokemen, and wiltrim, and at Fordham theme ane ontuary tree holdem, sokemess and miluni! We harily thend tho lastamoay
 minices ralla Kegis? In Huntingatunshire at Brampitue there are frocholiders, frow mokermen, and bund wikermans at Alroubury

 shire surveys withuut mingling out the manker of Bemomgtons. with ita innny Wheri solemumni, who ase hopt afurt fomm the libere lenentea, and inferring that it was a mador of ans ordinar?
 - little writa of right which anv stitchesl to thesr meembradae.
 by entmes which show that laud on finuly buoghe atul mins: and if is the Hurotrad Killo we are colit that the curtumans
1 A. II. Hi. 201-2

1 H. H. $\operatorname{sen} 12$

- D). B. t. Yus b.
- K1t. 4. iss
- Sivlert Plose in Mapuriol Courta, P. 100-184
of Chesterton have sold their half-virgatea, we hardly need look wo see whether Chesterton be not dominica villa Regis ${ }^{\text {. }}$.

We have, however, no little difficulty in marking off Bracton's nimmenty 'absolute villeinage' from his 'privileged villeinage.' His test fymmest the is the 'cortainty' or 'uncertainty' of the services due from the ternata. tenant. But. as we have already scen, there lurks an ambiguity in these simple terms. If by saying that a tenadt owes servitia certh et nominuta, we inean that the terms of his tenure are defended by legal remedies, remedies the administration of which either belougs to, or is at least supervised by, the highest ${ }^{3}$ arb] court in the land, then we are treading a vicious circle: the remedies are given because the services are certain, the sarvices are certain because the remedies are given. If, on the other hand, we look at the nature of the services, and say that they are certain if they can be defined without any reference to the lorl's will, then we exact too much from those who are to claim the law's protection. The men of King's Ripton in Huntingdonshire used the little writ of right, they used the Monstruverunt, they distrained their lord, the abbot of Ramsey, to answer them is the manorial court; but, according to an 'extent' made by their representatives, they were bound to work one day a week ail the year round 'at whatever work he conmanded them' and three days a week during August and September. Of them it might well be said that when they went $w$ bed on Suaday night they did not know what they would have to do on Monday. In short, here as when we were outside the ancient demesne we come upun a inatter of degree. There is hardiy a tenaut of whom it can be said that no custom prevents him from having wo do just whatever services the lord may command ; on the other liand, there is harcily a tenant doing any substantial arnount of agricultural labour, of whom it can be sald that he has never to attend to the lord's will; even the true freehulder must do his bnon works in auturnn, and the very essence of a boon work is that, within some spacious limit, deseribed by sucb a word as 'harvest-time,' it must be dune when it is asked for. How low down in the social and economic scale the protection given by the little writ and the Monstraverunt would go is excellently shown by the case of Ripton Hegis. When pressed in pleading, the tenants admitted that ever since Henry I's day they had been paying arbitrury reliefs, arbitrary

[^263]tallages, arbitrary merchet; but still they uned the little writ and the Monatraveruat, and, if the abbot wought to make them work two dinys a week instead of one, they had their remedy in the king's court ${ }^{1}$.

Prariral dofticuthere

This heing so, the lawyers never seem able to obenin any firm hold for their theory. They can reptat that thow anv three clases of teaante, free men, villeibs and mokertsen; but how to draw the line betwent mere villoptuge and the amagr renure of ancient demesme is a difficult pmblem? It in sus as though we had inercly to fix the datinction at mome une prubt $p$ sm in a siugle sealu of degreen; there are mayy scales os well es many degreva. Hesiden the senle of agricultural labour with ita intinite particulara, there are the meales of vallagu, of relsef. of herriut, of merchet. Eveu if, followiag Brachon, we myy that the sokeman should at least be porsonally frme and fro to guit han tenernent, the men of Kingin Rupton will appoal agruns our judgment, for at leatut they dol all that free meth ought note th do according to Ingal themonos. Thry Imy arbermery tallegs: arbitary merchet, they can nut have thelr surs ordaisond, thoy
 when all this has been proverd against thems, they fu on using the little writ of right and dixtraming their bumbl. (Jur Law never aursounted these biffioultom until teauro in wille tham was proketeal by the king's court under the mome of mply hoint conare, aud tha line betwey:n common copyhald and the prov-
 Rignticance. Fiven then many a curmus, if unamportant, probs. lum was left for law yorm to fight aver.
Sokemanry It the other hutad, we tuark off the tonume of the mokeman. and werespr which is sometimes callied 'mokemanary $\because$ ' from the frewhold lesure known as free mocnge was to tany lak: the very wurdo that we employ in atating the probletin abow that thes whe an

[^264]The question whether 'the customary freeholders' who appear in our later books were really freeholder and as such entitled to vote in the election of knights of the shire, the question which required for its solution, not merely the learning of a Blackstone, but the authority of an act of parliament ${ }^{2}$, was a question prepared of old. The sokeman on the sncient demesne can not usually be accounted a freeholder; the liberi solcemaneni are marked off in the 'extenta' from the libere tenentes; they use the little writ of right: they can not use .878] the great writ or the poseessory assises which speak of seisin of free tenement. But is this 80 always? There is extant an elaborate opinion given by a lawyer of Fidward L's day, one Aunger of Ripon, and it is found in 80 many manuecripts that certainly it must have been considered very sound and useful: He eayg that, according to his masters, there are three cases in which a tonant, who hoids part of the soil of the ancient demesne, may use the assise of novel disseisin. The first is the case of a freeholder who holds in an ancient demesne manor, and this we may pass by. The second is where one of the sokemen has enfeoffed some free 'outsider' (liber homo eatrineecus) and this feoffee has been left undisturbed for a while by the lord; if after this he is ejected by the lord or any other, he can bring the assize. This case is quite intelligible because if my villein makes a feoffment, I must eject the feoffee at once or not at all, since otherwise he will be able to bring the assize against $\mathrm{me}^{2}$ :-for the law of the thirteenth century is rigorous against self-help. But thirdly, if any 'outsider' ejects a sokeman, the latter can bring the assize; this must be so (argues Aunger) for if someone ejects my mere villein, that villein by my leave will be able to recover in an assize; a fortiori we argue to the case of a sokeman whose estate is superior to that of a villein4. Thus, according to this remarkable opinion, the term 'free' when applied to a

[^265]Wenernent is a relative term-we shall see in the next chapter that the lesm 'free' when appled to a person is a rolatise cera-for white an between himself and his lond the sokemmo is no frocholder, still as regards all 'outkiders' he cans ey that he thes a free wenement, and, if ejected by them, he can tuake grood the nesertion that he has boen dismised de libero lememouto two. Thun we see that the perplexing temanology of later dinys which knows of 'customary freeholds' which are 'prows. leged copsholds, has a very abcteut root. Even the lawyen of the thirteenth century, or some of them, mainminet thas for certain purgeness the sokeman had 'a free umement!' Nir oumb is this ntrange, fur the class which was ualug the litale writ of nght wan mincellaneotus. If, no the one hand, it incladesi men like theme of King's Ripton who were stamperd with every combenon mark of permotisl mervility, it included to the others hand suess who had valumblo interowen in conememtas, whels
 without any interferesicu uts the purt of thoar Inrd. Sisch men neve broughe befors as by a judguent of Vidward I a duy. when they sell their lauds they du sut even sum-nder them inte the lurd's hand, they make a fenfirnent an a ferehobider
 is enrolled in the manumal court; for all thes, buwever. ' beo wht ruas among thes buse the Isttle writ of nghe:'

Later Lhesitr and blyericn.

Wi, mant not here reconat tho aubmepuent fate of the cennate on the ancteat demasine, nor wothlat thas bee desy, fine it is clear that, if the law twelf did not undergu mach chatger. the termen in which it wose expresend were unstable. Bus wiv tany nute that nu opunion grew up that the elase prosoveted by the liteles writ of right what really a clawe of firetholders and chen the infornerce was drawn that heranta whe elsegated
 but by a surrender intu the hatods of the hord, coolid sort uea the litele writ because they were nust frewhidions. This downtio cumes to the frout early in the tiftewth century, at a times, shat

[^266]is, when it was no longer capable of doing much harm to those 'sokemen of base tenure' whom it excluded frum the benefits of the little writ, since under the name of copyholders they were on the paint of obtaining a perfectly adequate pratection under other write But, as already said, the difficully whs prepared of old'.

And now two questions may occur to us. First, why should there be a peenliar class of customary tenants on those manors which have been in the king's hand ever siace the Nurman Conquest? Secondly, why should the king interfere for the protection of customary tenants even when those manors have passed out of his own hand? The second question is the more easily answered. There has been an application of a very general rule of law which has come before us on more than one occasion. It may be thus stated:- the transfer of a lordship from one person to another should not affect the position of the tenants; as regards them it is res inter alios actes. When an honour escherats to the king, the temants of that honour do not become liable to the special burdens which lie on those who are regarded as having held immediately of the crown from all time; the honour has still a nutional existence for their benefit. Even so when the king parts with one of his ancient manors and pute a mesne lord over it, the tenants are neither to gain nor to lose by this transaction; as regards them, their rights and duties, the manur is still couceived as part of the royal demesne. A bye motive may secure the observance

[^267]of this general rule in the cne that is now beform une The kng harlly regards thome manurs as having utherly catesnd an be has, for, to suy nothing of a possible act of resumption' and to any nothing of ewcheats and forfeitunss, innny of these manore aso let out w the mesne lords at suhmantial rents; they are behd at 'fee farn' and the king in concerned wo that the seourity for his nent is not impainod. It would be ampaned were the wermats ill treabad. Thin point, of inaportance in social history, is brought uut by many action* for 'wase' eued $f$ al by warla againat their guardians, the guamian haw not merels
 'exileel' or impoverished the villeins'. Stall the deare to kerp well sheckerl and will manuged the manom which mupply the kugg wath bin fees fursin resta, cass sesve but to give a litile addetional force to a genseral rule of law. It is a rule wheth cuts both ways If we tind u-nanten ergeriy contemding that they wre on the privileged moil, we may also find, though haritly so ofted, a lond affirming that his manor in on the anmont demesne while the whant denies than The njwead law for the old putrmony of the king will protit now ofoce mod now the wher party to the beraure".

The kizue proserta as, .1. 1 ertibetisak.

We corne then w the saain yucation. Why on shome manows which have never le $\Omega$ the king's hand us there a lange clam of Wuanta such as are hardly to be found elswhere, a cisw of 'sokemen,' boldiug in 'privilegend vilhorage' 1 All the evudeore that we have conspires to tell us that thems han beve less chatia on these suaturs than else where, and that the phenotnesmon beri ter us is an unuraual degree of conservatimen. In the firat place, the very mane of ' nncient tomosme' whowe un that the law supproan itaelf tu be conservative. It is tmantroning the Cintrumet extis... noent. To decule the quegtion whether a manuer bo atwisms dentestre or no, ut will go back far beyourd all indigary sorme of

1 Finta, p. 3-4. Briturn, i. 281-2.

 jpouts fugnvit







limitation and prescription, far beyond 'the beginning of legal memory'; it will be content with no evidence save that of the great survey. Nay in theory the ancient demesne grined its specific quality before Domesday Book was made. The lawyers of the fourteenth century had some doubts as to the exact moment of time at which the manor must have been in the king's hand in order to make it ancient demesne for good and all, and the rule of evidence that they had adopted, namely that no testimony was admissible save that of Domesday Book, must have tended to cause some little confusion; still on the whole they think that the privileged manors are 'the manors of St Edward '1. In this, though hardly in any other, context they will go behind the Norman Conquest. In the second place, Bracton regards these sokensen as an ancient race; it holds its lands under a great concession made to it soon nfter the Conquest. If new settlens come onto the ancient demesne, whatever rights they may gain under agreements made with their lords, they are not sokemen nor entitled to the peculiar privileges of sokemen. This theory, however difficult of application two centuries after the Conquest, was no idle theory; we are constantly reminded that the special characteristics of the ancient demesne, if they inhere in certain tenements, inhere also in 'the blood of the sokemen.' Thus when the men of 'Tavistock have recuurse to a Monstranerunt, it is objected that many of them are adventtitis. Thus the men of King's Ripton hold themselves to be a privileged race; even the ordinary rules of inheritance must yield when the choice is between a claimant who is not ' of the blood of the vill' and one who is'. Thns

[^268]agran, Aunger of Ripon truats the litule writ of right an a remedy which has place ouly where both parties are burn sokemen, or whers one is a bora wokeman and the wher the
 Thirdly, wetheut examining at any length the tormunning of, , 4 Donnemalay Boxik, wo cars say at onee that thr ancient demmespe manom of the thirterath cuatury have prowerved, white ntibes tuanora have lest, some fearures which in tho liomqueror's surey aro by noo meann pecular to the roynt villongen; it in on the ancient demosne thast we find mure chan une legal clase of teruntes who are not frechulders; it is on the nuceent demeane that wr
 of mokemen.

Vhy the lant jurniteves has tenation

Why has the kiug bere shown himelf as a comervative' Certanly we enn not anowers that it in in the satun: of kiope to be conservative or solve the problem by an allusiun to ther inertaese of a guvernment bureau. In maters of law the rugni power has burin the great diaturbing force, the king has twen the radical refurmer. Uf course it is well th obeerve that no a royal manour there hardly can be any of thome 'half-ngtote (if such a cosm may be juvented) that may extat clowhore The custom of a royal zrawor, if the kung reengrizom if as all mume atand on much the mune level an the law of she land. It will be admimalered by royal ufticurs, anes in the lans neows it will be adoumumened by ruynd uffieven whin happen so be the juiges of the supreme cuurt of law. Still the kage wattore thes aud holdm hamaelf bound to sufter ih, and han judges, for exumples. Brocun, say that be is trourwl kr wuffer is, eay that the sukemen ane irresuovable so long wa shey do their mervees say that their mervicen are germitia certa ef nownacta. Whab wre

 tork to the raolatate mather than to the royal estatom but is to as reapece for custum, an arknowlendgacest that the rulion antmumatered in his manural courts have all the forree of Low




 Em ano I. B. 81-2 Bde 1 p. Sol.
there can be no talk of his being forced to keep it. Another lord will draw a firm line between the rights of his freehold tenats, which he can be compelled to observe, and the rights, if such they are to be called, of his customary tenants, which he can ignore with impunity, and, as a remedy in the king's supreme court is wore and more regarded as a touchstone of every would-be right, he will begin to reason that there is no right where there is no compulsion. It is otherwise with the king. If he ejects his sokeman, mo action will lie against him; none will lie against him if he disseises the palatine earl. In cither case the person wronged can but petition for right; in either case the wrongdoer must answer for his act before the one tribunal competent to try him; he must appear before the throne of God. Murally the king can never be as irresponsible as is another lord of a manor, just because legally no bounds, or no definite bounds, are set to his irresponsibility. Nen will not easily distinguish between his two capacities. If a landlonl, he is atill the king, the supreme judge over all men, the fountain of justice; he has sworn to do justice; the abbot, the barun, the kuight have taken no such vath. We may add that the king is bound to maintain the laws and customs of 'the glorions king St Edwand his predecessor.' Should ho not then begin at home? It is as the temants of St Eslward that the men of the ancient demesne claim his protection ${ }^{2}$.

Speaking generally we have said that outside the ancient Cumbmary demesine all the tenures of the nou-frerholding peasantry are in law oue thure, tenure in villeinage. This is the doctrine of the Lawyers of the thirteenth century, and on the whule it is well burne wut by the manorial 'extents.' Economically considered there are many modes of peasant tenure, for the tenement may be large or stnall, the agricultural services may be light or heavy. 'week work may be exacted or money may be taken: but just as the modern lawyer makes 'leaschold tenure' cover such economically different things as a lease of a house in London and a leare of a farm, a lense for a year and a lease for a thrusand yeara, beneficial leases sand leases at rack rent, so all these modes of peasant tenure can be brought under one head. The legal quality which they have in common and wheh keeps them together, is, we may say, their customary quality; they are nut protected by the law of the king's courts.
${ }^{1}$ Soe the sormation oath of Edward II., Stubls, Const. Hiss. ii. 317.
but thry are protected, more or lewe perfoctiy, by the customs administered in the manorial court Lagally they form wow tenure, beause in all cases the kuld of photertion that they receive is the wime. In this quality them ane no dogresen, or nose that ran be fixed with lexal precimion. Of cunsou there an. grod and bad landlunds, handlonin who reaperet the cuchias, lasdlords who break it, cotservative Laudlords asul smaroving landlourds; but all this is no mather of law. What wede snot see is that one and the same landlund in oue aud the matne manor admite that he has divern claswen of non-finehobling tennots, wheh differ frome each other in the valudity of thess tenture; what we do not see is a 'pavileged' besade an 'aberlute' villemage. Still there ant excuptuben, aud jurhmus, wen' they all collected, they would forms a comsulerable aman: in particular if tho docurnents cobcerruigg Keor, Enat Anmian and Nurthumbria were jatiently exammen. In a cartulary of this iwriftu century, in the Black Besk of Petortornsugh. we still find on rone and the warne manor varinus clowers in tenasha bevring the namew wheh are fimiluar wall whe read Dounewilay Buak. There nre large groupw of eochemanns whis ane kept well apart from the villani, but wher very probbabily could not have made gewd a claim to be considerent as frow. huldern in the king's court'. Even th the Humberl Realts we may, thuugh as a rarsty, find a clasm of suketnen marked of from the freetuldem on the cone harul aind the somases is villernage on the other, though the manor is not on the. ascient derneste. It is at swavency it Cimbridgrations. Whan IDomosselsy Bowk was zamile Ciount ALan hold it atud is is selll beld by Elle-ll de in Zouche 'an if the burnour inf Britanuy'. She bian frewhold wetrances, agroup of evilase whor bold de villenagio. a group of artam; but heades ithere A group of sodrmerani whe hold sulvelond! In the worth tive
 from the 'tanarika in hondage si' and, if she Kerntioh gavilmal




[^269]tenure was still spoken of as though it were not absolutely 'free'; it may be contrasted with 'frank fee' just as the tenure ${ }^{\text {s6] }}$ of the king's sokemen may be contrasted with 'frank fee ${ }^{1}$.'

To this we must add that modern courts of law have from time to time been puzzled by the appearance before them of classes of tenants seeming to occupy a middle state between that of freeholders and that of copyholders. They are said to hold 'according to the custom of the manor,' but not 'at the will of the lord'; they convey their tenements sometimes by surrender and admittance in the lord's court, sometimes by a deed of bargain and sale followed by an admittance; often they are subject to some of the usual burdens of copyhold tenure. They have come sometimes from manors which formed part of the ancient demesne, sometimes from other manors; in particular they have often come from a part of England in which, if Domesday Book be the final test, there can be no ancient demesne, namely, from the northernmost counties. Now it would be foolish to argue that the ancestors in law of any given group of such tenants enjoyed in the thirteenth century a condition superior to that of the ordinary tenants in villeinage. The full formula which is supposed to describe the tenure of the copyholder-' to hold at the will of the lord according to the custom of the manor'-is seldom found on the earliest court rolls. Any set of early court rolls is likely to show many variations in the phrases used about one and the same set of tenements, and in any particular case the omission of all allusion to the will of the lord from the formula which became current in the manorial court or the steward's office, may be of recent origin and the outcome of an accident. An example may show how rash such inferences may be. The Dean and Chapter, successors of the Prior and Convent, of Durham have (it is said) no copyholders, having succeeded in proving that their peasant tenants held only for life and without any right of renewal. The Bishop of Durham has, or lately had, plenty of copyholders. But in all probability the explanation of this difference is to be found in what from our point of view are comparatively modern times. The convent, like many other

[^270]religious housen, torik steps to provent its villems ar 'londag". 'Gai
 whe leas far-sighted than the 'ompporation aghrogata!'. And agnain, the moderu camen which intrutuce un wo cuntomary frowholders" seldom tell us of mure than one chane of custariary: cennate on the manor that is in quemtion :-on that sausere there are no tenauts who are sand to hold 'nt the will of the lord'. 'still when all the monters evidece is 4 aken in the mben it supports the mference that we should have drawn innas the state of the aucient demeane. That interense in that the very general sheence in the thrieventh century of any clase of tenaute medinte between the frechelders, who chisey foll innd immerlinte myal protection, and the customary temauts, whol tan tmen are begmning to any) huld at the will uf the lord, is if late origna, the efficet of legal rulete and legal thennem mather than of ancient economic facta

## No placo

 for : teture briment
## trmelkold

 anil+illibuage.
With ita newly centralized rogal juxtice, the lave of the tharteenth ceatmry thes uo place for the silkeman. Britu when bue is premerved on the reyal demesne, it harily knows how to doal with him, can harilly deevide whether hee is a frechetter, thinks that he mayy be a free holder an regardes sumer nudid not as regzants othera. Gutside the ancient denuenve it properes the difenman - P'ontected by the king of not proterted by the king, and it zan protected by hirn, then hold at the will of the lomi.' But if un atrive wo go bechind the amazing actinty of the kung in ontrt on behind a new thrigg, if we thonk of the freventider as harmig to go is the first instance to han lori'n court and hardly abli 200 matter of fact the get enuch furtber, then the alge of the dilemama is blunted. That the applimation of then lengeal weapun did sombe ummertiate harn til the highere chawe if peasants can hardly be doubsed. Our legal temmandogy dowe indeasl suggest that nut a few of them, in juarticular nos a for

 tenure: a sebure by which ewen in Hramene day taneso and knighta are well content to hold? But, on ther whocue the diectrine of the lanyetn sevens to have teren that aty mavidet.

 erviee which in many prortctulars will be divee at the enil of the, s

[^271]lord. Such a ductrine must have condemned many a sokeman of the ewelfth century to hold in villeinage.

But of the past history of those tenures which are not The frechuld we must not speak in this place, for, however sharply tiouers.: the lawyers may contrast the two, villein tenure is, as a matter of fact, closely connected with villein status, \& topic which will come before us in the next chapter. We have, however, yet to say a few words about a class of tenants who passed under our notice when we were transeribing Bracton's account of the ancient demesne. Marked off from the 'privileged villeinage' of the sokeman stands the tenure of certain adventitio, who, though they perform services similar to those of the sokewetl, do not belong to that privileged race. They are regnirded as ' outsiders' who have recently come to the manor, who have taken tenements under agreements (conventiones), who must perform agricultural services and who are protected by law; but their title to protection is given them not by the custom of the mauur, but by the terms of the agreement; we have called them 'conventioners'.' Bracton's own opinion seems to be that the ir rights are not 'real' rights; on the contrary, they are penonal, coutractual rights, to be enforced not by possessory or proprictary actions but by an action on the covenant. However, he admits that others thought differently, would have allowed these men the possessory assizes and therefore, for this would follow, would have treated them as freeholders. Bracton's dictrine about this matter represeats, so we may guess, rather a pansing inclination than a settled practice. Two great causes made against its perdurance. In the first place, the theory that the sokomen were a privileged race, that the privilege ran, if we may so spoak, rather in their blood than in their tenure, though we may find many traces of it, could not be permanently maintained. The day for racial laws was past, and as a matter of practice no barrier could be kept up between the natural progeny of the sokemen and these 'adventitious' conventioners. In the second place, the whole (2sej tendency of English land law was setting strongly in favour uf the principle that any one who has a right to be in the

[^272]cocupation of land has a right in the land, and whilus is occupation has a true posesessiun of the land. This is seen mow clearly in the trentraent of tenants for tertns of seam fiur a whort while an attempt had been made to treat them as haviag rightm, but mercly personsl, contractual rights; but, befinue Hracton wrute, the attempt had bruken dowa, and the termur was considerm sa posesesing the land and as having rights is it. And so with these conventioners:-Brachon'in sugremesura is very interesting. especially because be think that rven an unfree man may have a remedy upou a covennme agamet the covernnitor; bit we cannot find that it ntruek disep rows'. On the while, outsule the nacunt demesue, the law masntalan the duletuma, 'Frechuld, or unprolected by law:' whlu oren en the ascient demeane. ' Freehrild. Aboolute Villemagno. Privilugad Villemage (Sikemanry)' "xhauat all the proentole cama

Concla: aturla.

Thus at the end of this prolunged account of the law if tenure we are bmught back to n remark with which wo stamad Evarywhere we see at time aight a simplicity that in truly marvellous. All the varnegated facto of lagdtholdenhip have been brought under the sway of a single formula, the firmula of depmadent tebune, and the only thouden of wonure which the law distanguishea are very few. If the reader doem not thouk that our law is sample, be should leosk abruad ur be should lenok at the facts which our law has etrdenvisund to manter Hew
 proist is ita grand undertakagg. It has denals rudely with ito faits, it has seglecked many a diatinctura of preas anmal and economic importance, it han driven ita trenchant ductotian through the unddle of razural clavers and athwart soube unos of cuatomary morality; but it has been bold and strong and therefore smple.

[^273]
## CHAPTER II.

## THE SORTS AND CONDITIONS OF MEN.

90] OF the divers sorts and conditions of men our law of the Law of thirteenth century has much to say; there are many classes of pornaidition. persons which must be regarded as legally constituted classes. Among laymen the time has indeed already come when men of one sort, free and lawful men (liberi et legales homines) can be treated as men of the common, the ordinary, we may perhaps say the normal sort, while men of all other sorts enjoy privileges or are subject to disabilities which can be called exceptional. The lay Englishman, free but not noble, who is of full age and who has forfeited none of his rights by crime or sin, is the law's typical man, typical person. But besides such men there are within the secular order noble men and unfree men; then there are monks and nuns who are dead to the world ; then there is the clergy constituting a separate 'estate'; there are Jews and there are aliens; there are excommunicates, outlaws and convicted felons who have lost some or all of their civil rights; also we may here make mention of infants and of women, both married and unmarried, even though their condition be better discussed in connesion with family law, and a word should perhaps be said of lunatics, idiots and lepers. Lastly, there are 'juristic persons' to be considered, for the law is beginning to know the corporatiou.

But if for a while we fix our attention on the lay order, it may seem to us that, when compared with the contemporary law of France or at any rate of Germany, our law of status is poor: in other words, it has little to say about estates or ranks of men. Men are either free men or serfs; there is not much more to be said. When compared with tenure, status is unimportant.

408 The Sorts and Comlitions af Mers. [sk. It.
 term. Our mumbern Fingliah writarm un jumapurdenve are consstantly put en ahifin for a wood which nhall tomulate tho Intun atatiun and frop̧uenty binve to lonve it untratistatail, adeate woind innlee un think of rights in lnad, and condifagn alan hase hand work to do is our law of property and of obligntanas The faue in Eirsghand of the wond statue or eatate in wery cumous Bracton could still sharply oppose it to righta in land A favourike unaxim of bis is that a man's free or villens wome of a tenernemt doken mot affect his free or villein eatatel. Hut vory sown after him death we hear of a man having a statua in fee. simple ur a stutus for life, and though nuch a phrow as 'the three entatas of the renlm' may endure, and nur chumth may bid us proy 'for all estatam of men,' still the Engltoh lawyer when be hreans of estates will thusk tirse of nughes in Land. wiallo. the English faymsn will, like enough, think of land itmalf. of fields and houmes. This means that our land law has bown vartly mure important than our law of rauke And so is is as en ensly time ; we read murh more in the law-horike of tomatite by knightin mervice, nerjeanty. burgnge, nocmge, than of lenughen serjentata, burgesses and sokemets; bay, evera the krmat ifotinction betweres boad aud freve in apt tis appana in proctive rather an a distinction between tepares than as a dintumetuon betwern persous

## § 1. The Eiarls anal Burums.

Our law hardly known anythag of a nuble or if a grath
The baruage. clase; all froe then are tw the masu nqual before the law. Foir a moment thim may mesm merange. A ounpuand cobshty to harilly the ploce in whech wro whoulid lopole fore an rapuaits. whech, having rogard to other Imade, we munt call oxmpstimai Fiet in eroth it in the remalt of the Cisoyuent, themsigh e masalt

[^274]792] that was slowly evolved. The compiler of the Leges Henrici would willingly have given us a full law of ranks or eatates of men; but the materials at his command were too heterogeneous: counts, barons, earls, thegus, Norman milites, English radknights, vidames, vavassors, sokemen, villeins, ceorls, serfs, two-hundred men, six-hundred men-a text writer can do Little with this disorderly mass. But a strong king can do with it what he pleases; he can make his favour the measure of nobility; they are noble whom he treats as such. And he does not chonse that there shall be much nobility. Gradually a small noble class is formed, an estate of temporal lords, of earls and barons. The principles which hold it together are far rather land tenure and the king's will than the transmission of noble blood. Its members have political privileges which are the counterpart of political duties; the king consults them, and is in some sort bound to consult them, and they are bound wattend his summons and give him counsel. They have hardly any other privileges. During the baron's life his children have no privileges; on his death only the new baron becomes noble.

The privileges of the earl or the baron are, we say, ex-Privileges tremely few. Doubtless from of old every free man was eatitled of the to be judged by his peers ${ }^{\text {: }}$ : that is to say, he was entitled to insist that thuse who were to sit as his judges should not be of a legal rank lower than his own. Under the dominauce of the law of tenure this rule would take the form that a vassal is not to be judged by sub-vassals. So long as the king's court was a court of tenants in chief any man would have found there those who were at lesst his equals, and even in a county court there would bave been barons enough to judge any barun. As the administration of noyal justice gradually became the functiun of professonal lawyers, the cry for a iudicium parium was rased by the nobles, aud in words this was concerled to them ${ }^{2}$. For a long time, however, the concension had no very marked effect, because the court held coram. Rege, though for every-day purposes but a bench of professional justices, might at any moment assume a shape to which no baron could have taken exception; even a parliament to which all the barons had been pesy summoned might still be regarded as this same court taking

[^275]for the nonce a upecially solemn form. And themewning of the rule wnu nut very pinin. On the one banct, we bras the wevertion that even in civil susts the carl or baron should have the judgment of his peers', on the ot her havd Yoter tees theches, the king's minister, can way that the king's juntices are the peese of any tum ${ }^{3}$, and the very sute of the 'banmat of sthe exchequer forbids un en treat this an tnere inmolence: Arul wis Bracuin givew ns mo doctrnas as $\omega$ ) the privileget of the baruma He dows recuguize the distinction between the king's couns of justoes and the king'a crurt if 'peers; but for the make of a quite nther doelone, which left but few emeem in lakeg law When where is a charge of trmamon, the king himelf is the acenser, and life, limb and inheritance are at atake. thesefine it is ant aremly that the king, eithor in pernow or by has justions. who represeat his person, whould be judgu; so Bractun therwe out the suggestuun that the cause ahould come befure the "pertm".' We havie here no privilege of prepage, has a querzal rule: for all cames of high erceswon, bosed on the masim that ow one whould be judge in his own cause. Uinder the Elwando the proviluge of preerage was gradually nocertameal, wh the coure of Law held caram Hege, which by this time wes known en then Kingia Bench, becarne mure utherly dintenct froces thon aws mbly of the basurus. But in the end the haross had ganed rery litile If charged with treasen or feleny, he was tried by him proem, if chargerd with a mimemeanone (trunegreania), if sand in a ciorl mart by high or luw, if the kuag challengid has choncmat fras. chames, thore was now npecial rourt firs hita. he hai co abote tbe judgment of the kug' justices". A errain freedues frums arrome is civil cauneto we tmay prohap allow hisa, but us Bracton's age arreat in civil caunem whan th yet so commons


[^276]without the king's leave was a privilege of the king rather than of the baronage. One other privilege the baron had, but it was of questionable value. When he was adjudged to be in the king's mercy, the amount of the amercement was fixed, or 'affeered,' not by his merely 'free and lawful' neighbours but by his peors. For this purpose, however, his peers were found in the 'barons' of the exchequer ${ }^{1}$ and these experts in finance were not likely to spare him'. There are a few little rules of procedure which distinguish the noble from the non-noble. Thus we are told that a summons to court should allow an earl one month, a baron three weoks, a free man a fortnights; and we may see some traces of a rule which exempts a baron from the neceesity of awearing'. Even the members of the king's family are under the ordinary law, though in their 'personal' actions they have the same benefit of expeditious procedure that is enjoyed by merchants!. Very different is the case of the ling, who in all litigation 'is prerogative.'

## § 2. The Knights.

Below the barons stand the knights; the law honours them by subjecting them to special burdens; but still knighthood can hardly be accounted a legal status. In the administration of royal justice there is a great deal of work that can be done only by knights, at all events if there are knights to be had. Four knights, twelve knights, are constantly required as represeutatives of the county court or as recognitors. For some purposes mere free and lawful men will serve, for others knights must be employed. On the whole we may say that knights are required for the more solemn, the more ancient, the more decisive processes. To swear to a question of possession, free and lawful men are good enough; to give the final and con.395] clusive verdict about a matter of right, knights are needed. They are treated as an able, trustworthy class; but we no longer

[^277]firid any such rute at that the unth of one thegn is ropuralous un the oath of six emorla. In alminiatrative law therefore the kenght is liable wome spersiul bundens; in no other noppeet denss he ditier from the mere froe tuan. Even milutary serime and scutage have become matters of henure rather than maltons uf rank, and, though the king moy strive (a) furce man krughs. howid all men of a cortan degree of wealeth, we have bu such rule as that none but a knight can hold a knight's bee. Still lose have we ang such rule as that none but a kiaght or mane but a barun can keep a melguonal courk

## § 3. The Ungivee.

 unifres.In the unain, then, all frove mirn are equast befons the law. Just because this is so the line berween the free and the unfree sectus very sharp. And the line betwern frmetonn and unfiow. doms in the line bretwean frnerboto and servitude: Bnacton accepts to the full the Kumas dilemma: Umene Aumanea ins liberi ount aut aervi. He will have no mere unfreestoin, wo
 lent to the Romans culumatus: All men are enthes fine men on gerfor, and every wrof is memuch a morf on any oithor serf We wee the word serf, nut the woul shese; bint is in co bere metuberad thict Bracton hal nut got the woml alace. He uwal the worwt word that be houl got, the word whech, as be welis knew, had deacribeal the Rumann whove whats bis owtare mizits kill. And the merf has a dominue: we may prefer to rutodive this by lord and not by master or owener. nod if in warthy of whervation that mevleeval Latin can not "sprows the durtunction; if the werf ham a deminus. the palutizan maty may. the king of Einghand, mo long es be in dake of Alquitance, bas a
 atill Browhon usem the only wonls by whels her could hove. dezeribed a slave and a slave-uwoer. Trum that arroe to of

[^278]weither the commonest nor yet the most technical name for the unfree man; more commonly he is called villanus or natious, and these are the words used in legal pleadings; Lut for Bracton these three terrns are interchangeable, and thuugh efforts, not very consistent or sucecessful efforts, might be marde to distinguish between them ${ }^{1}$, and some thought it wrong to call the villeins serfs', still it is certain that nativus always implied persomal unfreedom, that villamus did the same when employed by lawyers, and that Bracton was right in saying that the law of his time knew no degrees of persomal unfreedom. Even in common practice and by men who were not jurists the word certus was sometimes used as an equivalent for nutiens or villanus. The jurors of one humbred will call all the unfree people servi, while in the next hundred they will be villani? In French villein is the common word; but the feminine of villein is nieve (nativa).

There are no degrees of personal unfreedom; there is no (irmural
 in villeinage; but that is an utterly different thing; he is in no sort a serf; so far from being bound to the soil he can flug up his tenement and go whithersocver he pleases ${ }^{\text {s }}$. In later centuries certain niceties of pleading gave rise to the terms ' villein in groess' and ' villein regardant,' and in yet later times, when villeinage of any kind was obsolescent, these were supposed to point to two different elasses of men, the villein regardant being inseverable from a particular manor, while the viltein in gross might be detached from the soll and sold [p . 30 y at a chattel. The law of Bracton's time recogoizes no such divisietion? As a matier of fact and a matter of custom,

[^279]Enghah merfage may well be callett protial. In the firmt place, it ranely if ever happens that the m-rfs are employed is othes work than agriculture and ita attersdant pruconsen ; theor function is to cultivate their lomis demestae. In the aemond place. the serf usually holds more or leme land, at le-mas a cuttage, or elee is the tuember of a household whuse head holdy lanal, and the sertices that he dines to his lord are conatansiy regmetien in practice as the return which is the from bum in neapert of tho tenement or even as the return due from the henesment itailf. such services, es we have already ween, are otem manutals defined by cuntom. In the thind place, his lond them sons feeal or clothe hims; be maken his own livang by culsovatiog has villeut tenement, or, th case he is but a cuthger, by vaming wagee at the hund of hes wealther netghboum In tho fourth
 sold as a chattel, though this happons now and again'. ber passen from fevifior wiontiee, from ubcestar wheir as anno-siol to the moll. Fior all this, the law medmentaned by the krices cuurt permits his loal to remere hum from the tenormobe it could hardly have dotne wherwise, for he held in villenmakr. and
 s-netuent whenover the lood plensed without tivatheg a remoly thefore the king'a juaticem. But as $u$ the merf, not only contid ho be retsoved frouss one temement, he could be placed itt anowhers. his lond uight seet bins to work of ant kied, the kung o cours would not inturfere: for he was a aerrews and hen promin belougent to his lord: 'he was merely the chattel of han lund to give and 'rell at his plamuse:'















- Eretton, 2. 197.

But, whatever terms the lawyers may use, their own first Relativity principles will forbid us to speak of the English 'serf' as a slave: their own first principles, we say, for what we find is not a general law of slavery humanely mitigated in some details, but a conception of serfdom which at many points comes into conflict with our notion of slavery. In his treatment of the subject Bracton frequently insists on the relativity of serfdom. Serfdom with him is hardly a status; it is but a relation between two persons, serf and lord. As regards his lord the serf has, at least as a rule, no rights; but as regards other persons he has all or nearly all the rights of a free man; it is nothing to them that he is a serf ${ }^{1}$. Now this relative serfdom we cannot call slavery. As regards mankind at large the serf so far from being a mere thing is a free man. This seems to be the main principle of the law of Bracton's day. We must now examine each of its two sides: the serfes rightlessness as regards his lord, his freedom or 'quasi-freedom' as regards men in general. It will then remain to speak of his relation to the state.

In relation to his lord the general rule makes him rightless. Criminal law indeed protects him in life and limb. Such protection however need not be regarded as an exception to the rule. Bracton can here fall back upon the Institutes:-the state is concerned to see that no one shall make an ill use of his property. Our modern statutes which prohibit cruelty to animals do not give rights to dogs and horses, and, though it is certain that the lord could be punished for killing or maiming his villein, it is not certain that the villein or his heir could set the law in motion by means of an 'appeal.". The

[^280]protection afforded by criminal law sevms wh gu no further than the preseervatiou of life and limb. The lind nuyy beat or insprisin his seff, though of such doinge we do not hear very much!.

PRiphationew toces inf the $=0$ erf.

As against his lord the serf can have no proprotary nghta If he bolvin is villeinage of him lund. of comme he sa mut pritertoul in his boldang by the kinges courta: bue then thas wast of protection we need not regard as a cotuseypence of hev surthena. fur, were be a freo man, be will wonld be maprotectad: and thees. just as the frew tman holding in rillomaggo is protertovel by cushm and manorial courts, so the mesf is siminely paneorted His righelemanesos appreans murr chenrly an regania hus chabteds and any land that he may have seynirad frosm one who so nut his master. An rogarian nay movnble gencla that he han the lord may take these to himaelf. We levar videed hins that bis 'wainage,' his instrumestas of howhandry, som provtected svern against his lord', and that his lond can be guite! agninat hun of the crime of robbery": but these funte ant either belated or promature; the lond has a nght so wize bis

 cass dead with has as suchs. As a matter of fint we bear ditio of arlutinary meizures, much of s-izuns which ane nut arbitiary but arn the enforcegnent of manorial customat The v:lfoib* are consematly minoreed and dastramed: the lond whim womst hututually treath shem as ownem of chateols, the evon promato thens to tnake wills, and when they die the contente bummelf eitb
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 botantios axam. ${ }^{4}$


- Etrachaca. I laín b is.

a heriot ${ }^{2}$. So here again, when we look at the facts, the serf's condition seems better described as unprotectedness than as rightlessness, though doubtless a lord may from time to time seize goods without being able to justify the seizure by reference to custom. Then, if the serf acquires land from some third person to hold by free tenure, he whose serf. he is may seize it and hold it; but until such seizure the serf is tenant and others may and must treat him as such.

And then we find that all this rightlessness or unprotected- serflom ness exists only where serfdom exists de facto. The learning of de fe facto. seisin or possession and the rigid prohibition of self-help have come to the aid of the serfs. Serfdom and liberty are treated as things of which there may be possession, legally protected possession? A fugitive serf may somewhat easily acquire a 'seisin' of liberty. When he is seised of liberty the lord's power of self-help is gone; he can no longer capture the fugitive without a writ; he can no longer take any lands or chattels that the fugitive may have acquired since his flight ${ }^{3}$. He must have recourse to a writ, and the fugitive will have an opportunity of asserting that by rights he is a free man, and of asserting this in the king's court before justices who openly profess a leaning in favour of liberty4. We need not suppose that this curious extension of the idea of possession is due to this leaning; it is part and parcel of one of the great 01. constructive exploits of medieval law:-relationships which exist de facto are to be protected until it be proved that they do not exist de iure. Still the doctrine, though it had a double

[^281]418 The Simes reval Comalitions of Men. [nk. 15.
edge, uald against the lorda Apparmety in Bractor's. day a worf whu tied had to be captuned within four dayn; uthermio. he could wos be captured, unlesm within year and day be returned to 'hin villein nest' : a paralled rule gave the "jected ludtbolder but four days for self-help'. Of cuurse, buwerre. every abwence from the lurd's land was not a thight ; the resi might be living elsewhere and making sotne peraxise payment. chevagium, hand-mensy, in recognitan of his lori's roghts: if m . he was aut in seism of his liberty. What the Iumstutes a! about dumesticated anmals can be regnaled as to the pount

## 

 lublwiets luest midd seaf.Yet another qualification of mgheleworesa is nuggested More thau once Bracton cones w the question whether the lord may net be bound by ats agrewneut, or covenant, usale with his serf. He is inclinert to saly liea fis reamanag to this:-the lord cin musumit his serf, make him free for all purpowes; but the greater meludes the less ; therefore the orf say be made a free masi fur a niugle purpuene, sumuly that if exneting some cuverannted bestelit, and yest for the nat may remasn a serft. Sueh reamomitr ta matural if unce we regand
 sut, however, metas whave prevaded fur ang lotig sume, fur our Law came to a principles which was buth mare casuly drformation asul more hastile we erffom, tuatuely that if the lomi makean
 free becaume his lond has tromerd ham an frees. Bractome dectosse sury penesbly had facts behual it and was tor ecopp!
 with their merfin ; bot it ran counter $k$ a mann curnont id Englush land law. The agrements that Bracton had is own
 28-8 ह.1.w. 1.p 206
 the end of the owntury. Jinar and digy telen the plees of the luas dogn firition. i. $100,201$.
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were in the main agreemente relating to the temure of land, and as we have already mean ${ }^{1}$, our law wan strongly disinclined to recognise any contract concerning the oocupation of land which was merely a contract and not a bestowal of 'real. righte: it nrged the dilemme-no right to oceurgy land or mome one of the known forms of legal tearus.

The serf's position in relation to all men other than his lord is simple: :-he is to be treated as a free man ". When the lond redstion to ie not concerned, criminal law makes no difference between (2) The bond and free, and apparently the free man may have to do battle with the bond. A blow given to a gerf is a wrong to the sorf. It may also give his lord a canse of action against the striker; but here also the lav maken no differance between bond and free. If my werf is sesualted so that I lose his marricee or so that I suffer contumely, I have an action for damages; but jit would be no otherwise had the amsulted $^{2}$ person been my free servant". So shgo in defining the nastort hiability for wrongful acts done by his dependante, the memo principles as regards authorisation and matibention meem to be applied whether the dependants be froe sarvants ot warfet. It is rather for the acta of members, free or bond, of him howeohold (manupastus, mainpoust) that a man can be held limble than for the acts of his serfs ${ }^{5}$.

Then in relation to men in general, the serf may have lands The eerfand goods, property and possession, and all appropriate remedies. Of course if he is ejected from a villein tenement, he has no action; the action belongs to the lord of whom he holds the tenement, who may or may not be his personal lord; were he a free man holding in villeinage he would be no better off. But the serf can own and possess chattels and hold a tenement against all but his lord. This general proposition may require some qualifications or explanations in particular instancea. $\left.{ }^{18}\right]$ We read in the Dialogue on the Exchequer that if the lord owes scutage to the crown his serf's chattels can be seized, but

[^282]27-2

ought not to be scized untel his uwn chusteln have ture exhausted'; we read in fractun that when a lurd to wo be distrained his villein's chatels should be the viryg time ubjers of athack'; but in these cames we may say that the werf, havidg no proprietary rightw uganast han ferd. in treated as lavinug mane ngminst thome who by virtue of legal procens are enabled to clame what the lord himeself could seeze:-ther penemal promeple is hardly impared by such qualifications, and it in a moms itupartant primesple.
itelnifive metilowis.

Shill it in motin matural principle. This atterupt to tomat a man now ars a dimitel and now as a frow nad towfinl permita, of rather to treat. him as buasig buch at une and the narme tuotment. must give rise to difficule problerna wuch an zu law of true slavery can ever have to meet. Suppowe for exatuple shas a villein makea an agrement with one whe in not his bund, is meens certuss that the villein cass enforve at but can the wther contmetor euforce it? Tis this question we have a defouse ankwer from Brittion ': a contrart can sont be eaforivid almulats a villein; if he is sued aud pleads. I was the villein of $d$ when this agreement was made and all thas I have bulouss wo hims, ther the plantiff, unteres he will contmatict this pion, must faul and his actoun will be dummand, wor cann he wine $d$. for (unleas there is sume nyency in the casel the loond in mit bound by his merf's onntract. In later timion thas rule atios have been altered; the pleva ' 1 ams the villesus of 10 and buikd thas land of hom in villeinage" was ofters urged io actunas for land, but we do not find the pleas I atn the villow of $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ set up in purely 'persumal' actisus, as mevorially it would hase been had is beed a guod pleas. Hut, uvers if we adsat thas a
 precarious, for the loord can serze all the Innde and chaterla of bus merf, and aus actuots agoinst his minf is junt what will $+\infty$ amouse his usually dormant mght. Thus the liw. in stomes io work wat its cumous pronesphe of ' relatise sarvituide, to dsords

- Dialorme do Hewreario, al c. 11
 the 1 alimes.
- Hrithoo. fl. 189, 109-9.




to treat the serf as a privileged person, as one who can sue but can not be sued upon a contract; and, even when it allows that he can be sued, it can give the creditur but a poor chance of getting paid and will hardly prevent collusion between villems and friendly lards. Again, we see the ecelesiastical courts condemning the villein to pay money for his sins, fornication and the like, and then we see the villein gettug into trouble with his lord for having thus expended money which un sume sort was his lord's'. The law with its iden of relative servitude seems to be fighting against the very nature of things and the very nature of persons.

Lastly, we should uutice the serf's position in public law. (3) The It is highly probable that a serf could not sit as the juige serf tion to the of a free man, though it may be much doubted whether this state. rule was strictly observed in the manorial courts ${ }^{2}$. He could not sit as a judge in the communal courts, though he ofteu had to go to them in the humbler capacity of a 'presenter.' So too he could nut be a juror in civil causes; this he probably regarded as a blessed exemption from a duty which fell hesvily on free men. But in criminal matters and in fiscal matters he had to make presentmenta. At least in the earlier part of the century, the verdict or testimony which sends free med to the gallows is commonly that of twelve free men endursed by that of the representatives of four townships, and such representatives were very often, perhaps normally, born villeins. Such representatives served on coroners' inquests, and the king took their testimony when he wished to knuw the extent of his royal rights'. In the 'halimoots' or manorial courts the serfs are busy as presenters, jumors, affecers of smencements, if not as judges; they fill the manorial offices; the reeve of the township is communly a serf. What is more, the atate in ite exactions pays little heed to the line between free and bond; it expects all men, not merely all free men, to have arms"; so smon as it begins to levy taxes on movables, the

[^283]serfs, if they have chattely enough, must pay for them'. Is is
 not be produced as champion or compurgntur: and aven this rule in made to operate in favour of liberty; if a lard producun a merf as champion or compurgutor thin in ans asoplied manumasion? The seria have $u$, beas masy of the bumens of liberty. The state has a direct clams upen their bordea, their gunds, their ume and their vestimony, and if for a musuent this mesems womket their lot the leas toleruble, it prevents our thinking of them no domestic nustuals, the chateels of sbeir lorin

Finw eiass levame antis.

Having smen what meffilom mmans, we may mek buw men becone merfs. The answer is that almont alwaye the eerf is a bors merf; mationes asud villanus wero eommonly smed wh inter-

## Exrathe

 Mrit. changeable turma ${ }^{2}$. But an to the courme by which merfitom is trabsmithed from parent to chald we find susure duabta thast ve might have expected. If both parents an: murfs, uf consme the child is a worf; but if one parent in frow and tho nthoer n werk theos difficultiss seem waris. The wrouer of the legea Henner holds that the child follows the fother; but he quester the proverb, 'Vitulus matris eat cuiuscumpue taurus allusens, and meems to admit that in practice the chuld is envated as a serf if either of the parents is unfrees. Glanvill is clear that the chilal of an unfree woman is a serf and seems to think thas the child of an unfree man is mo better off: Thus we shmuld get the sule, which had been approved by the church, marwely, that. whenever free and nervile blond are mosed, the servile prevale Bractun, however, has a more clabrimte scheme. A lemard ian
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follows the mother; the child of a boadwoman, if born out of wedlock, is a serf; if born in wedlock and of a free father, then another distinction must be taken; if a free man takes a bondwoman to wife and they dwell in her villein temement, then their offispring will be born serfs, but if she follows him to 'e free couch' then their children will be born free. So also when a bondman marriee a free woman, the character of the tenement in which they dwell determines the character of their offiopring ${ }^{2}$. The influence thus ascribed to the tenement in very curions; it shows that to keep villein status and villein tenure apart was in practice a difficult matter, even for a lawyer ever ready to insist that in theory they had nothing to do with each other. In latar days the courts seem to have adopted the simple rule that the condition of the father is the decisive fact, and to have pressed this rule to the absurd, if humane, conclusion that a bastard is always born free since he has no father:
'Mired marriages' indeed gave a great deal of trouble throughout the middle ages by raising questions as to the rights and remedies of the husband and wifes. Ultimately 'the better opinion of our books' was that the marriage of a female serf with a free man, other than her lond, did not absolutely enfranchise her, but merely made her free during the marriage 4 . In 1302, however, we find two justices denouncing this doctrine as false, 'and worse than false, for it is heresy ;' apparently they think that such a marriage has all the effect of a manumission; but their opinion did not go undisputed ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Such a marriage would not at any rate drag down the free man into personal servitude, though according to Bracton the issue of it would be serfs if they were born in the villein $m$ tenement. In the converse case in which a bondman marries a free woman, he of course is not enfranchised, though Bracton's doctrine would make their children free if born in her free tenement. On the contrary, it might be thought that, at all

[^284]events if whe wesut bo live alung with her villein hashand in bus silletn wetement and wo hear him valle in children, whe hermelf would be acerounual $n$ villein. But this was sot the rule How far duritug the namagge she could buake pood ary ngits agnitust her humband's lond (and it will be remembered that as againat all othors her husbuad wion a froe tuas) way very doubtful; she could soit sure without her husbasol, and if he joined in the metion, the ford would say. 'I'ou any my billem'. But un hor hushandis death she would be free usice unser. of mathar hir freedom would unce more becoune appariat ard opsraticre.

Influctice of pisece of litrith.

Finitit tracem may be found of ant upinuon that burth in a certuin dintsict or a certain tersesmeut will make the chatd unfrece, or as the case may be free, su matter the conditiots of ita parenta; but, except iss the well-known privilege of kionseah soul, it seetur tu have found au legal sanetions.
 coulfoston. specalis of prisonems of war being held as nlasen ajul of a frowerlmans bemg reduced to slavery on acrount of har tapratiotude this is but romanempe learninge. We for but in the age hias of servitude as a punashusent, thungh the Weish march ore clats the right of selling romumis as slaves", and Kiog duhan mas threntess all meth with slavery if shey do not cake arms un roste fot an invasion: Nor du we any longer hear of free cona wellize

[^285]themselves into slavery. But it is a prineiple of law that if a person has once confessed himself the serf of another in as court of record, he can never thereafter be heard to contradict this assertion, and so 'confession' takes its place beside 'birth' as one of the origins of servility. There are abundant chses in our records which suggest that this talk about confession is not idle'; a defendant sometimes seeks to evarde a plaintifi's demand by confessing that he is the villein of a third person, and thus, even in the later midille ages, men may smmetimes have purchased peace and protection at the cost of liberty".

Whether prolonged serfdom de facto will generate serfdom sorfiom de iure was in Edward I.'s day a moot point. Some justices acriphen. laid down as a maxim that no prescription can ever make servile, blood that once was free. Others flatly denied this rule, and apparently held that if from father to sun a successron of free men went on doing villein services, the time would come when an unfree child would be born to a free father. One opininn would have cundemned to servitude the filth generation in a series of persons performing base services, while a Scottish law-bonk mentions the fourth generation, and a common form (6) of pleading made a lord assert that he had been reised of the graudfather and great-great-grandfather of the man whose liberty was in dispure. Opinion might tluctuate about this question, because procedural rules prevented it from beiug
aeerna to have been common in France; bence the nerf is homo quentmar nump. saorum.
${ }^{1}$ Note Book. pl. ACK, 591, 1411, IR85, 1887, 1894; Y. B. 30-1 Edw. I. p. tif ; Y. B. 38-8 Edw, 1. p. 4; Y. B. 19 Edw. HI. §. R51.
"Bat low could a defendant gain anything by maying untrule that be was personally a villein? In an action for latad wen it not cnough wo my. ${ }^{4}$ I hold in rilenage, or I hold at will, and therefore I am not the right pernon to be ancil'; whise is it not only in actions for land that we fiud defendauts relying on villituper of any kind? The answer is given by a ease of 1292; Y. B. 201 Edw. 1. p. 41. It the defendant merely pleads tenure in villennage, the plainsuft mey contradict hizs and the falrelunal of the plea biny he natabliulued; bint if he adde that be If e rillein, then the plainkifl can make no reply and fails in tila soit. Perhap it was considered amprobable that any one would coademn lizmelf and bus ponterity to perpotual ecrritude unlees be had grod canme for so detrig. At any rate there was me reply to this confession of villem atatus until in $1: k i 3$ a Stasnte, 37 Palw. III. e, 17 . permatted the glsintift to comtrahtes it, in 15 Edw. ILL. Fitz. Abr. Iirief, 322, the mbsurdity of the rule is shown :- It is bort: for a man may confess himmelf viliein to hin father or hus cousin, and then text day get a reluase from hum.' 'Yes, is in luard,' is Lte reply, 'bot it is เงต."
oftarn brought to a decousin. The general rule an the mones by whech free or servile status could be cunclusively proved was that it inust be proved ger parentes. If the burdets of prows lay on the probun whuse status wea in yprattom, he had to prosluer free kinamen; if it lay on the would-be lored. be had to provice kinamin of the would-lx free man who would comiew themanolves merfin. A mere verdict of the country mighe witile the question provisionally and, as we may any, for peomeseary purprace, but could not rettle it conclusuvaly except an aganne onv whe had roluntarily mulsmitted to this cest. The burten of the prowf Le thrown on une mide or in the other by mesan. the man who ix ins re incto enjuymont of liberty contulues to be free untal his survilify is pmoed, the man who as under the power of a lum must remais mo until the has shown his nghe to liberty. (Hs thee whole the procedural rules severn favoumble ws frewdomi. In Bmeton's day a four dayn Hight' maghe thom the burdeti of prouf upmon the land, and he would have tw mako cut his title, but by the temesmony of finw and lawful noughtmon who would maturally ufer serfitoms de ivere from merfiono de ficto, but by the teatumuyy of the fugiture's (awn) kinofilh as ho
 befure thoir testamony can be of any avml? (tho the uther liand
 fact comsly full into serfage, unlew he tw willing tos run from

 held a vilhein tenement and done billem nervinem he will to nerkiumed a villein, that is, a worf; ceren han kinafilk will wis dare to swear that he ta fow. There is me form of arries o.

 reganded an the beat ket, many mometumen be fand rato ac

[^286]tenementi and not ratione perronces ; but a prolonged performance of villein services must put a family's free status in jeopardy. That this is not so as a mattar of law seems the opinion of the highest authoritiea; but the fact that a contrary opinion was current both in England and in Scotland may well make us think that in common life there had been a close connexion between villein tenure and villein statuse.

And now as to manumission:-A lord can eaxily enfranchise his eerf. He can do so expresely by a charter of manumiesion; he does so impliedly by e grant of land to be held freely by the serf and his heirs, for a serf can have no heir but his lord's he does so impliedly by certain acts which treat the serf as free, by producing him in the king's court as his champion or his compurgator ${ }^{4}$; it is becoming dangerous for a lord to make any written agreement with his serf:. There has been a difficulty $s$ to a direct purchase of liberty. If the serf paid money to the lord for the grant of freedom, the lord might, it would seem, revoke the grant on the ground that his serfis money was his own money. This technical difficulty, for perhapa it was no more, was evaded by the intervention of a thind person [1] who made the purchase nominally with his own but really with the serf's money, and the serf having been sold and delivered

[^287](the ownership did not pass untid detivery) was theuset fine by his new owrier'.

In Bracton's day every act of manummaninu by the lims seems th have conterned full and perfeet freeduna; the finald man was the all respecte the eypual of the frow borz. This suald harily have beron otherwise mace, an we have sern, serfidum wan regardiad for the more purt as a tuere molaton betweent twi, persume (ilanvill sreme to bave beld a dateront oprona. He speake as though the liberation would ruake the worf fino as regarda his former lond bus leave him a serf ase nogarda ail other saen'. The choef, if aut the ouly, pernt that lihamial had before him mond when te wrote this, nevems to have been that the freed villein eould ant bed produced an champmes in as compurgutor. It in puessble alse that he hul in riew acto of enfranchasement which were mencly privato and worald nos
 abmilute freedorn could be coufermit. In the Leggen Honna the than whu, wishes to frov has serf must da we in publive. - in a church or a market or a county court or a horoded court, openly and befince witnemes'; lance ambl nourl art
 wheh shows hutu that all ways lie upen en hie foet: (ilansial
 (1) the confersed; but Bractons, who hahitually n-grando eorfiliou
 the relationship destroys nerfitom. Hone wo wem sob ene o
 as meder nutun of true slavary: Tis turs a shing inten a parow

[^288]is a feat that can not be performed without the aid of the atate. but to make free as against yourself one who is already free se against all but you, this you can easily do, for it is hardly a matter of public law. ${ }^{2}$.

A serf will also become free (1) by dwelling for year and day on the king's demesne or in a privileged town-this is an assertion of a prerogative right which peoples the king's manors and boroughs'; (2) by being knighted-knighthood confers but a provisional freedom, for the knighted sorf can be degraded when his servility is proved ${ }^{\text {; }}$; (8) by entering religion or receiving holy orders; it is unlawful to ordain a serf-this is forbidden by canon as well as by temporal lawt,-but, when once ordained, he is free, though his serifom revives if he resumes a secular life'. The lord's right of action for the recovery of a serf was subject to a prescriptive tern ; in 1286 the year 1210 was chosen as the limit, and this limit whan not altered until 1275"; we have already meen that hir right of self-help the lord lost somewhat easily, though lees escily as time went on?

Such briefly stated is the English law of villeinage or sumany. serfage in the thirteenth century. Ite central ides, that of the relativity of serfage, is strange. It looks artificial : that in to say, it seems to betray the handiwork of lawyers who have forced ancient facts into a modern theory. Slavery is very intelligible ; so is slavery tempered by humane rules which will forbid an owner to maltreat his human chattel; so again is a predial serfage, and the ancient laws of our race compel us to us] admit that there may be a half-free class, men who are neither

[^289]liberi hominen nor yet servi', but a mendy n-lative sertlom bo a juristic curiomity?. In detining it we bave ever to be unong the phrumes 'in rechation wo.' 'as negarix,' 'an agatust, phrake which would not cosaly ocent to tho untettenus, and law shoct allown my serf to sute any free mans but mor, even to nuto my font. done not luok like a nntural "xpreation of any of theor thapmatall mentimentes which dernand that divem chates of thrib shall be ke"pt anunder. Then this iden of relative wetritude has to the further qualitived befon it will syuare with farter and cuateme and current motions of nght and wrong. When a lourd allows it to be nemonded that on the desth of hiw mervite wenans he in entitiend wo the buyt berast, he grom rery far lumanta admutting that he is mit entited bo metar the chareato of bir eorf without gioul cause. We hewiluse thefon wo dizeribe the
 right from want of remedy. wn foel that we may be toming viglener wo the thoughte of a generation which maw hathe difforonere betweres law and custum. On the whele linaking at the law of Hnucturi's day we nught guew that hene as elaw hern the kwg's court has beew cartying out a grent work of stmpant. cathon: wo might "wen guese that ita 'mor-oithom,' nghtion agathast his lond, free againnt all but his loond, un on a usaties ©f hastory a composite person, a merf nad a rillem rolled inte nbey

Itrens Emer Intan, at Tillo:zion and plasem

That this simplifying procese greutly taproved the ligel pantion of the erf can harily be douthted We nowed trent indeed supponee that the theone ur acrews of enflive timans had
 Still in the mun the hual bewn nghtese, a chattel; and wry soay

[^290]be sure that his rightlesmess had not been the merely relative rightlessness of the 'serf-villein' of later days, free against all but his lord. Indeed we may say that in the course of the twelfth century slavery was abolished. That on the other hand the villani suffered in the process is very likely. Certainly they suffered in name. $\Delta$ few of them, notably those on the king's manors, may have fallen on the right side of the Boman dilemma 'aut liberi ant servi,' and as free men holding by unfree tenure may have become even more distinctively free than they were before; but most of them fell on the wrong side; they got a bad name and were brought within the range of maxims which deecribed the English theow or the Roman slave.

Probably we ought not to impute to the lawyens of this The age any conscions deaire to raise the serf or to debase the procom. villein. The great motive force which directe their doings in this as in other instances is a desire for the utmost generality and simplicity. They will have as few distinctions as poseible. All rights in land can be expressed by the formula of dependent tenure; all conceivable tenures can be brought under some half-dozen heads; so also the lines which have divided men into sorts and conditions may with advantage be obliterated, save one great line. All men are free or serfs; all free men are equal; all serfs are equal:-no law of ranks can be simpler than that. In this instance they had Roman law to help them; but even that was not simple enough for them; the notion of coloni who are the serfs of a tenement rather than of a person, though it might seem to have so many points of contact with the facts of English villeinage, was rejected in the name of simplicity ${ }^{1}$. They will carry through all complexities a maxim of their own:-the serf is his lord's chattel but is free against all save his lord. They reck little of the interests of any classes, high or low; but the interests of the state, of peace and order and royal justice are ever before them.

We have spoken at some length of the 'serf-villeins' of the The thirteenth century, for they formed a very large class. For number of several reasons precise calculations are impossible. In the first place, tenure is so much more important than status, at least so much more important as a matter of manorial economy, that
5] the 'extents' and surveys are not very careful to separate the personally free from the personally unfree. In the second place,

[^291]it in highly probable that. large nuenbern of suon thil nout kn ow on which sude of the legal gulf they stond: they and theit ancemens hard been dong wervicem that wero necountid vilhern. paying merchet and moforth: but tham wan not comelumare, and if they escaped from thear lurd st anglst be wery difticult for him to prove them has 'watives.' On the other hand, white thery remainod is has power, shey could have tratle hope of proviog themmelves frow, and if they thed they left their all behinal thems In the thand place, a great part of our information evanes fams the catalase of the wealsherst abbeys, aud while admuttang but tbe fisll that the thonke had no wish sulll-treat theur jemmatry. We can not but beleve that of all lords they were the mont antore and mome far-anghted. Lamtly, we have na yet in pimot but litele information about certain countiea wheh we have reaton to suppese were the leant taisted with servitude, about Koms (already in Eitwand I's tume it whe saill that no obe erould be
 Nurthumbrian shires Still, when all is suad, there remain the Hundred Rulls for the countus of Budford. Burkungham, 1 inos.
 without coming the the conclasion that the groater half if tho rural pmpulation in onfroe. The juman of rariosa hasudrodo
 some ranme such at natole ur serm, by eotue phome abous 'ransorn of tlewh and bleond' or the like. they show shas In-loef that caken in the lump theer peasanta, who as wot
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## § 4. The Religious.

Another large part of medieval society is made up of men ciridenth. and women who have 'entered religion and become professed,' of monks, nuns, 'regular' canons and friars who have taken vown of poverty and obedience and quitted this world. Now a transition from the villein to the monk seems harsh. Bracton however makes it:-the villein being under the power of his lond may, like the monk, be considered as 'civilly dead!' From the lawyer's point of view the analogy that is thus suggested will not seem aitogether fanciful and profitless. It is not as a specially holy person but as a property-less and a specially obedient person that law knows the monk. He has no will of his own (non habot velle, neque nolle ${ }^{\text {t }}$ ) because be is subject to the will of another, and, though as a matter of religion that will may be thought of as the divine will expressed in the rule of St Benet or St Bernard, still within the sphere of temporal law it is represented by the will of the abbot. It could not be suffered that by a mere declaration of his intention to live a boly life untroubled by mundane affairs a man should shutlle off not only the rights but the duties that the law has cast upon him; but a vow of obedience is a different matter; it is not very unlike a submission to slavery.

The fiction of 'civil death' seems called in to explain and Gromth of define rules of law which have been gradually growing upt e. tivilid desest. By the dooms of Ethelred and of Cnut the cloister-monk is forbidden to pay or to receive the feud money, that is to say, the money payable by the kindred of a man-slayer to the kindred of the slain, 'for he leaves behind his kin-law when he submits to rule-law'; he ceases to be a member of a natural family when he puts himself under the monastic rule and enters a spiritual familys. Already Alfred had decreed that if I entrust goods to 'another man's monk' without the leave of

[^292]
## P. M. 1 .

434 The Sorts and Conditions of Mon. [uk. 18.
that monk'a 'lund and the goonta are laet, I muat gol withoust remedy'. At a later time we find the sume principhe apphed 's a if the monk to whom I have entrusted the geardo detser the receipt of them, and the monk is here clamess along with the wlave, the wife, the infaut chill. These passages prexuppre that we can wut sue the muak without has prelate. hus 'how, and they declare that the monk can not make him prolaces liable for the sufety, or the return, or the priee of semale. unions be ham been expressly authorized to to so. Rut it is viry dombeful whether in the days before the Coulpuest or even fine wome yean niterwardo the proncuple that is buntevi at by the ternis 'civil death' was rigurnusly entorech. The whter arad
 In ©ithelnodie day the clowisterlese monk who nerked nut uf the sule but was trying to make the best of besth worldo was m. Il known'. We tind wo in Ihetursaldy Bumk that a manal a ill mometimes huld land of hin houser, of of has abturs, ond the
 that Iands:
 in the cerm 'eivil death.' In one large departarent of tow the fictosn is elegantly maistmond. A monk or rums can twos meyuire or have any pruproucary rights. Whets a mas benvones 'ponsesond in relugion,' his heir at unce inhernte froms hum asy lanel that he hras. and, if he han made a will. it fakers cifion in


 he wese no lenger in the laud of the lowngs. the atherntance
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 prowne of telodtiake hata.

- Attherse r. 3, vi 3





- Than apyrato alivedy at cimathi, sit: i. ©

118] he is an innate; nothing descends to him for he is already dead ${ }^{1}$. In the eye of ecclesiastical law the monk who became a propriatarius, the monk, that is, who arrogated to himself any proprietary rights or the separate enjoyment of any wealth, committed about as bad an offence as he could commit ${ }^{3}$.

A fiction, bowever, which would regard a living man as dead must find that limits are set to it by this material world. A monk does wrong or suffers wrong ; we can not treat the case as though wrong had been done to a corpse or by a ghost. A monk of Ramsey assaults and beats a monk of Thorney; the law is not content that the injury should go unredressed. As regards those grave crines which are knowa as felonies, the monk is deslt with as though he were an ordained clerk; he enjoys that 'benefit of clergy' of which we must speak hereafter. For amaller offences, the 'mimbinernours' of later law, monks, like secular clerks, could be tried by the temporal courts and imprisoned ${ }^{3}$. As to torta or eivil wrongs, the rule was that the moak could neither aue nor be sued without his 'sovereiga.' The man assaulted by a monk would bring his action against that monk and that monk's abbot, while, if a munk were assaulted, his abbot and he could bring the actiont. The abbot seems to have been entitled to receive any compensation that became due for damage done to the monk, and to have been compelled to make amends for damage that the monk did. Our law did not say that a monk could not sue or be sued, it said that he could not sue or be sued without his sovereign. Nor did it say that a wrong done to a monk was the same as a wrong done to his abbot, or that a wrong done by a monk was the same as a wrong done by his abbot. It is not all one whether a monk of Ramsey has beaten a monk of Thorney, or the abbot of Ramsey has beaten the abbot of .419] Thorney. The maxim Actio personalis moritur cum persona

[^293]seems us have been applied us though the two muthen were touly persumate. The action died with the affonding monk and with the uffonded. OHten evough the aunlogy afforded by the law of husbrad and wife is broughe suto the debate. A blow given by John's wife to Petar's wifi is ont the ramben a hlow gives by John to Peher; yet John enny have to pay tarany bersube has wife is a striker and Peter many receive rumas beraume his wife has been atroken. If we may judge frum tho Sens Biroke, a long time elapmad beform nccumate rules aboris thin matter were evolved, and perhape sorne quistiona ware atill upen when the day came fir the suppreatun of the motasteries. But the mnin principle that gridew our Inwreso in thia regron is, not that the onounk in deanl, but that, shonigh be cau do wrougg aud sutier wrong, he has thot aud cau uns have
 were made yet moro difficule by the slow growth of the siles that the beud of the mumavery. therogh ho in a natiral pirmat is almo in a certain wother an immortal, nons-matural promet. in - corporntions sole, and is likewise the bead of a 'critpuratsen aggrognte.".
The avitik A menk could minke an contrict: but be was fully conpable os egeat
 he would ufters njpuar an the abberts atcorney. A inoul mozti
 a epiritual mantleer'. It wuald be a inistake to mupperee that
 of a great abbey mast ofuas have been keen men of buesure

 perswin who was civally demi. Whatever the acclestastical bor may du, the temparal law dues sut atterapt to keep the tamet. ort of courta atsod taiss and tuaskents; is morndy sais thas a munk has nut and caus mot have any property of hie urru

[^294](0) The manner in which the monks were treated by the coclesiastical law we shall not discuss; but the tenuporal law seems to have assumed that every monk was the absolute subject of some 'sovereign'-normally au abbut, but in some cases a prior or a bishop'. Whatever degree of 'constitutional govermment,' of govermment in accordance with the rule' or the statutes of the order, of government by an assembly, by a chapter, might prevail within the house, was so affair of the secular power. It treated the sovereign as an absolute unonarch and would hardly be persusded to step between him and his subjects. Aganst him they could urge no complaint. We may indeed suppose that he might have been indicted for shaying or maiming them; but even in this case he would have enjoyed the benctit of clergy and been sent for trial to an ecelesiastical court. So long as he did not deprive them of life or limb he committed no crime of which the lay tribunals would take any accuunt, and undoubtedly the peuances that were intlicted were sometimes extremely rigorous*. According wh the common law of the church the monks might appeal frum their abbot to the bishop of the diocese, but some of the great houses were exempt from the bishop's control and then there was no help to be had save from Rome. Occasionally the munks wuthl unite to resist their abbot, and fierce and protracted litigation before the Ruman curia would be the result'. But the individual monk was helpleas; if he escaped from his chister, the temporal power would come to the aid of the church aud deliver up this 'apostate' to his eeclesiastical superiors".

Late in the day we hear discussions as to the pussibility of Return to the dead corning to life. In the fifteenth century lawyers said civil the.

[^295]that, thuugh the 'movereign' anight seleame the menk froms bis I wh uberluence, unse but the $[\mu$ pee could restons him to the wurld uf civil nghts'. Rulew aboust such a peunt had not beens very nerexuary, for dinpermathons from mumantic vows had been uncommon. Of culinse in a inameter the munk came back on kegrel life if the berame the morenigh of a moligrous houme. will ture if, am well be maght be beeune a binhap; but it suny be much doubted whether the: Inw yers of the thirteenth mestury woatd have neen in thas the new birth of a nutuml permon. They hand
 and the monk who was clecend 20 nis ablency becatne thereby persoma ecelesue, the humas reproserntative of a prontuthed inntitution. Only hy virtie of papal bull and ruyal chareas could an ablust ranke. a valid will, for 'by the commen lav an abbert can suot have property or executurs". We mre wot our that an abibut emuld have inheriterl from a kinamas. The dual permanality of a bixhop secman to have been morv nembly ofmuthed, still, an we shall remark below, there had beetu enveh controvesxy an to whesher a biahop haw atyshang we loase ty his will. It is nut easily that lan yupe come tu think of wor
 - corporations sule."
(\%) 11 Ilemeth eo in the trlongramet of ther shatures Emad

We cas not take kave of the theonke without nocicing thas
 as it would be in moulers law. Uf mume the m-latuonohip thas exints betwees ablent and monk is not juat that whrhe resaten berwern lowl and villem. still lexa in it that whoh we ore between hustanad and wife. Hut to entupmat thome tbow relationshipe ingether is not the meene futch of an alvorate as
 a guater of history they well may have a coburase eletun me Thuty all may be with-whewta of otue raitical odeth that of the
 shop or protectum. Cortain it is that mur cuturans lam it humbuad and wife cursuraly reproluces wute feature of the 'aw
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## §5. The Clergy.

Collectively the clergy are an estate of the realm. With Legnal this constitutional doctrine we are not here concerned, nor are of the we called upon to describe the organization of the clerical body; artathed but, taken individually, every ordained clerk has a peculiar legal status; he is subject to special rules of ecclestastical law and to special rules of temporal law. We can not say that the clerk is subject only to ecclesiastical, while the layman is subject only to temporal law. Neither half of such a dogma would have been accepted by state or church. Every layman, unless he were a Jew, was subject to ecelesiastical law. It regulated many affairs of his life, mamiages, divorces, testaments, intestate succession ; it would try him and punish him for various offences, for adultery, fornication, defamation; it would constrain hiru to pay tithes and other similar dues; in the last resort it could excomnumicate him and then the state would come to its aid. Even the Jews, though of course they were not members of the church, were (at least so the clergy contended) within the sphere of ecclesiastical legislation and subject to some of the processes of the spiritual courts ${ }^{\text {. }}$. In general terms we can say no more than that the ordained clerk was within many rules of ecclesiastical law which did not affect the layman, and that it had a tighter hold over him, since it could suspend him from office, deprive him of benefice and degrade him from his orders. So, on the other hand, the clerk was subject to temporal law. It had some special rules for him, but they were not many.

At the end of Henry III.'s reign, with one great and a few Tho cerk petty exceptions, the clerk was protected by and subject to the poral law. same rules of temporal law which guarded and governed the layrnan. If a clerk was slain, wounded, robbed or assaulted, the wrong-doer would be punished by the temporal law just as though the injured person had been of the laity. The clerk could own chattels, be could hold land by any tenure, he could
${ }^{123]}$ make contracts; the temporal law protected his possession and

[^296]his propretary rights, it enfonced his rontmots, without lakint any note of his peculiar status Fiven when he hal to mont promesury or pruprietary nghta whech belungend tu hime an the rector or percona of a church. he had wo lo this in the lay courta, umally by the very same actions that wore competenif (4) laymen, but sometimes by an action apecinlly adinpted a the needen of parmons'. Wey count it no real exceptinin thas a clurk who had attaned to the nubliaconate could not marry, fon the valudity of any marringe wio a tuatter for ecelemanaical lavi and on the other hand, though the cansus forbad the clerg? engage in tmule, we are not aware thas the lay courte attempted to onfuree this rale by holding that their tradiag cuntraite wert vord. Thes the clesk was nubject to the eesupral low Al the omlinary civil actions could be brought againut hims, he could be aued on a contract, he could be sulerl firs a fort. ho
 what did not belong to him, and this although her was holding it is the natue of hix chureh. Sumover, for any erme that lein whart of felosiy he could tre eriend and punishers in the comane way:

Fxep Uuntial tule ogplatal is dir cleth

There are a few manall exceptiotian $A=$ a ginemal mile th ecclontustical courts may out tuke cogruzaome of an ort violetore. If a layman in amauleed, they will be ponthitute
 violence duse to the persum of a elerk wer withat themer coraju-bots.


 comprelleed io pay damagea for the wTubgg that he has doten
 robmmunicase litat sententus, and, eximpt at thon hour of
 nuhbority". In such a cam the clorgy den not care fo are favounte maxims that now one is whe promationd twee tarne offenes. Kut thm is a mall matier. In cavil clerk enjuys a certain frowedotn from armon', but thio as

[^297]no great importance. On the other hand, the lay courta have invented a special machinery for compelling the appearance of elerks who are sued in personal sctions. They direct the bishop of the diocese to produce such clerks, and will proceed againat his barony if he is negligent in this matter. For this plarpose the clergy are treated as forming part of his familia-ss being within his mund, we might say,-and the episcopal barony is a material pledge for their appearance ${ }^{2}$. But this again is a small matter, and is far from being a privilege of the clergy; indeed they vigorously, but vainly, proteat against this treatment.

It remains for us to apeak of the one great exception, namely, Beneat of that which is to be known for centuries as the 'benefit of clergy ${ }^{3}$ ". It comes to this, that an ordained clerk, whe commits any of those grave crimes that are known as felonies, can be tried only in an ecclesiastical court, and can be punished only by such punishment as that court can inflict. But we must descend to particulars, for generalities msy be misleading. A clerk is charged with a murder; it is the sheriff's duty to arrest him. Probably his bishop will demand him. If so, he will be delivered up; but the bishop will become bound in a heary sum, a handred pounds, to produce him before the justices in eyre. The bishop can keep him in prison and very possibly will do so, for, should he escape, the hundred pounds will be forfeited. In the middle of the thirteenth century it is matter of complaint among the clergy that owing to this procedure clerks may languish for five or six years in the episcopal gaol without being brought to trial4. At last the justices come, and this clerk is brought before them, or some other clerk, who has not yet been arrested, is indicted or appealed before them. In the end it comes about by one means or another that they have before them a clerk indicted or appealed of felony. And now we may follow the words of the enrolment that will be made :-' And the said A.B. comes and says that he is a clerk

[^298]'aout that he cass aut-or, that he will not-answer bere. And - the ufticial of the bishop of $X$ comee and demmands hum $m$ a 'clark-ur, comen and craves the bishopin cours.' In Hrectinal day the clerk will thereupun be delivered wo the biahup or hid officer and no inquest will bo made by the junticen tow hime guile or innocences. But before the end of Henry 1IL.'s man the prooedure will nut be so sample?. The mill of the roust will gio on to eny-' Therefore let him be dellwered, bat in 'onler that it may be kniwn in what rharncter (qualus) ber wis - be delivered [or, in orter that the king's pencer mayy be prot - served, ] let the truth of the mateer the inguinat of the country And the twolve junun and the four nomghimoungg wowahip - my upou theur uath, that ho is grailey, [Ur, not guiley] and - therefuro as such let him be delivered. In other worits in
 trial : the clerk has not submitued to it ; ho has not plemadeds but a vertict is taken. If thim tr foromiable to the arrisad by in nequitted, at least in so far am a mecular court can acyurt hurs but if the jurum are agamst him, then he ta detwents tur the bushop?. In the: oue case his lavads and gunisa, if they ham been snized by the royul officers, are at user nombond wh him undesa the has boven guilly of tighte and has thus forfoited bid chathilso' in the outher came they will be netounid until be by been eried, and their fate will deperad an the resuls of him that

[^299]For tried he has not yet been. He will be tried in the bishop's court.

Of 'what went on in the bishop's court we anfortunately know very little; but we have reason to believe that before

Trial in the courts of the churroh. the end of the century its procedure in these casos was elreedy becoming little better than a farce. In criminal cases the canon law had adopted the world-old process of compurgation, and here in England the ecclesiastical courts had never reformed aray this ancient mode of proof. The blame for this should not fall wholly upon the prelates. Fery possibly the lay courts would have prevented them from introducing in criminal cases any newer or more rational form of trial. Had any newer form been introduced, it would have been that 'inquisitorial' procedure which historians trace to the decretals of Innocent III. ${ }^{1}$ In the twelfth century we find an archdeacon who is accused of poisoning his archbishop directed to purge himself with three archdeacons and four deacons:. Lucius III. told the bishop of Winchester that he was too severe in investigating the charroter of compurgators'. Bishop Jocelin of Salisbury cleared himself of complicity in the murder of Becket with four or five oath-helpers!. Hubert Walter, sitting as archbishop, forbad that more compurgators than the canonical twelve should be demanded ${ }^{s}$. Shortly before this we find the bishop of Ely offering to prove with a hundred swearers that he took no part in the arrest of the archbishop of York ${ }^{4}$. No doubt in theory the ecclesiastical judge was not in all cases strictly bound to send the clerk to 'his purgation.' If there was what was technically known as an accusatio, a definite written charge preferred by the person who was injured, the judge might hold that the accusation was fully proved by the accuser's witnesses and might convict the accused ${ }^{7}$. But the proof required of an accuser by the canon law was rigorous', and, from all we can
it was a second punishment for a single offence; Gravamina of 1257, Mat. Par. vi. 356.
${ }^{1}$ Fournier, Les officialites au moyen àge, 262-281. No doubt this procedure whe used in the case of minor offences ; but we are apeaking of felonies.
${ }^{2}$ Letters of John of Salisbury, No. 122, ed. Gilen, i. 170.
${ }^{2}$ c. $9, X .5,34$. The whole of tit. 34 bears on this metter.
${ }^{4}$ Sarum Charters, $35 . \quad{ }^{5}$ Johnson, Canons, ii. 81, 91.
6 Hoveden, iii. 250. $\quad 7$ Fournier, op. cit. 285-20̈6.

- Thus in the case of the archdeacon accused of poisoning the archbishop, the accuser could not make good the charge ' becundum subtilitatem legum et canonum '; see John of Salisbury's letter cited above.
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culprits had been guilty of fanatical blasphemy:- In 1261 the constitutions of Archbishop Boniface required that every bishop, ahould keep a proper prison, and declared that every clerk convicted of a capital crime should be kept in gnol for the rest of his life ${ }^{2}$. This then was the punishment due to felonious clerk; we fear that but few of thens suffered it.

The privilege was not confined to clerks in orders, for it was shared with them by the monks, and there seems no rensou for nere doubting that nuns were entitled to the same privitege, though, ton thiter to their credit be it said, we have in our period found no cases primilege. which prove thiss. On the other hand, it had not as yet become the privilege of every one who could read or pretend to read a verse in the bible. The justices insist that ordination must be proved by the bishop's letters. 1 t is still regarded rather ns the privilege of the church thau of the accused elerk; if his bishop does not clain him he will be kept in prison, perhnps he will be compelled, as a layman would be compelled, to stand his trial! We are not able, however, to indulge the hope that the bishop allowed the criminal law to take its course unless he had some renson for believing that the clerk was innocent? The plea rolls seem to prove that his official sits day after day in the court of the justices in eyre and as mere matter of course 'demands' every clerk who is accused; and in every eyre many clerks will be accused of tho worst crimes and their neighbours will swear that they are guilty. By marrying a second time, or by marrying a widow, the clerk, who thus became bigumus, forfeited his immunities:- this rule, promulgated by the council of Lyons under Gregory X., was at unce receiverl in England and a retrospective furee was attributed to it by a statute of Edward I."

[^300]What char tive wro. Wintun tho tor ile ate

It is prutanble that already in the thrteenth centery s clects, at chargexd with bigh treannt, at all eventes with one of the wims forms of bigh treawn, such as inumining the kiagin demth ur levying war againat him, would in vass have nelied on the libertite of the church'. Thens seems even to have beon nome doubt an to whether counterfeiting the king's wate oit a crime so high as to exceed the limits of the dercal inmanaty? At the other ead of the exala then clerk chargev! wath a inese traneyrreasic, a misdemeanour we may say, enjennd su exeeptional proviege but could be fined or maprasterl hike another man. Honry 11. within a vory few yeara after Borlsat: death nad while the whole of Chrazendoan wan nigung wath the fame of the new martyr, was able to manse with the awern of a pupal legrate that freat offences were ont within the benefit of clorgy', and before the end of the next contury the lay courts were habitunlly punishung the clergy for their inmogressumes Howeres, it ahould be underaumed that the foll extent of the clencal claim hail been and was thas, not monely every conimimal charge. but every personad achoo. agmitiat a cloph was an mather which lay otaside the empertencr of the tompanal irilumal. Thas clam deed hard; it was aneerted near the enod
 Bracten had to treat it with reapeet, though he regorted it Hin ductrine even an wo the feloniea of clecke is n muninta and We tuay suly a very unclencal one. The kingiz cours dow one try the morumel clerk; hat there is so sound pmosiple whits proventa stes dosigg an. Still tho approgriace pantahment fir the
 inthict. The logical nesult uf this would be that the kinge court shoushd try the elerk asul, whoukd be be evensirted. hand brum over to the ondinary, out for tral, bus for purtshmeas. How. ever at priverote thim is not the practice: Probahty it at to counerguruse of nuch nowoungrg en then that a few yarn hater the Kang's justuees will nut doliver up a clerk untal thoy have tima

[^301]taken an 'inquest of uthice' as to his guilt. Thereby thoy do their beat to lessen the harm that is done by an invidious and ©) mischievous immunity. The criminal will purge himself in the court Christian, but a jury of his neighbours will have sworn that be is guilty. Further we must remember that all along the justices insist that, though the clerk is not tried by a secular tribunal, none the less he can be and ought to be accused before it, and that he can be outlawed if he dues not appear when he has been accused. In this way the criminal law has sume huld over the clerk, though for centuries yet to cume the benefit of clergy will breed crime and impede the course of reasonable and impartial juatice'.

Here we might prudently leave 'the benefit of clurgy;' for The Conto speak of its earlier history is to meddle with the quarrel between Henry II. and Becket. Protesting however that it is not our part to criticize men or motives or pulicies, we are none the less buund to state, and if possible to answer, certain purely legal questions. These are in the main three:-(1) What was the scheme for the treatment of criminous clerks that Henry proposed in the most famous of the Constitutions of Clarendon? (2) What was the relation of that scheme to the practice of his ancestons? (3) What was its relation to the law of the catholic church as understurd in the year 1164?
(1) To the first question our answer will be brief? We anast admit that historians have read the celebrated clause ${ }^{3}$ in

[^302]various ways; but for our own part we cannot doubt that is it Remy Th's mennan this:-A clerk who is sumpected of a crime ts to be bmughe befure the cemporal court and nocused thene, unlises be will admit the eruth of the charge, he must in formal terms plead his mnucence; thin done, he will be sunt wo the en lexsantical court for trial; if found guilty be is to be depened frimen hia orders and brought back to the temprimal cortert; myal oflicem will have been present at hin trial and will see that be dines not make hin escape; when they have bronghe lum leact to the tempural cuurt, he will theu-perhape withous an! further trial, but this is nnt clear-be nentenesed en the larman's punishment, so death or muthlatisn. Henry dewe mos claim a nght to try or to protenate judgratert upor the erminous clerk; on the contrury, he admite that the tral muse take place in the exelexiantical court ; but he dexes inosas upo three principlean: (i) that the aceusation sulust be uadm th the lny court, which will thua obtain somgill of the cause and be enabled to watch ita further progreas: (ii) Lhat ruyal uffionmsant to be present at the trial: (int) that the clerk-ar rather the Inyman, for such be will really be-who han theen depmeds from his orders for a crime, can br pumshesl for that crase by the temporal power!.

Tu thin schetne Becket objected in the mawe of the chumph . law, and it is cortuin that he objectert. ant mescly to the nost two of these threes rules, tut also to the thind, nod thes on thr ground that it would pumsh a man twice over for cuse othem and thus infruger the maxims. Nec enver Iteme biwdicat be in idipmum".

[^303]ง] fairly represent the practice of Henry I.'s day? We note that it does not profess to represent the practice of Stephen's day. For legal purposes Stephen's reign is to be ignored, not because he was an usurper, but because it was a time of war and of ' unlaw.' Sixty years later this doctrine still prevails; a litigant can not rely on what happened in Stephen's reign, for it was not a time of peace ${ }^{2}$. Still, though the son of the Empress is but applying a general doctrine to a particular case, his pregnant assertion that the constitutions express his grandfather's customs seems an admission that thowe customs had in some particulars gone out of use noder his immediate predecessor.

So sparse is the evidence directly bearing on this queetion that we gladly catch at any admission made by either of the parties to the quarrel, and we may not unfairly urge that in this case judgment should go by default. Henry did assert repeatedly and emphatically with the concurrence of his barons and with the approval of many bishops that he was but restoring the old custome. Becket and his friends, $s 0$ far as we can see, would not meet this allegation". When one of the martyr's biographers reminds us that Christ said, not 'I am the custom,' but 'I am the truth,' we can not but infer that on the question of fact Henry was substantially in the right. The archbishop and his partizans are fond of speaking of 'the so-called customs,' as 'pravities' and 'abuses;' but they will not meet the king on his own ground ${ }^{8}$.

This premised, we look for direct evidence to the reigns of Enrlier the Norman kings. First we read how the Conqueror ordained The con. that no bishop or archdeacon should administer the episcopal ordinance.
criminons clerks shall be treated like criminous leymen. The famons Nemo bis in idipsum may be ultimately traced to some words of the prophet Nahum (i. 9) which in our Bibles appear as "Affiction shall not rise up the eecond time." Gratian has much to say of this maxim in D. 3 de poen. For the distinction that was gradually drswn between deposition and degradation, see Binechius, Kirchenrecht, v. 51.
' Bracton's Note Book, pl. 251 : 'non fuit saisitus in tempore illo nini tantum in tempore Stephani Regis quod fait werrinum.'
${ }^{2}$ See Pauli, Gesohichte von England, iii. 44; Reuter, Gemchiohte Alezenders des dritten, i. 369-870.
${ }^{3}$ The strongeat denial that the so-called cuatoms were onstomg, in that which comes from Fitz Stephen, Materials, iii. 47: 'Sed scriptee nanquam prias fuerant, nee omnino fuerstrt in regno hae oonsuetadines.'
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justice ${ }^{2}$. The king, so the great lawyer thought, might distinguish between the Earl of Kent and the Bishop of Beyeur though these two persons happened to be one man. But the m] case is not decisive, for the punishment did not touch life or member, and very probably Lanfranc could have shown to the eatisfaction of all canonists that the warlike Odo had forfaited every clerical privilege by his scandaloualy military life'. Of the trial of Bishop William of Durham for a treacherous rebellion against Rufus a long and lively report has come down to us?. The bishop repeatedly and in strong, clear terms asserted his exemption from temporal justioe:-he should be tried according to the sacred canons in a canonically constituted court. It will not satisfy him that among his judges there are his own metropolitan and the archbishop of Canterbury and many bishops, for they are not clad in their episcopal veetmente, they are mixed up with the lay nobles and are sitting under the king's presidency. Lanfranc baffes and defeats him ; judgment is pronounced upon him and pronounced by a layman, Hugh of Beaumont. The bishop appeaied to Rome, but never prosecuted his appeal. Here the sentence merely was that the bishop's fief was forfeited, and the severest canonist could not deny that a purely feudal cause was within the competence of the king's court, nor perhaps could he have refuted Lanfranc's opinion that if, after the judgment of forfeiture, the bishop would not surrender his fief, he might lawfully be arrested ${ }^{4}$. Still less can be made of King Stephen's proceedings against Bishop Roger of Salisbury, his nephews and his son. The king took advantage of an affray between the men of the bishops and the men of Earl Alan; he impleaded the bishops because their men had broken his peace, and by way of satisfaction demanded a surrender of their castles. This they refused. He then imprisoned them, maltreated them in gaol and went so far as to put a rope round the chancellor's neck; he thus

[^304]oblained the desired fortrosers. An oeclexinational muncil hald by his brothor, the legate, cilud hims ; the immututy of clethe
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[^305]always been granted, even by Stephen'. As to the law that prevailed in England before the Conquest little is known and little could be prufitably said in this context, for the Conqueror's ordinance must be treated as the beginning of a new era ${ }^{3}$. However, when King Alfred ordains that the man-slaying priest is to be unhallowed by his bishop and then delivered up from the church, unless his lord will compound for the wergild, he is laying down one of the main principles for which Heary contended ${ }^{3}$. If we would pursue the question behind the Nurman Conquest, it is much rather the law of France than the law of England that should be studied. At least in this matter the Conqueror was an innovator, and the terms which he made with thuse who were to be the rulers of the English church wene terms made by one who was not an Englishman with those who were not Englishmen. The early history of clerical privileges on the comtinent of Europe is a long and a dark tale and one chat we can not pretend to tell. Heury II.'s scheme was not unlike that which Justinian had sanctioned!. In Heary's day this resemblance was perceived by the learned and was much in his favour:-he was offering the clergy what the leges, the almost sacred leges, gave them. But the practice which had prevailed in Gaul was connected rather with the Theodosian Code than with Justinian's legislation, and under the Menovingiau and Karlovingian kings the Frankish clergy har not been able to obtain such liberal terms as Henry was willing to concede at Clarendon: During the age which saw

[^306]
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## Hiraryia

 alircuicamal(3) Than leale us tos intr third qusention: Wies feroker canome lanat. comperled by the law of the church, as it was undemenerl it the year lltit, to reject Henry's monatiturion? We musat dootinguish. Thers were two particulars in the plan, to which o caumotst bred in the schuel of limina was catited and brums to refiume bim asw-nt?. A clerk in onlern ought not to tir necuand of crime before the cemporal judgen and the inteano inf royal officers to the chureh's court can be rograrded an an ansuls to the church's justice. We cas not way that thewe uastion were matuen of detall; Henry thoughe them wf grave impontesce: bue they become inagruticans when ent beater ifine question of duuble punishment. Nuw as regards thes riad point. Becket proprountad a ductrine wherh, bor far wa wer aro aware, had sether beent tulerated by the state four comacmeas by the church He nserted that the alate. mant dot prumab the criminous cleak for that crime for wheh he hoo alnusy suffered degradntion. In 116 a gixal ileal hat Lotely tren written abuut thas matter by the unuab renuwhed catminato of the age. Wo do not nay that sthere wras nus nuen fur dembs. there were obscure panazges in the fhecotum which sewtat comment; but we carl may that two of the unimt fancous manters of the cansun law bad consudersil and overruled the sposana of

[^307]St 'fhomas, while we can name no writer who had maintained it. What is more, that opinion, though owing to his martyrdom it was suffered to do immensurable mischief in England by fostering crime and crippling justice, was never consistently assj maintained by the canonists; had it been manntauned, no deposed or degraded clerk would ever have been handed over to the lay power as a heretic or a forger of papal bulls. As a general priuciple of law. Becket's theury about double punishment was coudemned by Innocent III.; the deeree whith condemns it is to this day part of the statute law of the catholic chureh?

I As to thin matter of double paniahment, Fienry'n ennonion besed his ense of two passages of the Pendo-Isidore which nppear aa oc. 18, 31, C. 11 , qu. 1. These say in effect that in certain cases an offending clerk after being degraied is curvac frudendus. Dow this mean that he is to be delivered to the lay court for further punshmeat? Henry's party ssid Yea; Becket's No. Uur queation ought to be, nut what these words meant for the Pseudo-Isidore, still leas what they racant for Areadiun and Hunorine, from whom he stole shem, but what they meant for the best eceleniastical lavgers of the middle of the twelfth century. In 12 t t tre great canonite bave lately had or are just having their bay, namely, Uratian, Paucayalea, Kulaud (Dow Alexander LI.). Ruhuas and Stephanus Tornwoenais. We can hardly bring ourselves to doabt that Gratian (ece the dieta on cc. 26. 30. 47, e. qu.) would have agreed with Henry's contantion. And the same must be mid of Yaucapslen (Summa, ed. Solutite, p. 78) and Holand (Sumame, ed. Thaner, p. 20). Then lufinus diatmetly neya that the clerk is to be dexralens, 'et dimistetur post hoc iudici soonndum leges publican puniendus' (Summa, ed. Schulte, p. 274). Stophanue conkiders the opinion that Becket adopta and rejecta it. Soree say that the degraded clert is not to be mocused before the eroular judge, sunce thus he will be cried twiee for one offenoe. Uthern way that there so no occaston for a further wecusation, but that be cun be pruiastred by the secular judge withont a second trial. But the betier opinion ie, enys Shephes, that the mecalar judge ahould try him ; the Authentionm [ = Nov. 128.21 y 1 ) supports this doctrno (Summa, ad. Schules, p. 212). An esonymous authar of thas period (Summa Polandi, od. Thaner, p. 293) has no derabe that the canon law sanctuons it. Something may depend on the date of the dectetal of Alexander 111. which atands as C. 4, X. 2, 1. In tater tumen the
 Wa to be delivered to tho lay power fur further pumsbmens. See the glose on c. 18, C. 11. qu. 1; almo Fourner, Ofticinlitéa, 67 8. In 12z'2 Stequsen Langion handed uvar to the lay power a deucan whom be lisel degraded for surning Jow and the lay power burnt hara : ece L. Q. R. ii. 153. Incoovel \$It. (a. 7, X. B, 20) ordaised that the forgern of papal letsern ahould be havided over, and farther deciared (c. 27, X. 3, f() chat this procedure wat annctionad by the doubtful pessageen in the Decretum. If once it be ullowed that there is here no brouch of that fundamantal maxim whioh requrea thas a man be not purnalud swice for one offence, then there nomame no more than ut yucution abuut the relasive gravily of aflezces:-is, for example, the forkery of a deoretal a ware orime thio a morder? Latly, sinee Beckat wes wilhuy to add umprisonment for life

Curiously enough chae point is Henryin schetne which in it a the eyts of the canonist must have memed the leant deformathe. was sucensufully defended. As we have sown, his ancecsons mnintaisesd the rule that clerkn case be haled before the kungin juntices and necuseal of eapital erimes. On the other hatad, the not uncumoniend principle which wonld have bnought bark the clegraded clerk wo hear a sentence in the royal court was nbandoned. The result was lamentable.
Tir Une mbull matter remaine wh berticed. It has pometames tutriderens of cherka. beers asousmerd by Finglixh writurs that the elergy wite walloug to culmit a certain memante of reviponcity, that thing were willing that their own liven whould be priketerel inely by enclestiastical lnw and eceloaiustial tobunaly nad that thio an proved by the fate of the aschtushopes murdenem Nisw is is true that a clerk waw furbidelen by the law of the churrb wo before a lay court and surek a judgraent of blowid, hat woy ey thim as une thing, to sny that the lay murderer of a clart to trut to be punwhed by the lny privee in quiter author thing. and we are not persuaded that any one ever and it exmept whon be wes in a logical straih As we real the chrounten, Henry was blaned by his contemporanes for zout having hronstis the munderem to justice and put them to death, tbonant is wes adenition by mome that he was in a very awkwani pronthan :he would be blaned if he let them ewrape, he would be blamed If he punished them, for thw would be casting upoth theon the burden of a crime of which in common opunion her hitumelf was not guiltless. He thought it best that they shouth gio we the pope'. Afterwards be declared that he had twes uantion wh

[^308]arrest them ${ }^{1}$. It would seem indeed that for a very few years some English ecelesiastics were driven by the stress of Becket's $400^{3}$ logic to say that they would be content if the mumderers of clerks were handed over to the mild judginents of the church; or perhaps the true story is that this assertion was put into their mouths as a reductio ad absurdum of their demands by those who, though clerks and bishops, were the king's clerks. At any rate very soon after the martyrdum Archbishop Richard, the martyr's successor, wrote to three of the martyr's most deadly fues, who were by this time three prelates of the English church and the three principal justices of King Henry's court. he wrote to Richard of Ilchester, John of Oxford and Geuffiney Ridel, and told them that the doctrine which would deal thus tenderly with lay offenders was a damnable opinion aud utterly at variance with canon law ${ }^{3}$. Repudating the line of argument favoured by his sainted predecessor, he assured his three suffragans that a layman might be first excomwunicated by the church and then hanged by the atate without being punished twice for one offences. Henry could now make terms; he had something to sell. In 1176 a papal legate conceded that he might punish elerks for breaches of the forest law, and in retura the king granted that the lives of clerks shonld be protected as well as, or even better than, the lives of laymen:
(p. 163) shat they nought the Pope when it had beoomo dear that they mant fall into the handa either of tiod or of man.
${ }^{1}$ Gesta Henries, i. 88 : Hoveded, ti. 85 : 'malefectores tllow, quí . . . archiephioopurn oocidarunt habore non poterat.'
${ }^{3}$ Ho seeme to hate reforred to oc. 99, 17, C. 28 , qu. s; c. 8, C. $16, q u$. b; $\infty$. 19. 20. C. 11, qu. 1.

- Trivet, an. 1176 (Eng. Hist. Soc.), p. x22: ' In ocelesia Angloram damosas

 dotem ave clerioum minoris sut suviorm shasus oceidorit, solh excommunicatione cantente, ant (at resian lopuar) contempta, coclenia materialia opem gladi non suquint.' Thia, the archbishop arguen is diroctly oontrary to many canous. He sulte: "Nec dicasur quod aliquis his pusiatur propter hoc in idipautn, neo enim theratum est quod ab uno incupitur et ab altero consummatur.' A nester seply to secket's culk of double punasiment could not be given.
 matater val pramentitati interfecarial, convioti vel confexss soram iustitiario wiso, promente epincupo vel cius offionali, graetar ounsmetatin laioornm sindiotam, samm ef suorum de bereditate quas eos contingit perputumen sustuncatet exheredationem.' This meems to sbow that so law an 117 the ordianary sentence out ammalayer did not alwaye auvolve dishenmon.


## §6. Aluins.

When our cummun low inaes from the zniddle ages twith ite

The clanalcal Cumbitian lw.

Wha are alisens? ents of nationality and its treatment of aliens aro hardly such as we might bave expectevl them to be.

1. As regards the defimition of the two great elame of men which have to be distangushed from each other. the tuan rule is very mimples. The phace of birth is all-importants A child born within any terntory that is subject to the king if England is a natural-burn subject of the kusg of England. atas in nu alien in Fingland. On that wher hand, with manar userpcions, evary child bura clsewhere 2 s an abub, no nuters tbm nationality of ith purnotion

The fill exteat of the first half of this rule was wetteal ta 1608 by the fannus decision in Calvin's case:- a chikd bum in Sicothand after the rnomesst whes King Jumes the Sirsh lumature King James the First is no ahen an Elighad!' The dercanons was one which plesued the king and implemsel uashy of tho subbjecta; but no other judgment could have been givens, nolemo many precedenta derived from times when our kingo had lango


The other balf of the rule Laken un brek to she moddte of the fouruwnth century. In 1343 a great debote has spribge up nenong enen of the law sull otherm on the thational chansores of the chaldreon burn to Elaghash paremen in furcign parta. The king meetus to fratr that thas may wouch even the anccosmans en the thrune. the proliowes and baruns renoure hims, thern meirs has beres any duube that the king's chiliders whereves turns ane capoble of inbersing from their assestum. But an rombe other chaldren they besitate. It in agreed in parluanewt tion children 'bron in the king'4 servire,' no matter the plame of tbe as burth, can inheris; but tisue an short, shas difforuls mastese requiren further dincussion, and to it is almon ayroed ithat no statute shall be made upara the present atcansor'. Then is 1350 the debate is resumed. Once mune there to a culama protest that is wo the Lingig chulimen thene in dets and has tor wer beeas any doutt at all. Fior the reat, is is ordaned by statule

[^309]M ( $)^{\text {that 'children born without the ligeance of the king, whose }}$ fathers and mothers at the time of their birth be and shall be at the faith and ligeance of the ling of England, shall have and enjoy the same benefite and advantages to have and bear inheritance within the same ligeance as [certain children in whose favour this rule was being retrospectively applied], so always that the mothers of such children do pass the sea by the licence and wills of their husbandss.' Certain children already born, were then declared capable of inheriting. The inference which we should draw from the proceedings of 1848 and 1850 is that the parliament thought that it was defining a somewhat debatable point in the common law, not that it was introducing a new rule. There is very little in the earlier Year Books that bears on this point: just enough, it may be, to suggest that the usual forms of pleading threw difficulties in the way of any one born 'out of the king's ligeance,' and that 'the king's ligeance' was regarded as a geographical tract'.
2. An alien can not hold land in England. If the person Dimblatiee to whom land would descend according to the common rules of dite. inheritance is an alien, it misses him and passes to some remoter kinsman of the dead man. If, on the other hand, an alien obtains land by gift, sale, lease or the like, the transaction is not a nullity, but the king can seize the land and keep it for himself. Late in the middle ages we hear of a narrow exception :-an alien merchant may hire a house for the purposes of his trade ${ }^{3}$. Also it is said that an alien may have goods and chattels; he may make a will of them, and, should he die intestate, they will be administered for the benefit of his kinsfolk. But it is very noticeable that according to Littleton an alien can bring no action whether real or personal, and when his great commentator explains this to mean that no alien can bring a real action, that no alien enemy can bring a personal action, but that an alien whose sovereign is in league with our own may bring personal actions, we can not but feel that this is a bold treatment of a carefully worded text ${ }^{4}$.

[^310]Fissuratben. H0』
3. Nothing khort of a statute can give to na alen all the if al rightes of a natunal burns subject; but some of these can be conferred by the king's letters patent making the alien a - denizrin.' A denizen thus made can hold land. and be can acquare land by gift, wale or the like, but he can nos inbents. and a child of his born before the act of demzation cans ach inheril from him ${ }^{3}$.

Now there is ruwn for sersons duubt whether these rules can be tracerd far beyond the end of the thisteenth eeptury. Viry ancient law may negard every stranger as an ebeasy. but it will lay fur anore stresa upou punty of blowd thatt un place of birth; it will be tribal mather than servitonal lar. At a later cirne the frienully stranger will have ans stncs legwa righes, no righte giveu him by the folk-law, but wall live unders the pritertion, the mund, of the ruler or aume other grma man. There is much in the treatment reoeived by Jown and forelgu unerchants in the thirteeuth century wheh nuggente ths docerine. But foudalimm is opponed to tribalam and evers ios
 bin, and this duse, the natiouality of our aucestors and tbe place of our birth are insugnuticant. The law of feadal ouneracs stternpts for a while on swallow up all wher law. In Eicginoml. however, a yet mightier force than feuralasts cantr inter pis!. A forelguer at the head of an aray recruited froms many latubs conquaroud Eingland, breame king of the Eingloh, Mulawayd tis Followerm with Euglish Lavis For a long cume after this thro could be litule law agaunat aliens, there could bandly be atorth a thong an Englinh rationality. Even had tho kugg clanmada
 it Agribi, the kerntiry within which, mocurdiag ho latar ian subjiects would be born to the king of bioglants, was later undar Henry If it becane vast. It comprehendiad Irolasma at times (to say the lewat) it exmprehepded siontated, is stersehes) to the Pyrenewti Then ngrin. the Law evell of Bractond day acknuwleriged that a zuan mught be a sutyevet of the Ferea-b
 king and hold land is Eroglaud. Is was preparest ses mest ibe
 munt fight in person for his luege lund, bat he must alen ond

[^311](t0] his due contingent of knighte to the opposite army. In generation ufter generation a Robert Bruce holds land on both sides of the Scottish border; no one cares to remember on which side of it he wan bom?. Simon de Montfort obtained the Leicester inheritance; where he was born historians can not tell us; it matters not. He obtained the Leicenter inheritance though his elder brother Almaric was living. Almaric was adhering to the French king, the enemy of our king, and that might be a good reason for passing him by ; but Almaric must solemnly resign his claim before Simon's can be entertained'.

It is, we believe, in the loss of Normandy that our law of fimmth of sliens finds its starting point. In the first place. John seized dianabling the lands of those of his nobles who adhered to Philip, and preferred to be French rather than English. Thia was a forfeiture for treason. At the samo time we see traces of that curious dislike of perpetual disherison which meets us in other quarters. Some of these lauds, the terrus Niomnunnorum, are given to new tenants in fee simple, but subject to a proviso that they may be taken awsy again if ever the Normaus come back to their allegiance? In the second place, a permanent relatiun of warfare is establshed between Euglaud and France. It endures from the beginning of John's reign until 1259 when Henry resigned his claim to Normandy. True that during this long balf-century there was very little fighting and there were masy truces; but all along the English theory wus that Henry was by right Duke of Normandy and Count of Anjou, that the king of France was deforcing him of his inheritance, and that the day would come when the rebellious, or the invaded, provinces would obey their lawful lord. Thus a man whe is living in obedience to the kung of France is an enemy. If, says Bracton, such an one claims land against you, you may except against him; your exception huwever is nut 'peremptary,' it is 'dilatory ' ; it may loge it. force when our king enjoys his own

[^312] frum the carly yeare of Henry III A clamant of laud is mest not by the simple 'You are an alien,' but by the far mane claborate. You ane within the prower if the king of Frameat and reaident in France, and it has been prowided by the cesumel of our lond the king that nij sutjemet of the king of Firmee is th the answered in England until Englishmen are answend in Frumer: Theo Satchew Dasin uello us how in 1244 Smint Lonien urgong that ' bof man may werve two manters,' insisted that all permane livugg in France must muke chace betwoen hum and Mrary haw Henry retorted hy erixing the Eughash landm of the Frobechmen, लenpecintly of the Normann, without giving thrm any chance of choosing an Enghah nationality, aod bew Laum trated thise retort an a breweh of truce:
The liteg and the clen
 hold Inaid in Eengland, but when he has to explaun why the king should seize the land wheh alienas anyuire, we ferl that be is in didticulurs. He muggentes that thas furfecture 'se inteaded by wny of pumshament for the alien'x prosumptoon in atcomptime: to nequire any lasded propertye.' The truth meems ow be thas in the course of the tharteenth century wur kugen evyurred a habit of selziug the lauda of Normans and orber Fresechnowa. The Noruans are traturs; the Frenchmen are enomien Al! this will be otherwise if a permaneme prome in ever entahlucheld But that permaneat peace nover commen, nod it in alwayp difticult to obtano a restorstion of landa wheb the king bee meared. Frnees in the one formign country that has to br cow-
 meechantes, but they have no ancositral clatron to urge and do nut want Englush Innids, white as to Scustasul. owring to the Einghah keng's claum to an overloriship or to wome nther reanan. Ralliols and Bruces hold hand un buth ontes of the torter untul A loug war breakn nut between the two countries Tor ae "1 seetus that the kiog's clatan to seize the luncto of aliwion in as

[^313]40] exaggerated generalization of his claim to seive the lands of his French enemies. Such an exaggerated generalization of a royal right will not seem strange to those who have studied the growth of the king's prerogatives!.

And so too Bracton's 'dilatory exception' becomes per Gromh of emptory: 'You are an alien and your king is at war with our dhem to king' becomes 'You are an alien.' An English nation is the pismes gradually forming iteelf. Already there is a cry of 'Ragland for the English.' The king's foreign favourites are deteeted; glad enough would Englishmen be if he would but seize their lands impartially and indiscriminately, and never ondow another alien, be he Norman or Poitevin or Sevoyard, with another inch of land. A trace of this feeling we may see when Bracton says that while the state of war endures the king cannot enable the alien to bring an action". Probably in Edward I's day the law is, not merely that an alien enemy can not sue, but that an alien can not acquire land. A curious story comes to us which is worthy of repetition. A tenant in chiof of the crown died leaving two co-heiresses; King Henry granted the wardship and marriage of these two young ladies to Elyas de Rabayn; Elyas took one of them to wife and sent the other to be married beyond the seas so that he might obtain the whole inheritance. In 1290 her son, though born abroad, claimed his mother's share; and claimed it successfully. The court defaated the scheme of the fraudulent guardian, but declared that its judgment was to form no precedent in favour of other aliens*. From Edward's day also we have letters of denization or of naturalization: the two would hardly as yet be distinguished. Though Elyas Daubeny was born beyond the seas, the king holds him for a pure Englishman and wills that he shall be

[^314]beld as such by all men and that he mny one in all cuure to notwithytanding any 'exception' of alestugn'!

The kinde of allens.

The nillese comerbassal.

The law of Helry IIl.a reigu has la deal on a nulter of fact with twn and only two great claseses of nhems. The tims connists of Fronchmen who have chims to Englush lande. Surh clams are in mume camen ancemind, and these, an we bave seeb, can not be heand while there in war or aus abideng cauter for war between Franco and England. In other carat the clamanter are recipienter of royal favours; they are the kebsio half-brothers, the queverin uncles or the atzendsata of the exalsed persons; the king given thete latols and, except as a revnlutionary momest, thay hold their lanile safoly: some is them were borss in provineev which de iure (m) Fanghaness think) belong in the king; all of them by domg homage to tbe
 The other groust clnes contimes of aluen merchante. they do, noe come here th mettle; they dor not want Inad. they would be well content were they prormitted wo ludge where they pleanal

Mere common law has litele to do with thosa funump mapchatats. Thers busineses taken them sutw the ehartered townth. The law under wheh they live is a mumb of provegem and of privilegen that are harily consistent. They theme lies will have charters derived froun the king, bus thry wits b living is boroughs which have charens derverd frum the king and first and foremont among the nghen for whith she burctoso long is the right of confitung the actaviey of forterg merchans
 fortune from century wentury. In the whole the klug the prelates and barous suppurt the merchants, they am a-fint. they lend money, they lower prices, they will pay for favours. but iften a weak king mast give way and yould tea tho complanta of the burgherse. Alsealy the (invat (harter porvides that merchanta may freoly euter and dwell is acod isnoe she rexalsu. but the natue Great Clinarter continus all the anctent liberties and cumburn of Lonulon and then cishur brimuaghe and

[^315]Ans, thns takes away with one hand what it gives with the other ${ }^{2}$. The burghers have a very strong opinion that their liberties and customs are infringed if a foreign merehant dwells within their walls for more than forty days, if he hires a house, if he fails to take up his abode with some reponsible burgher, if he sells in secret, if he sells to foreignens, if he sells in detail. In Henry IIL's day the struggle is but beginning. It reaches the first of its many climaxes in 1308 when Edward I. grants the great Carta Mercatoriay. It will interest rather the economist than the lawyer, and rather the student of the fourteenth and fifteunth centuries than the student of errlier times ${ }^{2}$.

We may perhaps regard Cuke's doctrine that the alien the alien friend is protected by 'personal actions' as ancient common common law. In Eidward I.'s day we even fisd that an Italian merchant haw. resident in England, who as a Ghibelline had been ejecterl from his house in Florence by victorious Ginelfs, hoped to recover damages for this wrong in the courts of the king of England; he fanled, because 'it is not the custom of Englaud that any one should answer in England for a trespase committed in a foreign country in time of was or in ayy other manner:.' The Carla Mercatoria of Edward I., the validity of which did not pass unquestioned, and statutes of Edwari III. secured to aliens the benetit of a jury composed wholly or in part of aliens:. In 14.54 it is said that a foreign merchant may hire a house and defend his possession of it by an action of trespass: If we suppose this to have been nucient commun law, still it must have been law which had but little chance of asserting itself; the burghers have steadily furght agninst it and very communly have been successful?. Littleton's bold hssertion that at alieu can briug no action seal or permonal may be less open to exception than his commentator supposed; for in Littleton's day we hear that the proper court

[^316]fur aliens who hare come here under the kingin sefo emntures one in the Court of Chancery; ' they are not bound to sue cecontitig to the law of the land, nor wo nbide the trial by twilve wed and other wolemnities of the lnw of the land, bot shall wan in the Chancery nad the matter shall br determined by the Law of anture!' Thix in $n$ doetrne chanacteristic of the fineenth reatury. But all alorg it is as meas priviloged by the king. rather than as men aubject to ordinary law, that the fiomeign merebnata get a hearing. They can midoton inake their way 4) the king's juatioen brentue the courta of the towns in whet they live claim an exclonive cogmance of actumas browhs ugatnst the burgeses, andil when the foneignem do get in the noyal courta there is a contuat between privilegr and priviliger Probably the king enn bmivh them at asy time; his tornal subjects is the bomughen would not be sormy if be dial. furf thene aliena are alwaym taking the broad ont of the montho of hourest folk. Then, at leunt in the tharteenth century, the commen betief is that they ane all undrom and therefun- liviop is mural sin. We are wold that in 1240 Kenry III. banseboal the so-called Carrsini; but that they only lay bint for a tinee. the king counnving at their presence. A littie whilr afterwario they are acquiriug splendid paliaes in Loodun: no whe dane netrick then, for they call thetuselves the Popein merchant-. now and again the king will imprianon a few, to the detight of their Jewish rivals; but he is half-hearted. And so thene is liste common taw for theme perpla?

Ileo the turteltant E itrullier mazno

Ought wee to recken merchants of all kiads, Eanglish ands forengh, as forming one of the surts or cundistors of men knomen su the law! Harily, though as the hiatoriats of our couatisutiom has shown, they uearly berome for polstival purpneest one of sho entates of the reala's Still they do out becorne than Then ta
 cartier who bsuke bulk.'


 by Smencanes be mmune tam of Smana not of Sras. Is cevani fouto plave tras

 masaink. flaris would hurilly hore darod to pepertase no bed a JWe co the 201 'qumal camanales, rel copurates, of urasua.
${ }^{3}$ seation. Conct Hent, It
p. ${ }^{450]}$ private law ' merchantahip,' if we may make that word, seems too indefinite and also seems to have too few legal consequences to permit of our calling it a status. We might illustrate this from modern law. Until lately no one but 'a trader' could be made bankuupt; still we should hardly say that in 1860 'tradership' was a status. There was, so far as we are aware, but this one rule which marked off the 'trader' from the 'nontrader,' and a man became and ceased to be a trader without any solemnity by a process that we may call indefinite, though a court of law might have had to decide whether at a given moment that process had been accomplished.

Before the end of the thirteenth century 'the law merchant was already conceived as a body of rules which stood apart
to inv merchant. frum the common law ${ }^{1}$. But it seems to have been rather a special law for mercantile transactions than a special law for merchants. It would we think have been found chiefly $\omega$ consist of what would now be called rules of evidence, rules about the proof to be given of sales and other contracts, rules sa to the legal value of the tally and the God's peany; for example, the law merchant took one view of the effect of an 'earnest,' the common law another. These special mercantile rules were conceived as being specially known to merchants; in the courts of fairs and markets the assembled merchants declare the law ; it Edwand II.'s day twelve merchants are summoned from each of four cities to testify before the king's bench about a duubtful point in the 'lex mercatoria. Also these rules are unt conceived to be purely Euglish law ; they are, we may say, a ius gentium known to merchants throughont Christendom, and could we now recuver them we might find some which had their origin on the coasts of the Mediterranean. But this is wot the place for their discussion, for we take the law merchant ut be not so much the law for a class of men as the law for a class of transactions.

[^317]
## § 7. The .Jeres ${ }^{1}$.

fienernal ulen of the Jew'm prosition.

The Jew came to Engelned in the wrke of the Norman Conqueror. Tlat no Ixpmelikes hal ever rlwilt in thin cotintry before the year 10156 we dane not noy; but if wo, they have beft no truew of their proworne: that ane of any importance wh us They were beroughe hither from Normanuly, broughs hither us the king's dr-pendontes und (the worl will harily be uxi weroug) the King'x werfis. In the firms. half of the ewelth coutury their conditiun was thun deserstord by the nuthor of the leame Educardi in a prosuge wheh nuggestes that among the regalas to which the Norman bartins axpires was the prosilege if kerping Jows of thoir own:- It in tor be known thas ali the Jewn wheresocever they be in the restin man under the lenger wardship and prouetiun of the king: nor way any of thons wothout the king'x licence subject himaelf to ang nich nas. firt the Jewn and all that they have an the kingia, and nhould ary one detain them or their chattela, the king may demamal them as his own".' This gives us one of the two main rdene that wer law ins later timsa has about the Jew: -he with all thes be he belouge wh the king. Hracton puts the name thonght in theser wurds:-'The dew can have nothing thas ie his own, for whatever he acyuires, be acyumen, nut for hima-lf, bus fioe slies king; for the Jown live not for theinedien bat bie outhers, ans so they acquire ant for themselves but fur whem:" The intare main idea in one which will not nowm atronge ha us nfort what we have said of villeinnge. This mervility as a relative mornility in relation to all mesu, save the kigg. the dew is from Ho will
 and its any good, he munt ber alluwiat on do thaygs thot anforbiden to Chinstinas, notably to take internet on money dent And courte of justice munt pay some mogord cu heo relige ti

[^318]38) for example, they must suffer him to swear upon the roll of the law instead of the gospels; but in general, if his royal master's interests are not concerned, he is to be dealt with as though he were a Gentile. A third principle is acceptedthe Jews themselves would desire its acceptance-namely, that when the interests of neither the king nor any other Christian are concerned, the Jews may arrange their own affairs aud settle their own disputes in their own way and by their own Hebrew law'.

For about a century and a half they were an important The element in English history. In spite of the king's exactions ox the equer aud of occasional outbursts of popular fury, they throve. They jews. were wealthy; they bore an enormous weight of taxation? We may any that at times they 'financed ' the kingrom; there were few great nobles who had not at one time or another borrowed money from the Israelite, and paid the two pence per pound per week that was charged by way of usury. What the great folk did, the smaller folk did also. This money-lending business required some governmental regulation. In the first place, the king had a deep intereat in it, for whatever was owed wo a Jew was potentially owed to the king, and he would naturally desire to have ready at hand written evidence that he could use agamst his debtors. In the second place, this matter could hardly be left to the ordinary Eaglish tribunals. For one thing, they would do but scant justice to the Jew, and therefore but meant justice to the king, who atood behind the Jew. Fur another thing, it is highly probable that the Jewish 'gage' was among Englishmen a novel and an alien inktitution, since it broke chrough the old law by giving rights in land to a creditor who did not take possession. In 1194 therefore an edict was issued about these Jewish Juans ${ }^{3}$. In every town in which the Jews lived, an olfice, as we should say, was established for the registration of their deeds. All loans and payments of luans were to be made under the eye of

[^319]certain officers, some of them Christians, some of them Jews. and a copy or 'part' of every deed wns to be deposited in an is 'ark' or cheat under oficial cusholy. A fuw yean laters a department of the royal excheyuer-che exchenjuer of sbe Jews-was organized for tho nuporvinion of thim busanmen'. At ite head wore a few 'Justicen of che Jrwin' We hour fur a whle that some of these justices are themedves Jewn, and all along Jewr filled subordinute officen in the court; and thas whs necowsary. for muny of the documenta that came before it were writuen in the Hebrew language. Thas exchequer of the Jown was. like the great excheyper. buth a timancial burnau and a judicial tribumal. It managed all the king'a tonneartantis -and they were many - with the Jewn, naw to the exnemina of tallinges, reliefis, escheats and forfertares, and almo actad judicially, not merely an between king and Jow, hut alow no between king and Gentale when, as often happened, the kobg had for some calles or another 'netzed intw hia hand' the thebee due wo one of his Jows by Christian debsors. Alno it heani and determined all manner of diaputia betwewn Jew and 4 ihnatsat. Sisch disputese, it th true, generally related us limans of nover! but the courb seerne to have simed at and acyurnd a cmmpetence, and an exclusive compertesce, in all caunem wheshes esvil or crimual in which a Jew wax imphented, unleos is whe mome merely civil causo between two Hebrews which combed be left to a puraly Jewish tribunal. Fios thia mean orv ran rend vury litele of the Jews in the recunis of my other muar nad untal such mills of the Jewish exchequer as exist harw been published, we shall be more ignomat than we oushi to be!.
Vier of the The system could not work well: it oppresend both In wo towaprind to dere. und Einglinhtroun. Dengimenl and dishked the unec chiants peopio would always have been in a wecety of medsertal Clbriolians

[^320]perhaps they would have been accused of crucifying children and occasioually massacred; but they would not have been so f) persistently hated as they were, had they not been made the engines of royal indigence. From the middle of the thirteenth century onwards the king was compelled to rob them of their privileges, to forbid them to hold land, to forbid them even to take interest ${ }^{1}$. This last prohibition could not be carried into effect; there was little or nothing that the Jews could profitably do if they were cut off from lending money. Their expulsion in 1290 looks like the only possible solution of a difficult problem.

A few more words may be said about their legal coudtion for it was curious and may serve to illustrate some general principtes of our medieval law.

The Jew's relation to the king is very much like the Relation of vitlein's relation to his lord. In strictness of law whatever the the fiew tiog Jew has belongs to the king; he 'acquires for the king' as the villein 'acquires for his lord.' But, just as the lord rarely seizes his villein's chattels save for certain reasons, so the king rarely seizes the Jew's chattels save for cortain reasons; until the seizure has been made, the villein or the Jew is treated as owner aud can behave as such. Again, as the lord is wout to be cuntent with the customary services, heriots, merchets and so forth of his villeins and to tallage them only at regular intervals, so the king, unless he is in some unusual strait, will treat his Jews by customary rules; for example he will not exact from the heir by way of relief more than one-thind of the inheritance? The king respects the course and practice of his Scaccarium Iudueorum, the custom of his Jewry, much as the lord respects the custom of the manor. Again, the king does justice upon and between his Jews, as the lord does justice upon and between his vilteins. The maxim that what is the Jew's is the king's is uot infringed when the king after a judicial hearing decidea that for a certain offence a certain Jew must pay a certain sum, and just \$n the lord keeps in the background his right to seize all the gurds of every villein while his court is condemning this or

[^321]
## 47:

 The Sorts cond Comelitions of Men. [BK. Itthat villein to a fine, a forfeiture or an amerremont. Agaut. the king can grant privileges to his Jewa-Henry II. gave thetn a charter und John a maguificent chasher- withous of emancipating them or fundamentally changing their legad owndition'. Lasely the lumd when his own inkereves anr not at stake is content that his villeons ahould metele their uwal dispouks in their own way under the nuprorvision of his stewand, asod su the king the contesst that, ne betwerms Jewn, Jewiah tat shall be administered by Jewish judges.
T7. Jo.*'s arrilty

The maloggy may not be perfeen. it in but ton promble that in hin denalinger with hise Jown the king's raperity wow cheeked by few cousiderations that were not prodestetul, and that the course and practice of hin Jewry extronted froten them the utmout that a far-xighted selfishnews could allow itarlf ta deruand. The villem was a Chrsetina ; the ruatum of the mature hand nuelent roote and was elvely akia w, whe consmon law The relation betworn king and Juw was mew, at loast in England, and it was in many respucts unique; the Jew twolougial to a despreable rnou and profesowal a domemeable croved For all thix, the anullogy holda good at the mout importans point: the Jew, though he is the king', serf. is a from usas in rulation to all other permona. We call him a merf. We have su0 direct authority for so doing, fur we havo stem no $\ln 12$ is which he in called servoa; but Brachon has gose very nows this word when he said that what the Jew arpures his scyume for the king. Not only can the king inortgage or leawe hio Jewry, his Iudaumberm, as a whole ${ }^{\text {e }}$, bus there ta noe kwown cane in whech an individual Jew was firat given by sbe kime
 the phrase used; hervafter in conwiteration of an hononaty rent of a pair of gate spurs be in to be froe from all tallapes muls, luans and demands?

The Jew's freedous in relation tu all utbem than tris
i Bot. Cers Soh. P 93. The charket of Hersy If. covien to be loos For. chares aranted try flichand, Elineders, i. 81.
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 Mential des Inestertione p. 85s.
master seems to have been amply proteeted by the exchequer. The sew So far as we cal see he found there a farourable audience. fin rillation He could sue and be sued, accuse and be accused, and the worlumat 660] rules of procedure, which in the main were the ordinary English rules, were not unduly favourable to his Christian advensary. He 'made his law' upon the books of Moses; he was not required to do battle; he might put himself upyn a jury one hatf of which would consist of men of has own race and ereed. He enjoyed a splendid monopoly ; he might fratikly bargain for interest on his loans and charge about forty-three per cent. per aumum. Unless we are mistaken, no law prevented him from holding lands ${ }^{3}$, though it is not until late in the day that he appears as a lantholder un a large acale, and when this happens it is a scandal that cries aloud for removal. He had a house, sometimes a fine house, in the town. His choice of a dwelling place seems to have been confined to thuse towns which had 'arks.' or as we might say 'loan registries' ; he would hardly have wished to live elsewhere; but there were boroughs which had obtnined royal charters enabling them to exelude him². Many lands were gaged to him, but, though we do not fully understand the nature of these gnges. it seems to us that the Hebrew creditor seldom took, or at all events kept. possession of the land, and that his gage was not conceived as giving him any place in the scale of lords and tenants. However, late in Henry IIL.'s reign it became apparent that the Jews were holding lands in fee aud that they had military tenants below them; they were claiming the wardships and marriages of infant heirs, and were even daring to present Christian elerks to Christian bishops for induction intn Christinn churches?. This was uot to be burne. In 1271 the ediet went forth that they were no longer to hold frome wenement, though they might keep their own honses? Some gallung restrictions had alrendy been laid upon them at the instance of the church; they were to fast in Leut ; they were to wear distinctive badges upon their garments; they were nut

[^322]wo keep Christian servants or have intercourse wath C'hristian women; they were not en enter the churches; they were to acepuire 120 mure mehorla or aynagigues than they alnudy persesered.
lasw beincerl Jew atul Jew

An between Juw and Jew, if the king's intereate were its be to wime concerued, Jewiah tribunala adminntered the Jewiah law (les Iudaicu). Quastions of inheritance, for exnasple, du don cune: before the urdarry Einglinh tribumaia, and cotne but mody and incidentally before the exchequer of the Jewn. Wheso Hebrew dealt wath Hebrew the dncument, the shetar ilas. starrum. Fr. extarre) which recorded the transaction wha writuw in the Hebrew language and the partien wh ithestend of athxing their senale (some Jows had seals), signead their names' Ofwas such a document wam executed in the presence of ontional witnesmes and was sanctroned by an uath upon the taw. The precise nature of the triburuls which did juxtioe betwenen Jrwe we can nut here discusa; it in a matter fur thome whon anlearued in Hebrew antupuities; but to all appearnuce they wam nut inere buanks of nabitratwrs but caurts with cressive power' Whether they anguired to execute their decreen by physieal froron we do nut know ; but apparently, like our own eoclenactual courta, they condd wiwh the wesapen of excuramumeatsins, and this spiritual sword may have been sufficimut for the taxicuplahment of all their purposes'. To (ieutiles at all evoute is overned that the Jews houl 'protati' and 'bishope ' (prestopten.
 appoiatenent of theae afticem the kugg expreised as cototint, het wery unlike that which he axeremed uver the approutumet ef English bishupw. 'The Juws of each sewsu, of uf rach syiameneste atul again all the Jews of Estigland, colsatituterl a cumbinata mith which he cruld deal as a sugle whule Hecould isupane a tas
 various memben the final incidence of the tapust

[^323]Whether the sojourn of the Jews in Eugland left any Infacmes permanent marks upon the body of our law is a question that min Engliah we dare not debate, though we may raise it. We can hardly用 suppose that from the Lex Iudaica, the Hebrew law which the Jews administered amoug themselves, anything passed into the code of the contemptucus Christian. But that the international Lex Iuduismi' perished in 1290 without leaving any memorial of itself is by no means so certain. We should not be surprised to learn that the practice of preserving in the treasury one 'part' (the pes or 'foot') of every indenture which recorded a fine levied in the royal court, was suggested by the practice of depositing in an official ark one copy of every bond given to a Jew. Both practicas can be traced to the same jear, the year 1194. Again, very early in Edward I.'s day we hear that 'sccording to the assize and statutes of the king's Jewry, his Jews ought to have one moiety of the lands, rentan and chattels of ther Christian debtora until they shall have received their debts": A few years afterwards, and just before the hanishment of the Jews, a famous statute gave a Christian creditor a very similar remedy, the well-known writ of elegit, which therefore may be a lasting monument of the Hebrew money-lender: But at any rate we ought to remember the Jew when we make uur estimate of the thirteenth century: Landowners are borrowing large sums, and the enormous rate of interest that they contract to pay, if it shows the budness of the securty that is offered for the loan-the Jew holds his all at the king's will and usury does not run against ininuts; the security therefore is very bad-shows also the intensity of the demand for money. Many an ancient wie between men,-the tie of kinship, the tie of homage-is being dissolved or transmuted by the touch of Jewish gold; land is being brought $\omega$ murket and feudal rights are berng capitalized.

[^324]
## § 8. Outharos rend Comvirted Feloms.

Oothery. We munt now glance brictly at certain clakees of meen whit for their offoners or their contumacy ane doprived of anase of thume rights wheh their 'lawful' nerghbours ratisy. Anseng them we reckon outhwa, convicted felons and excommunnomes

The history of outlawry can be better tuld tos cobobestion with the criminal law than in the prosent context. Outher? is the last weapon of ancinnt law, but oue that it enist ofte:n ume. As has bren well said, it is the sentence of death prom nounced by a commumty which has no police corntables or profeatonal hangmen'. To pursue the onstaw and korn-k him ous the head as though he were a wild beast ts the nghe and duty of every law-abouling rman. 'Let hims benr the woif. head':' this phone is in use even in the thimenth century But as the power of the state and the number of ite weaposio iacrease, utilawry lusers sume of its gravity; rastomid of bethig a substantive punishmenh it becomes mere ' crumal proses. a meath of compelling secused pernons th that their inat Just in liracton's day it in madergoisig a firsthor ilogradatains In ose phacs be kays that recourn can be had to oruslaer? only when there is an mecuastion of oue of thome mones which are punisherd by low of life ore member This, no dembi, io the ofld dectrine, aud thin whole exponstion of the etheeto in ontlawry is is harmony with it. At a lauer tume he hao elienhis text:-shere may, he says, be outawry enern whet itas offencer is su felony but a mere tranagreanio. prowated thas it be a breach of the king's peaces. This is tupartant in wasm
 alluston to the amaliggus proces of exemontumontion wor rugit call thers the greator and the lere. A man cuttowed im . charge of felong is as one nttainted of that felony, while f cotulawedf for a imembernestnuur or in a civil action (forp in sar course of the fourtectith coutury the pacece of vuther? prome

[^325]p. went, rapidly through many of the personal actions) he is in no such evil plight. But this distinction belongs to the future. The leaming of outlawry as it is in Bracton is still the learning of ontlawry for felony.

The outlaw's life is insecure. In Bracton's day he ought not Conalition to be slais unless he is resisting capture or fleeing from it; but on thuw. it is every one's duty to capture him. And out in (iloucestershire and Herefordshire on the Welsh march custom allows that he may be killed at any time?. If knowing his condition we harbour him, this is a capital crime?. He is a 'lawlens man' and a 'friendless man'.' Of every proprietary. possessory, contractual right he is deprived; the king is entitled to lay waste his land and it then escheats to his lurd; he furfeits his chattels to the king; every contract, every bond of homage or fealty in which he is eugaged is dissolved. If the king inlaws him, he comes back intis the world like a new-bom babe, quasi modo genitus, capable indeed of scquiring new rights, but unable to assert any of those that he had before his outlawry. An anuihilation of the outlawry would bave a different uperation, but the inlawed outlaw is not the old person restored to legal life; he is a new person*. The law of forfeiture aud escheat for felony is taking an extremely severe form. It is held that the conviction or the outlawry 'relates back' to the moment at which the crime was perpetrated, so that acts done by the felon in the interim are avoideds. It is held that the felun's blood is compupt and that a child born to him after the felouy is incapable of isheriting, not merely from him, but from any one elseb. Though we speak but briefly of nutlawry, we

[^326]are spenking of no rarity; the wumber of men attewed at "f every eyre is very large; teth men are outlawed for woe who to hanged.

## § 9. Excommunizetes.

Exeomans tiration.

Clowely nalied th nutlawry is oxcommunication ; it in in Eact an ecelownutical outlawry', asid, like temporal outinwry. thensibls "Hee it was the lawin last and most lemble weapon agoinat the obutinate uffiesolor, it is now regarded on a anomal proumes for compelling the appesarance in court of those who are secused Ibleed as regnads the laty, since the spirhual courts ena bas dinuce a suizure of troly, landx of gounde, thome courn thase if merc citations fail $w$ produce an apponsance, at once have reoourse to their lant weapon. Then, me urdnaned by Williatu the Compueror, the lay powes mumas in their add. If shr excommunicate does not awalk ahoolution within forty riaye thto perind seems to be fixed alremly in the ewelfth ocmeary ${ }^{-1}$. thr ordinary will signify this to the king i n writ for the nomes of the offernder will be isouted, asad he will be kept is promb unta! he maker has submission".
 can to no valid act is the law; bue cas ons sue, bust hoe can be kBed, for he must not taken ardvantage by hiw own wrong-d itge. whe may nut prny with hirn, talk with hites. ras wirb huro. 'The elergy from time to time complain that this prowige to nut well observesf nod that the king is barkward in the armas of excommunicates". In npite of the conutemantion wheth has fallen on the Conasitutumas of Claneodod. uur kingen beres to have sudfantly nsererted the Conqueror's pronciple shas the 5 temanke in chicf, at all events their munaters, sherifta asor bulltio, were not to be excomenumeated witheras roynh bey ine Eilward I mmpullest Archbishop Perkhans (s) wishdraw. genesal mantuce promounced agounst thome manisters who wow

[^327]468) remise in their duty of capturing excommunicates ${ }^{2}$ and in 1293 the Archbishop of York made fine with four thousand marks for having excommunicated the Bishop of Durham; he had failed to take the distinction between what was done by his suffragan bishop and what was done by a palatine earl'. A practice of the lay courts yet more objectionable to the clergy was that of directing a bishop to absolve an excommunicate. They did not treat the spiritual courts as inferior courts, they did not entertain appeals or evoke causes ; but still they had ws protect their own jurisdiction. A suit would be instituted in the bishop's court about some matter, which, according to the thinking of the king's justices, did uot lie within its sphere; to thoae justices the defendant would come for a writ of prohibition; meanwhile he would be exconmunicated, and then the plaintity and the ecclesiastical judgen, when called before the royal court, would refuse to answer one who was outside the pale of the church. In such s case it is not an unheard of thing that the lay court should command the bishop to pronounce an absolutions; but much the same end may be attaned if the lay court simply ignores a sentence which in its opinion has been obtained in fraud of its rights!. On the whole, however, before the end of Henry III.'s reign the two sets of courts are working together harmoniously. There is always a brisk border warfare simmering between them, in which, as is natural, the tribunal which has the direct command of physical force is apt to gain the victory; but this is no longer a worldshaking conflict between church and state, it is rather a struggle butween two professional classes, each of which likes puwer and business and has no dislike for fees and perquimites. In the eyes of the secular lawyers the baronies of the bishops are a pledge that the censures of the church will not be used so as to deprive the king of his rights ${ }^{\circ}$. Even an appeal to Rome

[^328]is duly rempected by the lay puwer-more thats duly reapectend. is wome Engliwh churchinen may have thought, for choroby tho wealithy excommunicate is often eumbled to postpone to an indefinite date the evil day when be tuust go to prase or subinit himmelf?

Fixeoturammentions sumi rivis nuble.

We have companed excommunication to outlawry; but, at lenut in this world, the connwequencoss of the temporal were fiss mone severe than thume of the spintual ban. The eriens)municate forfoited none of thowe righta wheh were sanctioneat
 action; but the 'exception of excommuntioation' was culy a thintory, tot a persmptory, plea, and the plantiff might go on with bur action (w) soon as be had mule his peace with the church ${ }^{3}$ Despite their adoption of the bold phrawe The excommunicate cuu du no act in law.' our mecular judger seem to have strought that they hanl givess sufficuent aid us the spintinal power whest they had shut their ears to the fiunenta mar of the chambi. outlaw'. They stopped short of declartug thas he condis aose myurre rights or dinpoese of his property, bus thome, who know. ing of his condition hud dealiuge with hom, wese gualty if an uffence which the ecelesiantical courts tatght punmh if they pleamed.

## § 10. Lepers, Lumutices and Illiots.

The leger This wonld not be the place in which ws spmak ns any length of the legal disability of thowe who ane suffenng fman mental or bewtily discesse: but a few word should ber nad is
 the leper, and the leper in excrommusicate in a very nol cens. H. is poit outarde the communty of mankind, the plane for him is the lazar hounce. Not only to ha mespable of ating anod uf tumking gifls ar controusta, bus he is eren ammputite of io heroting. He whill reflumsis the owner of what was hev lofive his 'migregatsun.' bue he cass aut iuberse'.

[^329](5. *84] Among the insane our law draws a marked distinction; it The idoo. нeparates the lunstic from the idiot or born fool ${ }^{2}$. About the lateer there is a curious story to be told. In Edwand I.'s day the king claims a wardship of the lands of all natural fools, no matter of whom such lands may be holdeu. He is morally bound to maintain the idiots ont of the income of their estates, but still the right is a profitable right amagoges to the lurd's wardship of an infant tenant. But there is reason to believe that this is a new right, or that at any rate there has been a struggle for it between the lords and the king. If idiocy be treated as sumilar to infancy, this analogy is in favenr of the lords; at all events if the idiot be a military tenant, feudal principles would give the custody of his land not to the king, but to the lord, while of sucage land some kinsman of the fool might naturally claim a wardship. Edward I. was told that by the law of Scotland the lord had the wardship of an idiot's land ${ }^{2}$. But in Euglaud a different rule had been established, aud this, as we think, by some statute or ordinance made in the last days of Heury III. If we have rightly read an obscure tale, Hobert Walerand, a minister, justice aud favourite of the king, procured this ordinance foreseeing that he must leave an idiot as his heir and desirous that his land should fall rather uto the king's hand than intw the hands of his lords:. The king's right is distinctly stated in the documont known as Praerogutiva Regis, which we believe to come from the early years of Edward I. The same decument seems to be the oldest that gives us any clear information about a wardship of Thr lunatics. The king is to provide that the lunatic and his lunatic. family are properly maintaned out of the income of his estate, and the residue is to be handed over to hins upon his restoration to sanity, or, should he die without having recovered his wits, is to be administered by the urdiuary for the good of his soul; but the king is to take wothing to his own use ${ }^{6}$. Once more we see prerogatival rights growing, while feudal claims fall into the background: and in the case of lunacy we see a guardianship, a mund, which is not protitable to the guardina, and this at present is a nuvel and a noteworthy thing'.

[^330]
## § 11. Wumen.

Lens) We have bern rapidly diministing the sumber of ' uurnal
 half the inlubbitanks of Enigland. No text-writer, no atatite. over maken any gerneral ntaterment an to the peatson of wornest. This is crented an ohvious, and wo le-liewe that it tan be do fileat with sumf sceurncy by one briof phruw : - pravate law wath few exocptsons puts womed on a par with men; publes law sives a woman no rughta and exacts from her nue dutuen, ase that of paying tuxes and performing wuch wervicex iss can be perfurtaced by deputy.

Finseat is fris)

A very different doctrine in muggented by wene metent rule A woman can never low ouclawiod, for a woman in never in law. We may well nuppone this to combe from an very smonte tume. But is Bracton'e day it meaus muthing, for a womats, though she can not be outlawisl, can be 'waived,' declanml a 'wasf. and 'waivar' meema to have all the uffivis of outlawry'. Winmara are now 'in' all private law, nad are the equals uf then The law of inheritances, it is isue, shawe n pinferemoce fior males usour femalion; but not a very strong pretionare, for a daughters will exclude a brother of the desul math, and tho law of werlohip and marriage, though it makos mone ditfir renge between the mate und the fernale wasd, is aloume equally nowem fire bath. But the wousuan can hold tand, aven ly malitary tomum, ans own chinteds, make a will, ruake a ishtract, can sue abol be surel. She sues and is mued in prowon whoust the interpometon of a guandian ; she cass plend with her own sutee if ahe phezes. inderd-nad shas in astrong case - a married woman will suma
 be the gumadian of her own chaldren ; a lady will often be sbe guardians of the ohtheres of ber tomanea.

[^331]The nther half of our proposition, that which excludes Wrmea in p. +66: women from all public functions, was subject to few if any real exceptions. In the thirteenth century the question whether a woman could inherit the crown of England must have been extremely doubtful, for the Empress had never been queen of England. Queens-consort and queens-lowager had acted as regents during the absence of their husbands or sons and presided in court and council'. The line between ottice and property can not always be exactly marked; it has been difficult to prevent the shrievalties from becoming hereditary; if a woman may be a comitissa, why not a vice-comitissa? Oruamental offices, bereditary grand serjeantice, women are allowed to carry to their husbands and to transmit to their heirs sio also, when the constitution of the House of Loris takes shape, the husbands of peeresses are summoned to sit there as 'tenants by the curtesy'; but peeresses are not summoned. 'The nearest approach to such a summons,' says Dr Stubbs, "is that of fuur abbesses, who in 1306 were cited to a great comneil held to grant an aid on the knighting of the prince of Wales:.'

In the nineteeuth century our courts have more than onee Women in considcred the question whether womeu did suit to the local ${ }^{\text {cours. }}$ mouts, more especially to the county court, and have come to what we think the right conclusions. Undoubterlly a woman might owe suit to the hundred or the county ${ }^{6}$, or rather (for this we think to bu the truer phrase) the land that she held might owe suit. Also it is certain that some sheriffs in the latter part of Henry III.'s reign bad insisted on the personal attendance of women, not indeed at the counly courts, but at

[^332]484 The bomts and Condifions of Men. [BK. 11.
those plenary meetings of the huwired courts that wrow kirwon an the sheriffin turas But it is equally certain that thas exnction was regharied ns nol nbuse and forhididen'. Wer san of ef not doubt, though the evidence on this point in mather tave than exprens, that wometh did the suit due from their land by depputy. Agnin, we never find women ar jurom. elape when, an not unfrequently happlowed, monse "xpureans herr alleged that there was a plut to supplant him by the finsducton of a supprontitious chilf. in wheh man a juy in matrons was employed? To ray that wormen cuuld tuot be jurone in in thas perood nimont equivalont to myning thas shey could not give evidence, but their names momotinnom nfpar among the witnewes of charters. In all netionm a plamuff had to pmoduce a nuit. (necta) of persung who in theviry worr prepared wo lestify on his behulf: we cenn not find that he ever brought women. One of the actionn in which such 'muilors' were of imprortance wan the action for diaming whether a promon was free or villein, and here brition il presely telle tis that a worman'a seatmony whe unt pixsivad. - For the bloxnd of a man ahall not be tried br wernon' . the
 pronef. 'becsasee of their frazlty:' It the enclewantimal comerso the rule neerna to havi been that a woman'a moipurgation






 In any cone we ohoald havo answerod the th the nopstavo wata mompance iw
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 broug agminet them.
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ought to be women ${ }^{1}$, just as a man's compurgators ought to be men, but apparently in the king's court a woman had to find p. 468] male oath-helpers?. In one respect a woman's capacity of suing was curtailed by her inability to fight. A rule older than, but sanctioned by, the Great Charter prevented her from bringing sn sppeal of felony unless the crime of which she complaized was violence to her person or the slaughter of her husband? In these excepted cases the accused must submit to trial by jury; at an earlier titne one or other of the parties would have been sent to the ordeald. In the thirteenth century this limitation of the right to make criminal charges was already becoming of little importance, since the procedure by way of appeal (that is, of private accusation) was giving place to the indictment.

On the whole we may say that, though it has no formulated Summary. theory about the position of women, a sure instinct has already guided the law to a genersl rule which will endure until our own time. As regards private righta women are on the same level as men, though postponed in the canons of inheritance; but public functions they have nowe, In the camp, at the council board, on the beach, in the jury box there is no place for them".

We have been speaking of women who are sole, who are spinsters or widows. Women who have husbands are in a different position. This, however, can be best discussed as part of family law, and under that title we shall also say what has to be said of infants. But here it may be well to observe that the main idea which governs the law of husband and wife is not that of an ' unity of person,' but that of the guardianship, the mund, the profitable guardianship, which the husband has over the wife and over her property.

[^333]
## 812. Corperrations and Churchess.

The reve. purallows.

Evary ayntem of law that har attainal a remain dugrev- if maturity mems compelled by the ever-increastug complesit! uf humatu afficies to create permotus whes are thet men, or rathers (for this may be a truer atatement) tor recugmize that soch permons bave cortue and ane consing intu existasee, nusd to regulate therr nighte and dutes In the hovtery of medto val Eisnipe we hove to watch in the ora hand the evolution of
 whech ta our eyen seem to desplay all or many of the charackeristices of corpuirutions, and ofs the other hand the play ut thought around that idea of an univerntens which wan buag alowly diseovered in the Rumass law benkes.

Analywis
of ther tar pretions.

We have bercouse en famblaer with she aleas of a ourguratios aggregale of maty' that we have ceamed the worutors at it. Whes we are tuld by statuke that the work 'perswern is in
 Nevertheless, this idea was graulually fawhionisf, abd when we attempt to analyze it we find that it is un elantie beewurit is, if we may so my, a very couteation ulom, a blank farm of legal thought. Lattle enough it commans have the dowe earponetions known to Finglish Inw: for example, the Fa-the eiastical Commmatoncery for Eughand; the I)ean and Chapter at Ely; the: Chancellor, Mantem and Scholars of stbe Curveras? of Oxford; the Mnyor, Aldermen and Burgsme of the Buantigh



 bering norsual or typieal and to treat other chamen so usiop.

 tho unfree clow disulpmans. Fin otherwise is it with ito

[^334]'artificial persons' or "group-persons'; we can hardly call one corporation more normal than another and modern legislation is constantly supplying us with new kinds. Thus we are not likely to find the essence of a corporation in any one rule of law. If, for example, an English lawyer would make all turn on the common seal, he would be setting up a merely English rule as a necessary maxim of jurisprudence; nor only so, for be would be begging an important question sbout the early history of corporstions in England. Some agrain zany feal inclined to say that a corporation must have its origin in a special act of the State, for example, in England a royal charter; but they again will be in danger of begging a question about ancient history, while they will have difficulty in squaring their opinion with the motern history of joint-stock companies. Modern legislation enablea a aznall group of private men to engender a corporation by registration, and to urge that this is the effect of 'statute' and not of 'common law' is to irsist upon a distinction which we hardly dare carry beyond the four seas. Or, to come to a more vital point, shall we demand that an individual corporator shall not be liable for the debte of the corporation? "Si quid universitati debetur singulis non debetur; nee quod debet universitas singuli debent ${ }^{1}$-is not this the very core of the matter? Once more modern legislation bids us pause:-there is no reason why a statute should not say that a judgment obtained against a corporation can be enforced against all the lands and all the goods of every single corporator, and this although the corporation still exists:-in ordering that this be so, the legislature does not contradict itself? Nor again is it only from modern statute, that we receive this warning; our ancient
1] common law gives us the same warning in unmistakable terms. If we insist that common law can not hold the singuli liable for the debt of the universitas, we shall find little to say about corporations in any century earlier than the fifteenth.

Hitherto the lesson that we have been taking to ourselves Boginnings is that we are not to deny the presence of the idea of a poratenem. corporation merely because it is not producing all of what we

[^335]comsider ita satural efficta. The warning is equally mecotear! that in rencote tunes we may sumewhat analy discover corpmiations that never existed. The hiseory of the earlier part of our own contury proves that large commeremal enterprows may be conducted and tnuch clune in the way uf mulumedinate gunmernment by aggregates of mels that are not incorporated. The taw of tasuancy in common and joint tetuascy, the law of partaership, these haw beens found equal to many heavy and novel demunda. And when we turn to a far-otf pawt we may bo in groast danger of ton readily reeing a corporation in friter group of landholders, wheb. If moxdern distincting are th be "ppolied at all, would be hetter claved an a group, of joust cenanta than as a eorpmonation.

Peswons. ality of the exppurathom.

The cure of the matter meemstar be that for smurs of ima
 an unte wheh tas rightes aud dututw wether than tho ngheo ased dutses of all or any of its zuembers. What in srus of this whole need not be true of the surn of its parta, and what is true of the sum of the parta neevd not the true of the whitr. The erorporntron, for exumple. can own land and itw land will tuct be owned by thre sum of the corpuratam; and, wes the inthes hand, if all the enrparnotora whe cor-uwters of a thing then that thag is mut owned by the corpurations. Than bethig ac lawyens from the thirtenth century onm ards have beres wont is ateribnte the the corporation a 'pernomality' thas is 'nctithas or 'artilicial.' Nuw 'permon' and 'perminality' arems bo bo eppronpriato words, and, if they were tuts at bur clapemat. Wr whould be driven to coins others of a ntmolar umpure The corparale unt has become a subjeet of nights nod dutima im the other hand, the adjectives whech are often traal hor pewelly
 to spank wh tis of mome trick or explent perfiorund by lam?. on and to nuggens a wide departurn of legul theor? from fart asod
 that the subject of thewe rightn and dusues which wot acento to the explumtions in sol higuent but the orgoried group it men, though this group in troated on puto ubat. I'site \&


[^336]a contract between a municipal corporation and a joint-stock company is not a relationship between two fietions; it is a relationship between two groups, but between two groups each of which is so organized that for the purpose of the matter in hand, and for many other purposes, it can be treated as an indivisible unit and compared to a man.

One of the difficulties that beset us at this point is that The We are tempted or compelled to seek the aid of those in- mothropaadequate analogies that are supplied to us by the objects which pincurse of we see and handle. First we picture to ourselves a body made tion. up of men as a man's body is made up of members. Then we find ourselves rejecting some of the inferences which this similitude, this crude anthropomorphism ${ }^{3}$, might suggest. For instance, we have to admit that every 'member' may be injured while the whole 'body' suffers no injury. And then perhaps we eay in our haste that the corporation which has rights and duties can be no better than fietion or artifice. But all that is proved by the collapse of such analogical reasoning is that socisl organization differs from, if it also resembles, that organization which the biologist studies; and this should hardly need proof.

Were we to digress to modern times, we might be sble is the per. to show that the theory which speaks of the corporation's semality personality as fictitious, a theory which English lawyers borrowed from medieval canonists, has never suited our English law very well. It should at all events be known that on the continent of Europe this doctrine no longer enjoys an undisputed orthodoxy either among the students of the Roman universitas ${ }^{2}$ or among the students of medieval and modern corporations. But here we are dealing with a time when in our own country the need for any idea of a corporation, whether as personc ficta or as 'group-person,' has hardly become evident.
${ }^{\text {13) Now }}$ if for a moment we take our stand in Edward IV's The oorreign, when the middle ages are nearing their end, we can poration of say that the idea of a corporation is already in the minds of angem middle our lawyers; it may trouble them,-this is shown by their

[^337]frequent diseltesions about ites naturn-but still it is thuoncl. First we uetice that they already have a term for it, namely. corporacion, for which corpse corporat and corpus politut ane equiralents Then under thin torm siveral nntithes whed bive little in common have beet brought: 10 particular, atituont aod convent, thean and chapter, nuayor and cotmmernaly. With such 'urcorpurated bodien' they contrast agkregaten of meto that are nut mourporsted, wownships, panshes, grlds? They demath that inferporatediaves shall have nome derintie and authoritative commencement; the corporation doen not grow by naturs ; it must be made, by the act of partumerits, in of the king, or of the puppes, though preseription may bo equivotent ur royal charture. The nile time the sorponstione can do zo wet save by $n$ writing under ith common scal thry enfiote with secwrity, it is an aumanly, is concempun to praitioal nomcesartics, that the commasidy of the corperation aboust puty

 be asaululud, or beaten or tuprisonat; it can muis osommit treason: a duubt has occurred as to whether it can cumment of trespanses, but this dentit (thengh it will give troutble es) bate
 by any consintent theory'. We even ford it suad that the corpuration is but a nomes. (n) the wher hand, it is a

[^338]person!. It is at once a person and yet but a name; in short, it is persona ficta.

The main difficulty that the lawyers have in manipulating this idea is occasioned by the fact that almost every corperation and its has a 'head,' which head is separately and expressly designated Ahathropo by the formal title of the juristic person. It is regarded as morrhumb. an anomaly that at Kipon there should be a corporation of canous without a head ${ }^{\text {s }}$; normally there is a head; the idenl person is not the Convent of St Albuns, the Chnpter of Lincoln, the Commonalty of Norwich, but the Abbot and Conwont of Sit Albans, the Dean and Chapter of Lincolu, the Maynr, Sherifls and Commonalty of Norwich. This keeps alive the anthropormorphic idea. In 1481 a puzzling question arose as to whether when a dean and chapter brought an actinn, a juror might be challenged on the ground that be was brother to one of the canons. An advorate who urges that the juror is 'a stranger to the chapter, for it is a body of such a mature that it can have neither brother nor cousin,' none the less concedes that peradventure it might have been otherwise had the juror been brather to the dean ${ }^{3}$. Elsewhere the relation between dean and chapter is compared to that between husband and wife; ' the chapter is covert by the dean as the wife is coverte by her husband!' From the same year, 1481, we get one of in the must interesting cases in all the Year Buoks ${ }^{\circ}$ :-The Abbot of Holme sued the Mayor. Sheriffs and Commonalty of Nurwich on a bond, and they pleaded that when the bond was made the then abbot had got the then mayor in prisou and extorted the boad by duress. The lawyers very generally admit that the corporation itself can not be in prison or suffer duress, and that it would bo nu defence to urge that wheu the bond was made some few of the citizens of Norwich were (as they generally would be) in guol. But then in this case "the head" of the corparation was incarcerated. 'I toll you, Sir,' says counsel

[^339]fior the crty'. 'that every body politic is male up of natural men. And ais regunis what has been saml wuching ito inseverability, I du soot inlmit that; for thay allow thas magoor. sherifio and commonalty muke up $n$ sugle budy, bern then are metmbers, namely, the mayor in whe member...the oheritis another mumber...the tharl in the communalty...In this canethere in an allegiad imprimutament of otse of the diatinct anembers samed in the title of the curperathon, to wit, the mayors, who in the heand and (ase it a bundy natural) the frimelant metuters. and if one member of the bexty matural be: nestraineal or braten, that is a restranit or bathery of the whole bualy.' Thas jdes chat a eorpomation cotukista of hesal and menntionss. that enery
 if for a while it is herofleses, it in capuble of no ace sabe that if
 and is perhapes capmble of gaving troublo. evers at the preserat day ${ }^{3}$ : it is a relic of what we have called anehroputansphata In Eidward IV': day we are Lold' that the Magor and Cindsmonatty of Newcustle gnve a boud to the persou whe happeras en be mayor, datming hum by his persoual name. It wan lield void, for a mans can not te bound an hirnmelf. Si, lorige an nurth
 at corporatsud is tul mecture, at usy gute it in luampervet by

 sempurtasit rules of law in which it is imphied have alscaly beets meteicel. In partucular it is establintherd shate if the cos. puration becosnes lisble ujwin contraise or fur tert, this dom
 corpminaters ; the corpurations itwelf in liable, wxecuthens wath twe derse only on the lauds sand ites gokends

The cole [utcatiom vimulice an prorsue it

We ge" buck but a little way in the liear Bumko and the idea that we have breen watchinge twoghe ho dasaplyar Tbe figure of the idoal permon vanwhes, or nother it semils as t:an


[^340]serve to illnstrate this clange. So late as $1+29$ an action of tmappass was brought against the Mayor, Bailifts and Cummonally of Ipswich and one J. Jabe'. The defendants pleaded the marvellous plea that Jabe was one of the commonalty and therefore was nauned twice over. If the defendants are fuund guilty, then (it was urged) Jabe will be charged twice over; bessides he may be found not guilty and the commonalty guilty: that ix to say, he may be found both guilty and not guilty. We do not know how the case was decided; but it was twice discussed. Incidentally a fundamental question of corporation law was raised. Suppose that judgment is given aganst the commonalty, can the goods of the members be taken in execution? On the whole the judges think that they ean not, but are not very sure. They make an admission of great importance to us, namely, that it is the common course in the Kiug's Bench that if a community be amerced, the amercement shall be levied from all the goods of the members of the community? The obvions tendency of this admission they seek to avoid by saying that there is a great difference between the king and anyoue else. As we shall berenfter see this adtrission was unavoidable; the goods of the members of nunncipal communities were constantly treated as liable to satisfy the king for debts due by the community as a whole. And $\Omega$ mere doubt about the general principle of corporate (an) tiability occurring at so late a date as 1420 is remarkable? We have indeed observed before now that the non-liablity of individual corporators for the debts of the eorpuration can not be regarded as of the cessence of a corporation. Sitll untege such nou-linbilty had been commou, the mudern idea of a corporation would hardly have been formed.

In all this there is nothing to surprise us. Surprising it Gradual
 obtained a firm hatd of the notion of an urviversitus. In that groop. case they would have been ahead of their Italian contemporaries, who had Corde and Digest to set them thinking. It

[^341]would be a mistake to suppose that what we are wont to considicr the true theory of univernitates lay wh plaunly writen on the face of the Ruman law-bonka that no onse ausld numb then athentively wathout grasping it. The glamators dod ous growp it Bracturis tanater Azo had not grasped it. They wers by nu incalan autain abosut the ditfiernene betwera the universitas and the sociofas or purtnership. The canourve of the thireventh century were just begranang to proclann shas the univarsites is a persona and a persones ricta. Bractoris contempurary, Pope Innueent IV. (Simbalifus Filneun), has boen called the fisther of the moodent theory of corporations. We now begius to hear the dogms (of which all English lawiens know a vulgar vemion) that the universitas ann be pmishof neither in this world nor in the next, fier that it han mir wiul nor ludy. And yet, when these stepa had been taken. manv as elementary queation lay open for the cirilinas and capmaidet.
The lam of Ibractars's time. the purpuse of hearing what it has we eny (1) of norjurnatome in general, and (2) of the mom impurtant kinde intw whoth corparations may be divided. But at once we flecuser thas of curpurathons in germeral lizele ix end. and the law to min dividage corporntionx into various kuals, thus perceveduge forme the abatract to the concrete; rather it an whorly ciming po ther idea of a coupumtion by dealogg with corfornsuma (if (i) wr may oull them) of very different kinde
The cans munifal.

It the finst place we cans find in our law-brokg so asch terina an carpomation, body corporate, budy pudituc, tbingig or maty read much of convents, chaptere, and commantion The largest term in general use in community, comamamally is commune, in Latin communtirs of commanta. If is a large. whyse word; in the fourteenth cubtury it is uften appituat mo the Enghish matiou, the commuaty' of 'the commatise of the luasil' ; it is appised ou the Cistercian onders', it is Mpquiwis so the Univensmty of Cambridge, for th the vill of C'antionder thers are two communes, oze of clerkes and oue uf Lay tar w'

[^342]it can be applied to 'the community of merchants who hold the king's staple of wools ${ }^{1}$ '; it was applied to the 'bachelors' of Eingland who in 1259 had joined together to obtain concessions from the $\mathrm{king}^{2}$. But we dare nut translate it by carporation, for if on the one band it is describing cities and boroughs which already are, or at lenst are on their way to become, corporations, it will stand equally well for comuties, huadreds and townships, which is the and have failed to acquine a corporate charactar, and we should be unwilling to suppose that the corporate character once definitely acpuired was afterwards lost. One term there was (so it may seem to us) capable of binding together all the groups of men that were personified, uannely, the word universitus. But its fate has been curious and instractive. In our modemn languageas the Roman term that most nearly answered to our corpurution scauds for the corporations of one small class, the learned corporations that were founded in the twelfth and thirteenth centuries and others that in later days were fashiuned after
D] their likeness. These were in the middle ages the corpurations by preeminence, and if the universities of Oxford and Ciambrugge caned to assert that they are the oldest of English corporations something might be said in favour of their claim. For the rest, the word universitus is of comanon use in legral ducuments; but only in one context, and une which shaws how vague a term it could be. The maker of a charter salutes All the farthful in Christ,' or 'All the sons of Holy Church,' and then requests their attention by Noterit universitus vestra. Nuw the idea of the Church as the mystical body of Christ has had an important influence on the growth of the law of corporations ; it did unch towards fashioning for us the anthropumorphic picture of the many members in one boxly. Sitill in days when the word universitus was put to its commenest use in describing a wurld-wide, divinely created organization, it could be of amall service to lawyers as an accurate word of arth

Bracton has is little to say about rniversitates; it is meagre, Dramon it is vague, it is for the more part borrowed from Azo, but ${ }_{\text {thineen }}$ and woue the less it is instructive. In the first place, the citieg ${ }^{\text {fus. }}$ and boroughs are the only examples of universitates which

[^343]oceur to him. In the second place, following tho Instritutes. ho nelmits thut there are ren universiututis which ano wh be contriavked with ren singuloruam. I'hirdly, no dehmite exataples of res uninersitatis dues he give save those that are given b! the Dostitules, mancly, the theotrum and ridutum. The ibference is ohvious that, though he allowed the preatulity at an universitus bolding land, he knew little of the tingliah ent! or borongh as a landuwner : it is wot in hre uraneer ho gove Ruman examples when he can give Euglish, whate no to vors
 Fourthly, he knowa that if the Enghah unisersitur, the crey ur burough, has but little laad and few geords, it has cangrationas libertules, franchises, governmental powers and mamumition and these ans a common subject of litigntion. Fiffthly, wheu he speaks of such litigution he speaks vapuely, aud hardly distinguishes between the universitas and the mggrogsue of majpude Sixthly, he nowhere maken an act of myal or publie power neeensary to the exitence of ath umeerntuas. Lastly, be dons not bring any ceclesiastical bodies under this hending, she? fall within another forn of thought'.

 civicatium.

- Bracton. f. N. "Uaivmpitatia vern aunt, purn aiagulumans, grase evat is
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Being unable $w$ find any theory about corphorations in Nolow as general, we are obliged to descend to the varuuts kinds of ine tur rint corporations: to consider, that is, the mammer in which the law i" semiral. of the thirtcenth century treated thise variuus groups of men which seen to ns to have a more or less corporate existence. They are either ecclesiastical or temporal.

For many centaries before Bracton's day there have been clmmed in England what we may call 'church lands!'. In some sort or another they have 'belonged' to 'ehurehes.' But to tinshoun a satisfactury theory as to the ownership of these lands has been a task beset by practical and intelleetual difticulties. The scheme of church-property-law which had prevaited in the Roman world before the derman deluge had been a aystem of centralized and official administration. All the eeclesinstical property within a diocesese was under the control and ut the dispoast of a single officer, the bistop of the civitus. His powers were very large; his subordinates, the diocesan elergy, received the stipunds that he allowed them. Such a scheme was adapted ouly $\omega$ an age that was far advanced in cumberce aod underly goverument, and we may doubt whether it served even as an ideal in England where the thread of eeclexinatical tradition had been broken. It impless an casy transmissaion of wealth aud messanges fron phace to place; it was thoroughly civic and could not be maintained in a world of villages and mannms iuhabited by rude barbarians. If there is to be much ('hristianty in the laud, not only must there be village churches, but the village church must be a proprietary centre, an economicully self-sufficing institution.

Then, as we are beginuing to understand, the German has Thr brought with him into the Ruman and Christian world the "annerlarel. nution chat, if he buides a chureh upen his haud, it is his chureh. If in the days of heatheury he harl built a god-holse on his land, it would have been his grat-foulse, and he would have made profit wut of it ${ }^{2}$. This is the orign of eeclesastieal

[^344]P. M. 1.
patmonage. I'the righe which from the twelth century obwarda appestrs as a mere right uf patronage, an advocutio or adoum ons. is in origin no ownership of tho mil upwa which the chorch shames and ast uwnorship of any lands or gorouly that hare inco set apart for the susternaner of is prome who ofters sawnitice as the shone. Hy sluw degreew, which are now borng iramd this church-founder and his heins have tu be taught that they can uot do just what they like with theor nwn: and, for exaniple, that they can nut huve their church workend for the tu by urdouned slases. The binhop will nut cunsecrate the alar unleses a sutficient provision of worldly gonda is secural tixe the priest. The owner or patron, whichever we call him, must band wer the church and an appurteuant glebe to the prieat by way of ' lomn. In mocolern England it is is thin contert ant this erintext unly that we atill know, though only in oume the 'Imul-ionus' of the old Frmakish world: the porman atill has: 'benctice, a beneficium. It is lung before than fonsoler'a aw ner. ship ies whitted down to putrounge. Wie may be fairly ture that the famoux easol whin throve wo thegu-nght by 'lavinge' five hidew of his own land, ' chusch and kitehen, boll-houne ased burhgene, was concelved to 'have' the chorch in im, very differnt mense from that is whinh be 'had the bell-honser and the kitchens. In lkomesalay frook the village chuseh is apt t.
 ane lowe a church and seven werfo abd whe mull' . 'There an here a chapel and three serfis and one mall': 'Theme we we chapel wheh renders exght shaltugy"': "Culling the banne has a chursh of sis Mary of 26 acres. Leulisan then proms de n church of St Auguatin of 11 acnss, Levothet a frwe winiar: hual a chureth of Si Lauremee of 12 nerow!' Even Bractum natus complate that the laymas will taik of giving a church wbea be
 froprietary rlement that there in in then right if fuatmasese an edentent of which the 'molighoun' wake full madvantage shas thoy engulf the parish churches in the projesily of it. is
 such righte as the patrum stall enjuys may faisly my that tory
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are cousummating the work of a thousand years; but they should not talk of 'restoration'.'

The early history of church-property in England has never The mains yet been written, and we can not aspire to write it. We do not, for example, know how the parish church became an owuing unit with rights distinct frum thuse of the bishop and his cathedral church on the one hand and from those of the founder or patron on the other. But there is a supernatural element in the story. Great chauges take place behind a mystic veil. At least fur the purposes of popular thought and speech, God and the saints become the subjects of legal rights, if not of legal duties. 'God's property and the church's uwelve fold':-such were the first written words of English law. In the old land-books this notion is put before us in many striking phrases. In the oldest of them the newly converted Sichelbert says, 'Tu thee Saint Audrew and to thy (881) church at Rechester where Justus the Bishop presides do I give a portion of my land?' The saint is the owuer; his church at this place or that is mentioned because it is necessary to show of which of his many estates the gift is to form part. If a man will give land to the chief of the Apostles be should give it to St Peter and his church at Gloucester, or to St Peter and his church at Westminster; Justinian himself had been abliged to establish a rule for the interpretation of teataments by which the Saviour or some archangel or martyr was numinated heir and no church or monastery was named ${ }^{\text {s }}$. The Anglo-Sinxon charters and Domesday Book seem to suppose even a physical connexion between the land given to a saint and the particular church with which it is, or is to be, legally connected; geography must yield to law ; the acres may be remote from the hallowed spot, neverthelass they 'lie in the 283. church:" Just as the earl or thegn may have many manors

[^345]and a gicee of latid remole froms the manomal centre may las in ' or 'be of' one of thiser inamum, an the watint will have itany churches onch with land belongug uo it. (inulanally if wo may ©) sprak) the enint reture bebund has chureloen. the chumeth rather than the waint in thought of an the hollowe of lamuls metd chntels. When it eotnes en prowise legal thinking the saint is an inapractumble proven, for of we na:nber rughfinl wr tway ako have to, sacribe wrongfal proctessmon to him, and frita
 st Paul wath ant 'avasion' of latad that is nut haw inwa'. Hut how ts the church conceived! In th. fime instanice very grimely as a structure of wown and ntone. latuld belonge in a chureh. is aut appurtemanee of a church, jumb an where land
 Rust, as the waint retirac, the whess of the chusch in apuntusilizerl. it becothes a pernon athl, we thay say, an rieal. jurtatio prinuis
The aints All this while there are hurnan beinge why ane disontug ads: etmbers. the affang of the sunt nad the chureh neevoing, dotritutiag enjoying the prontuce of the Innd. They are the samt s miminstrators; they are the rectures of his chumh sinne of them, notably the bishops, since their purwers of adtrunampation ane very large, may be apocken of an lanutheildera: bus atul the land wheh the bishop has as lowhip in handly bow uwn. when be demande 18, he deenands it tout we ins suma, bins of wo seclestue sulue.

## Itlustra.

tion a from Itotavelay Thedr

Viry often in Domerilay Brok the mant is the labifonto: Saint Paul holde innd, siasne Conatantine holde land, the $1:$...tris
 under 'the glonomes king Fistmund?' (Often a partiontar aclesin, or an ublution, bolde land. Simu-simen the lanst to describevl nas thut if the sumt, but. the chumeth to wnit to. thed st": monnetimes this relatsuns in neverned, the land is the land at






 in the ulturs.

[^346]0088. the church but the saint holds it ${ }^{1}$. Often, sgain, the land is spoken of as that of the ruler of the church; this is frequently the case when a bishop is concerned:-the land is the land of the Bishop of Exeter and the Bishop of Exeter hulds it. Sull this is no invariable rule ; the church of Worcester, an episcopal church, has lands and St Mary of Worcester hohds them'; and it is not the Bishop of Rome, but the Roman church of St Peler the Apostle who holds land in Somerset'. Sotuetimes the abloy holds land, sumetimes the ablrot; sometimes again a distiuction is drawn between abbey and nbbot ; the demesine manors are held by the church itself, but the manors giveu to knights are held of the abbuts. There are cases (not very many) in which groups of canuns are said to hold lands*, to huld them in common?

We have said that the 'church' becomes a persou. If. however, we ask how the 'church ' is to be conceived, we obtain bery various answers from canonists, divines and philosophers. Materinlism and mysticism are clasely allied. At one moment a theorist will mantain that between the death of a parish priest and the induction of his successor the prossession of the glebe is being held and retained by the walls of the church ${ }^{7}$ : at the next moment we hear of the budy or the bride of the Redemer. With the mure exalted of such ductrines the lawyer ans litele concent ; but he should notice that the ecelesia particularis which stande on a certain spot is conceived as a part and member of the ecclesia universalis, for this theory leaveas a atroug mark on that notion of a corporation, an universitas, which the canonist propagates. He is by the law of his being a centralizer, and perbaps will not shrink from the conclusion that, if aualysis be carried to its logical limit, the dominium

[^347]of all church-property in in the pope. As any rate the will ल the ecclesie particularis, the epircupal or parochal church, is not to the fuand wholly within it. It livee $n$ life that was ite own; the life of a 'member' ${ }^{\prime}$.
Theocharch Meanwhile the leginet, expluring Code and Ihgest, were an unarer Htas m wd perimem prefle slowly disecuvering the unineratus and endeavouring to mark it ofi from the partnemhip and the group of co-prupretom. The canonists saized this new learaing and cantiod is furthof. The greater churches had abutut thein a certan cullegnatutem. there was a group composed of bishop and canoms, ur abbris arud munks. Here then was an deas that thoy wanted Tha codecias is an universitus, and the untrersilan in a persunis Thas they should go on to add (as Iunceent $I V$. dud) that it is personus fictar was not unnneuml Tho anganizal group was dintuct from the 'chureh'; its will might nut be the chursh ${ }^{\text {a }}$ will. To this we must add that the cationatis law expurad in deal ont only with wring and crime, mparatan nad puniahemant but also with sin and chunamation. Its has eyes a prenwitu who can not sin and can not be damned can ouly be persana fictis So the univernifus is not the organizend group, bist a folgront kuhstratum for righta. This theory will enally lead os a denald that a लorporation can commit rither entrar or wrong, and Innocent went this length; but buth proctuce asut thear! rejected his doctrine? The relationship between the knimp and the feigued subatratum could never be folly esplatives The leading wlea, however, was that the grupp wan nos, hus unly represented, and ne fimes (if we may so spoak) mio-
 colleginterness, thero is in the ranoniral idea of a corperntion is shown by the rase with wheth then same inden to rotomel to a case in which there in no plarality, bun group 1 mar curbere phriue: 'corpermtion whle' only apperan trate in the day and ew one to be excluavaly English. but the canathats hal coume be? near to it in their trontuent of the cawos it wheh an erterind

[^348]
## CH. II. § 12.] Corporntions and Churches.

had but one cleric connected with it; the dignitas or the sedes or the like could be personitied?. Here, as in the casc of a 'corporation aggregate,' there is 'fictitious' personality. So the cauonist's corpuration is rather a personified institution than an unified group of men.

With the evolution of these ideas the English temporal Tur courts of the thirtecuth century were not concerned. The counts canunical theory of the personus fictel was to bear fruit, some and the good, some bad, in the English common law of later days; but the internal affairs of the ecclesiastical groups could seldom or never be brought befure the lay tribunals, and at the time of which we speak municipal growth had hardly reached that stage at which there woild be a crying neenl for some theory or another of a town's personality. As yet we hear nothing in the secular courts of corporations whether aggregate or sole, and though we hear much of 'churches' the lawyers at Weatminster have no vocasion to analyze the idea that they are employing.

From their point of view we may look at the churches, and The first at the parish church. When the reetor dies or resigns his chaurch. pust there is no breach in the ownership or even in the pussession. It is common to find a rector pleadiug ' I found my chureh seised of that latd.' The theory is well stated in a judgaient of 1307 :- $\AA$ church is always under age aud is to be treated as an infunt, and it is not accordiug to law that iufants should be disinherited by the negligence of their gundians or be barred of an action in case they would complain of things wrongfully done by their guardians while they are under nge. Here wo

[^349]have a juristic permon, the church, with a natumal pernom is ita guardans, and with the: patron and the ordiuary to chewk that guardiun in hix adinimatiative: acta, for nome thange the netour can nose do without the consent of patron asal onfuary. Heal

 of leee that a panson has?

Thw slinatids churei.

The ease of mus nbbey war lores simple in thiniry, thaugh the munarebieal character of abbatial rule deprived wine niperus. lative questions of their importance. The eccleane or culdutions succeerdeal the saint wi the: subbect of pmprovary righe Kits, at lenat in the virw of the king's courte, the nbbutin power wain almost that of an abmolute owner. Alsomly in Domatway thank
 held by the churith of Fily, the nlibey of Eily, or the atibere of Ely. True that when lands are givess ter un abley it is rane
 of (icel, the naint and the nbibut. 'Truse alen that when the abbey lasds nre alcenatod the fenfiment is lusually sand son ho mole either by the abiest and mobseath. or by tho abtuot wath the consuat of the contsent. For all this, the tempronal courtog th
 whe has arything to de with the poppretary righte if tho abbey. To the complete excluston of conavent or momiss be fully represeuts the abbey before the law, he sucm and is ound
 disespate the lands of thesir churches' was mo for entimed by the terinporal courts that they would give tos an shtut ant sethea for recovering lands that had been aldernatert by hie proujoreme without the conseint of the convent. But tha artan was gitio in the successur, not ser the convent. Had the conlabt raisas ita voice, it would have hem cold that all ith themole is wofr demi in law ; and even the succeeding abbot couldit ina get


[^350]not void'. Aud so with obligations: the question commonly Lakes the form 'when and how can an abbut bind his stecessurs?' rather than 'when and how can an abbot bind his church or the convent?' In short, owing to the legal leadness of the monks, the abbey property seoms to be administered by, aud represented by, (aud we may easily pass thence to pussessed by and owned by, the series of successive abbots. In the hands of the king's justices even this series is apt tor break up into a set of discounected links, eawh of which is a mau. Enteh successive abbot might sue for lands of which the church haul been disporsersed during the abbacy of one of his preflecessurs; but if a claim for coupensation in respect of some unlawful act, such as an alstraction of the church's goods, acerued to one abbot, it dierl with him and was not competent to his successor. Actin personalis morviur cum persona, aud here the person wronged is dead, for he was a natural person and could die. To make the law otherwise, a clause in the statute of 1267 was necessary ${ }^{2}$. Thus, though even in the legral notion of un abbey there is an element that we may call 'communal,' an element which is w) recognized by the ordinary forms of conveyances and obligations, and sanctioned by the mule that alienations of land are voidable if inade without the cousent of the cunvent, still this element is by no means prominent, and the abbot's powers of dealiug with property and of binding the abbey (that is bis successurs) by contract are limited much rather by the idea of the church itself as the true subject of rights and duties, than by any principle that would make him but one among a number of corporators.

The case of a bishop is not essentially unlike that of an The abbut. True that the lands of the see are very oflua, from chareli. Domesday Eriok downwards, spoken of simply as the lands of the bishop; the fact that they constituted a barony made such language the more naturals: none the less they were the lands of has church: Aud in the bishop's cuse it is at least necesanry

[^351]to distinguish the man from the bishop!. All the abhet' lande are the abbey landx, but a bishop may hold lands and goonds which in no wise beloug to hix nee; he will have 'herm' at wrill as officin! 'suecessors' and may make a will; gecarmbally be has a groat private fortnne. In recognoizing the previbitry of one man having, as we should sny, two expneties, a natural and a politie or ufficieal capacity, the law inade an impurtans atop. there are signs that it was not ensily made'; but the iden of thu chureh as the true ownees of the eppiseupml Innala madu thie step the nasier, for in one of his two capmerties the bubloup was now ownar but merely a nector or cuatos. Agnin, there wan a conamunal element to be considered. The lande if the wece if they were the lands of the bishop, were alao in wome win the lands of the catheiral convent or chapter, andi thet, thmoizh is might be a group of monks deat to the taw, might atan brea gronp of secular canons, ench of whom was a fully compretens trgal pemon. 'To a mmall extent the law reougotecel the interen, of this group; without ite cursent the bishop could mate wor ahtenntion of the churech's Inents that would nut be vorlatitn ty his successor. Still the members of the chapter had bo wethed if the bishop without their consent disupatad the weal th of the sec, and this shows ue that the permotu wronged by such thelpes. tion was not a community of which the linhtrop wen the hrowl but rather the church, as ideal person, wheme gruantian be wan He might de mothug to the dishberivon of haw ward without the advice of his conncil, his monstitutional adviens.
Diminegras. There is, however, within the ecclemanstical spheto a woll thert ist celeosiats eal grutpy.
 contury to eventury. The clerical graupe begin to diside their

 smpplies the monaks with foul, atoother with clothuse exte it Esine wort belongs to the reflarer, nouther to the wlmewert suerias, vemtinry. Such arrangumenta, though they weta to


[^352]of internal economy and, at least as regards the ontside world, had no legal effect: the abbot still represented all the lands and all the affaiss of the abbey before the law. But sometimes, even in a munastic suciety, the process went further; often when a bishop's church was monastic, as for example at Canterbury, Durham and Worcester, a partition of lands was made between the bishop and the monks, and even the temporal law took notice of auch a partituon ; the Prour of Canterbury became the legal representative of one section, if we may so speak, of the now divided ecclesich of Cantubury'. Even in the case of an abbey such partitions were sumbetimes marie, and the Prior of Westmanster aued the Abbot? When the group was not monastic but secular the process ofterl went much further; prebends were created; the bishop held lauds in right of his bishopric, the dean in right of his deanery, the Jrebendary in right of his prebend ${ }^{8}$. Though for ecelesiastical purposes the group might be organic, it as an unit hat little to do within the sphere of lay justice, and, if we may use the terma of a later rlay, the 'corporation aggregnte' was almost resolved into a mere collection of 'curperations sole.'

Still throughout the middle ages there were gronps of Commanal ecclesiastics which, as we should say, were corporations aggre- grecular of gate and which, being emmposed of seculars, were not subject clerkn. to the munarchical rule of an abbot. The number and wealth of such bodies, and therefore their importance in the history of our law, might easily be exaggerated, but atill they existed, and took part in litigation ; suits, for example, are said to be brought by and against the canons or the dean and canons of a church: In these cases we seem to see all the elements of a curporation aggregate. In the first place, there is persmanlity; the lands, the affaira, administered by dean and

- The Fipiatolae Cabtuarienses contutn a loug secouns from the twelth centary of the litigation between the Archbahop and the monlan of Chriat Chureb tonching a partition of their territury. In chis came even Inonerday Brols shown a partition; the Archinabop has land and 'the monk of the Archbishop' bave other land.
${ }^{2}$ Y. 18. 40 Edw, III. 8.28 per Fincliden: Prynne. Reconde, it. 764.
' Early owes of prebentaries suing are Ilactt. Abbrev. 62 (barart): Nute Bouk, pl. 111. As to the divioion of land between binborp and ehapter, soo 36 Ale. \&. 116, pl. A.
- Macit. Alitruy. 58 (Hervford), action againat the canons of Hereford: Note
 of sit I'sul'e.
cauchas, master and brethren, wre the Latids, the sffart, ut a church or a hospital. In the memond place, the adminu-tration for the time beng are a legally organzed buxiy, a buly whita perdures while its members come and go'. In the third pione.
 and votiogs and reselutantw; the fustive power is bus tav iod it is in the case of an abbry) the will of ample truan Our lawyem, lowever, lenrit frum the ecelesinutical grown
 groups wheh werv compart were deaputically ruleal, and the groups which were nut dexpatically rulid went oust sent wumerous aur very wenlely and meldom came before the courto ns urganized bualies

Internal aflaith of chamal Ervughe
 uur commun law of the thirkeenth century hat litti. f.. ou! Not only wan thas a matter for ecelexinatieal law, but o deop neated neverwace for a moal nerved to miljourn mathe dithon questuns wheh otherwise must have come betore thre bicag courta. A tatural persoun is butud by his neal, he hae fistion I
 trusted, puts his seal to a bad unc". So with the church it
 Whech ustally hauge bewide the buly beur atal therew ith wat. 4 bornd for forty unarka w lestendict tho Jew of Nurwich, tionor io mothing for an enrageal ubbot tos do but tos depere bleabos Wiaterer'. It would weellu that nortually the abbout kept the oal and thus could bund the house. In 1:3:1 if wat and that mati?

[^353]a priory in England hard no common seal ; the prior's seal serverl all purpones: A remarkable attempt was made by Edward I. and his barons to protect the house against the abbot, not so much in the interest of the innokn, as in the interest. of pious founders, who saw their good intentions brought to naught and the fruits of their donations sent acmss the sea to the protit of the alien. The common seal, said the statute of Carlisle (1307), was to remain in the enstody of the prior and four discreet inmates of the house and be laid up in safety under the privy seal of the abbot, This statute should be fromous, for it was one of the very few illustrations that Coke could give of his doctrine that a statute may be void for unreasuableness' ; and certainly it would seem that in 1449 the court toak upon itself to coll this statute void, partly because it was self-cuntrastictory (for how can one use a seal at all if it is always locked up?) but alan 'because if the statute were nbgerverl every cummon seal might be defeated by a mere surmise which could not be the subject of a tmal?: From this we may gather that the statute had little effect.

The canonists had by this time much to say about the The power manner in which legal acts can be done by or on behalf of minsoncorporations aggragate. They had a theory of duly convened meetings, and a theory of the powers of majorition. The most noticcable point in their doctrine is that the will of the unieersitus was expressed, not necessarily by the maior prirs comsentus, but by the maior et sunior pars. Presmmably the major was also the saner part, but an opening was given for disuentients to represent to the rulens of the cburch (for after all an ecclesior particularis was but a member of the ecelesint universals) that the resnlution of the majority was not the will of the church: Buch of this learning about corporate acts must have been farly well known to many edticated Finglishmen, incholing ame of the king's judges, and must have been frequently discussed in the chapterhouses, for chapters were quarrelsome and the last ward abrut their gharrels could be said by Italian lawyers. But the intluens: of all thas ductrino upon Euglish temporal law wan as yet

[^354]indirect and subtle and we have nat the knowledge that wuatid erable te to trixe it.

Tise merieNantral send thon semporel comatamas tuew

## The

 luarvarico nond oflues Lath cumb terantione.It is in no wise nerange that the Fingliah Lewyern of the age 40 d had nose ass yet brought the ecclemasutical and the weruparal corpurntions under une herading; mu difierent were they Thus we mee at onoe whet we have asked the gutations. What tempromil groupe of auen nee there which can have asy claun to be eorpuraut ?' and havo answered it by maying ' ('fitedy counties, hundreds, wwiships, manora, esties and bumughe in a wund (annes we can coin us better term) land conmunnties.' The church, the relsgiuus order, the hoepital, extete for a definte purpume: for the hanuur of a patron sanat, the defenow of the Holy Land, the relief of lepmera, The ideal parton be a prormanorat ideal will expresent in the rule of St Betrotiot or in sotne feundatsins charter. But for whit purpuaze do
 of a city to be found ? Agrana, the group of mouke or catoman is a voluntary suciety; of their awu free chosee sond by. detinite act man become membera of chaptem or convente but, at lesast urmally, the member of a uwwhip can harily be said to have chosen to bo a member; it may be that bo has inherited a tenement; it may be that be las bought ctbe. but even in the latter case the main thing that be boughi was a temeluent, uot a place in a commumity. In these mepecte the chaphert and convente stood neares in our tardem powsstuck companies thatu the thedieval buruughe. Thee curupans is a voluntury suctety nod haw in definite am exprinead in it menorandum and articlew. Rat the townwhp or tbe buruate has come into being no une knowa when, and exists no une knowe why.

Bractua seems to feel-to feel perhapm mather than sou koou -that anoong thema commusulies a line should lie downt, thas
 of ongaus unity, that in nut to be fustud in the upean noturs that the civie or burghal commutisty is no nuese ammunity but an universitns ciotum vel burgenaum'. Hus at thin puans we must for a whale break uff our discumate. The yuestias whether and in what motum thes had commanition of oiar of them desorve $h$ be called corporate unten cass wils b. appranched after we hove exmuned tbeis structuan sod

[^355]functions, and to this examination we must devote another chapter. Only at ite end and, it is to be feared, after many digressions, can we return to the person who is not a man. That person, if he exists, is implicated in a system of local eelf-goverament.

## § 13. The King and The Crowon.

The legal poaition of the king has been fully discunsed by In thas historians of our constitution, and on the province which they have made their own we do not intend to trespase. Nor do we think that a chapter on the law of persons is the proper place in which to collect all or nearly all that can be said of the king. Still there is a question concerning him to which we are naturally led by what we have recently aaid about 'fictitious' persons:-Is the king merely a natural person, or does the law see beside or behind the natural Henry or Fdward some nonnatural, ideal person, some 'corporation sole' 1 ?

In the sixteenth century our lawyers will use mystical sixtometh language of the king. At times they will seem bent on cheorices of elaborating a creed of royalty which shall take no shame if set two boing beside the Athanasian symbol. The king has a body corporate in a body natural and a body natural in a body corporate. They can dispute as to whether certain attributes which belong ${ }^{36}$ ] to the king belong to him in his natural or in his politic capacity. Some of their grandiose phrases may be due to nothing better than a desire to stand well with the reigning prince; some of their subtle distinctions may be due to that love of mystery which is natural to us all; nevertheless we must allow that there were real difficulties to be solved, and that the personification of the kingly office in the guise of a corporation sole was in the then state of the law an almost necessary expedient for the solution of those difficulties. Also we might show that if, on the one hand, this lawyerly doctrine was apt to flatter the vanity of kings, it was, on the other hand, a not very clumsy expression of those limits which had gradually

[^356]beets set to the king's lawful prower and that it served io harmumize turderu with arcient law. Kut we are nuw to deal with ancent simes, in particular with the thirtecosh centur. The metaphysical kang, the curpration sole, diwa wot yet exist ; the dithrulties which are met by his ereation ane unly begraning (w) arme.

Tervond. fic stwont is the Kine - 145 F - m incezanty.

In the finst place, let un motice that a great dead asas the dones without any persmonitiention of the kingls othice. Thre mare athount of the bumatamer that is frofintaci in the kinge name but without him ksowlivkee does nut dernami asy sta'h feat of jurimprustace as the cration of a arw prow. The
 may mulf that the gulf betweren the king mod the procatent uf his sulyjects is by mo shenses win wile on it will nftermands beconae. A great prelate or a julatine earl will hke the kugg hasf many high ploued otticens, atewnats, chancellom ervasun re and the like, whe will to many acte in has toure. juctuesal acta nad grvernmental sets, of which in nill purbobblity he. wat heas no word.

The hitug 1 right, or thitestiniol jurne: rugle.

Then again, the rights of the kug are cumperved as difforne from the righta of other men mother in dugree than in kitmot. At the bognnoing of Filwand ['s roigh thas ta exproment by lawyen in themr common saying, 'The king ts presongatioe. A - yet the terin premmative in hardly usel nxeept in this auljowtival manner. It suggesta to un that the king han tho righes whech are given tu othere by the ordinary law, bus chas we ure likely wi find that each particular nighe in internestid when it is the kengix ; the Hatal rletiostion of te te escevaled - for tho king is propugntive.' Four example, he han thon nexite $f$ ?
 these rights are augmessted. If the whole law wese witions dhowi, we should suet be sent to uthe grint chapter af it to lizem the law of the kugaxluy; mither we should see at the end of every propurition of provale law or primedural haw menne dine Wh the effect chat thix propmsition must be momened tefore st :o "pplied to the king's cave. 'I'rerugationty' io "tiectrantanaty'



 Eivo Liat if une fall. you may hold to the othera.' 'sus, vecan, for the bete w

Such is the general conception ; and, turuing to particulars, The kivg we shall usually see that the king's rights can be brought hards. under it. He has hardly a power for which an analogy can not be found elsewhere. If he holds a court of his tenauts in chief, his barons will do the like; if he asks an aid from them, they will ask an aid from their knights; if he tallages his demesne land, they can exercise a similar right. It is with difticulty that they are restrained from declaring war. If he prusecutes criminals, this is because his peace has been broken, and other lords are often proceeding against offenders who have done them 'shame and damage' hy breaking their peace. In pardoning a criminal, the king only waives his righte, and he can not waive the rights of others; he cannot prevent a private prosecutur frow urging an appeal of feluny ${ }^{\text {? }}$.

The kingly power is a mode of dominium; the ownership Tb of a chattel, the lordship, the tenancy, of lauds, these also are hingship as undes of dominium. We may argue backwards and forwards between the kingly right and the rights of private landholders. This is the more remarkable in the case of inheritance, for, as is well known, the nution that the kingship is in some sort elective is but sluwly dying ${ }^{2}$. For all this, the king is conceived to buld his lands by a strict hereditary right, and bs) between his lands and the kingship it would be hard to distinguish. This is the way in which King Edwand asserts his title to land in Lincolnshare :-' Richard my ancestor was seised thereof in his demesne as of fee, and from the said Richard, because he died without an heir of his body, the right descended to a certain King John as his brother and heir, and from him tw King Henry as his son and heir, and from the said Henry to we as his son and heir". Such a declaration may seem strange, for nothing is said of Arthur, and in
prerokative.' Y. B. $38-85 \mathrm{Ed}$. I. p. 407 : 'Le roi eat en en lerre si pretogatif qul ne voct aver oul aur luj'... Pur prerogntiveté au gemoms me oustez de Dow serviocs.'
' Brachon, 8. 132 b : ' Nom enim poterit rex gratiam facert oum imurn of demno ahorum. Foterit quidem dare yuod suum ant, hoc eat paocm suam,... quod sutem mientum dare nun poteat per anam kratimm.'

- Brwoton, f. 107: 'Ad hoo autem creatus est et alectus, ut iustitinm facias univentia.
${ }^{3}$ P. Q. W. 369 . See deo Note Book, pl. 199, where 'the young king.' Eenry son of Henty II., it mentanod in the pedigree: ' et de ipso Heurico [recundo] doecendit sum illiun adrocecionis Henrico liegh alio seso of de ıpuo Henrioo Regi Rucardo frutri suo.
P. צ. \&.
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Eifand I.'s lay the omdinary law of inheritance would hare prefersell Arthur to John. But thas brings out another pions :We may argue from the whole kiugdem we each arre of land The probtens which was openel by the death of Ruchand mes at that time at unsolveni question-primugentary rute wrom an yut new-Gilanvill did not know how it ohould be ansswerevl: Jubn obtained the crown. This was a pumendent in favour of the uncte agninst the uepher, and as suct it mo trenterd by Birnction it the cave of private tohentatiose The nephew may have the better nght, but if the uncle is the fint to take puesessuinn, the nephew can nut surcerst it an artsens "because of the king'n came". In Eitwand li's day lawyen know that there is something oxili in the kugi, pedgrae: or must nut argue about it'. Stall the dimerat of the tromn
 No une, it may be, woutd havee propmeat to dovide Eagiand among severnl coheiresmes, nud we can not syy with erreanty that a womnan conld have mherited the ernwn: but the quantwin whether the county of Chester wan partilite hiul hatily havo troated as open ", white in Scurtand nut only win the enwen
 that the kingutom was divisible and should be divided intseno theme and Ballhol:

Tha king. publa coms iverirt rimerl 1 y lutus.

Even if we find that tho kisg han motn: unirghe nyitio righte for which analogiess will be soughe in vasu, stall the! anvrightes that a natural permun can extresme. Thua the sural lawyers are bent on entathlathuge the devernese that all justiemer? powers are derived from the king. In term mate fantitiar by

- Glanvill, vil. 1.



 elam, it theo aut falmealwhis surlicium."


- Nobe Ekwh, pl. 1127. 1225, 187.



 of inhertiace ware ingrplication cout that then rabs.as fue the tuberitanes $\alpha$ o bizpodum atould be foutul in the haw of maturt
the canonists, they assert that the king is the 'julge ordinary' of the whole realn and that all uthers who adminisher justice are 'judges delegate '.' They have difficulty enough in making gond this assertion in the teeth of feudal claims: but, when it is made, it dous not attribute justiciary powers to a fictitious person, it attributes them to a real Henry or Edwand. Bracton is in eannest when he says that, were the king strong enough, be would do all justice in persons? Far distant is the thought that the king may not sit as the active president of his uwn court. King Henry sits there and important cases will be adjourned if he be mot presents ${ }^{\text {s }}$. Justicea have been fined for proceeding in the king's abseuce : There is something anomalents in the ascription to a king of powers that he may not lawfully exercise in person, something which may suggest that our 'king' is rather a figment of the law than a man: but that a man should be able to do by delegate what he snay do himself if he plesses-there is nothing strange in that. Then again, the doctrine that the king's will can only be expressed by formal documentes, sealed, or signed and countemigned, dones not 0] belong to the twelfth or thirteenth centuries. (on the contrary, the kung's will expressed by word of mouth is mure poteut than say writ ${ }^{3}$.

The rule which in later times will be expressed by the The king phrase 'The king can do no wrong' causes no dithenley. That mrang, vat you can neither sue nor prisecute the kiag is a simple fact, Hin atioun which does nut require that we shall invest the king. with any hatu. non-natural atiributes or make him other than the sinful man that he is. The king ean do wrong; he can break the law ; he is brelow the law, though he is below no man and below no

- Bract.f. 10®: Dietum est supra de ordinaria inrisdietione, qquee pertinet out regen : oonsequatuter dicendum eat de iurisdictiono dologatan'
${ }^{2}$ Hract. 8. 107.
${ }^{1}$ Hes Abbrev. p. 107 (25 Hez. 111.): 'Et quaia dominus rex absens fuit, nee frocunt ibs ans pauci de constho domini kegı, onluerant illi qus prarmeuter tuernat adivdicans deallum nec alsud in absentus ipwion damini fuxas vel mantorto constly sui.'
* Kot. Cl. i. 114: writ parioniug Sacol of Poterne.
- Hot (for. Reg. (eal. Palkrave) i. 47 (ts d, 1194): Fit duminag Cantuarienas



 antaun grobelionem excedis.'
court of law. It in quite ernceivable that he ahould be belum a court of law '. In the second half of the ceotury monar lawyom are alroady anguing that this is or ought to be the cave!. What so more, a pous legend of Weatminster Hall tenla how in ancient times every writ of right droiturel or pumeworg lay against the kung ${ }^{\prime}$ ' The lawyer whu soud thas in Eiluarl I', day was careful to leavo the ancient times indetinite: protratil! he wras referriug to the gexal chld daya of the Couferows aud like Blackstune after him, saw 'uur Saxon mevature' implemeling each other by writa of enerys. Buat the legend grow, atmi. no legends will, becatues more defiuite In the mitalln of the fourteenth century the common buhef was that duwn wo the tizne of Bdward I. the king comld be mbed likes a prowie: persono. and a judge sad that he had ween a writ boegnaing with Praccipe Henrico Regi Augline ". If he had sema anythung inf the kind, it was some joke, nome forgery, of puentily matue reace of tho Barons' Wiar. About this anatter there should be mo doubt at all. Bracton, no mare text writer, but on expernmiead judge of the highest court, saya plainly that write do ment rus agaust the king". "Our lord the kiug can not ber mammmoni or reeeive a command from any one - this comen from a jungmeat of tho king'\% court in 123 \%'. "Our crous is not abowe tio and can not summon nor compel un agnolist our wall - the comess frum a writ tested by Hubert de Burgh in 12wis. This posative evidence is strong; the negutive ovirlence over. whelming. If Heary III. had bern rapoblo of beitas sued, be would have pasend his life as a defenclatat. In the opration of

[^357]many of his subjects he was for ever breaking the law. Plea rolls from his reign there are plenty, and in the seventeenth century they were jealously scanned by eyes which did not look kindly upon kings. Where are the recurds of cases in which King Henry issued writs against himself? We can not but believe that Praecipe Henrico Reyi is what Francis Bacon called it, an old fable'. To this must be added that the king has power to shield those who do unlawful acts in his name, and can withdraw from the ondinary course of justice cases in which he has any concern. If the king disseises $A$ and transfers the land to $X$, then $X$ when he is sued will say that he can not answer without the king, and the action will be stayed until the kirg onders that it shall proceed. So if the king's bailiff is charged with a disseisin dune in the king's mame, the justices will indeed take a verdict about the facts, but they will give no judgment Rege inconsulto ${ }^{\text {? }}$. Still all this 'prerogativity' is compatible with humanity, and when the king appears as a plaintiff or submits to be treated as a defendant the difference between him and a private person is less marked in the thirteenth century than it is in later times. When he is a plaintiff 20] he will often employ one of the ordinary writs. A defeadant, instead of using what even in Bracton's day was becoming the pruper formula ' I can not answer without the king,' will sumetiunes buldly say 'I vouch the king to warrantys.' 'In the pleadıngs and proceedings of the king's suits, exclaims Bacon, " what a garland of prengatives doth the law put opon them :" This garland is not woven all at once and some of its flowers were but buds in the days of Henry III. But our main point must be that there is as yet little in the law of procedlire to suggest that the king is other than a natural person, nothing to suggest that he has two capacities. He enjoys the same privileges whether the matter under discussion is what we should

[^358]call 'man of state' wr whether it is a pnvete Rurgain. Antl. nfer all, the grandeat of his immuntities is nos abobaly. He
 true of every petty land of every petty matur: that theso happgeun 20 lnt in thin world no const abme his court in, we inay ayy, an mexulent.

Kinc: lan div and efown lande.

Then again, no line is drawe, at leant no marked litee, between thoor proprictary rights which the king han an klugg and thewe which he han in his grivate capacity. The natiof the state, ta not permoritiod ; there are nu lamla wherth terming to the nation or to the atate. The kangix Intula are the kbige landx; the king's trensure an the king's treasun: shore is us more to be anid. True that a dastuestoh sa made betwewo the
 the king by modern tithe. The tanin import of thes diastumelta is to be found is thon atrong seutimestat - it in rather as wott ment than a rule of law-that the anciefot derimestae ahoulit not be given away, and that, if it be gaven away, masse future tuay may resume it ${ }^{2}$. But even here provate lno aflopda ors hae aftiorded an analogy. It is only of late geman, ouly nater cilantili wrote, that a ternat in fee smple has been able utterly $w$
 over land which the himself has jurchased has been groaber than his power over lands wheh have descendid to han and whis
 saserta a right to revoke the itoprovident grants uf tus ationsum is relyong on an antique rule of formily law, rather tham upers any such duetriue as that kiags ure crusters for the sation The idea that a man may hold lased of gondo in two diteretet capactites in not eassly formed.

We snay see thas even in the ecelesiastional rempun. Thangh bere the promomality of the mant of of the chureh mak ion the diatinction caver, sull in age after age penple find much inti:culty is marking off oftice from pinjperty, aud in mearatit.
 is the ruler of a rhumh fromi theee whith, we we ahoride se! belong so him in his prisater capacity. ITn the whe hates a


[^359]hereditary: On the other hand, it is not readily admitted that a bishop or a parsou can have property which is in no sense the property of his church. This difficulty it is which provides an excuse for that inturference by the king with the gords of dead bishous, which historians are too apt to treat as sulticrently explaned by mere rapacity. An abuse we are willing to call it, but thers is sul excuse for it. On the death of the bishop. the king is guardinn of the temporalities of the church; the dead bishop's groxds are the gonds of the church'. This idea is well brought out by what is Whet of St Hugh of Linculn. He did not approve the new custom that bishops should make wills. Still he consented to make one leat otherwise his goods should be seized by the king. Evidently the saintly bishop thought that his gouds were bis chureli's gouds; he made a will is order to defeat, if possible, the all too logical, if impious, deduction which kings were ready to draw from this pious doctrney. King Stcplien bad to promise that he would not interfere with the testaments of the bishops, and that, on the death of a bishop intestate, his goods should be distributed for the benefit of his soul by the counsel of the church; but then he was also making something very like a renunciation of his right to a protitable guardianship of the temporalties of the foos: vacant sce '. His successors seize the goods of intertate bishops and expect bishops to apply for a liecuce if they wat to make wills. When Archbishop, Roger of Yurk died in 1182, Henry II. enjoyed a windfall of $£ 11,000$, to ray nothing of the spoons and nalt-cellars. A very just retribution, says the dean of St Paul's, and grates fom his Digest ' quod quisque juris in alterum stazu. erit. uti debet eantem iure,' for this Roger had obtained a papal bull enabling him to seize the goods of any clerk in his diveese whe, even though he made a testament, did not before his death distribute his gends with his own handst. The pope was just as bad as the king is this matter. In 1246 he pruclamaed that the goods of all intestate clerks belouged whim, though in the uest year he retired frum an indefensible position? No duabt

[^360]the canonixts could distinguish will enough between the pans perty of the chureh and the property of the prethate, still we can see that thas is a lawyerly distinetion; a mintly brahop. like Kugh of Lineotn, will seomet it in the intenve of has chanch. a covetous bishop, will twake light of it in the iuterest of haceself and his kinafolk, a needy king will know huw and when ts can be profitably ignored.

Noiny earpure. trotis sale ©ther than the crown

If these things be donce within the cexlerwantiozl spheme where dead saunte still are netive, where the canom law with ite Roman traditions prevails, what may we mot experet in the temporal sphere? Finr cansier for us is it to purnotify a chames. which actually holds the body, and is guaried by the moul, of the saint, than to permonify a matron, a ntare. ©in movices king is cempted to may 'I ams the atate,' for 'E'go ausm mistous' would be nonsense. On the nther hand, no one will say to ham - This land, though it may be called your hund, in mally the laut of the state' And so the king's land is the kurg'n taud and there is no more to be said about it. It should be remembereal that in our fully developed comanon lnw the king, or crown, is the ouly corporntion wole of a lay kind. The temporal law of the chisterenth century will nid us with no utalugy if we womold distinguish between the kiag'n private property and his othenal property. Uflen enough has office beerme property, or mather (for the we helieve to be nearer the truth) righce whirb cider anal vngueer law had rogarded na balf officinal, half proymnurst. have become defintely proprictary. Rarddothen and merjematiseo belong ho thin entegory; but we can nob itathngnish between the tands which the ensl has ane earl nued theoe which be hase es ; -4 man. Oas the other hand, those ofthees whech have but fation into this category do not comprise wr ranry whth thesal ang proprietary raghes of any kind. The sbriesalty is an uther bus the sheriff no sheriff hues nu lauds, no powewa. What ue main. truateenhip, at all eventes a promaneent truateremhp, io an selt unknowis to the low and cat supply ue with wow natal.gy. Si. form of legal thought that in at our diaphnal will vinabien us to separate the tandro of the nation from the lands of the kinge.







But at least, it will be urged, the king can not devise the to tho kingrom by bis will. No, but the general law is that a land- ning donable ? owner can not devise his land by his will : only God can make an heir, not man. And, after all, this impotence of the king has not been very clearly demonstrated. If standing in the thirteenth ceutury we ask why on the Conqueror's death Rufus became king of the English, while Robert became duke of the Normans, it is not plain that there is any better answer forthcoming than that the Conqueror, like other lords who had lands on both sides of the sea, partitioned his estates among his sons. But, as already said, the fact that land can not be devised by testament is a sufficient reply to any who would draw distinctions between kingdoms and ather estates. Moreover in the middle of the thirteenth century it is by no means so clear as a patriotic Englishman might wish it to be that the king of Evgland does not hold his kingdom of the pope at an sanual rent by virtue of John's surrender and Innocent's regraut'. And, as we saw above, if the king ought to consult his barons before be grants away any large tract of his kingdom, common opinion has expected that a great baron will consult his men, or at least profess to consult them, before he makes [p. S06] large grauts out of his honour?. As to the king's treasure, it is the king's treasure and he may do what be pleases with it, thungh very likely his successor may find an excuse for disreganding some or all of his bequests. Edward III. is his will, draws a marked distinction between the debts that he owes as a private person and the debts that he owes as a king; his executors are to pay the former, while the latter will fall upon his heir and successor. We shall hardly tind such a distinction in earlier times".

As yet no king has succeeded to nnother withont there The king chan de

[^361]being an interteghum. In tho cose that is just happorangs whan we make our xurvey this interregraum in very atore. Edwand 1. Gar away in the Holy land begon wo srign ens the day, nut of his father's death, but of his facher's funseral'. Bats there is bere wo legral fiction, oothorg that demassion any u!s. remous phanse about the king's inamortality. Valwand I. remily neigns, before he in crowned, and Eiswand II. will really ne:cm mo woun as his father has consed to bresthe. Then is tes excuse hare for a fiction than there is in the care of a hiwhory. also) there are fewer matenals ready to the hand of the evotsmeructive inwyer. The binhupis thrones amat be vacosid at hame for a few days, and meanshile the elemully mfant church than other gunedians, aguardian of its tuetupurnlitiss, a gilarilias of its spirstualstes. But lowking back a litter way to cates is which there has been an internguuns of coumuleratile daratuo. we see that lawyers bave not been preparest to stup the saf with a meraphywical king, the persontion! kngathip. Whets the king dien, his pernce dies, and there is mo kinge peace unui another king is crownosi. The kugg then wha has a puow is a mortal man. The avil consequenees of thia proucuple tuay has. been somewhat. lusened by a pmelamation of the presue of ane who, though he in aus yet kaug of Englatad, is by hostadiang
 king ksown to the law is a natural pornorn ${ }^{\text {? }}$

The wine cand endor zue.

A case has latily oceurred wheh, wi we tany think, mum, at have put the old theory of the kimpulup to a meven strath A child bue nine years ofd was crowtied the morodatimat of Heary III. was an impurtant event. It waw, if we many of speak, a two-edged event. On thes inse hami, it esintirmasi the dectrone of pure berealitary right; it nppulat to the kolusolap the coususuas land law. (In the ether hand, it showed thas: king capatble of rulug wat no the ermoty; nill that a kiog cariad do mught be dosse by a migent and a councal is the thate of ate jutant. How Wiltian Marahall berearse ' netor nogzo us nywa. is 12 thin context a quewtion of po great intorat. There wat a

[^362]grave national erisis; there was civil war; a foreign eneny was it the lans. Those barons who had not rojected John did the obvious thang, chose the obvious man as their leader. It was not a time for constitutional dissertations. What happetued during Heury's minority is of greater signaifieance. In hangatioll which totiches royal rights the ordinary rule of private law is applied. An action for land is brought; the persou in possesssion alleges that the king is his warmator ; the netion must remain in suspense until the king is of full age!. Then, when Henry was of full age, he insisted that all charters granted in his name during his minority required confirmation, even the Great Charter and tho Forest Chaiter. He did this we are toid by the advice of Hubert de Burgh? To exelain against his faithlessuess, his greed, his imprudeuce, is far easier than to discover any then admitted principle of law which would condemn him. Suppose that his guardians have improvidently alieunted some piece of his demesne land, is he not to have the ordinary right which every infant enjuys on attaining his majoritys? Donations, we might say, are one thing, laws another, aud Magna Corta is a code of laws. But where and (tos) how could the line be drawn? In form the Great Charter was a charter, and between it and the mere gift of single knight's fee there was a long and gently graduated series of charters granting 'liberties' of various kinds to individunts and to larger or smaller classes of men!. A claim tor revoke what is in fact a body of general laws is one which will set men thinking, and may lear them in the end to some mystical dugma such as that the king is never under age; hut no such dogma has as yet been fashioned. The king of the thirteenth century is a natural person and may be 'under disability.'

In course of time we see the begrunings of a doxtrine of olemunta public or official capucities. Lanfrunc bints at it when he suatruvere
 Regir ut sono facust inde volantatom manm.' Ibid. pl. If93) (a.b. 1228): "Iadi. ciurn gromitur is respectum usyuo ad sebotem dounai Kegis."
: Mat Par. (from Wendover) iii. 73-8, 41, 122.
${ }^{3}$ Note Book, $\mathrm{FN}, 1221$. The king of Scol petitions for wemalaip, arging in his favour something thas happened durings the usinarisy. Hestry'n councal replee thas this happened tempory Uuberti de Burgo Comitu Kiantine yus amoua fut et familiarin ipsi Regi Scotiac os qui regnum Anglisec babus us mann шna.' Therefors it in of no aveil.

- This poins will be further discussed in our nest claspter where wo deal with borough charterss
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suggests that the Conquemor, though he may not arrest the bishup of Bayeux, may Inwfully arreat the earl of Keot'. Sinime progress has been made befor the end of the thitecesth century. In a carefilly worded judgment our king's cours deelnren that the bishop of Durham ' has a ifouble ntavus, tan wh a temporal and a spiritual statur' 'The arebbishop of Yiurt hae excommanicated the binbop for inaprisoning sume of hio metorpolitan's enen. But eo imprimus mean belongs to the bishopsis tempural status. Therefore the archbinhop tans excrummunicated not his suffrgan bishop, bust the king's cenant in choof and munt pay a fises. A still mure interestung case concerns hing Edward himmelf. He in his father's life cume wan bolling the vill of Stumford and wan exercising it it the franchiow hnown eo the retura of write. He granted the vill to the carl of Warenoe Having become king, be detanaded by what warrast the cant claumed the franchise. The ensl replied 'By four omu gift, you gave me all that you bad it Scomflurd.' The truago counsel then pleads that Edwand himnelf had no tathe wo the franchise, and that, being king, he is bound to resumee all ngites uulawfully detached fron the crown, even though be buna if. while as yet no kiog, was the gruley permate. He ts oum of armether estate thnn be was then and in quam nnother permai The easl combats this theory - He is one and the antue pernom that be was when the tanule the gif:' Judgrient in gives fir the konss.? Thus the tiden of dival furmanaluy uny sinmely proval when the king rolies upon it To enfore it when it would cull against his meterestes would be a barder cant. And na yet this iden toxika vergy new. If there is $w 0$ be $a$ persariaticetion, something material, womething as vistive as a churrb, muas be persountied.
tremons. Binat leien of the crowta.

Wer can see the hegromings, but only the twgromings, of o procers which parsonities the kung' ' crown.' Aus hore it may be remarhed that eveu su our uwa day thas prozete has secee

- Sime aluan, p 661.


 Sacrevida.



 land Edward if formas chucal
gone so far as to modify the formal language of our law. Of course lawyens and judges and even statutes have now for a long time spoken of the rights of the Crown, have spoken of the Crown an doing this, that, and the other act. Still in the strictest language of the law, the language of pleading, the Grown does nothing; it does not sue, it dues nut prosecute; the king or queen does it all. A personification of the crown has been required, not so much by any purely "juristic necessities," as by constitutional doctrines which, though they may now-adays be as well observed as any laws could be, are none the less no laws. Under the cover of the crown-that 'metaphor kept in the Tower,' as Tom Paine called it-our slow revolution is scomplishing itself. In the thirteenth century this golden circlet is beginuing to be useful. We first hear talk of it when crimes are committed, not only against the king's peace, but also against 'bis crown and dignity.' Then we hear of rights which are inseverably annexed to the crown; they indeed make the crown, for the king's crown is to do justice and keep the peace'. This is pleasant doctrine for the king, if it is also a sound doctrine for the state; it enables him to resume 'liberties' which have been alienated from the crown and check the growth of reignorial justice. In the fourteenth century it is possible to say that the crown, like a church, is always under age and that no lapse of time will bar the demanda of this 450. quasi infant'. But as yet to distinguish between the crown and the king, between the king and the man, is to teach a treasonable doctrine. In Edward II.'s day that doctrine becomes prominent and charges of holding it are bandied to and fro. The barons who are leagued against one of the king's favourites, Piers Gaveston, are said to hold that allegiance is due rather to the crown than to the perano of the king. A few years afterwards the barons who are leagued against another of the king's favourites, the younger Despeuser, accuse him of having held thas very doctrite, and, owing to their success, it becomes for all time, to use Coke's phrase, ' a damnable and damned opinion.' But all this lies in the future :

[^363]Retro. apect.

We an not conternting that the proprietary theory of the kingxhip-if we unay give that mane on the doetrote wheb we have been endeavouring to expound to the ancmit anctems therory, or that it ever fully expreases all the farta and thoughte and feelings whech dutertuise what a king shall be and what a kilige sluill dis. G'rubably there has been a urie-wadial deselap. teent of those wernestes in the ancient ilens wheh have treen found enpuble of legad trentment, white other elemogea have beell forgotien or exturdenl from the aphore of law The Consfuest of England, the atong monarehy, the tyranny (if we plonuw one call it wo) which wan fuunded by the Snmand haisa hove favonared thous and only thame notionse which exnlt the king und give ham a property in his kingdom stall the phemomesun in question is ant purely Elogliah and con sure be explained without reformace en the histury of junapendebere The dementes in the old trital kingship which survived tes :be atrighte for existence wroe thrme which in the then atate of legal thought ware capration of being nerumaty "xprisual and detined. For vngue thoughts, for hulf thoughta, the lawyor can find no place. What, for examples, is he sos make of a ivt. \& the erown which is partly heroditary, partly dertive 1 The
 who are the electars. nu onee ne yet has rules aberut the prow ons f a
 Becrmbe a mere form. And mo with the king's latule Eather thoy beloug to him or they belang to wotne other pernot. is promon say for a mornemt that shay betong tor tber natiote. how can such in docerine be enforecrl when as yot we have not Heas, or but the vaguest rdeas of ufficial capmations, of erumere mhip, if corporations aggregate and corpermathono asto : We dh

 the tenth century and lome them durnge the tworfth aral twis teeuth, those ages of brillinat intellertual froignte, ta mot ceaty to be telieved The une general resule to which wr mimm as the end of thia long and varte guat chapter thet evon in Bracton's day the number of legral hiotar as wery smail azod public law has harily an ileta of ien uwn.
 re Atepmay Biection Feprition, 17 U. 11. D. 88.


## CHAPTER III.

## JURISDICTION AND TEF COMNONITIPG OF TEE LAND.

2] In an exposition of any system of law, ancient or modern, a Plaod of large spece must be given to the composition and competence of courts. In a statement of modern law, however, we should hardly place this topic in the forefront. Courts exist for the sohema. purpose of defining and enforcing the rules of substantive law. But when we are dealing with the middle ages, we can not thus regard what we may call the 'law of jurisdiction' as merely subsidiary or 'adjective.' It is intertwined with the law of property and the law of personal status and this in many different ways. In the first place, jurisdiction is a proprietary right, or the subject matter of proprietary rights, profitable, alienable, inheritable rights, which are often bound up with the tenure of land. In the second place, jurisdiction is one of the main ties which keeps society together; the man is bound to his lord by this as well as other bonds; he is not merely his lord's man and his lord's tenant, but he is also his lord's 'justiciable'; his lord is his 'sovereign'; he owes to his lord not merely service but also suit; and thus once more the law of jurisdiction is implicated with the land law ${ }^{1}$. Turning again to the masses of unfree men, we see another connexion between jurisdiction and ownership. If we examine the rights of the lord over his villein we find it difficult to decide where ownership leaves off and where jurisdiction begins; we may have to say, either that the idea of ownership, the master's ownership of the slave, has been tem${ }^{13 \text { j }}$ pered by the idea of jurisdiction, or that rights of jurisdiction

[^364]ane being converted ints rights of uwnemhip. Again, wo here
 must colour our treatment of impartant private righta. Is in not enough to wny thnt in man has a right in land: we mus add that it is, or is not, a right protereal by the king' on murta for although it may be ignored thene, atill it toay be protertiol by other courtas, for exanaple by the oourt of the macorr. Nor is this the result of a mere division of latomer auch an at the prosent day may send petty cames to pretty tribumak Tho.
 righta, the rights of the king, of the church, of foradal homis. of anctent communities. Lastly, we have been comprilleds so
 called thens, because we could not idewrithe their organmastion without apeaking at some length of conerts, their comentisutsou atad competence. In the main the arguobization of sbese cotentau. nities is justiciary; the shire has a court, the hundred a comirs. the mauur a court, the burough n court, and in a large meanure it is this that makes the whire, the hundrad, the matur, the borough intor a communitass. Thum in spraking of junaticinas we shall muturally be led to deseribe the aature if theme cinamunities and wh consider why some of shem ane, while atbers of them are not, attaining pereonality.

If we leave out of sight the courts of the chamh and cons. centrate our attention upon secular justice, we seve as hina sighe $n$ cermis thesorvtieal unsty. Who, asks Brantun onght W be judge in himporal caunas! Ther king; su whe elo tthis is the uneaning of the kingutip. That the kung showth din justice to all. It is want of time and strengrh shas authirstos and counpels him to depuee him dution to whers. All pernywial judges are his delegates!. But Brarton wan as ruyal jristsen and, though he could easily show thas be and bie feition derived their authority frotus the king, he derm pos assemph to prove, and could harilly hava mucreveled is proving, that even in legal theory, all the juriductional powers of the frublas, al lands were deleggaciv! to themby the klug. The law of the taide is obliged $w$ dixtangush the 'regalitien' that arv ijotiginat from the powers that have atrotber origin. Enacr aucid it have been wo show thas an a ioceno mateer if fact, dompute all theoricw, dexpite the worde of the Grvat Charter, she tinge -

[^365]court was mastering all the justice of the land, was subordinating to itself the feudal courts, was making them insignificant; but in so doing some startling contrasts between facts and theories would have been disclosed. Even the ancient courts of the shire and the hundred, courts which had no lords, courts which were presided over by royal officers, might have occasioned doubts:-could the suitors who made the judgments in these courts be called the king's deputies? Bracton takes the easiest of courses, that of ignoring difficulties; he asserts the broad principle that all temporal jurisdiction is the king's, and leaves us to disoover how far either facts or legal theories can be brought under this principle. Still the assertion is important; the principle is not the mere speculation of a lawyer; it has been making itself good as against other principlea which in part were older, in part were newer, making itself good against tribalism, communalism, feudalism.

It is not, however, with a discussion of this dogma that all sabecse of ' ordinary,' i.e. non-delegated, jurisdiction is in the king ${ }^{2}$ that we can begin our investigation. We must look at the courts as they exist at the close of Honry IIL.'s reign, prefacing any further remarks by a summary statement, which may show the main outlines of the system, though it will neglect exceptional cases.

For the purposes of temporal justice England is divided Division of into counties; the county is divided into hundreds; the hundred the land. is divided into vills or townships ${ }^{2}$. The county has a court, the hundred has a court, the vill or township as such, has no court; but the vill is an important unit in the administration of the law. Again, the vill is very often coincident with a manor and the manor has a court.
15] The county court meets once a month. It is presided over The county by a royal officer, the sheriff, who in some matters is assisted court. and checked by clective olficers, the coroners. It is attended by suitors (sectutores), certain freeholders of the shire who are bound to attend it, to do suit (facere sectam) to it. They are

[^366]the judges or denmanen (oudiculones) of the court. It entro. conus somie of the initial proeechlages it erimital cases. bent for the mere part it is a civil, non-eriminal court it hew att omgnas jurnaliction in persomal setions: real artions cowne to at mben the fendal courts make default in jublee: cases are sent down to it for traal by jury from the king's contr.

The Luniders comts.

The hundrad court meeth once in three movelicu Nisman! y its presulent should be the sheraff or a balatif wo whos the shentif has committed the huadred; but many of the humdrod courtan are in private hands, and, when thas in mo, the lomis steward prewides. Freeholders of the hundned owe sunt is it. these sulitura are the dewmwnea. Its cotupatenee wember nutach the aame ns that of the county eourt, though itn puowion are contined within narrower gevgraphical hruta ; but nal actuno
 to it by the king'x court.

The thertilir Bmen

Twiee a year the wheriff makien a talar or turn (fiemus niv. comitis) through all the bundrels of the munty. He brith
 jeremons besiden the ordinary surtures ought to be prosecus the If his cubjectes is to hold $n$ view of frauk-pledige imono finsors. plegii), to sere that all permous who aughs in bev, are ut a fithng. Fur thin purpmee ntrict law might meluine that all such perzuas should be present, but uftwil they feem to so aufficusty repreconted by the chief pledgen (capmesteo primgul
 cumous orgarization of frank-pleflge is interlaced with the
 thow have in be nopresented at the shenffis tum, earh ty its reene and four of tits men; for ansisher nityect of tho som is that the shariff may hold what we many call $n$ ' $p$-here mums Presellthenta reaperting crimes and minor offorman aso slurn made by the representatives of the townobije and a jurg is freeholdera. The prosentinente of minor wifesices are diopmat
 prememting against the acsumevt whe will be trind by the b 4



 гvแึ:


## C13. L11.] Juristiction anel Commurtal Afficirs.

-thereby meaning that the conrt represents, though it is not elected by, a communitus. From them we must distinguish courts which in a wide sense of the word we might call feudal, but which it may be better to call seignorial ; they are courts which have lords. These seignorial courts do not form a system comprising the whole land, but are dotted about sporadically. We must divide their powers into two classes. It would seem that the mere fact that a man had tenants gave hian a right to hold a court of aud for them. A court authorized by this Frulal principle, which we may call the feudal principle, would have, courla. at least over the frechold tenants, but a purcly civil, that is, nou-criminal, uon-penal, juriscietion; it would be competent for personal actions and also for real actions in which frechold lands were demanded; but the latter could only be begun by a royal writ (breve de recto tenendo) and might easily be removed from it by a similar mandate. Over unfree persons and unfree tenements its anthority would be more ample; about the title to lands held in villeinage it would be able to say the last word, it could enforce the manorial custom and inflict minor punixhments upon the villeins. Probably there was nothing in law to prevent a lord standing high in the feudal scale from bolding a single court for all his tenants, and coccasionally we read of the court of a wide-sproad honour. Csally, however, the lord's court is the court of a single manor aud very frequently the manor is a single vill. The legal thenry of later times diatinguished between the court for freebolden and the court for customary tenants, calling the former a court baron, the latter a customary court; in the court baron, it is maid, the freehold suihurs (sectutores) were the judges; in the custumary cuurt the lord's steward was the only judge; but it is very doubtful whether we can carry back this distinction into the age of which we are now spleakiug.

Cintrasted with the jurisdictional puwers which a lond has Prmenise merely because he is a lurd with temante, stand the franchises, courts. liherties, royalties (libertates, regalia), pmers and immuuities which can ouly be passersed by thowe to whom the king has grauted them. Thene fruchises were of the suost various urilers, ranging from the powers of the palitine carl to those of the lond of a petty manor who had merely the view of frankplefge and the polzee jurishetion that was incident on it. This last franchise was cominon, and the court in which the lom
exerctacel it twice a year was açubing the nstome of a thet

## Lown.

burongh curatus. (leta); it. was a police court for the presebtasut of offernve and for the punixhment if moner ottionces: it whas eomedinate with the sherifis turn. Sinnatiuses the lord hall git highor justice in his hands and might hang theves taken in the mi
 which leady up wo the palatine emerlame.

The citum and bumughs-vilia, that is, whuch have attanned a certain degreea of organization abul indepmondencer-have nourn of their uwn. But of theme manicipal courta oery little can be sud in genemal terms: they arr the utiteotue bust of laws bast of privilegen.
The kinge Abve all uther courts reves the kingin mourt, whith heo cour gradtally been dividing itaelf into thme permateone nourte the Kinges Berach, the Cousmon Bench, the Excheyumer. But besides these pertumbent and celtral. it nowmes fetuparaty and Incal forma Ruyal justicea are sent into the countioe
 ecesery actions) of the crounty. It uny le is) rioliower sho gaod it may be as justicas in eyre (in itonere) to bold all the pleso of the cututy, civil and erimilial. It this last came the juatione proside over a very full, soldomas and prolumead mactionig of the county caurt. In ond way and another, now by the arsinataso of cansex, now by the iturentions of new arthots, the kuge
 sation, but ars making them protey courta, courto for its menaller aticion of the smatler frolk.

Sinch being the main outhow, we tumy atodi-atiour to, fill is

 the kingliwh colastitutom.

## §1. The Cimmaly.

DIf the ongrin of the various countase we whall thonemum -i. crusaly.
 words, namely, the 'detacherl part of a cothtit! The inap it



1 See stulim, Conas. Hint i 182
total number of cases in which a county has had outlying members is by no means small!. It seems certain that many of these anomalies are due to very ancient causes; pmssibly in a fow cases they take us back to the days of intertribal warfare ; more probably they illustrate the conuexion between property and jurisdiction. The lord of a hundred in one had an estate lying in another shire; he obliged all his men to attend his hundrad court; such a proceeding may or may not have been warmnted by some royal charter. Thus Dunesday Book includes in Worcestenshire islands which are surronaded by other counties. These ishands belong to the huarired of Oswaldslaw, which belongs to the church of Worcester; but then these islands themselves belong, in a somewht different aense, to the same church; the church is lord of the land, lord also of the huadredal jurisdiction. These 'detached portions of counties' seen to bring befure our ayea the atruggle between national and private justice ; therr swall siguiticauce in English history and their rapid descent into the category of petty muisances show how that struggle was decided ${ }^{2}$.

Of the county officers, again, we ueed say but little since Tho (19) constitutional history has taken them uader her protection. cumenty. The earl, except in the case of the palatine earldoms, has little to do with the government of the county which gives him his title; even befure the begiuning of legal memury he haw, we may say, nothing to do with the county, save to be girt with its sword and to receive a third of its pleas, 'the thisd penny of the county". Un the other hand, the shorifi, who, despite the fact that in Iatin he is vicecomes and in French le viscount, has never been the vice-genent of the earl, is the governor of the shire, the captaits of tis furces, the president of ita court, a distinctively royal ofticer, appointed by the king, dismasible at a moment's notice, strictly accountable to the Exchequer:
' A great deal of information may be gained from Seheilule if to the 8tature 2-8 Will. IV. c. 64.

1 In 120 the under aheriff of Staffordahire is charped with takiog a vill oat of ove boudred to pot it in another which le farmed tu fee: 8 tafforiblume Cellections (Baft Soc.), iv. 170.
${ }^{3}$ Rituhtun, Connt. Hial. i. 3 NR B94; Round, (ieoffrey de Mandeville, 297.
 by itn reaprearnace on the surface of legnal history in laker dayn: but esen in the tharteentit centrity we hear of local exactions whieh are knuwn as ahretres seleumer, arirrerescat, cheryerreher, i.e. ausilium riceramutio; H. H. i. 15i. W5N, tels.

A danger then shuriffioms would breotne hervlikary uthees han been kurmountand; at the end of the thortevith cotatury a danger (if such we think it) that wheriffidoms will become elective offices is being surmounted in xpmee of paphelas in mands which groulually die out, and pintur forgerven whech lung trouble the stream of legal histary ${ }^{3}$. Alrmaly before the beginning of the thirteenth century the sherilf in fanug mime of hin powere; bufore the end we see the first genos of an institution which is destined to grow at hat expmomer, the kuighte nesigued to keep the peace of the county whaer succesuons will be justices of the perce. But ther sheriff of thas century. atill suore the sheriff of the twelfth, is a great man with miscellaneous functions, military and financial. ercention and jadicial. Below him in rank and of anore recent omgo stand the corunens, or, to give them their full utle. the kempeso of the pleas of the crown (custodes placitarum conomal Sirmally the county ham fonr coruners whos ane elected by the county in the county court. Their ongts is imeorl to as ordinance of 1194. The function implied by their title is that of kereping (cuntedire) as dintingunhed from that of howliog, hic (tenere) the pleas of the erown; they nre ant to hear and determine causea, but are to keep record of ull that groen too in the coltnty and roncerps the miturastmation of crimatial justice, and more particularly inumt they guard tho reveman which will come th the kugg if such justion bo duly slones".

Than comanty cem manalty.

The 'councy' in mot a mero mineleh of land. n gubermovetheal district ; it is an organizewl buly uf men, it in a ceimomuntas Wie must atop short of saying that it is a corpuration. The iden of a corpomion is being evolvad but slowly, and wir whime never beenture corpurntionn, so that in later diny the tertas
 beroughs, which have been endowod wath the or subueation it counties, fmen the conlansy whiren or countum at hage. With such 'countiew corpurale' we have nut to deal. the ? bebotige to

[^367]annther age, But attending only to the 'counties at large,' we notice that the law and the language of our period seem at first sight to treat them much as though they were corponations, and in this respect to draw no hand line between them nud the chartered towns; the borough is a communitas, so is the county. It would even seem that under Edward I. the county of Devon had a common seal'. This may have been an exceptional manifestation of unity; but Juhr had granted to C.ornwall and to Devoushire charters which in form diftered little from those that he granted to boroughs:-if a grant of liberties might be male to the men of a town and their heirs, so also a grant of liberties, a grant of freedom from forestal exactions, a grant of the right ho elect a sheriff, might be uade to the men of a county and their heirs ${ }^{3}$. But the county tail was apt to find its unity broughe home to it in the form of liabilities rather than in the form of rights. The county was puvished for the mustakes and misdoings of its asserably, the county coart?

In the language of the time this proposition that the The county must answer for the acts and defaults of the county $\begin{gathered}\text { connts } \\ \text { court. }\end{gathered}$ court appears as a cruism, for it can uuly be expressed by saying that the county must answer for the acts and defnults of the county. County and county court are so thoroughly une that the same word stands for buth. Kurely, if ever, do we meet with any such term as curia comitatus or curia de comitatu; the assembly is the comatatus, and every session of the assembly is a comitutus; for example, when a mas is to be outlawed, a pmelamation commanding him to present himself must be made in 'five successive counties,' that is at tive
' Calendarium Genealogicum, p. 487; a lady enda a document with these words - Is cusus rei festumonam sikilium meam praementibus apposal, et ufnhs sgillam mertu est incogniturn uggillum comitatus Vevotite spponi procuravi. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ Al a later thate the hundreds have weala, but whene aro the uutconse of a atatuke relating to the tratsimasion of vagranta.
${ }^{2}$ thub. Cart. 182, 132. Rat. Cl. i. 187; ii. 35, 160. Heary I1. by charter wronted to the mean of Derbyshane that their county court should be held as Derby inetend of at Nutthigham.
${ }^{2}$ It wall be remernhered tiat to thin day tha county is an indictable unat, though no corpusation. The ditticalty nocasiuned by the tact that the counsy could not hold land was met by atatute of $185 \mathrm{~s}(31$ and 22 Vic. c. 92 ), whel pruvided for lands being beld by she clerk of the prace. At a mucts earliors time we find the judgex purzled by the question how damaseg ander the Sitather of Winclester can be recuvered froms the caunty. Y. B. I'awch. 17 Edw. 11. L. 8 89.
succensive sempions of the county court. The netual neamblyy of men xitting at a certnin time and plane is the county, the permanemt institation of wheh that partecular avembly io as it were, a flecting representation, is the esonty : the commty again is a traet of ground, the connty is the whole baty if persoins who hold lands or rexide within that truct, whothor they purticpuate in the doungs of the axembly or no. Aut so with the word shire, which is minintaining ith grourth almongont. county: if an abbot and his tenants are to be freed from the duty of athending the comity court, it is gurte enough tu, wal
 schivint. What we say of the cumtery is true atoo of the hundred; our law Latiti has mo anth temin an the conts of the husdred'; the 'husuifend' in a distriet, a bexly of landholders and rewidenta, a comer, the sescion of a courl.
Ideatity of 'This nbewhtue udentity of the county and its emmes tmight ise cour ty and continty coust.
 cerdinge of the jumticen in eyre. They come into the rounts. the whule contaty is cunvened to meet them ; the crouts gite p evidence, abswers questions, necords ita custorns, exprimean ito suspicions, is believed or diskeleveed, to prumathed. Thus the justicen vinit Lincolnahire in 1202 ; the county gives one acrount
 volls give another acesunt; the lomamony of the lather to treated as conclusive; the justicom thesoform ary on the poart of fiung or ameming the country, but the contuty functatio
 sounty ${ }^{\prime}$. Bus not meroly is the councty shus inatove ist the

 whech have takent place in ita cours. A wrat of talse jud mires
 sherfff an dineterd to 'reventl' the grovereatinger thas have tatios place in the cortunty, that bi the cause thime proweratiogs to to prested or recapreulatied in the county exmers, aud then :at ent four kenghts to bear the 'secost. writsent of nuwnlew it Weatemanare. The knughes come there, shey bear seaned a rather the evuntr bears recurtl thrugh their manthe for at:


[^368]and offers battle: the county maintaius the truth of its record and ofters to prove it by the budy of a free mass of the county, who-so we fear-is no better than a hired champion'. The county must pay for its false judgments?

The constitution of the borly which thus represented, and constitu-
indeed was, the county has been the theine of sharp coutro- tomunty the versies ${ }^{3}$; but it has usually been discussed in its relation to emert the history of parliament. Two opinions have prevaileal; some would make the county court an assembly of all the frueholders of the shire, others would make it an assembly of the tenants in chief. Bnth of these theories have the merit of being simple, but the demmrit, of being ton simple to meet the 6asj facts dieclosed by documents of the thirteenth century. Of the comuty court as it was at that time we will first speak, and, this dune, we may be the better able to understand the spasse evidence that comes to us from an earler age.

And first we nutst notice that of any right of attending the Suit of county court we read uu word. (ff the duty of attending it we nart mot an read much. and obviously this duty wns irksome. Men seek bardeufor charters which shall absolve them from it. In the twelfth eentury immunties of this kind were freguently granted to religious bouses and occasionally to laymen, and, at lenst in some cases, not merely the grantees thenselves but all their tenants were delivered from the burden of doing suit to the communal courts ${ }^{\circ}$. Precise calculations about such a matter are impossible; it must suffice therefore to say that before the beginning of Edward I.s reign large tracts of Eugland enjuyed a chartered liberty from thiv burlen. To chartered we must add prescriptive liberties: to immunities that were legally valid we must add others that were actually enjoyem. Prelates and barous 'subtracted the suit'-such was the phrase- lue from themselves and their tenants whenever they naw a chance

[^369]of donge thes with impunity, and $n$ long coustinued nubtraction would npen into a lawful franchise.

Seit of comet in laboitumatin

Nor is this causo for surprime. Lat un try to prictur. bu unnwelven the position of mome protty frecholiser whome Lnowe lie on the north const of Devon. Ones a musth be mation atcond the county court ; unce a moush, that we he unimi soub th Excter, and we can not always allow him a hurse. Evi-s if the court geta through its butaness is one day. he wall be, away from home for a week at leart nad hio journeyinges and sojournings will be at has own eosh. When he returns he wall have to remember that the hundred const mueta unne in three weeks, the manorial court once in threve weeks, and that the owes suit to both of theon. Is it serdible that all fredediders dischnrge thass Juties?
Soewno of In Herry IIL.'s retges tho county court is mstanly botders the cuatr. once a munth. The thind edition of Magtin Carta. that of 1217. nays that it is not to be holiten uftomer, but edide that to counties in which it tuns nut sut so frepuently the oble rule is to prevail!. The laincolnahure cours met enery forty denes. but manthly swasions meeon whave bown ussal elow wesm, in 1219 the county of Surrey wiss ausercell fur holdogg ature fint yucht manums. As w the humited cuurt, an indinamee of 1 23s dertared that it wan 11 meet but obere in thme wowle ${ }^{\circ}$. We thus learn that before $121 \%$ the county coures had momen simen beed holelon of inkervala in loese than a entunth, while the ordmace of $183 t$ exprosely bells wo that is Heury 11 . dey the humdral courts and haronial enurta had ant anee a fortenighs It in difficule to make these sidinge fis into a converemt asiey with unr earlows evidence. A lan of Eiwand the Eldor had paisl is gesueral tenum that every mern is to have a troms in
 tu ineet onese a month", elewthere he adda that the buratintes s shall be hefla thriee a yoar, the sharemonis intere: Thie lay rule in repeateal by ('turt with the qualticatents thas the minea nare to be held wfener of weed bee . Henry l. ontains sta: the





[^370]counties and hundreds are to sit ns they did in the Contessort's day and not otherwise; if more frequent sensions are required for any royal business they will be summoned! An expesition of this onlinance, which seems to be the work of a contenporary, declares it to mean that the shiremoot and burghanout 4. 525] are to be holden twice, the hundredmoot twelve times a year. seven daya' notice being biven unless royal business demands a departure from this rule. To these assemblies are to cone all the lovds of lands. Twiee a year, however, a apecialiy funt hundred court (the sherifi's turn of later days) is to be holden, at which all the free meu (liberi) are to be present, whether they be householders or dependants, in order that the tithings may be examined and found full? To this expusition we must return; for the moment we have only to notice that the comity court is to all seeming held but twice in the year. How to reconcile this with the state of things existing a century later and presupposed by the Charter of 1217 is a diffientic question. Has the burden of suit been multiplied six fold?

Now that a court with much judicial busmess will sit but twice a year we can hardly believe. Medieval procedure requinell that a suit should come before the court on many occasiuns befure a judgment coutd be given. The parties must appear in person, not by attorney, roads are bad; simple justice requirea that a defendant should have ample opportunity of appearing befione he is treated as contumacious². Aecording to the haw of the thisteenth contury no man could be untlawed until he was quanto exxectus, that is until his appearance had been demanded in tive ancesssive comuty courta. If we suppose that the court sat but once in six months, then the process of ontawry, which we may well suppuse to be very ancient, coutd not be accomplisherl in leas than two yeass and a halfs. We

[^371](an) hardly a wial one of two suppoations and perhap both thonatid be emmbinad, namaly, that in the days before the liote- of of quemt the shire-moxit had done little of the ominamy judtezal work, this bengg usually desposead of by the bundiod cuture. and secondly that between the solema half-yearly meetina af the county court, nt wheh all the suitons wern mpluinal to be present, there intervened leen wolems mestrugn aftomdent only by a smaller group of suitors before whom the formai and prelimmary stapes in litigation, the "unterliectury promesunte sun we mhould call them, could be maken. Then hatem thoury se supported by sumarones entries upma the Humirol K.lle Junt as there are many men who owre suite the the twis haityearly meetuge of the hamirnl court which are knowes an the
 at least in certain shiress the suburn of the combly guart fall

 tWo anesetuggs which ane destinguashod at 'the great conuntion or 'the general countsens.' The nustors of the tanamal courte fall into two smmalar clasees; some mumt appmar evory thow noeks, uthers twice a year?

But whichever of those two classea we examun we can now way that it is conmestuterd either by all th. Inveholdems of the shore of menely by the tenants in ched. A umone omaples ifles mane be intsinducerl, but one whech will not be unfacitiat tos us after what wre have seen of srutage. Suis in the corsuty nond hundrev! is a burden meumbent on larrd. It has fawed

 vucecwive warsutom, and esah roucher mush beve tovolood at inat on Edjournmens.
${ }^{1}$ the e.g. the mewnent of Uzfurfahise. H. If it. RTLS Nit of mand of the
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 The lisotory of the Fronlish courto oupplise asolupina
root in particular aeres, Feoffments and privnte bargnins cats not shift that burden from the land, nor will they increase p. 1387) the number of suits that are due; but, as between the various persons interested in that land, they can and will determine who is to do the suit. We will suppose that $A$ holds a tract of land for which he owes a suic to the cutnty; he enfeeflis $B$, $($ : nud $D$ with parcels of that land. One suit and no more is due. Probntly as regards the king and his sheriff all fuur persons are liable for that suit; all or any of them can be attacked if the suit be not dove; but, as between themselves, the terms of the feoffments decide which of them ought to do it.

We may be pardoned for spending some little time over this sait is a doctrine, for it illustrates the complicated texture of medieval barleo. society and the large liberty that men eujuyed of regulating by private bargains what we might deen matters of public law.

And in the first place we notiee that suit to the communal courts is often spoken of as the whole or part of the service by which a man holds his lant; it is mentioned in the sanse breath with suit to the lorl's court, rent and soutage ${ }^{1}$. A man may hold hiw land by the serviee of findang one duomsman for the hundred court, or may hold it for 98 . $2 \frac{1}{2} d$. and half a dormsman. Then again we find such casen as the following. In the vill of Bottisham the Earl of Gloncester has some forty freehold tenants; two of them do suit to the hundred and county courta for the earl and the whole townships. The Abbot of Ramsey has a manor at Burwell: the jurors do not kluw that he dies any service for it except two suits to every county conrt ; but these two are actually done by two tenants of has; J. A. holds a hide aud doen one suit, B. B. holds ninety acres and does the other. Any number of simitar instances roight

[^372]be found. As regarik suit to the humberd court, we have is af yet more explicit tidinge. The opinion of the jeroun from whowe verdicty the Husulred Bulla were compuled wan tirt tinety this, that suit was a burelen on particular senestrmenta a bunten ant wo be inereamed by any mublis saino of thome temementa. They moplan that the Earl of Surrey who holelo the hundred of tiallow bas tot obwerved thas rule. Them was for instabere, a tenement in south Creake containusg 100 acno. it owed a single suit: it has been divided intw to tenemeate and tw suits ane exacterd ${ }^{1}$. Ard wo, ngatu, if the tetecment becomes partible among coherinanen, the number of sinta, at
 shuuld lie on the share of the eldeat nimter".

Onen more, the king meas the law in nustion againes meneone whu has 'subtracted his sult.' Nuw were this duty incumbent on all frecholders, nothing would be mampler than the king's came: he would meroly have us may ' Yus aso a freehoder of the county and you ane not dung arat. Bus tho kange advocaten do nut adopt this easy course; thyy mate is
 or his ancestors have, beens meised of a suit done by the dee feudant or his predsecesoun in tule. King Eilward I. demanto a sunt wo the humdred court frum the Eart of Norfoult and relion ns, the meixin of King Kenry IIL. The Enof coumes and deniea the king's right and the mixin of King Henry: A jury gives the Farl a verdict and he gues 'furt'. If the mers fact that the Eiurl wre a frovholder would hare tomilo bum liable to do suit, the king's cournad modly mismanaged eloens case. This is but othe exntuple froms artomg theny
The vill © © - ャル on uy tans

Now all this seems incomastent with the nombea that frevhulder as meh oncos suis. Somehow or another the nours or the kugg-for it in th the kugis natase that the duty must to
 of which to inmusimest in a certain tmet of lami Of the sus and aasure of these suitawing tmetan cur conberote only promato Us tor wny that there in and ubuformaty, bus that oftest a oh in
 that evon 'the great coumtion' or 'genemal countres' wert ont
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very large assemblies, while the court which met once a month was, at least in some shires, much smaller. Possibly differant opinions as to the nature of the duty prevailed in different counties. In Yorkshire, for example, where suite exigible from all freeholders would have been an intolerable burden, the usual attendants at the county court seem to be the stewards of the tenants in chief ${ }^{1}$. But in general the aseambly was formed out of miscellaneous elements; there were tenants by military service and socage tenants, tenants in chief of the ling and tenants of mesne lords, great men and small men. Many of them were knights, the predecessors of the country gentiemen who for centuries to come will do justice and manage the county business because they like the work; but there were also yeomen, holders of but a virgate or so apiece, who went there because they were bound to go by their tenure; they pay little or no rent because they discharge a duty which otherwise would fall upon their lords.

At the same time we must not credit the men of the rooes. thirteenth century with a thoroughly consistent dootrine as stheorien of to the 'real' character of the duty'. There is a confliot of mith.
. 580 Interests and therefore a clash of theoriea. In 1258, when the Barons' War was at hand, there was an outcry about suit of court; new-fangled suits are exacted as well to counties and hundreds as to franchise courts'. The provision made in answer to this outcry spoke only of suits due to the courts of the lords and does not seem to touch the county courts or such of the hundred courts as were not in private hands ${ }^{4}$. Among other points it decides that, when a tenement which owes a suit descends to coheirs or is divided by feoffment, no more than one suit is due. This may be the decision of a question

[^373]
## 

that had beoll opmea, and we find that the corsoene gae had beret dethatabile. If a divigion of the tenement doen not inerrese the number of suita, thr unsou of several senernenta, we thishe argute, ought not to deerease that number. But we fitad is utherwige dexided, 'for it is unt commonat to law that when two inhoritancess descend to one hear, of when one parman
 for theme revemal inhentances or tenetmerite to one and the same mourt!' 'Keality' and 'prounality; if we may en sjeak. ate: conteraling for the mastary, aud she seatale which esterestes after the daya of Lawed aud Evewham membe Easourable to the

 it is cheetmed a perswnal duty. It is nut impueable that ariy in the furterebth ceatury the netompt is eonompel molumenst
 In the other lowal courts it whs uxtual tos neevite and eamel the
 who did nee appear. Bitt this, wee are keld. wiss nus dothe in the connty cerorts, whened we may infer that thome whin dint ri..t
 is the later hamenry of parlimenenary mevtome to matst us beheve that litele erouble wias taken to enforce the appexamen
 inken to axclude the premence of othem:

Therentas tin 16 falleat surns.
 the fullier ahapo elust it temok sinem in every aix mounthe the exinnty conart tany bave taken a yet ampler shape upon ETvas wechamos, in partucular when it was aumumnard to nseat the justiew in "yres, an event which, myyording (o) the ciptrion of
 ifs seven years and which an a conather if fart dids nut con it much cflemer. That the cosmmen umoumey 'form shores and




[^374]had to present themselves. But the writs which summon these meetings hardly prove this' ; we find some traces of persons bound by tenure to discharge the suit due from vills and manors even when that suit is to be done before the justices in eyre ${ }^{4}$, and the lists of persons who either sent excuses for not coming or were amerced for being absent without excuse do not point to assemblages so large ns those which must have come whether had every freeholder of the shire been bound to attend them.
10. 6 and From a time remoter than the thirteenth century we have lintle evidence; indeed the passuge in the Leges Henrici to which reference has already been made' seems to tell us all that we enn learn. It gives us a list of the persens who are to atuend the shremont-episcopn. comites, vicedomini, vicurii, centenumi, ahtermanni, praefecti, praepositi, burones, varassores. tungreari, et ceteri terrarum domini. Of some of the titles here mentioned an explanation is to be sougbt rather in France than in Engiand; we may doubt whether to the writer's mind they conveyed any precise meaning, whether he meant much mure than that all persons of distinction, all the great, ought to eames. But who are the termanati domani? That they are not

[^375]
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merely the tenants in chnof may furly be argued frum thi. fact that ravasores as well as barons are atrong thotr, shourh we can not be certain that either of a baron or of a vavanor any exact definition could have been gavent. Whether the worms
 the humbler frowhulders (for exaruplo, the considerabilr ithate of perswas who appear in IDouresday theok as liberi homanes), may

 suit w the county court and merved an dowmetwens. Alugesthans the wurds of uur text are vague; they point to tur one clearly estahlishexd rule, but rather to a strugglo between vanuas princuptes.
A atruagke Butacola varsous prituelplest

Une praciple anight bo fuund in peromal ratak: the mol of barnis, knight, vavawor, thegn. Another in the chamerers of the various tenureas military and non-mahtang, erjmaty and serage. A third in the graden of tenure, wenaney wh chel
 a fourth was already being found in what we take Inave be, cail mere 'realism" and privale bargainuge suit in berouamg a debt owed by manors and acrea, and thow who woprowint the burdencd land may adjust the bunden an sewrna ho theth twat If a lord attends, we aro told, he thereby dischargen all the tand
 centmarice are not mot with in Norman diplomes if a laket dale tian itm eletenth ocatury

 Fouplatal the word is rare. Wie end extmewlas geone of it in cor sirst isibue
 militica som nat oecus.
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## OH. III. § 1.]

that he holds in demesne'. Suppose him to make a feoffinent of part of this land; why should a second suit become due? The court is entitled only to such suits as it has been seised of in the past.

The privilege of doing his suit by attorney to the courts of soit by the county, the trithing, the hundred and to the seignorial courts was concederd to every free man by the Statute of
[in] Merton in $1236^{\circ}$. This general concession we may trat as new, though for a long time past the greater men were privileged wo seud their stewands or a deputation of villagers from their villages", and sometimes the tenant who was bunnd by hia temure to discharge the suit due from the land was spoken of as the enfeoffed attomey or attorned feuffee of his lord: As to the deputation of villagers, we read nothing of this in docurnents later than the Leges Henrici, though, as will be seen hereafter, the reeve and four men of the township have to attend the sheriff's turn and the coroner's inquests, and they must go to the county court if they have a crime to present. Nor do the Leges Henrici contemplate their appearance as normal:- if neither the lord nor his steward can be prosent, then the reeve, priest and four men may appear and acquit the vill of its suit. Still this draws our attention th yet auother principle that has been at work: the county court represents not merely all the lands, but also all the vills of the shire, and it is quite in conformity with this that in the thirteenth ceutury the suit-owing unit of land should frequently be a vills.

Perhaps it is this beterogeneous character of the county and Itepreson. hundred courts which makes it possible for men to regard them eharractar as thoroughly repressentative nasemblien and to speak of them court as being the conutien and hundreds. They do not represent une well-lefined class or condition of men, and they do represent all the lands of the shire, frauchises excepten. Eivery




 wasly gears uf cost. xiv. wayn shas when the coanty su fited for fule fudgment.

 bus ' Beis cussumars et mon tiberi homanee' pay the wusder fone
laudholder who holds his land frevely may bo deemeat to be
 his land, it may be by him lrien, or it may be by hia te mant At any rate the wholes ahire, franchises exreptenl, seems reapnesabiem for the misuloingy and defnulte of tis court. even for thims. which take place in the thinly setionded meetings thas an holden month by month.
The antian
The suitors werre the dexusises of the coure The evodence i that thry bere thin Finglisht title is indeed slaght. but wasse such ternil we muth use'. (hecasiunally in Latin documeuta the: arm appikith of as tudices, more commonly as incienstores': mationomi they are vut ; iudicutores is a word wheh arves to divatustrinh
 whatever anay have lweess theor Einghath tute, thesr function is put befure us as that of 'tuahing the judginenta. If lior a moment we adupt Gertann tertas, we call any that they are die Cirteslimuler, while the shoritif or tas the cam min! $b_{\text {El }}$ the Lualiff of the hundrud, or the steward of the frnsehice to der Ruchter. He if. we may any. the presidiog nogrotrate- the nummone the court, he 'holds the cours,' he 'holots the piece. he regulates the whole provedure, he iswoes the mandates, bent be deves net make the juidgactita: when the ctase for of giveanemt has cutue he demanda it from the austom Ibirntoge the
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this process is so rapid that we have now-a-days some difficulty in describing the ancient courts without using foreign or archaie terras. Still the communal courts preserve their ancient form. Under Edward I. Hengham says that if a false judgonent is given in the county court, the sheriff ought not to be punished;
500 . The county, that is, the commune of the county' is to be punished; therefore, he adds, let the suitors beware. Perhaps in his day some explanation of this state of things was thought necessary, at any rate he gives one:-sheritis might err from partiality or from iguorance; besides sheriffs are sometimes men of little substance and would be unable to pay an anercement if convicted of a false judgment. Therefore, says he, it is ordaised that the judgment be given by the whole county'.

That even in the thirteenth century the participation of the $A$ wemion suitors in the judgments was no mere formality we may learn from recoris which give us valuable glimpses of the county courts and their proedure. In 1226 there was a quarrel between the sheriff of Lincolnshire and the suitors. The version of the story favourable to the sheriff is this:-One riay he held pleas in the county court from early morn to vespertide and then, since many plaas remained unheard for lack of daylight, he told the "stewands and kuights and others of the county" that they must come again next morning, hear the plaints and make the judgenents. On the following morning the sheriff touk his seat; the knights and stewards remained outside the house; he bade them come in, hear the plaints and make the judgments. They refused, and even those who har entered the house left it suying that the county court should ouly be holden for one day at a time. Therefore the sheriti, since he alone could uot uake the judgmeuts, adjourned the plaintiffs and detendants to the wapentake courts; seven score cases were left unheard. Then he held a court for the ten wapentakes of Ancaster, to which came many, both knights aud others; among them Theobald Hinteyn and Hugh of Humby ; and, the pleadings having been heard. the sheraf told the keughts to make the juigmenta. Then Theobald anose and aaid that they ought nut to muke the judgments there nor elsewhere uutuide the county court, for he had lately beeu in the kiug's court talking with the Archbishop and the Earl of Chester and other raaguates and be was certain that before three weeks were out

[^376]they would have the king's writ freeing them from theoe - 8 . actons. Thereupen the sherifl nonwerewt that for all this he ahould net atay him hand from doing jnaties to the prew uasel be received antuse comanasd fo the contsary, and wise tane be bade the kaights und wherns make the judymenta. Theg theo asked leave to talk the suather over by thertactros atad netas
 Ko thern, and protested that the sherffif was ufriugug Magim Ciots and the frourchomen of the magriatem, and wh: ant the mo th make aro juelgenerise. Thern they enterest the housw. and Theorbald as theor «poskesoman saad that they wemt the bonted sut
 his wartant for holdang poras is the waproneake. The -he git
 klug hod warrant efosugh, and thon dequated. Ste becaltion
 Mareliall, and said that Hugh was wroig in demanotioge thar sherift's warrant and that it was racher fur Hugh wo show why the sheriff should uet hold pleme. And then Theman downata a down (ef unum indicuum fecit idem Thememal. Ihat a your dororn.' was the secornful maswers 'we shall hare yuar lied bere proselutly and will cell hum how yuu behave youra-if in this county '.
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[^377]the manorial courts bas come down to as. In unost of the hypothetical cases all is supposed to gu sunvothly; the plautuff pleads, the defendant pleads, and then the str-ward as a matter of cousse gives the julguneut of the court, to the effect thati there must be an inquest or that the defendant is to bring compurgators to prove his case. We may infeed read throngh alruost the whole tract without diseoverng that the steward has assessors. But in one case the defeudant does not reny the plaineff"s plaint with adeupuate particularity. Thereupon the steward bids che parties retive and addresses the dommsmen :-- Fair surs, ye who are of this court, huw seemeth it to you that the defendant hath deleuded this?" A spukesman answers that the defence was insutficient. The parties are then recalled and the steward informs them of the jurginent of the court ${ }^{2}$. Probably is a manorial court the steward would uften have his own way; but a sheriff might find that some of the suitora of the enunty knew more law than he did, and our stury froul Liacolnshire will show that they anight have opiniuns of therr own sbout the meaning of Magoa Carta. To give one mone example:-In Edward I's day the palatinate of Chester had fallen into the king's hand; the justiciar of Chester was the king's utticur. On one vecasion he was presiding in the palatine court and Ralph Hengham, one of the royal justices of England, had been sent thither to act as his asseasor. Ao aswize of last premeutation came before them; certain usual words were missing from the writ. Thereupon aruse one John of Whetenhall, who was sitting among the domamen of the comnty, and usserted that the Earl of Chester had deheered tw them a register of eriginal writs and that the writ in the preseut case conformed to that register. The doosnsmen then demanded an adjournment until the morrow, and then one of them prosuoneed the jorgment. Hengham deelared that the judgnent was agaust law and departed. Thus, even in the presence of a royal justice, the doomsmen of Chester decided questions of law'. On other oecnaions we find these dommomen and aniters' asserting that before a juilgment of their court is evoked to the kigg's court, all the barons and [v. usil their stowards and all the domasmen of the connty must ber

[^378]summoned to decide whether they will stand by the judgroent or unseisul it'.
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We learn from one panange in the lome Henrici that if the judges dinagreed the opimon of the majority prevaliol'; in another puseage we are wold chast the uptaiun which to to proval is chant of the better men and that which te mest noweptabile tus the justice. The latter text, though not unambigurnes, avoma t) mean that, if the donmmenen ditfer about tbe deata, the abi nff or wher presideat of the court may alopt the ruturg that be thinks beat, but should have regard to the rank atod ropuse of
 areesmarily be beard by the whole body of suitars. In the tiras place, sume might be rejected from the judkmert-ant fix divens reaminn, in particular an not being the 'jemers' of the parties; for it is is this coutext that we tinst hear the phome that became famous at a later time, suducism gusiom courum. Eivery unte in wo be judged by his peeron and by tuosn of the same
 judgmenten by atrangers : the great man is wot to persoh hy tioe
 arintaceratie prinelphen was carmial we can uos mey, un all apo

 intluctuces, while thome influencer were not powerful etbough ter subatitute in ita stemal a clamutication hawed an the sanness kinda or the various grades of ceuure. The emall are tmis 6 jublgu the great:-uo more nccurate princtple tan te seatoul
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might agree in choosing the same tmen, or the one party would choose half of the whole number, the other party the other half!

We may well suppose that the ordinary business of the ? court was transacted by a sanall group of active men. Of such a group we hear something, and the members of it seen to bear the strange name busones or buzones. Bracton tells us that, when the king's justices in eyre come into the county and have proclaimed the ubject of their mission, they shall go apart, taking with them sume four or six or more of the great folk of the county, who are called the busones of the county and whose opinions carry weight with the rest, and shall bave a colloquy with them:. To muggest that in the place of this curious word we shuuld real burones is casy; but the same wurd vecurs elsewhere. In John's relgn the connty of Gluucester was amerced for a false judgment; the roll which records thas adds, And let the knights of the county who are wont to take part in false judgments and are busones iudicinrum, be arrented"' Neither passage would suggest that this title was official, or mure than a cant name for the autive doumsmen of the shire-moot; but the context into which Bractun introduces it may serve to show how the way was paved for the justices of the peace of a later time.

To what we have said above conceruing the competence of Rosinesu this court little can here be alded. Seemingly ita jurtstiction rume. in actrons for land had become of sumall importance in the course of the thirteenth contury. It formed a stepping-stune betwen the feudal court and the royal court, and he who brought his case thus far meaut to carry it further. As regards personal actions, in Edward I.'s day its competence was restricted within a limit of torty shillings: Wheu, how and why 6an : thas linit was inposed is a difficult questiun. Pussibly we many trace it to an exposition which the kung's justices had giveu of the Statute of Ciloucester (1278), though this statute

[^379]on the face of it seems to finvinur the lecal tribumals. for is meroly anys that none ahall have a writ of trespans in tho king's rourt unless he will atfirm that the gowals caken awa! were worth forty whillings at the lexat'. But the suts of forty shillingex is mentioned at a mouch earlier tume. In the Irioh Registar of Writes of Johtis diny a writ directing the ahemfí te hold a plea of tebt (in techmeal linginage a durteves firs detio') if given with the remark that if the dobt be leas than furt whillinge thes wht can lwe nhtaincal without gift. that is withernt payment to the king. while if the debt is speater the plamest
 reenverw. It a troatise of momewhit later dute ${ }^{\circ}$ we noul the satne rule, but the lumiting surs has beeens mased footh forty shallings to tharty marks. In semeral a planetfi whes wetto seo the conilty caurt to remover s defit fid oat, watat any wit as all, though the moval miserve might be useful, sinove if moustl urge a inlatory and not umpertial sheriti to des bio duss.
 be paid for writs and the rule Inul down by the Sitatate of
 lecal courts which in the and wha thesr rutn. Howerer. io Edwant l.'b day ruirs was a loug way off; forty shullotgan wan ee yet a good round suma.
Outhancy One act of Juriedsetion, one suprerne and whemon act, ewald be prefformend only in the county isourts atul in the foll thent if Lomblon, the act of outlawry. Even the kingia coure dod now perterno it. The kugg's justices could urder that a enan oh-usid be 'exacted.' that in, that prowlathathes atould tw- thath thestiong him rome in to the king's peace, and could further onder thas in conce of his unt appearing the whould be outlawil, Fat the conemony of exartion and undawry enold take place neth in s shire-monot or follk-mone. And so it to event ith vur uwa dey? or rather su it would be hal wout cutawry beromse a morre . nathe ${ }^{\text {. }}$
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from government, and, ac has been suffieieutly shown elsewhere ${ }^{1}$, the assembly of the shire sometinues has fiscal, unlitary and administrative business before it, It can even treat with the king about the graut of a tax, and ultimately, as all know, it sends chosen knights to represent it in the parhaments. Still we should have but little warrant for calling it a governmental assembly. It can declare the custom of the county, but we do not often hear of its issuing orlinauces or by-laws, thongh. with the sanction of the justices in eyre, the county of Nurthumberland, all the free men thereof unanimously conentiug, institutes a close time for the precions salmon? Nor inust we endow this assembly with any inherent power of imposing taxes, though the liability of the county for the reppair of certain bridges appears at an early time and may oecasioually have necessitated a vote and resolution. Thus in John's reign the Abbut of Lilleshall says that the sheriff and other uaguates provided that he should build a bridge at Atehan and in return might take certain tollss. Still in Eiward II.'s reign the communithes of Shropshire and Cheshire go to the kang fur leave to levy a pavage for the improvemeut of a furd ${ }^{4}$, and, as we shall see below, even the boroughs did tut at this time aspire to mueh liberty of self-taxation.

Hengham speaks as though the county court was somertines pace of held in the open air and in out-of-the-way places'. Usually it ${ }^{\text {seasion. }}$ was held in the county town; but in Edward 11.'s day the sheriff of Sussex had been holding it at divers places, sadd wo fix it at Chichester required a ruyal urdinance: In Henry 1I.'s reign the county court of Derbyshire was held at Nuttingham until the knug estabished it at Derby on the petition of the
Imx. Durbyshire fulk'. Solue moutio may still have nssemblud in the open air: the Lincolushire court sat in dons"; Eirl Fedmund built a great hall at Lostwithtel for the county court of Cornwall': but we still hear of 'a green place' in wheh the court of Essex was holden ${ }^{10}$. Apparectly in old wowes the
' Stubbs, Const. Hıat. ii. 20w-21ti.
${ }^{3}$ Northumberland Aesize Rolls, p. $80 \%$.

- Select Flear of the C'rows, pl. 176. - The Parl. i. 397.
 in silvin at campeatribus form villis et alibl.
- Ihot. IMarl. 1. 879 ; nee almo Stat. 19 IJen. VIL. e. 84.

* Kot. f'arl. i. $290 . \quad$ \% H. H. i. $1+2$.
 syluare, what was dous is court was duthe whithen the fiecas benches:'


## §2. The Hundred.

The
butuationd a hatricis.
 intu wards, the lemu 'wapertake' appraring in Kork-hipr Lineulushire, Derbyahure. Nuttughumahise. the term 'want' in the northernaliont connties is is well known that the ofs. of the bumdred vanes very greath, but that it sarie- acosariting io. a certain getueral rule. Thus Kent and susere at the trane when Dornowlay Book was comulerl, ach contunowl mon bhan sixty huadreds. as they do at present ; and it the comution
 are almust as numeroun, while the irrogulant! of anze, atsl the seatered confuwion of the cotupment parts of thome onow it hundreds must have been the result of uasprofion of of impro.videut granks.....On thee contrary; Nurtiolk and Sinfith rane Fisul-Asglins counting) maintans a negulazity of dismatob oill


 exteut than any of the Weasex countie) shere are pon niwh than six humetreds-in ('heathre, seven :-and upouthe wh lie so irregular is this dietrbution of terntary, that white and it the sontherni hundreds do wut "xeeend iwo spuare tuhi. ......the hundrente if Lancawhise abonge at three hishadnal square moles tu ares?". If wor colusider tont stonge hut a to ex sigmiticant fact, namely. the number of ville in the hutalfol of are brought io simalar nesults. A Kinitiah humdroud will at. L
 in whels vill and hurdred ane rutermmena' A 'J. Lactoal par:





 Ibld . 2uts
of a hundred is commoner than a 'detached part' of a county; some hundreds have frum a remote time been extremely discrete.

The hundred had a conrt. According to the Leges Henrici The it was held twelve timus a year ${ }^{\text {' }}$; but in 1234 , au ordinance inuiren states that in Henry II's time it was held at fortnightly intervals and declares that for the future it is to sit but unce in every three wecks:. It seems to have been supplied with suitors in the same way that the county court was supppied:the duty of suit had taken root in the soil. In some cases the mumber of stritors was small. We read that in the wapentake of Bingham in Nottinghamshire there were but twelve persons who owed suit; each of them had been enfeoffed to do the suit due from a barony; the baronies of Tutbury. Peverel, Lovetot, Paynel, Dover, Richonond, Gaunt and Byron were represented each by a suitor, the baronies of Basset and Deyneourt hy two suitons apieces. On the other hand so late ns the reggu of Charles I. the court of the hundred of Berkeley in Giloucestershire had four hundred suitors, of whom 'seldom or bever less tham tweuty and commonly many more attended ? $^{\text {a }}$ ' It was a court for civil, that is non-crumiual, causes; but, unlike the county court, it did not hold plen of lands ; thus the ections which came before it were chiefly netions of debt and treapans. It does not seem to have been in any accurate sense infermor to the county court: that is to say, no appeal or complaint for default of justice could be taken from the one to the other.

Thusc hundreds which had not fallen into other hands were Humbirelb 'in the king's hands.' The sheriff seems usually to have let "there themen atarin to bailifis; the bualiff presuded in the court and tumits. after paymg his reut made what galu he could from tees and amereements. Complainta are frequent that the sherifis have ranked the uld reuts: the bailitio whe have to pay advanced rents indemify themselves by new exactions. In Susmex each hundred seems $w$ liave had a beadle, thar is a summoner, who wam called an alderman. We are culd iu Disdwand I's day that in titue past these ofticerss had been elected by those who purd (a6.) the hundrad-rcot ; but now, at least in une case, they buy their

[^380]oftices and make a protic by exturtan'. We heme furthes that such of the Connente of the baruny of l.'Aigle an awerl nust bo the humirod cuurt pand the mbunff E!!. 17x. Bod. a year tan under thas their sult w the county conrt imght be flone for thell by the alibromets of the huudreds, and thin bew hint as us the wetual comprowition of a shire-inout is weleorne ${ }^{3}$.

Hutultogia (t) 1.57) ose hapile.

Biti many of the burulreda haul breel grassed las priwnseo permonk. Frurt 12.55 we have an acoouns of the thirty-taze hundreelm of Wilenhine; sixteen atas n half ween in the kongs hased, twenty-two and a half were tu the hameds of otherm What is more, in thirteen cases the lond of the humetrod clamsed to exclude the sherriff from holding a turn; he hamself had the srew of fraukpledge throughout the husdind move where thas was in the hands of the lords of manoms. In 1:ti20 the tsoma of llevon said that almoest all the humdredn of theor whare belouged to the magrutest. In this semas a 'hundrent in an 'ineurgureal thing'; the lored of a hututrad eseed wot be land or teranta of a mingle sace of land within the proenwet

Durfee of ther bivnilnes

The bumired, like the county, wan euncensed to ter fully represterted by ita court. If the court gave a falwe judigiterent. the hundrad had in pay for it. Aud the hubdrul, thee the connes, had commumal dutiex and could be nita-d for negglort of theres. The: cheof example is the famous munder sisar if a persoun was slate and the Nlayer wan grot prentured then the hutriad was fineed, unleas the kotistulk of the deved mans would come and 'present has Elughshry. 'that in tor nay. pmove hum tor
 uade the huadrod liable for robbertem comamitead withith t:
 haud, we do nut in thig agee hear of she hourtrol as lus wase any communal property, though a pacture that was commons to a whole humdred may still have extated".

Thir -hamts. 185:

Twice a year the ahomil mation a promgron ur 'sarfi' through the hundrede, or mether thruggh theme whels are eust its the
 Leges Heursce tell us buw twiew a year a specally full handinas crourt is to be hold for the purpmee of exilige that the watiater

[^381]are full and that all men are in fraukpledge'. Heary II. by the Assize of Clarendon orderen the sheritfs to inquire of rubbers, murderers and thieves by the oath of twelve men of each hundred and of four men of each vill, and at the same time he directed that the sheriffs shmuld hold the view of frankpledge as well within the franchises of the magnates as withouk 'These purpuses are answered by the sheritf"s 'turu' (the word necurs in the charter of $1217^{1}$ )-the object of the turn is 'quod pax nostra teneatur et quod tethinga integra sit.' The procedure of the turn at the end of the thirteenth century was this:- Erch vill in the hundred was represented by ita reeve and four men, or asch tithing was represented by its tithing-inen, or perhaps in some places buth systems of representation prevailed concurrently:- the representatives would for the more part be villuni. Then besides thens a jury of frecholders was wanter It is probable that in strict theory every freehokder shonld have been present, but twelve there had w be. Then the sheriff set befure the representatives of the vills or tithings a set of inquiries known as ' the articles of the view.' The list seems to have varied from place to place and time to time. Its ubject was threefold, (1) to see that the system of frankpledge (of which we shall speak below) was in proper working order. (2) to obtain aceusations against those suspected of grave crimes, in order that the sherill might capture them and keep them imprisoned or on bail until the kiug's justices should come to hold nu eyre or deliver the gaol (fur by this time the sheriff had lost the power of holding pleas of the crown), and (3) to obtan accu-[-6:7] sations against those suspected of minor uffences in order that they might be amerced by the sheriff. With this last object in sight the articles specitied many petty msdeeds: hue and cry wrongfully raised, watercourses imperled, roads diverted, bmwls and affirays, breaches of the assize of bread and beer, and so forth. The representatives of the villa or tithings in answer to these articles marle presentments which were laid before the ewelve frecholders, who had power to reject or supply omissions in them. Cpon the prespentments thus endorsed by the freehowders, the sheriff towk netion, issuiug unders for the arrest of those charged with felony and declanng those charged with pettier misdeeds to be in the king's mercy. He

[^382]seemen to have been the ouly juitge so thin courti', butt theo asuercoments were 'affermed'-that is to eay, the asmount in be pairl by each pernon who had falien inter the kingia asery whi fixeet-by two or mare of the sustors who were aw ont ko do the work juatly?

## §3. The Vill and The Tomenship.

Engintui numplyme mat into rilla.
viij end parmin.
 the whole of Euglamed is divided into sills: nearly trie for it is connmonly unsunaml that every nget if land muas lie whthen some vill: not quite true, for it may be that there are ofret so hughly crulowad with immumtien, An much imtarle the orditury rules of pmilice law aud tixal liow. thas the? ase nout
 there are sotne tmets, whwh are deemed to, brlonge pro tan. threx, or mons vills its enennuts. Evers a rity or beoniligh to a vill, or perhatyes in mome rastean a group of vills:
 in gememal the vill of the thirteenth ce-btury in the mivil par:-h of the nimelarenth. The parish in arigutilly a purely intr. sinstical district, and during the midedlo ages it is an amt
 time the secoular rourts mand ruther it when denferese arto about tothes und the like . In sumethern Eingland the poond normally conmedert with the vall; to the nutshert issastien :tr

[^383]parishes were large: often a parish consusted of a group of vills. In our motern law the parish has, at least in name, supplanted the vill or township: but this is due to causes which did not come into play until the Tudor time when the rate for the relief of the pror was imposed. The law then began to enfurce a duty which had theretofore been enforced by religion and naturally it adopted for this purpose the geography of the church. Then in course of time nther rates were imposed, and the powr's rate was taken as their moniel. Thus the parish became the important distret for must of the purposes of local goverument. But this victory of the parish over the township was hardly more than a change of uame. The towuships of northern Bugland insisted that, albeit they were not parishes, they ought to be treated as units in the poor law system, as parishes for the purposes of the poor law, and then by force of statutory interpretations the old vill got a new mame and appeared ns the 'eivil parish':

As the county or hundred may be discrete, wo almo the niserete vill may be discrete and apparently some of our vilts were cumposed of seattered fragments. In certain purts of Gloneestershire, for example, nutil scieutific frontiers were eatablished by a modern commission, a parisll consisted of a large number [isio] of stmall strips of land lying mtermingled with the lands of other parishes, in such a way as forcibly to suggest that at some remote time sume one agricultural community split up into several comumuties, each of which was given a share of land of every quality'. A detached portion of a parish lying teu miles away from the main body is by no means an unknown phetiomenow, while of certain parts of the north of Eugland we are totd that the tawnships are intermised so that there

[^384]in the most complete jumble which it is procible to corrmone.
The 'extra-parichual plase' finde tia explatiation in the homery of the cburch; in many cances that explanation newd gne bark tro further thass neme propal buil of meentht date; brit when, lyang
 known as Non Mania Laud, and then another small path bearing the sulne. sames wheh han but two inhnhitants and theon a No Man's Henth of nite weres', we ahall be atmeig! tempuat tas ledieve that in there were extra-parichial placis.
 plasen, adde and orude whien no townahip would saknowlow go no its own. Siry alat in our own day some lagge monns it the north of Eingland ner, of have lately beest. deetned wh he sertilury cutanun to miveral different kownakhipes.
Bambeta Bomides vills there were hambern; but the hatules armo alwaye to have lain within the boundarios of a vill. ared thmast the law might for morne priquomes take mote of the extasiotsa: still it seems to have beow but navely treaterl mos mone than a moree geougraphical esock. Un the erther hustul. the wof in cownship, was no mers part of the carthon anffare it was. commanity ${ }^{3}$.
 shllaze.
 apponsimately identical. But there in a s! fer when many



 [madiocks; but by far the larger puare of the comtory of the



 thirty amble actes will have perhapm wime fort! or filt! arym

[^385]scuttered about in all parts of the territory. A rude rotation of crop and fallow, the two-course or the three-course syatem, is observed, sand, so soon as a crop hes been garnered, the whole of the 'field' which has borne it is depastured by the cattle of the villagers. Ofter the meadows are nimilarly treated: that is to say, for the purpose of growing a hay-crop they are enjoyed in eeveralty, but sfter the hay-harvest they become pasture for the beasts of many 'commoners.' Then there are permanezt pastures which are never inclosed or enjoyed in severalty but lie open at all sessons. Villages of this kind were nurnerous in southern and eastern England. Others there were which did not widely depart from the same type though they already contained some large closes and some severed pastures. In the west there whs more ring-fenced property, and sometimea the vill looks like a group of small bamlets which is being kept together merely by legal and governmental bonds. The questions of remote history that are suggested by the maps of our villages we must not bere discuss or even raise; but in many, perhaps in most, cases the towaship or comraunity of the vill cars not but be compacter and in some sort more communal than is the community of a hundred or a county. Even if thare ia no corporate and no common property, there is at least a great deal of common enjoyment, and the economic affairs of every villager are closely intertwined with those of his neighbours'.
.550] Modern usage may treat the two words vill and township vm and as though they were synonymous; but in this respect medieval townehip. Latin was a more accurate language than our own; it distinguished between the villa and the villata, between the tract of land and the organized body of inhabitants. Doubtless the English word which answered to the Latin villa was tuin, ton, town, a word which in comparatively modern times we have allowed the larger towns to appropriate to themselves. We can not say that the distinction between villa and villata was always, still it was very generally, observed. If a crime takes place in the villa, the town of Trumpington, the villata, the township of Trumpington, may get into trouble. And so in what follows we shall use vill as an equivalent for villa, and township as an equivalent for villata, thus

[^386]datiligunaing the plat of ground from the commansty that inluabte it?

Inutire ud (a1w talatir.

For the township is a commanitus ${ }^{*}$, which, even of it heo not rightes cortatuly has dutios. We rsay renken up the aums importast of them. It ought wattend the cuurt held by the juwtiocen in ryg.". It ought to attend the shentif: tura. It ought (o) abtend the bundral and comsty courta whetseser it has any esinne to proswerts. It munt colle at the combaces call th make ingust when a deard manin buxly at fuamit is is botind us wa that all ita membern who ought is be is fronkpledge: are in frankpledge In sumpe parta of the rount? the townahip in itaclf a fromkjledge, a thbrige, a bargh, mowl in
 members who is neenerl of crine ${ }^{\circ}$. Apart fries this, is wat
 within ite boundaries during the dallane and the olnior was nut arrested, it was lenble then athervine:nt fat the
 the: justioses in their eyren ; it numt be detomgrantod from the liabolity of the humered for the: murders fiene and owno to flow frotn no kown ace of le-gislation but hi ber lawat on immemorial cuntom". Again. from of ohll it wha the duty at the uownship to rame the hum and cry and followe shem srail
 tu che justices that the sherifi required of thetn the unpmatior

 reniant

 commeions of ther Fill of Nittors
 of the thown for the county of lohuerstur, poosen



 show is mathe if complates.



 of the Crown. Pfe fol, 28\%,

## en. mi. § 3.] The Vill and The Toonship.

task of following the trail through the middle of the town'. Moneover, it was a common practice to eommit prisnors to the charge of the villath, and then, if the prisoners escaped, the villutu was anerced. So if a malefactor took sanctuary. the neighbouring townshipy had to watch the church and prevent his escape ${ }^{\text {? }}$.

Most of these liabilities can be traced back into the reign Early of Heury II. A few examples of amercements may be given exanith from among the many collected by Madox. The men of datice. Tixover are amerced for refusing to swear the king's assize, the township of Isle for not making suit after a murderer, the township of Rock for doing nothing when a man was slain in their vill, the township of Midwinter for receiving a man who was not in frankpledge, and the township of Newbold fur a concealment and for burying a dead man without the view of the sherifis serjeant.

During the thirteenth century the activity of the township 8ntutory
 provided that in every villa watch should be kept throughout the night by four men at the least. This was repeated in 1252 and at the same time new provision was made for enforcing the assize of aruss. The original assize of 1181 had not treated the villecta ns an organized eutity; it had required that individuals should have the armour snitable to their station. The ordinance of 1252 decreen that in every hwwship a constable or two constables should be appointed. and a chief constable in each hundred to convene the iurati ad arma. In 1253 this is supplemented by a provision that arms necessary for the pursuit of malefactors are to be provided at the const of the township and are to remana to the use of the township. The whole aystem of the assize of armes and of watch and ward was consolidated in 1285 by the statate of Wincherater; the constabulary and the militia took the: form that they were to keep during the rest of the mildile agess.

[^387]ConntribeHom of 4, wrebhip th evtural atus.

Again, we see the vill as a diatrict hound er cotbtribute to the fines and amercementen which ars impment upon the contat? aad the huudred, for instance, the murler tiues for whech the hundred is linble. In the Hundred Rullis we read numenne complaines abous vills and purts of ville which hase beats 'suburached 'from these rluties by lorde, who have or provend to have immuntion. The effiet of wuch subernctions was to incrente the burlen that foll on the nughbourmin willa Every extension of the 'fmachines' dnmagert ' the geldable, that to Wi may, the lasuls and vills which enjoynd tur pinolegre

Cujum esur turas trons wownabipe

The townahsp again is constautly brousht luffore us e having had to bear all maniser of unlawful exa-tinas The Hundred Rolla teern with cossuplainte. Sut only hater the tawnshipat been atnerced, aconding the their own nemunt uryystiy amerced, for the neglect of their pollose dusinet, bat thom soyal

 hands and coroners will not suffor them as bury thear dread
 enough. A criminal fonk manctuary is the chareh at fimetike the cowoshap was bound to watch the churih untul the estover eame: the coronor would not come for lese that a math, e the unwanhif had wo watch the chureb forty taye to 180 g gat damage ${ }^{3}$

Mriootlinge-

## (ili)

 nef ivene of ther towsanlatg.The practice of amercing the township for nogloet of the pulice dulues nuy have beguttons the pmoture, whwh iwroantr prevailed in the thirtweth century, of emating the tam matap as an amuresable unit caprable of comunitsug inialevalo of many
 owess four marks for having ploughord up the ditse " highon! In 1283 certain wownhope are to be nomescuvi fur haviog be pous a man to put himself in metain without wateng fis the ferero. of the sherfix ufficer; their amerevtbent is (a) bo affic mat tis other sownehipa'. On the Hunstral Rulle we may hom sorth

 pristed cheperfrem the thind of a soll, the whoten bewnotepe if
 the muimace of the country". Is one part of Chenhmitar-hiro

[^388]the hundredors speak of the townships as commumes (commanae) and acctrse them of sundry transgressions; the commune of Ely has occupied a fishery which used to belong to the manor of Soham; the commune of Reach has broken through the big dike (the Devil's Ditch), so has the commune of Swaffram Bulbeck, which also neglects to repair its bridge; the commune of Exning has ploughed up the waste of Burwell, has obstructed the bighway and diverted a watercourse. On the other hand, Thomas of Bodenham has apprupriated land from the commune of Burwell. Even an assautt and battery may be attributed to a townahip, for the whole township of Kennet has beaten and wounded two bailitias.
1.5m] All this seems to set before us the township as a legal Organime entity which has, if not rights, at all events many and multi- wwoubje. farious duties, and we might naturally suppose that in order to perform these duties it must have had some permanent organization: for example, some court or assembly in which the incidence of these duties could be apportioned among its members. When however we search for such organization we fail; at least for a while we seem to fail. Organization we find, but it is manorial; courts we find in plenty, but they are courts of manors. The township as such has no court, no assembly. And so with the officers of the towaship; - the constable is a new officer, his importance lies in the future, while as to the reeve we only know him in real life as the reeve of a lord, the reeve of a manor, usually a villein elected by his fellows in the lord's court, presented to and accepted by the lord's steward, compelled to serve the office because he is not a free man. We must turn therefore from the township to the manor, but before that can be reached we must traverse the whole field of seignorial justice. The facts that we have to study are intricate; the legal principles have tied themselves into knots; we must pull out the threads one by one.

[^389]
## §4. The Tiching.

Prens. pleaden

Tlum aspletri bs crulary sili.

A grace example of this intricuoy is nffomed by the syotem (ff trankplotige. We have hat to mostion it whots spenhtere of the shorifis turn, and again when spacaking of the sum robip. dutues But alory it is clunely contureted in masy way- wish manorial affars, with the rolatum between lual aot mes. Taken by itself it is a remarkable inastustion and whe that suggewts ditficult quewtions.

And finst we may lenok at the law tas matend by limarten' Fivery male of the age of twelve yeant be he free be be ars?

 according to the varying custotus of differeut districte The inagnates, knights and ther limmenen, clerkn and she like tual nus ber in fronkpleelge; the frewhelder (itu one pamaze Brac bera
 the cilizen who has fixed pripury:-hix land im ejussaiens to a frankpledge. Agan, instead of being in frankionger aor may be is the masapant of another. The heeal of a horimethol answers for the uppeanuce th court of the wemberm if his housetold, his mervants, has retansers, thowe whom his hand
 English word asd way his loul-cuters'. They an in hus frith. borgh and need nus orther pherdge" But theme "ane ptomes twank made, a male of the age of twelve years or upwanto entatht i. be, and it is the duty of the wwiship in which he awrits to see that he is, in frankpleylge and tithing If ho 10 werdwa of a crime and not furthcoming and the furmothp haw farind in thas duty, then it wall be annerest. If on sthe ether hant he wam in a tithing, then the suserwoment wili fall upan tho thhung.

Tom naltiy and Hhang

The atrics enforcement of these rulue ta aburalanty prossel by the rulls of the ituctruit juatices Wheth an arcuasi peroe is nat poruduced, his cownohip is amerend it he was whe is.

[^390]tithing (decenna, theothinga, thuthinga ete.), and, if he was in a tithing, then that tithing is amerced. But to all seenuing the 'tithing' meant different things in different parts of the country. There can be no doubt that over a large part of Eugland the persons subject to the law of frankpledge were distributed into groups, each consisting of ten, or in some cases of twelve or more, persons; each group was knowu as a 'tithing'; each was presided over by une of the associated persons who was knowns as the chief-plecige, tithing-man, headburough, borsholder, head or elder, that $2 s$, of the burh or pledge ${ }^{3}$. The township discharged its duty by seeing that all who were resident within its boundaries were in these groups. On the uther hand, in the southermmust and some western counties there seems to be a different arrangement:the vill is a tithing, or in some cases a group of geographically separated tithings; the tithing is a district, even the borgha (35) or pledge is a district ${ }^{2}$; the tithingman is the tithingman of a place of a vill or hamlet; the personal groups of ten or a dozen men are not found. In this part of the country the two duties, which elsewhere we see as two, seeus fused intu oue: the township discharges its duty of having all its members in frankpledge and tithing by being itself a tithing and a frankpledge'. But further, there were large parts of Enghnd in which there was no frankpledge. In the middle of the thirteenth century the men of Shropshire asserted that within their boundaries no oue was in a tithing; at the end of the ceatury the jurors of Weatmoreland declared that the law of Englishry, of murder fines, of tithing, of frankpledge, of mainpast, did not prevail and never had prevailed north of the Trent ; at any rate it did not preval in their county. Probably they diew the line at tow suntherly a point: but it is, to say the least, doubtin! whether the systern of frankpledge extended to any part of the ancient kingdon of Northumbria ${ }^{\text {a }}$

[^391]Ther viem of '? whes. piol

The maintennnee of this systetn is enforced, not wemely by amorerments istlicuxd whou the townshop or the tuthong hat
 also by provislical inspections atmi what we mughe call holddnys' of the fmnkpleviges. Twee a year the wheriff holdo is ewh hurodrod a specinily full hundroal cours in see that all men who ought tu be are in foukpledze. The he half-ymasis meetiugn we can trace back to the righ of Hanry I ; ther may be mush olfor: in courne of time they acyuin the name of the sheritín 'turn.' But shough Honry IL in the deser of C'larendon ( 11 bit ) had strictly decowed that this busmom ano wo be in the sheriff: hamis', we find in the thirtewth comtin? that thers arse large masoum of men who never go near the sherift:s turn. They are the mess of loris whu righimilly ur
 fraukpleflge': that is to may, of lurds who in thour "wn courts see that their tenaute are its frankpledge mult tahe ther pmotele which anse froms the exercise of the jurnatictiont, motbothtion they allow the wheriff to be preseat. very wfora thry exclude hin aluggether. Of all the framehisus, the moval ngoth te provite hands, view of frankplevige is m -rhape the coumburnewt.
Aucolaser The seriet theory of the law seems bo have neyutad that all Es the the frankpledgeas shuuld netermi the vuw : but an a thatiat of
tien.
 oftent howeser thay hat to bring with them a sums of maniay whech was acerperd in lietu of the productun of thers ththeng
 uaturally it berame bound up in intneate combumatoon with the repnownatioss of the cowanhip liy ton reeve and fous nem Faperemally when the 'view' in in private hands, we often tioul that the duty of prosanting offembere is perforused by the cher?
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 erv to be plaond to frem pletige buture the otwries
pledges, who thus form thenselves into a jury. Under the infuence of the Aspize of Clarendon, the duty of producing one's fellow-pledges to answer accusations seems to have been enlarged into \& duty of reporting their offences and making presentments of all that went wrong in the tithing.

Of the meanse by which men were 'brought into tithinga,' Constitainto the groups of ters or a dozen, we know very little. Could dithings. a youth choose his tithing? Could a tithing expel or refinse to admit a member whose bad character would make him burdensome? The answer to these and to similar questions seoms to be that the men who had to be in tithinge were generally unfree men. They were brought inta tithings by
is the lord or his stewand and they could not resist'. We may find a chief pledge paying a few pence to his lord in order that a certain man, presumably a bad subject, may be removed from his tithing. The chief pledge seems to have exercised a certain authority over his subordinate pledges; they owed him some obedience ${ }^{1}$, and probsbly in the southern counties the tithingman of the tithing, the borhsenldor of the borh, was also normally the reeve of the vill; but it is ouly in legul logends that he has any judicial powers ${ }^{3}$.

## § 5. Seignorial Jurisdiction.

According to the legal theory of the thirteenth century Reaplitice seignorial jurisdiction has two roots-(1) the delegation of and fondel royal powers, (2) the relation between lord and tenants. Jurisdictional rights are divided into two classes. On the one hand, there are the franchises and regalities (libertates, regalia) which, at least according to the opinion of the king's lawyers, can only exist in the hands of a subject by virtue of a grant from the crown. On the other hand, there is jurisdiction involved

[^392]If the merre powarsaion of a manor or in the meene fars if having kenants; wo may brivelly chanctorizo it as betng of o civil, numeromanal kiad'. Brachan in the ntatemeat of beo getaral theory of temporal justhee petne th neglent it it is this we can nut follow him. As wo the franchises he speato pl vory powitively. Who can bestow thoms The king. and woty bre, for all justice and judgenent, all that ewneceras tho promall exerrive power ane his. Thowe thinge therefure that cumivn
 ouly to the king's crown aud diguty, and thry can tout be wrim. mated from the crown, aince thry tuake the crown, for the king crown is to do judgement and juatice and keep the peacer surth jursidetioual rightes can not be hild by a private permen e uoleso it be given him from above.' Then be lays inwo two tmama - Iurishactio delegata non potest delegnar ':- Nulluma totnges vecurnt regs?"
Anpantan Two very wholenome maxima ; but it is cloar that they of the remelitiag. have not been observed susd we may doube whechers the winge themselven have made strenuous effirter th mantan them. Ifur information about the franchuse munt be drawn for the nuere part from pleadings of Edwand I'A retgn, but theme, diapite cherr wealth of detal, are not very untufactory, of mathere
 in his relgn Falward began a vigoroun attack upmon she frous chmes. First by means of amuestes, the rewults of which are recurded on the Hundred Bulle, be umerrtaiseal what fratmelaso were actually examiand, and then the sent wut hin juidgan and pleadem ta demansul by what warrant (ywer evicumtu) the lamet were wielding thesur puwers. His melvucatis tenk the haghost

 the king did mot proceed to extremturs ; few juiljemente erso given; he had grused his mann objees, any fusther growsh of





[^393]Thus we hear no statements of the law which can claim to Theories on be impartial. On the one hand, we have the doctrines of the haverual king's law officers, on the other hand, a mass of facts which prove that these doctrines, if they are not new, bave been ignored. Let us see how far the royal advocates can go. The ${ }^{\text {soj }}$ b bishop of Ely is defending his egregious liberties by charters of Edgar, the Confessor, the Conqueror, and Henry III. Gilbert Thornton to all his other objections adds this-' Allow for one moment that all these liberties are expressly mentioned in the charters, still the king has an metion for revoking them, since he has never confirmed them. As regards the franchises of his crown auch sucoessive king is to be deemed an infant. His case is like that of a church. Each successive rector can revoke the lands of the church if they have been alienated by his predecessor!" That the franchises are inalienable is constantly asserted. Robert FitzNicholas took upon himself to grant the view of frankpledge of two-thirds of a will to John Giffard ; this, says Thornton, is a cause of forfeiture ; be was bound to exercise the jurisdiction in person and not to give it to auother". If you urge long seisin, you aggravate your offence. ${ }^{3}$. Your usurpation can tot have had an innocent beginning; every one, seys Bracton, must know that these things heloug to the crown'. It is plain to all, says Thornton, that upon the conquest of England every jurisdiction was united to the crown ${ }^{5}$ :-this historical theory is of great use when Anglo-Saxon charters are propounded. Even if it be allowed that there are cases in which user can beget title, this concession can only be made in favour of those whose ancestors came in with the Conqueror; no churchman can take advantage of it. And, if it comes to charters, the king is entitled to

[^394]the benefit of evory doule: he in not to be ountod of thas ngbee by "olscure and general worda.' He is the giver and is is 7 te
 by nious or by mere nou-use. The grouter smast take tho.
 and must maineain his seikan. In Edwand J.'s day be lome his right unlesse he elains it before the justeen in eyre -heroerer they come rontad. Unfirtunakely the firfersed lithertom are enaly reatured ith eonsideration of a sum of usency It to this that prevente a morlers realer from heartily caking tho kiog's ande is the contriverny. Lhemple all that is sarid alwout the inwejmability of justice from the crown, the king wiks libertues and compela the purchumen to buy thom wror and over again.

Virinas Hutide of Pratwhime
 brictly thasee whach have lesut we du with juation and thes speaking mure at lengeth of the jurimational prowem
Fincal inn.
 lands aro froed frum every imograblhe furnu of sasatson ins. perial and local'-if we may ame such montorn formo - frome all weuts and gevis, danegelris, aeatgelds, hursigelita. fixutavisto
 the kang, aids for thre wheriti amd bes twalifis wanl wrons, ares-

 [rontage, prage, lawtage, atalloges, जatuge, wollagia. ball, fratiof frum all finmo abs amercenaonts impaned upmatil the shiros and

(ii) Isumbuitien froms persomal wereane. 'l'bue' aro from!
 bext: fruen wuit uf court, fnim all shares, enthisige Lation
 and frankpledge, from the doty of ripariog raniles fatio.

 comerthon thas thor awiotd weo hio redromime

- JY W. 能S.
: firmelns, 1 34. 18
Thus the risazter af 11 yp for the Tornplars that erezt 8. it furm:



ronds and bridges, from the duty of carrying the king's treasure and victuals, from carriage and sumunge and anvige.
(iii) Immunities from foreat intu. These are usuntly the Imamum subject of special bargains and are not thrown about with a forestrum fax.
Whash hand; but sometimes the grantees succeed in freeing themselves, their lands, men and dogs from some or all of the forestal regulations, from the swainmotes, regavls of the forest, anverementw of the forest, 'Waste and assart'.' The immunities shaule off into licences, such as that of keeping eight brachels and a pair of greyhounds and hunting the fox, the hare and the wild cat in the king's forest of Easex ?
(iv) Fiscul powers. The king, it will be remenhered, from Fincal time to tirue grants to his tenants the power of taking an aid powern. or a scutage from their tenants, and, though these imposte may be regarded as feudal services, yet in practice they can not be collected without a royal writ, and in course of tirue even theory secerns to renuire that the king should have granted his tenants 'their scutages' and given them leave to levy their aids". Again, the king can make a permanent grant of the produce of \& tax and of the right to collect it; thus John gave to the bishop of Ely and his successurs the patronage over the abbot of 'Thoruey and the aid of sherifis and their bailiffs from all the men and tenements belouging to the said abbey, so that the bishops became entitled to the due known as tho shoriff's aid ${ }^{4}$. It is by no means improbable that a similar result was sumetimes produced by mere words of immunty. When the king frees an abbey from scots and gelds, do the tenanta, free and villein, of the abbey get the benefit of this exemption purchamed by their lonl's muncy, or du they not uow have to pay to the abbot what formerly thry paid to the ruyal ufticers? John had granted that the monks of Ransey mud therr deress: ueanes and all the men of their demesnes should be free of all aids and demands of sheriffs and reeves and ballitis': but at a later time we lind the tenantes of the abbry payng shoriffs and ; doubtless they pay it ta the abbut, aud thus a tax boocomes smmething very like a feudal servicen. If we may infur

[^395]that the same procens had been at work for a lobeg bume paet, one of the surces of feudalams is here laid lannי'
(v) Juradectioual l'owers. A moyal charter of the shir-

Junc durtional perwis. wenth century very ofken, though by nu tuseass always. dectareo that the donece and his heirs are to hold the land with certain rightes or powers which ant demeritacd by kiaglanh wuria. in
 theann ; ofteen "iufangeanethef" is culded; mare ravoly "ustion-
 less usual of the worrle an the mane intelligible; promatil! they denute cortan crimes, certann puntahusita, certan uniajo of procecture; in the chatwom they meass that the dessere is
 puniahmente. power to use theme menten of primasfurs Thice he in whave housebraakiug, breseh of a apucial prara, waylaying, recelpt of oustaws, the witex for blowlwhel, for fightatig. for tlying from battle, for tespleet of mulitury wervite tose formication, for nuffersug an envoge from priming, he in the hate the ordeal asd the judiesal combut. The line is careful to inctuster just thuen ermmes wheh c'out had decianod whe nowerven phizo of the crowts, thowe jurimactional sights whels the kius tion wear all ment maleas he has neen fit 20 grant shem away by es-
 worts wauld stemugly have retipped tbe hatg of al jumt dicters, cxeopt, it may be, acertinit justuse of hast resert dat the Nummas Conquest made no suddern chnnge, the ernumal law resealeal by Dhmewlay Book is of the olel type and wagileser of the comin are giest thuse whels are uncletidend an the lato that ane before us. But dinnag the lateer half of the ex, itts evetury cromanal law napully tomik a new shape, the diwtome if



 the vory tasationg of the tegnas brexame diaputatile and tion

[^396]





- Cóal 11.1813.
who wished for grants of high justice were compelled to purchase less dubious phraves. The must liberal granta were not unfrequently qualitied by reservations the meanung of which grew ampler as time went on. The king declares that he reserves nothing for himself ' except those things which belong to the king's crown.' 'except justice of lite and member,' 'except murder, treasure trove, rape, and breach of the peace?'. As the king's peace extends itself, as all serious crimes become felonies and deserve pumshment of life and number, the reservation grows at the expense of the grant. Little in the thirteerth century was to be got ont of these ancient words beyond the proceeds of a few minor offences, scuftles, affrays, fornication. Thus infangenethef might give oue power to bang onc's own thief if caught within one's own territory, and utfenyenethef the power to haug him wherever caught; but it seelns essential that he should be caught ' handhaving or backhearing.' that is, with the stolens goorls upon him and that he should be prosecutenl by the loser of the gouds. The manorial gallows was a commou object of the country, but under these restrictions it can mat have beeu very useful?
10] Now these antique words occur in two different contexts, Contras At first sight we may even say that two formulas which seem imwnevitios
 sometimes the charter says that the donee is to hold his land with bloodwite, tightwite and so furth; more often that he is to hold it free and quit of blondwite, fightwite and so forth; yet we can hardly doubt that the two phrases mean the samo

1 Ihot. Cart. 2, 20, 22, 32, 3s.
 Sukon law terms will be found in the lhad Book of the Exoheguer iii. 1083. If is clear that in the thirteanth contury there wan but litzle agtoement as to the mumaing of thene teran, whence we suay draw the aference that they had become of numall ralue. Thus Henry IIf. gesuted s oluarter to the Abtat of Colchester for the purpoom of explaintatg that words frithathe, infungenethef and femenefremeh coutuined in a chastar of Bichard I.; we Dot. Cart. Introdurtion p. sixvi. There wae mach doult ne he what was meant by

 anking them to explane what they mean by these old words. Thus the l'rior of Dase is niked to conatrue ark rak fal et them: 'of l'tior mehal dest ': P. Q. W. 211. Stall on exatamation of the Chister ltallo at will apperar that tiegn words
 thas infungruethef. Willian Marshall maken a liboral krant of jurimadotun wo Tutesu Abbey, but exprendy renerves ntjongenethef to haraself; Monass. v. 389.
P. M. 1.
thing. To declures that a lond is to hold has lames free id blomiwite ta to deciare that if blowd be alient by hin tetianta the king will not be entitled to the wite or tine, thes. howerwe seetns regarded as implyiug as mather of course that the land will gret the wite, for crimes ane not to gio ullpulatiteal The primeple thus brought out in one that is of aervice to us when We are deating with a tunce the charters of which are ourchod in yet vaguer terms:- to free a lowl's land from riynl jurs. diction of from the exnctions which are appurteriant fin the
 The king's lawyers sometues protent aymist tha prorepple. protest that a grant of immunity frote fronkpledge to woit expivalent to a grant of view of frankploufge: hut the bink refuse to recognize the clastanction and may have bintory upow their sade!

Sahe arwil mike tetll whil trates
 In the thirteenth century there is alroaly znuch dombe as to their theanug, and amoug the lawyon we are atring tembern
 titnes the right to take toll, sometimes the right to the two
 a right wheth evary lend of villetis rijuyg without the fows? of a soyal grant'. 'Then team is takns to mosus she hinoul


 Norwich (f). Al), whels dactare that the lent 10 en be froe of thow these

















the atispring, the 'sequela' of one's villeina'; but this we rasy be sure is a mistake. Apparently it ought tu mean the right to hold a court into wheh outsiders may be vouched as warranurs, or, to use a more technical term, the right to enforce a 'foreign woucher.' The worl sac (or, as we hat berter spell it, sake), the Anglo-Saxon sicu, the modern (ferman Suche. means thing, cause, matter; the ghassarints of the thrteenth century have not. forgntiten this and refer to the English phrase - for which sake ' : in legal language it meana a canse, a matter, an action, or as the Gegmans say Rechusuche: a graut thea of suke should be a grant-by a very geueral term-of jurisdiction ${ }^{3}$. Most important of all is soke or soken, which is used as a very large word to denute justiciary rights and the area within wheh they are exercised.

The remote history of these terms has been discussed elsewhere ${ }^{3}$. Here wo have only to observe that in the thirtecuth

Buker mad mukt its censt. xits. century the words suke and soke are reganded nas describing jurisdiction, but jurisdictiou of a kind that every lord has athough he has no such words in his charter nad nlthough he has no charter from the king. Like the 'general words' commou in conveyances of a later dnte ('together with all easetnents, commus ' aud the like) they only serve to deseribe rights which the donee would have though no such worls were caployed; they give no franchise, they merely point to the feudal or matural jurisliction which every one may have if he holds a manor, or which every one may have if he has tenantsd. On the whole the prevaling doctrine seems to have bern that make and soke dad nothing, that toll aud theren did northing. that infungenethef and utfingenethef merely gove the right whang 'hand-hnving' theves, thiuves tuken 'with the mainour' (cum nuzauopere), while the othor old words emuld
${ }^{1}$ P. Q. W. 275: 'Thrm, aver proguny ide ras hames': Flotw, \&. Di: 'Them sequetantam mmerciamentoram sequelae propriorim suorum.'
${ }^{2}$ Hureden, ii. 242: 'Sackike, interpretatur iurimicta, ih est, curs ot jushase.'
 at dicitur fos wyeh sake pur quele enchesou.' at Mancheatar we find a payment culled ankfr (wake-feu): 'debet ci rukfe et sectam ad curmm': Roll for Panch. 34 Hev. III. (No. 140) m. 7.
${ }^{2}$ Matand, loracestay Hook and Beyond, pp. 80. 358.

- P. Q. W. 2tó. 'Rak, sok, whll el theais quase quiderm veebr haluent meferti ad enf[1ums] baron[ia] et uou ad vinum [runciplegii.' Seilway's Hopures, 150 b: "chencus magaior de commen drost avera tiels choses."

Vifement frank platere
not he urusted to do much, though they might worve to domine and porsibly to iuctene the urdinary puwers of a feulad comit'

The serious franelises of a jurnatictional kind were clatmed under rither words, or still mone frequently were clantiond by preseription. As the most serivus, though the leant walsed we mint recken 'vew of frouhpledge and all that ko vaw off frankpleige doth belong' -as the mast wroums, because it omo extremely common. Occanimatly we titud a clear graut of 'oine of frankptetge,' necrsionntly a grant of montinty trom tranks. pletige which uay or moy not have nmounted to the satar thing'. And perhapen a grant of frothouken, -t the wowl to tmit very connmen-would have the same upmation fir grom commouly a lord preacribed for the 'view; and phemeritast for it surcumafully. The right thus mannod comprimol ont meerels the right to "xxecute the law of frankpledge" aund take the

 court for the prosentment of uffences and the pumahmeat of otiencers that foll short of felmeny. Tiowarte the exul of the thirtowith cemtury the word leet (lean)-whirb bevin to bore apreat eutwarle from tho Falst Anglian connticen-wat th-
 visums franceplegni remamed the moust formal naud comet it tittes. The lund who huat this franchiow charmat to sweat it a buxly of jurura-ofuns they wem the chat phedgen or heato of the tithiugs-and to put thefore them thene mause artection of the view' (eatpitulur risurs) whith the -thrnff, Hoplogiol in the
 amereementer which went to swell the land: revease. Hus






 po runtwon of blosewfer ite Thue trusas hatwanl I e dey


 cupta is Marter vi with.
 Cast latzid. p. xexin.
probably the pecuniary profic was in the eyes of the lords a small mater when compared with the power that was thus secured to them. Twice a year the villagers, bomd and free, had to report themselves and tell tales one of another, while ao tale went outside the ramor to the cars of jealous ueighbours or rapacious ufficials. Probably the tenants also were gainers by the franchise; they could manage their own atfairs without the interference of 'foreigners '.'

The kings advocates at times protesten that only the Tha rill tonant of a whole vill could enjoy this regality : the view, they vium. say, unst be a view for a vill, a view for a manor will not do, nor may a lord collect in his tithings tenants from divers vills ${ }^{2}$; again, he ought to have at least twelve whole tithings, twelve cheef pledges, so that none may be punished without the oath of twelve ${ }^{3}$. These contentions were sonetimes successfilly urged, and the thenry which connecta the view of frankpledge with the organization of a perfect towaship (villu integra) may be a clue to pasat history; but as a matter of fact the franchise had been subinfeulated and was sometimes exercisend over collections of men resident on various pieces of land geosgraphically detached from each other and connected only by the fact that they were all holden of the same lord. Thus Issa; the view is sometimes rliviled between immediate loml and werlort : Juhn Engaine holds manors at Gidding and Dillingtor of the Abbot of Ramsey; when the day for the view comes, the Abbor's bailiff appears, hands to John's steward the articles of the view, and takes two shillings out of the proceeds of the day, while John keeps the rest? In Rutland the Prior of the Huspitallers holds the whole vill of Whitwell, he has twelve temants in Dreystuke, one in Gunthorpe, two in Martinstoke, one in Barnardshill and twelve in Uppingham, for these he bolds a view twice a year at. Whitwell and "ppinghama: Wemants from several Beifformaire villages go to the view held by Humphrey de Bohuu at Kimbulton iu Huntingolonshire ${ }^{*}$.

The lord whi has the view of frankplenige usinally haw als, The namiso the assize of beer,' that is, the power of enforcing the general andil teer.

[^397]ardinnaces which from time (o) time fix the pricass at whath
 the asxize of bresul. Out of beer the leride maile mentue casssidemble protit. It is cosamom ho find manorial jurion pher westing as $n$ buatter of corsme that all the hrowers, of mither aberiven of the village have finewoy againat the neazat ' whotrupon all of them are nomborel, and it in common th tand the
 annereenerits upoin these hardenal ciffentern when onght by
 brell. Pillery and tumbroll anv the outwand and inable nigna of thim jurishlections, just ase a kallawe is the sunationtations id


 erther hami unany lopits clation st by promplemen, whete the
 shire newert that they are wut even boteml to presente tis it. since it is theirs by thee manmon chatern of thest countien. We have thersufure cotme uguin the lase wheh thondeo thine


 cruew by local customs.

7tigh


Many were the lords whir beld the suw if fratiplicta (the leet of later days) and tho watzo of beer, cotoporatsol! few were the lords who harl mare exaterl jurnestictomat faw in Still of such powers we find a gradually anerndigg arate Al the top are the two priatinatera, the celtuty of thester ib. biahopurie of Iturhain; bust briluw them matad bunlahum whith are almoet palatiue nurl which lease their mark us the nasp of Eosgland for many centurien When in fest the dof tom
 of sit Betmund, of St Eithelderets of Ely, of Sis Pror a Menterahammeal are well napmeteal! Thear tongetber weth th



[^398]within a small territory immediately surrounding his castle or monastery, a leugata, banliou, lowy. Among these powers we may notice the following:
(a) Amerciamenta hominum. The lord has a right to the amercements of his men, even though those amercements are inflicted in the king's court. The amercements are paid into the royal exchequer, and then the lord petitions that they may be paid out to him.
(b) Catalla folonum ot fugitivorum. The lord, though he does not try felons, unless they be handhaving thievee, gets the forfeited chattels of condemned felons and outlaws which ordinarily would belong to the king. With this is sometimes coupled the right to hang felons sentenced by the king's justices.
.571] (c) Returnus ${ }^{1}$ brevium. This is a highly valued right. Within the lord's territory the 'return of writs' belongs to him : that is to say, if the sheriff receives a writ ('original' or 'judicial') bidding him summon, attach or distrain one resident within that territory, or seize lands or goods, he must deliver that writ to the bailiff of the liberty who will execute the precept. Only in case the lord or his bailiff has been guilty of default and a second writ comes to the sheriff containing the clause 'quod non omittas propter aliquam libertatem,' will he be justified in entering the privileged precinct.
(d) Some lords have, and prescribe to have, coroners of their own-a remarkable fact, since to the best of our knowledge coroners were first instituted on this side of the limit of legal memory.
(e) Some lords compel the king's justices in eyre to come and sit within their precincts and even to occupy a secondary position. They come there-such at least is the lord's theorymerely to see that the lord's court makes no default in justice; but the business of the court, even though it consist of pleas of the crown, is conducted by the lord himself, his bailiffs or justices. Sometimes the lord claims that for the time being he himself is iustitiarius domini Regis ${ }^{8}$.
$(f)$ Some lords have a civil jurisdiction within their territories which excludes the jurisdiction of the king's courts.

[^399]
## 584 Jurisuliction and Communal Afferirs. [HK. II.

If an action concerang anything witho the prenece is bapan
 'crave exgaizace' of the cassere and be is allowed is ipeta curiam sum of habel.

## Hesh

(rumbliteou) clatenent ly jrrear rija trise

Some of the highest prowern were clateresl ty preseription for exnmple, the Archtushop) of Yurk deelanat that he news his
 arrap of purchment did be deign wo proxlice He even charmand Lu coin monary by prescriptions. And we mny stute an a metacmal rule that just the very highemt jurndecturas powars ormo seldum clamed by any other tuthe. Decambually as bohop of an abbot would rely on the vague, larne wonth of mann AngtiSaxon land-book. Jist this was a faler move, the linge o th lawyers were not astute palanograpione or diphomatsats byts any charther couched in terms sutherently lowse tor fues for otbe moment as belonging to the age befure the Conlyumat coult! be meet by the ductrine that the king was ruat ten bo doporwod of has nghts by 'abseure asad gernesal worls. Firs theor murkets and fains, therr chawes anul warreus, for umerrumenta hominum and catella feluaum the lorda hame chartorm. bant when they bold all the gleas of the cruwn, when they ajperms justrees ausd corouers, whent they conls mbenry, when they enats
 dated with a meat upons tha Wench,' thell tho! proseriber - thers cand ull thais predeceswurs hate duas the like an they may ans (0) the culuntry mass.


## The

 Frugnery Teude) jursalic. thot. he presembe for it: in iva exerctise we rans tuit iall hime tav kiug's delegate. Enghah law of the thrternth owntury - ma to have aduatted the bonal rule that every homb with winate
 hold a court of and for bes temanta. Wie way an far wo ther
 stipulate fur sust of court if hoe wisterd teo whinge ethe froctio.
 the day was that gulemtenn bronughe keluce the nyal jus-ine sionu seotis to have held that ass exprise stipulaturs wes inver xasy if anore sult was to be uxarterl than olth as was sevematr wenable the lord to exereime any ngig jundiction with ent
f p. y. w. In
ho had been entrusted. Others were of a different opinion. The matter was settled by the Statute of Marlbonangh (1263)': -the lond who exacta snit to his feudal court must rely upon express stipulation or upon a somewhat brief preseriptive sitle ${ }^{3}$. This, however, is a matter of comparatively little importance: the greater matter is that mere cemure gives to every lord, who has the means of exercising it, $n$ jurisdiction over his renant: his temaut is his justiciable.

This jurisdection, if the tenant is a frecholder, is not of a The fealal high order, unr is it very lucrative. It is but a civil juris ramarlly a 678: detion, and it is hampered and controlled by royal justnce, manarial What is more, the feudal court is generally a mamorial court, a court for a small dostrict. Even thongh we can not at the inoment explain the full import of this proposition, we may dwell on it for a moment. We shall beg no question by sayng that the manor usually is but a small space of ground: small, that is, when we compare it with the tutal amount of hand wheh a great nuble will buld 'either in denesue or iu service.' A rich religious house may have twenty manors in demesne; a lay nuble will not have so many in demeane, but he will have some fow in demesne and many more in service; his honour will consist of a large number of manors scattered about in divers parts of England ; of some few he will be the immediate lord, while uthers will be holden of him by his knights. Now the simple prineiple of feudal justice that we have: lately stated would authorize such a lord to hold a court for his bonour, to hold one court for all his insmediate tenants; or, again, if his temants were widely scattered, he might hold several honorial courts, one, let us say, for his Kentish temants, anuther in (iloucestershire, another in York-shire- And thas between the actual wcoupmet of a tenement and the king there might stand a whole hierarchy of courts. We haves seen above huw between Ruger of St German who held land in Huntugghnshire and the king thene were $t$, less than seven mesne lords. The principle which is now before as would in such a case permit the existeruce of seven feudal courts. That such was the law we can hardly doubt: no murrower prineiple will explam the fiseta. lery often the lurd

[^400]of a manor who hard a court of hin nwin whas humait bramet la do suit at him lond'n court. The preusion whech the famone presersted at the Oxfurd partiancat of 1838 masurnurs that wot woldota three feludal courtn tower one above the wher. Cionspinitit is enale that the Abbot of Peherlarough dimen wose allow har frewhulderes whold courte for their teruasen, whenge the is anactobed by law and cuntom thoughout the wolm. Ther Prior of Dunstable was compelled to conterede that him burgo unght hold courta for thoir tenanta. Furthernuirs, it merthe e. hare beron a commou practice for an wealehy abbers th herpo conert. known on a halimunt, on each if its matiomes whiste it aditition to these mational cutron it kupt a rebtral mura a bibera curia for all ita groater frechuld te-wasen. And we moty now and again meet with courts which ane dintumety ralial courta of honours. The gule then was, wete metrily that ing. lond of a maner smy bold a cours for the manor, but that a lord may hold a conurt. fir his wommita

Nevertheless it munt be allowowl that is the thurteenebs
 iafendation hul sonse far asdead sumb. ©n said mbume. the jurnwhethin uver freebulden was an linger very valuable, it
 power. Thas frudal coustin that, wo mee ate nestre work an bis the muse purt manorial courts, and the atfore with whith they




 world if tiact thas th the world of law ; the segal pincicspin os





Juns dut loen of latrolat ceurt
 wo thay now give as bonef acovistut; nems wer will ofeak of theor




 ocentf quod facwrent sectam dlam in mamerus.'
I. Civil Litigation (i) Porsonal Actions. They entertain personal actions, at least when the amount at atake is lese than forty shillings; in particular, actions of debt, detinue, treopass and covenant. This jurisdiction seems to be considered as arising out of the relationship between man and lord. On the other hand, the action of replevin (de vetito namit) is royal and few lords claim to entertain it. Perhaps in theory the defendant ought to be an immediate tenant of the lord, but it is very likely that a lord often compelled any resident on 15] his land to answer in his court, at all events when there was' between them no lower lond with a court of his own. That the plaintiff also should be the lord's man would not be necessary. This jurisdiction was a useful, thriving reality. We may well find a manorial court which generally has some ton to twenty personal actions depending before it, and, as we ahall see later on, these humble courts seem to have recognised certain causes of action for which the king's courts offered no remedy; they gave damages in cases of slandar and libel and possibly they enforced some agreements to which the king's courts would have paid no heed.
(ii) Actions for the recovery of freehold land Since the days of Henry II. the mule had been that no one could be compelled to answer for his freehold without the king's writ ${ }^{1}$. On the other hand stood the rule, sanctioned by Magna Carta, that for a true proprietary action for land admittedly held of a certain lord, that lord's court was the proper tribunal, and, though the king's judges and chancellors gradually impaired the force of this rule by the invention of new actions which were in effect proprietary, though they may have been nominally possessory, still throughout the thirteenth century and even in the fourteenth we hear of a good many actions begun in the feudal courts by ' writ of right.' Very seldom however, unless our books mislead us, were such actions finally disposed of in those courts; to get them removed first into the county courts and then into the king's court was easy, and if the temant (the passive party in the litigation) chose to reject the duel and put himself upon the grand assize, the competence of the loril's court was at an end. Hengham tells us that in his dily the lurds rarely asserted this jurisdiction over

[^401]frumbln tamb, for they combld gूet little or in pratis wit of it ${ }^{\text {t }}$.
(iii) Actioma melating bo rumamnry ur millein bewormomis a It ath mathers which conternued a merely cuntematy bethe to thand the lord's court was the onty compectent tritumal tor of such at title the king'x judgex would know nuthing. Nu nirad
 justice in due furm of law ; thore in wo formlear artititation.
 the end of the century pleadern in manturinl courten are making wee of phornes which veem ta have their orign at Wientnutaster: but all atong they have bwor uxang teethmeal phrwest tracitip the dewect of the customary tenememt fruas hear to batr. alloging 'soisin as of nght,' alleging the takiong of 'apiond adding however at every turn' nocoondug tu the ctastum in :ine manor?? The justice wheh the customary temants and wio atrict justioc: if was not 'eyputy' no the one hand, buts inf ther wher it was not 'the will of the lord'
(iv) Lutagntron between lord and mem. That the lamt cennd ster his tenant seems plans ; the entries ott a court mill largaty consist of auch nas mhuw how the lurd'n bailut mait, casysations agnanst the tenames and how the lurd rewererad damagne
 in the court of tho fat of Warmate cargied as far me the firat bluwo of ite to











 the lord's criurt and riong in lise harid hie wint otul a lowil , weotation is



 proved to delault.
 ad Prriwimam qwi practerith io Elajical

from them; the tenants are charged with tresphases, or with breaches of the manomal custum'. It is late in the day befure we hear any suggestion that such a course of procedure is inequitable since it makes the lord a judge in his own cause, and even then it is admitted wo be the common conrse throughout the land ${ }^{3}$.' There is much to show that in the past onse of the main nees of a fendal court had been that it enabled thr lowi [97] to compel his teusnts to perform their services; this will apperar from what has been said about the law of distress?. As to the objection that the lurd is both judge and party, that fails, for the lord is not judge; the defendant has the judgment of his peems. On the sther hand, the lorl can not be sued in his court ; this is true of him as it is true of the king. The proper feudal course for one who clains to hold laud of $X$ but cas not get that land is to demand justice from $X$, and if this demand fails. to go to the court of $X^{\prime}$ 's lord. A lori distrained to nonwer in his own court is the most startling anomaly of the aucient demesue.
II. Presentments. Evess though the lord does not aspire to, or on this particular day is nut exercising, the franchise of view of frankpledge, he ufteu makes use of a procedure which involves preseutment. Jururs are sworn in, sometimes twelve, but oftell less than twelve, to present offiences. Perhaps in theory they have no business to present any uffences which worch the king's peace, such as assaults, since in aljudicating on these the lond would be usurping a frauchise, and ought to confine thenselves to breaches of the manorial custom and invasious of the lord's propretary rights. But it is diffieult $w$ maintain or even to draw the line, difficult to prevent a lord from making his fevedal court a police court. Esaperially is this su whell the temants are unfree; if the lord amerces a serf for drawing his knite, pilfering his ueighbour's gools. using bad words, he ts after all but demanting money which alroaly is his nwn : even if he puts the man in the atocks of curns him ont of the vill, thin, if it can be regarded as als aet of justree, can alao be regarded as all act of uwnership. Aod su we find that the presentments are miscellaneous:- $A$ has aswnitied $B$ :

[^402] guiley of furnication and wa ho owee a leyrwite; ( 3 , a frowholder. is Head and hav won uwem a reilef; $H$ in the luatin anstoriwa amd han lifie the manor; $I$ came late th the leon worka: $K$ honjo bis dung-heup befure his denor: I, has tishend in the kirds. pritel
 the by-faw allown him; () reseued his urupounded beante stad so furch. As a rule wheo there is su question tourhagy finbold the aceusad meetus tor get little chance of domang thore at chargeon, but is at whee amereed; alppeuny anil thrempeuty amercements ane common.
III. Guternmental I'utier and By-lime. Within inarnis limita a feulal court inight be. not meroly a court of justime.
 athans of the tonurial group). To such ath nesembly the lom wonld in cold times appreal when he wantoul an and from his tallary tenantsis ar whell be wantonl them, or wime of thems oll bethalf of nill, is go to the war'. But athong the thatatis
 had hes rights nusd dutuce, the whe cuuld not in impateal the wher could wot Ine nggrowaterl by any numplustue of has pavare As to maburial by-laws we uisst speake hermater. ()wor atise men, even over the free mell who huld undrer latato math mlaws, being male with the lomla apposal, world hase ated power: a breach of them might be puntshoal by a forfinture
 atock: but to enforce by-lawa agnunt a frew. botding frow trias was a unerse dstheule tuather.
IV. Appellate Jurworticion. When a growt lient haw tuany haliments nad one libers curiz, difficult raws whach arime is the furnoer were mometinaig noservent fore the lather But tion
 an appellate juriwliction, of rather a jurialiotion it mmos weer the easurtas of their semance. Himl the firs principge \& feutal jumeter beers allowith froe piay their domand mase tais




[^403]but the king's court could hear a charge of false judgment? After a severe struggle these rules were established; to their operation it is due that in England we hear little of exalted feudal courts, courts of baronies and honours.
V. Conveyancing Business. In later ages the work of a manorial court will chiefly consist in witnessing transfers of copyhold land; the court roll will become a register of title for the copyholders. At the accession of Edward I., however,間 the practice of keeping court rolls was still new, and, though from time to time we may hear how a tenant in villeinage 'puts himself upon the roll " by way of proving his title", still on such rolls as we have seen entries of 'surrenders and ad. mittances' are so few and so irregular that we can not believe that they were of much importance. However, such power of alienation as the custom of the manor gives to the temant in villeinage is often exercised in court. He can only alienate his tenement by surrendering it to the lord, and, if this is done in open court, the lord's acceptance of a new tenant will be witnessed by the men of the court, and their testimony will be useful at a future time. We have no reanon, however, for anying that only in court could a lord give villein land to a new tenant or concede to a dead tenant's heir the tenement of his anceator, for, according to the law of the king's court, the land was the lord's to do what he liked with. From an ancient demesne manor we may alroady hear how a tenant who was two ill to come to court made a surrender to the bailiff out of court to the intent that the bailiff might make the surrender in court's. With the transfer of freehold land the conrt harl in general little to do; the tenants subinfeudated their tenements without going to the court, and in the thirteenth century they already thrust new immediate tenanta upon their lord without asking for his cooperation ${ }^{4}$; still a careful lord would oblige the manorial jury to present deaths and descents which tow phace among his freeholders, in order that he might secure his reliefs, wardships and marriages. As homuge had to be done to the lord in his proper perron, it

[^404]was mone namally doue in hin house than in the ruanmal court.

And now as the the constitution of the cours. There arolut

Conmetitu. tant, of the fretrial cobist The 10 reideut. no reanon why the lond should not jrrewde aver it in perase, aud uccomionally an abbut or pmor woukd ito this'. Ofine the
 but getserally they wrere held by the fordin ateward. Aimo abbuta and other lorde had allowed the stewardshap to terosase hereduary; they had eafeotiod kraghta whon wore to hold thear landa by the serpeanty of stewnatship. Hist teform the rime af the thiruatuth ceatury the work was fallong intul the hami- of of lawyess. Very great lawyen did not seorn it. A litue later, in 1835. we find the prour of Chrint ('hurch wifortog the-
 who had been for some years one of the king' " juctios' , torwould nut sccept, but he was in nus wise cillenolial ligs thr proposal. And thell, whell a weighty caume is to $t_{\text {w }}$. horant in the evourt of Meretham, the prise sebols dow a sue if he comenel tor afferce the courst. At an earleer sime, wheo the nletas of St Alhan's had guarselled with hus knighea, be isoducat oser of the kug's jumicers, who hadl collue sos dethers the gimel to preside uver the feudal assembly ubider the whe the: And as

 bamen they are.

## Ther


 has dimomsines at his afele. When he is makiteg the virw of frankpledge, when (h) use the ternis of a later day) the wien is netang ita a 'court leses,' he-like the shonall in lis 'tum -



 - ItI the court larius the muturs are the judgecs '--then rate so well unsintasbed througlant the andidle agroe At thour rol





- Ibat riz
- Mat. Jar. Cluror. Ma!- Ti, Lisa.

earlier times. Heriet, a justive of John's reign, seems to have demanded twelves. How far any distinction was drawn in practice between cases which affected free men and thowe which nffecterd unfree men is a doubtful question". In Coke's day it wess sat that the lowl of a manor had one court, 'a court bamo,' for his freeholders and another court, 'a customnry court,' for his copyholders, and that in the latter the lord or his steward was the judge. Nuw over his unfree men the low had, according to the law of the king's court, almust unlimited power; short of mainuing them he might do what he liked with them; and every tenant of an untree temement was a tenant at will. Nevertheless in the court molls and the manuals for stewneds which comes to us from the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries we cannut discover two courts ur two methends of constituting the court. Frecholders and serfs are said to owe suit to the same halimoot, and, so far as we can see, the curiz which pronounces judgment is always the same budy. Nocasionally distinctions of status are noticed. When the lord is holding a view of frankpledge, if he has many tenants, he will sometimes copy the procedure of the sheriff's tura; the presentmentes will be made in the first instance by vilhuni, and will then be revised by a jury of frecholderst. Somptimes two bondmen will be appuinted to affeer the amercernents of the bund, while twor free mull will affoer the amercements of the frees. Nu doubt, again, a free man imght have objecter if anoug his domsmen he saw a surf. Nu duabt, again, the theory that the villen tenementes were held at the will of the lard was by no mans idle ; the lord could nut be compelled to accept a new Lemant against his will. Still, so far ar we can see, when the lurd's interentas were not being actively asserted, thre sorf who sued or was shed in the manormal court got the same justice as that which the free tman got; he got in thenry the judgment, mot of his lord, but of a borly of dommsmen who were at least his peers. We say that such a juigment he got in theory; is practice the Itheation because of less and less mourent, for trial by jury

1 Suluet Plean in Manurial Conrtu, vol. i. p Lesi.: add to the referenoes צ. B. 7 Edw. II. f. 838. six sutore are not exough for a fittle with of rigle in a wanor on the alicient dermesne.

- Mubumenta (ibhlinllae, i. 1Jt.
${ }^{2}$ Selert Plean in Manonal Cunts, vol, i. plp. 1x-1x siii.
- The Conrt Buron, pp. 100, 110.
gralually forcerd ite way into the masurial exarts. In ofrietwes
 in civil caunen; they and the king were agreald thas mone boit tho king should make thein awear: but the lund could form has bondmen wowear, and many a arsall finwhomater wimuit serve rather than quarrel with lins lurd. At any rase tinal by jury made to way ute, these courta, and it haril! leasion a place for the doromman; indeed in croume of tume the a? for a indicium parium is (the the create distartion of hator:l mupponed wo rind ite macinfartion in trabl by jury Very tato is a ta the day for we can wot trice this further bark than a itias Chamber cate of Hunry VIll's reign) we hear a decermer which, if it has any historical warrant at all, nuggoents shas uo lord eould bold a cuurt eveu for bis bomdmea unite be liad free doumsmen, for it in esud that thene can be nu matere without at least two freeholders owing suit of cours. Interpert thio doctruse how we may, we tan hot belleve it abriont. An in the queation alunut the use of words we shall uprak brlow, has we do not belinve that all the manerise of the twelfih atel thirteenth centunes comprised freeholitera An to the: questionse
 way in any wige deppendent upen hia haviog villests tertatute of that his jurisliction uver has vallans demandend the esistence of frechulders. Very little weight abould be aurniert the sto unfentwherd, unexplained dicturs of the siat Chamher delitenal ne a time when the feudal courta were eome and rillermage

 without two freeholdersis.


## § 6 . The Mforme:

And wow ut length wee ung go up againet the unamor $W$.

 l'racton narely useb the kern maneroum. Huly in ube contest
 word and it explasis very hittle. A purwin wha brougs os

[^405]action for land must specify the land that he claims. In so doing, he will perhaps use the word manerium, and cherefore it is necessary to note that mauors and vills are not all one, that sumetnues a manor and $n$ vill hear the same name, that sometimes a manor contaius several vills, and again that a manor is wot the same thing as a mansion'. But what is the essence of a manerium we are never told. Such records of litgation as (res] we have in print give us no further help. Sometimes, though not very oflens, the object demanded in an action is a manor, and we may find disputes as to whether a particular teneunent is or is not a part, or 'a member' of a particular manor. The word is used in conveyances, and doubts may arise as to what has passed to the donee by a gift of 'the manor of Dale.' But in conveynnces the term is much less common than we with our theoriey of 'a manorial system' might expect. Even when we turn th the Hundred Rolls and read the detailed descripoions of tenures and tenements, of the groups formed by lords and tenants, thongh we may well think that we are reading of manora, still we may oftea read through many pages without seeing the word maneriwn. May we hope that we have shown, an Bractorl showed, that much rasy be said of the law of tenure. of status, of jurisdiction, though that word be never employed?

In a sense therefure we must deny that in the thirteenth Bancr wot century the word manerium was a technical term, that it word. could be placed in the same category with villa, feodum unius militis, liberku tenementum, villenagivem. There are reanons for thinking that in a ramoter past and eapectally in Domesday Book, this wern had borne a detimte legal sense which was concerned with the levy of the danegeld?. Be that as it may, we believe that in the thirweuth century no strict definition of a manor could have been fashioned. Any wund that is commonly used in the transaction of business is likely to cone befure the law-courts and to be discussed by plevalera and judges. A modern cuurt may be called upon to deeide whether a four-roomed contage was fairly described at 'a country housa' ; but still, 'country house' is not a technical term Ja our own day the term 'ustate' is used by Enghshanen to dewerbe tracts of land; but who can accurately define ite meaning ? If we

[^406]read in a biography that the hero had 'an estato in Kirus. we ahould expret him to have hal more than a rowit of cabtege. gariten; but how much mone! Muat thore have bewn a hore and sonne fields ? muat he hove houl land 'its haml' ? muse be 'at have had tenant farmers and cotragien ? And what of a country meat'?

Indentuite. nrent of the serna memor.

A typical mestaus.

In the thirwenth eversary the Gern manerium werma lin have been tul murn procisat than the terms "eatato" lao iwts. ranoly userl by laymen) in at the proment day, It ampleat, fir example, a certain geagraphical extent, beither tox maxal nem too large, and a certain grographial continuty, hat the sequiaite size, the requisite continuty conld not be doftried A manor in Cambridgewhire might haves a asetuber in siathilis a manor in Kent could uot have a tuetniver in Nerthumberl ued
 the werm inappropriate could ant be fixed. Mindera atlempto to detine a matur brak dows before thin ditheuty. Mios if not all, of thetra would suffer or evers complat us ba diotrike many a vast busour wentered atout over all Eingland as berag asingle manor'.

Therafore wo ank fier a definitems of a gmaner to bl act fe what cand sut be given. We may however draw a pueture if o typucal manor, and, this done, we may diseluse the devtatwas from this type.
(1) The typueal manor is geographicalls onturudemt eth a vill, the lored of the smanner in also the lourd of the vill amasere

 regarded forn another poome sppwam as a group of setas:!to. nil pronsonm who hinve latile ill the vill holld of criee and tle
 how toany public distses, ned the question whether an ano serey in part of the vill ir whether a givern permotis is a two motes of the townohip is, we may any, a queation of pribine to

[^407](2) The inhabited and cultivated lamdn of the mamor are divisible into three portions; the lord holdo land in demesae (In the parruwest sense of that torm ${ }^{1}$ ) and on thim atand his 35] house and homestead, and these are sometmes called preeminently the numerium; then there are lands held of him by freehold tenure, and there are lauds held of him by unfres or customary tenure. The arable portion of the manor usually lies in two or three great open fields, arul the stmps which are held by the lond, by the freeholdens, by the cutcomary tenanta lie intermingled. There is also pasture land; much of it is held by the lond in demesne, but over it the tonants have rights of common. The manor is an econnenic unit ; the lord's demesne lands in that mauor are to a cousiderable extent cultivated by means of the labour services which are rue from the tenants. (3) If the lurd is a great man with several manors, even though these be contiguous, the accounts of each are separately kept; very genernlly ach manor will bave ita bailff and its reeve. (t) Lastly, the lond holds a court for the manor; if he is a great man, besudes having a contr for each manor, he may hold a central court for all his prucipal frecholders, but each manor will usually have a court of its оพท.

Thus we may regard the typical manor (1) na being. qua sill, ats unit of public law, of police and fiseal law, (2) as being an unit in the system of agriculture, (3) as beage an unit it the managenent of property, (4) as being a jurisilietional unt. But we have now to see that hardly une of these traits cna be cousulered as absolutely exsential. The most unportant is the cunnexion between the manur and the vill; a consulemtion of thin we must for a while pustpone: but this much may bre premised that in very many inshanes the manor is not geographically coincadent with a vill sur yet with any group of vills.

We may brgiu by saying that the manor comprixes $a$ Tbo house, or at all events a housestoul, ocenpiral by the lord, his, mannor. aervants or leasens. This from the ctytunlugist's point of view appears as the essence of the manur. The ferm tnasor fmanerium) is one of the masy wurds which have their orgin in the Latin verb munere; nansus, muna (commons in the Anglosinxon laud-books), mansio, marisura or masura, niesstatham

[^408]
## 598 Jurisdiction and Communal . Iffairs. [EK. ut.

are other examplex, und it would amous thas mach of threr bas but slowly aesuines a whide of meaning perculans an itwolf. in

 used to signity junt the lond's house or homestesad and bas more: the porta manerii is she door of the house or of the court-yand ; the mitus maservi is the site of the house bygetions with its curtilage'; izrleasl in France the word manoir metma selfom, if ever, wo bear a more extended monaing. Stall then word se cosumonly unat nu as wisclude much mure than a bouse, as, for example, when Brachus willa un that a chinf mazor may contan meveral mubemanom, that a mumerium tuay be composerd of meveral vills?

Sumetinea a phrase neetms to holt between tho nammore and the wider usesung and shuwn us the ndaturs betwerd the iwo. When it is writters that certain landa 'belung to such a manor, a connexion legal and ectumatic between thom anat a certain building is, or taxy be, in the wrours mand. itammionally the worl 'hall,' wheh may lave bewn conners is English speech, is used in the wame way- he nwes stlut to the hall (aula) of Horrwingetreath,' ' it is cinatumary lanad uf ebr hall (aula) of Parkenham:

 (1) Sronse. On a mactly permalual vecupation of coune we can not mat Many uanons were in the hamds of the relighans, atsid bettion did the ruonks live on the natomen nor was it notind fire a



[^409]thirty or forty manors. The centre of the typical manor is often a homestead or farmyard with but humble buildings placed under the chargh of a bailiti, rather than a tine dwelling [0] for the lord and his family. But it is doubtful whether we can even insist upon the homestead. Often we may find that the situs manerii has been let to a tenaut at a rent: wo can not be certain that there are any longer any buillings upon it, and if there are, they are no longer occupied by the lord or his servants.

A similar doubt must be suggested as to the necessity of pememe land held in demesne. Undoubtedly it is a normal feature ${ }^{\text {land. }}$ of a manor that there should be land the fruits (not the rents but the actual fruita) of which come to the lord's gamers ; the unfree, and often the free, tenauts assist in the cultivation of this land, the raising of these fruits; the economist is apt to consider this as the essence of the manorial mrangement. But suppuse that the lord, more or leas permanently. purte with this land in exchange for a rent; has he ceased to hold a manor, to be lord of a manor, to have the right to hold a court fur all the tenants of the manor? To all theme questions we muat answer, No, at least if the supposed alienation be no more than a lease for years. 'Towards the end of the century it was becoming common for the lond to let the land that he had held in demesne; but the farmer (firmurius) of the demesne land did not become lord of the manor, the lessor did nut cuase to be lord, the tenantes mtill held immerliately of him, he still kept a court for them and took ites profits. As w the etfect of more permanent alienations, there iasy be more doubt, and we must distiugush a question alout the use of words from a question alumt the existence of rights. If the lord of a mamor enfeofferd another person with all the demesue lande, this gift, we may be surr, didi not necessarily camy with it a lordship over the senances of the free and unfree temements, a right. t.e all their rents and services, a jurisdiction over them. Dens wens very free to make what armugements they plonsed. We have, for example, an instructive verdiet concerning the histary of a Cambrulgeshire vill. The earl of Clousceater holds ßritisham of the kug. But his prodecessors gave the whole manor of Bottisham with all lands, demesnes and tenements, villemages, cuterills, pastures, meadows, mills, frapchise of bull and mom and all appurtenances and easments to two houstes of religion,
to wit, a moiety to Anglesey Priory and a moretry to Tublunder Priory, saving to hitnself and has sueceronem the free reate if the free tenanta in the same vill, and saring salt of coum frosu three wewkw to three weekw, nud enviug the homagem anal
 plens.' The result in that the prios of Anglaney han $2(0)$ wre and 6 villens and is courcils, the proor of Tomberden hae. like holding, while the parl has mome to firwh-hl tettante fie whutn he holde a court ; the view of frankplarige fur the whing vill is in his hand'. Here wo have the lord of a masure gitung half his demesnos and hali hus villezn corsemonts to otse proang.
 over the freethoiders, his right us rexedve their ronter and s.. bradd
 pasesble, thes unly legal losase beosig thas wheh wisuld have protected freehold temasta againast any aggravation if thons
 rombined uncombatued, a loord dud nue uftenf part with tare
 aleng with tha a right wo shome servieen whith his villeson bed
 have been wo lighuse or eoven he nloulish the norvious, but when thuse mervices were commanasl mas money duew, thon wis

 right to their nentes.

Ttion

## frevinalde

teruatale.

Tis give pexitive proof that no frewhold teramen wew nn-a kary to cometitute a manarium is defheule, for, malnaly sashl.
 that worrl, and certain it seeme that towants the efold af she
 without having jum a few frechelders intarmitided with tim. still instances enay be fonnd in which a lond hina a mosiand rater
 Thus, off the nbbite of (iloucenter entates we titad stase in
 tetunten in willesuggee and in which he holdels a court wish notic. austerse, he hos nee froeholders, or but sue fro-holeder. A. : : ?


[^410]the surveys of the thirteenth century and the earlier documents seems to show that many of the freehold tenancies are of modern origin. As regards two of the abbot of Peterborough's manors we may compare the 'Hundred Roll with the ancient Black Book. On the 'manor' of Alwalton, according to the younger of these documents, there are two libere tonentes, the one is the parish parson, the other holds but a messuage with a rood and three acres; the Black Book tells of no freeholders. It is so also on the 'manor' of Fletton; the Black Book mentions no freeholders; the Hundred Boll mentions two, one of whom gets his land from his grandfather, who was steward in the abbot's hall ${ }^{2}$. Indeed in the Black Book we come across vill after vill in which the abbot has many villeins and no freehold tenant. The theory that freehold tepants are necessary to constitute a manor will allow to some mighty lords of the twelfth century very few manors indeed.

One limit may perhaps be set to our scepticism:-there Tenante in must be villein tenements, there must at all events be some villetrage. tenants holding 'of' the manor. As a matter of fact this probably was so. In the then state of agriculture a tract of any considerable size held in demesne almost of necessity implied a group of persons whose tenure of other lands obliged them to aid their lord in his husbandry. Still when we find the word 'manor' used, as sometimes it is, to denote just the lord's house and homestead, and when we consider the close comexion that there is between 'manor,' 'manse,' 'mansion,' 'messuage,' we may doubt whether there is any severe rule of fashion, to say nothing of law, about the use of these terms. Again, we are not able to produce any example from the thirteenth century of an estate which is called a manor but which has no villein or customary tenements bound up in it or with it; still we should not be surprised to find that if . 590] a lord enfranchised all his villein tenements he still was said to hold a manor; he might get a good deal of occasional labour out of his freeholders, so that their lands would still be knotted to his demesne lands so as to form an economic

[^411]unit Nor have we my warmant fror supposing that tha state of things could be proxuced only by enfranchiamene ne In the account of eastern Fingland given in Jhorsematay beak it is pexsible tu fiad mameris which have no tollanta whil an bolow the rank of mokeme'n, and wome of thene manorm may will have been 'manors' in the thirteenth rentury, unatuon with freebulid telsantas. but without semanta of a hever kiond

Again, to turn th another point, we basilly clan ayy that a pronin who has villein or customary tenants must hare. aunor or mast have a court. What can wee make of the bumosiuls casea in which a man has but three or four ajech wenaita! likesh he hold a count for thelll Lat is resamutie the vill of Uplose in Huntinglonshire : $A$ han a mesanger and haif a currucate 3 demesur and the rixth part if a wowal and the sixth part of one free tenant,' John the Frweman, whon puys him sel. and holds ante enrucate, and $A$ has nlas cone virgete and $n$ half in villemage which three villeine hold of hime, isw of whotrs pays him 10s. and merchet, and he has 'she ansth part of two villeins.' sund each of thempays him 19 d . for the sixth part. of oni carucate: and he has two critotill anch if whom pays hims $38 . \mathrm{xd}$, and 'half one mite-n-ll' who payw him likd., asd 'the suxth part of twos cutandle' marh of whith paro
 are sume other holdinges'. Whethore al would hace mant the: ho had a manour we dou sule know, but wre can harilly lafiom that be kept a court for his tomante and frathonal parts ad
 anwing coherireseses: part of the emate that deocrided to thoth has beed partitioned, part remaits maphertitmerd

 haif of it en Nigel the C'hatuberinats whe gano hialf thas hasf we him rlaughter's marnage purtion, thes iquarta-s of the vill to
 Nigel gave awoy anuthor prece to ther Alduet of Wariters the remidue of has monety descembied th hat tive danghtera Thun :te
 the remmining three-eighthe fol Hubert de Hor hh, whe kald
 of Wilbraham are divided annarg tuasy lurls, une of whom the

- H. H. 4. 530
but three ${ }^{1}$. A case may be found in which a man has a few freehold tenants and just one customary tenant (a servus)", many cases in which he has two or three villeins and two or three cottagers. In these cases we can not easily believe that the villeins are protected by any court or by any custom. When a great lord detaches a few of his customary tenants to form an endowment for some retainer, they can hardly keep their old condition; in course of time they must rise or they must fall : their services being commuted into money, they may make good their claim to be freeholders, or on the other hand they may become tenants at will in the strictest sense of the term.

To the size of the manor we can set neither an inferior nor blse of the a superior limit. Occasionally diminutive words are coined to mance. indicate manors which are of less than the normal sise; thus Domesday Book tells us how the Bishop had a manoriolum in Lincoln with one carucate of land and sake and soke and toll and team ${ }^{2}$; and the Hundred Rolls tell us of a manerettum in Devonshire4. In Domesday Book the word manerium often covers an exceedingly small quantity of land; the so-called s92] manor is only a peasant's tenement'. In the thirteenth century we shall hardly find the word given to such little estates. On the other hand, the very largest manors which then meet us have all the appearance of being old.

Four cases may be mentioned. The ancient demeane manor of Bensington in Oxfordshire has according to the jurors been vast; Henley-on-Thames, Nettlebed, Wyfold, Huntercombe, Warborough, Shillingford, Holcombe and Crowmarsh have been its hamlets, and four hundreds and a half have been appurtenant to $\mathrm{it}^{4}$. In Domesday Book Bensington pays the king the large sum of $£ 80$ and 100 shillings 'and the soke of four and a half hundreds pertains to this manor'.' In Suffolk lies the huge royal ' manor ' of Lothingland, containing the towns of Gorleston and Lowestoft, which lie some nine miles apart*; this represents a great estate held by Earl Gurth in the time of the Confessors. In Lincolnshire the king's manor

[^412]
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 Jurisdiction cerad Commanal Iffasion. [BK. Itof Costor includem matny medjacent villagen of parto of them this had teren a great emtate of Eiarl Morear with Z wo wionema
 numerous villagess in the Conumesoris day it treoseght ith bishop of Winchester il $5 t$ a year'; it has becrotne the -laweval example of manom abourtmally large.
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[^413]unity, what made it one manor not two manors (to be called perhaps Upper Dale and Lower Dale), what were the characteristics a loss of which would have been fatal to its existence as a single manor, would have been to ask questions no clear answer to which could have been had, because they would seldom have been useful questions. They could only arise in a practical form when there was a dispute as to how much land had passed by some feoffiment or lease, and on such occasions they would be settled by general repute:-the jurors would say that the plot in question had always, or had never, been accounted part of the manor. In other words, we are inclined to think that the mere fact that a certain tract of land or a certain complex of rights was a manorium had no immediate
394] legal consequences. In particular, it seems to us that the men of the time would generally have argued from the court to the manor, rather than from the manor to the court, and would have said ' $A$ single court is held for it, therefore it is a manor,' rather than 'It is a manor and therefore it has a court.'

## §7. The Manor and The Tounship.

In a famous passage Ordericus Vitalis asserts the identity coinciof the manerium and the villa:-the Bishop of Coutances held ${\underset{\text { dence }}{\text { dan }} \text { of }}_{\text {mind }}$ by the Conqueror's gift two hundred and eighty 'villas quas a rill. inanendo manerios vulgo vocamus ${ }^{\text {l }}$. An assumption to the same effect seems to be made by the writ which ordered the Domesday Inquest; the priest, the reeve and six villani of every villa are to swear, in the first place how the mansio is called, who held it under the Confessor, who holds it now, how many ploughs there are in demesne, how many the men haveand so forth. It is assumed that England is, and has been, held in villue, that each ville has its mansio. The answering verdicts do not altogether bear out this assumption. The local names which are used (when they are not names of countics or huvdreds) seem to be with few, if any, exceptions the names of places which were accounted villae; they are names of villages, and generally there is no difficulty about finding them as names of villages upon the modern map. Now

[^414]very communly it is true that a single lord bolda the what place which beary nou of thewe aatues Thue formuin uend to - $A$ (butne of a tenant in chicif) tenat $\boldsymbol{X}$ (place matace? seat or don not tind that any persmon, wher that $A$ and tanante of hise holds asything is $X$. But this rule as subject (u) so man? exceptions that in mome parta of tho emuntry is crases ion be the rule. Such th the case in the ueighbourturent of tiembrubse For uxnuple, there are tive temancien is chef in Trumpasage and six in Grauchester; no one therefure cuuld all haram if the lond of Trumpington or of Grantcheater, ason the kinge, acout be only in the sense in which he was lond of cevery will in Enogianad In documentes that are hater tham Diouneday Bons wa esme. times find the same axsumption, which in Fown we nugb: express thus: Sulle rille mus reigueur. In she legen Hewni she prient, reeve and four of the best men of the vill apyse as represerentatives of the lumel. (of what lofd! The iowl at
 purrish in the ponsanme of the werjeasut and clerk of tho barman Of what baron? The ford of the purish Fior the neweennent of the tax of 1198 the presunce in nempirest of the low inf whe vill of the ballff of the vilt? Blotl the etatute troets of the
 will have ite lord'.
(oblacsはलनए Ematical an truramal.
 lo-lief thut mormally vill mod mathor are but two nampe find un thang: the rilla of pulbic law is the wamertans of propert
 typural, wer rasg seld thate it in the nomple aud exprimentse and When vill and manor coinclide. then we sabe an wramericata re
 It now han a cours, in which a mever aud colletalate thay E appointoul and an which ndl quastione relating to the appert a. ment of public durnes ran be derqdeal. We can ala, ase be

 mil of that puature betunge tow the lint of the will nat ragi

[^415]lations concerning its use can be made in his court. All will go smoothly, for the communitas or commuma of the townghip has a governing body, a representative assembly which meets periodically. Very frequently this case is put before us in the rolls of manorial courts:-the body of persons who attend the court represent the township and indeed are the township, and so we read how the villata gives evidence, gives judgments, makes presentments, makes by-laws'. The lord's court in such p.cof] a case was not merely the court of a manor, it was the court of a vill, of a township; in English speech it may often have been called the tuwn-moot or township-moot?.

Such was the simple, and we have seen some reason for This cotmcalling it the typical, case. But in many parts of the country didence not it can not have been the common case. In the thirteenth century the terms 'manor' and 'vill' were not equivalent. The legal principles which shape the manor are not those which shape the vill. For a moment we may even be tempted to say that the vill is an unit of public, the manor an unit of private law; the one an unit for police purposen and fiscal purposes, the other a complex of proprietary rights and of the mutual obligations which bind lord to tenants and tenants to lord. And there is truth here. To all appearance the boundaries of the vills are matters of public law, not to be disturbed by conveyance or contract. New townships can not be created or old townships abolished by the lord of the soil, for in so doing he would disarrange the fiscal, administrative, justiciary scheme of the hundred, the county, the kingdom, and might aggravate the burdens incumbent on his neighbours ${ }^{3}$. The power of making new vills without licence from above must cease as the centralization of government and justice becomes more perfect, probably had ceased before the

[^416]end of the twelfth century. Hat the next ceotury was oras
 new mashum. The prucess of subinfendation went in napmity it was giverned by rules of private law; it enatavd are

 collectend romend the wort 'masur.' aud the gromeral thewery wo that $n$ manor must have uxistect frum before the tomemmes of legal memory, it was still admutted that a purtition ambers. co-parceners might make two munors ous of one $^{2}$ Biat $=\mathrm{r}$. , ${ }^{4}$ vecable though thrs geteral idea may be, thin contront br: wems the unite of public and of private law, we can net prose is home. At leant accoonimg to our moxdern odeas, a court is an inatitute of public not of private law; but it on rather the manor than the township that han a morrt : the sownehip a such has none. Stull, though it may be turpowabie for us wo explain the distuetion by any geteral ternos of thedem junprudence, it "ximted:
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 fiof the four vilte a manut to wheds thereo pilde ase apres kenanes

- Irmaton. C. 43.

often, at least in the south of England, two, three or four manors in the same vill. When we have made large allownuces for the vanity of modern laudowners, who have liked the sound of the word 'manor,' the case remains common, and, at least in Cambridgeshire, the Hundred Rolls show that it was conamon in the reigu of Edward J., while Domestay Bowk shows that it had been enmmon ever since the Conquest. When there are several manors in a vill, the names that they bear are often not trise local names but family names, the names of the 808) persons who held chem in the chirteenth or sume later century.

There is, however, a difficulty before us when we attempt Mann and (t) detine the cases that are under discussion. We must in the first place mark ofl the instauces in which there is a chief manor with seveml sub-manors, for in these instanmea the whole vill may be sulyect mediately or immediately to one and the same court, the court of the chiof manor. That court will be attended by the lords of the sub-manors or their representatives and may be able: to fet as a governing assembly for a whole vill or for a group of vills'. But, though it is ham to fix the limit, we come upon cases which we enn no lunger describe sa presenting the phemomenon of manor and sub-manor. The dittriculty is cecasioned by the vagueness of the term 'manor' and the fact that in a certais sense evpry vill in Englaud must have a lond who is lowd of the whole vill; at all events the king will be lord of the vill ; all the titless of all the landhosters may meet at sume point short of the king; the whole vill may belong to the honour of Giloucester; but at any rate they will meet, in the king. Now when in a single vill we find three or four lunds each with land in desmestes. treehold teuantes and villeins, and each lurl hulds immediately of the king, or traces his title from the king throngh a different series of mesne lorels, and when we find that the king hinsself has no demestre land and no villenn ectants in or near the vill, we feck that any talk of chief manor and sub-manura will be out of place: -the king hat no maner there, and no one has a manor which coutans the whale vill. The cease in entech the same if the titles of the variotis lorda meet in the Earl of filoucester; the whole vill torms part of the homour of cilousceater, the londs

1 Than the cuatits of the manor of Barigiton Pozoyn which it held by
 Faleace; R. H. i. 689.
p. . . 1 .
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arrangements made once for all, arrangements under which, at least as between the various manors, lords of manors and extramanorial freeholders, the communal burdens of the township have become 'real' burdens. Once more we come upon the 'realism' of the time; one manor owes an aliquot share of all imposts exacted from the vill, another manor another share. The duty of sending representatives to the courts has been permanently apportioned. To represent Dodford in Buckinghamshire one lord supplies three men, another the fourth man and the reeve ${ }^{1}$. The vill of Thurlby and Morton used to appear before the justices as an entire vill; but now the Templars 'subtract' one man whereby the king's business is impeded ${ }^{2}$. The fourth part of the vill of Willingham, namely the fee of Cantilupe, does not make its accustomed suit, to the king's damage of $2 d$. per annum ${ }^{3}$. The township of Abingdon Parva used to come to the eyre and the sheriff"s turn by four men and the reeve, but now John of Girund withdraws one man and the Prioress of St Radegund another, so that but three come ${ }^{6}$. Such entries as these seem to show that the burden of providing the five representatives, like every similar burden, tended to become a permanent charge on particular acres of land.

> And so with the duty of contributing to fines and amerce- Allotment monts. The aliquot share that ench hundred must contribute burdens. twwards a fine imposed on the county is known, and the aliquot share that each vill must pay to a fine impersed on the hundred in known. Thas it is known that if a fine is imposed on the humbed of $\mathrm{H}(\%$ in Kent, the abbot of Reading ought to pay one third of it, 'for be stands for a third in the said hundred as the therd lowd of the said hundreds.' What is to happen if he promens a chartor axempting his lands from these fines is not wry date: the mon of the humdert hold one opinion, the ottieres of the excherpuer another. So again it is not certain how far thex appromments are unalterable:-the men of an Mamband dedare that they ought to bear oue third of the (hargen tiat "umin the humbers of Freebrilge, while the other
 fron than th timu". Smi wit is within the vill. In an anthent hrwe of the lamb of Sit Elmond we read that the will

of Risby is divided intas four parts: the hall of the contornt wath tee amen is sue fourth, the land of Halph Brotote anmither the land of Smrinan another, the laws of Willium ansil if the sulsetnen auether. Thus whes we are told that a tawnerip.
 the ilanegeld, the mheritf" uid, the humdnal-ment or the lik. E..
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 ronee and for all.

The church rsu.

Sinch wis, we suspert, of the the paes hind beven, the toe with the chureh-rate ur the precinswif. Wo hare irest -

[^417]ground every inch of which has been undermined by bitter controversy; we will traverse it rapidly. Whether or on the church-rate has a remote origin, whether it is comnected wath ancient church-seots and light-seuts, whether, on the other hand, the clergy have shuffled off a burien which once fell on them, we do not inquire. We think it however quite plan that in the thirteenth century the general custom of the chureh of England, swerving in this from the ius commane of the catholic church, cast the burden of repuining the nave of the parish church and providing the main part of the meclusiascical applaratus, not upon the paraon, but upon the parishioners, and that the lay puwer lef the spiritual tribumals free to enforce this custom by spiritual censures. But we are by no means satisfied that this enstum demanded any permanent organization of the parishioners, any "vestry' that would meet and grant a rate. So far as we can seet, the burden is a real burdeu,' incumbent on land. T'he ecelesisatical power can, we take it, deal directly with each individual landowner, can excomonunicate him and procure his imprimoment if he will not coutribute his proper share to whatever expenditure has become necessary for the due repair of the fabric, and the question uf necessity is decided by the eeclesinatical court. The duty of repairing the parish church is analogous to the duty of reparing the connty bridges; it is planted in the soil and the the soil it has coled; it is apportioned accurding to hidage or acreage. Nu doubt. the wecasional nature of the charge almost compels the rector or the archdeacon tu deal with the parishiuncrs as a budy, th call them together and eudeavour to persuade them that a wall is crumbling or that a p(axs) Hew missal in wanted. The parnshioners will make terms with him: they may vote him a rate we bessesserl in this wny or in that; and very likely, as they will have to pay, they will bure the wortineen and buy the materials. The spleadeur and costliuess of the churches and their furniture incrense very mpully; the parson's demands grow heavier and more frequent. What goes on in the kingdum at large is going on in ench parish. Alucy-vating vestries becatue as indispunsable to the rector men money-voting parlaments are to the king. Movable
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 given us by the histury of thase royal caxes upon movable grods maxer of which are becoming cotnmon at the end of our period. Upon manpalien. the face of the documents which prescribe how the tax is to be levied we see litele enough of 'realisno.' Every mau in England is tw pay a fifteenth of his movables and therefore every man of Littleton must dn so. In urder to reveal the amount of his wealth, sumu of his neighbours must be examined, aud for the purpose of ther requisite assessment the vill will be taken as its unit. Four or six men must come from ench vill to meet the chief taxers whom the king has appuinted. It is posssble thut in some of the early instances these represerscatives were chosen by their fellow villagers-even this would not entitle us to irnagine any standing assembly of the town-ship-but so soun as the procedure becones perfectly clear, the villar representatives are not elected by their neighbomss? ${ }^{1}$. The king appoints ' chief taxers' for the county; they are to Hoa; cause to come before them so many meu from each vill that they, the cbief taxers, may be able to choose out four or six, who are thereupsn to appraise the goods of every man of their vill ${ }^{3}$. Of any san of money cast upm the vill as a whole we read no word; each individual man of the kingdom is to pay a fifteenth of his movables. However, in Edward 1ll.'s retgu the affect of repated taxatsons in that certan gutstas have aiready struck root in the soil of the vills. Frequently a cownship complains that it is masessed tho highly, for it is not so[^419]rich an once is was. Aruudel has muffersd by fine, Provouart by wouer; in Bmadwy there nsed to live a gich man whol pows two-thinds of the taxes, but now he is dezul; mons are lowting Derby us live at Nottangham because the burdeth of sentio and fiftuenths lies heavy on the fromer suwn; the men of Newport complain that the pressure of the fifteeth upmin the w in merensed because the I'nur of Numport has acyurovi labios in their will und is free frum taxation!. Now all then nowan thas a grven vill is mated at $n$ certnin sums, aud thas, whesios sere a fiftrenth or a centh of movable is payable, the chef taven usamt that a figeenth or a werth of that sum mines conte finitu that vill. There in in thas cense nuthoge that we ran omb ascuracy call communal or common lability. The oub-tatere have to apportion the fixed sum among the anete of their silt and the individual mans will be habie ouly for the amouns which they cast upon hum. Sull thene is a locealiond allotuans of the tax anung the vills. The cenee to the more thatmazsir because the growth of this sjatem see:ms but hulf rovingtito. If a cownship is mpoverished by thenel ir fire uf the ikath is a wealthy member, it demands a new caxatom and serta th regard this as mattef of night. This is a retuaribable esample of the 'realomis' of me-tieval law. Kivell in tax in) mons ablo
 We see this happesing in the full lighe of the fourtewent ite tury to the detroment of the ruyal exchowiuer, which is times? c) regard the wevtith of Fiugland an a tixal , plabtit!. Wie mat be farly sure that is cautieer days the risalineu was !ut simuster and where it prevalial no permuent commonal tha-hion?
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persmailed that this is not so. He aext imagines the humdredmoot levying a rate for the payment of a sum that has been adjuiged to be due from the huudred. But, Larning to his books, he tinds that there is nothing in the case that ought to be called communal liability; there is merely a joint and several liability. The person who has been ingured picks out two or three wealthy iuhabitants of the district, sues them for the whole sum and recovers it from them. But at all events (in he may think) these men will be able to claim a cuntribution frum their felluw inhabitants. No, the burden lies where it originally falls. This is so until Eliznbreth's day, when for the first time a more equitable and a more communal primeiple is introluced, and all the inhabitants are rated for the reltef of those who have suffered for the sins of the hundred'. What we begin by calling the permanent chargea on the community turn out to be 'real' burdeas apportioned for goud and all upon manors and virgates and neres of land, while, at least in some cases, as we have just seen, the occasional charges are distributed by chance.

But (to return to the township) the unity which public law Economic demands from it is not the only unity that it displays. Having read, for example, in the Huadred kulls, how in Cambridgeshire the vill cuntained two, three, four manors, having verifiel this in IDomesday Boos, having seen for instance how ever since the Conquest there have been five tenancles in chief in Trumpingtnn, six in Grantchester, we turn to maps which Hoif show that very often theme manors were net continuous tracta of land. Euch village has its great open fields; the fields wake their names from the villages, not from the manos: the lands of the various unuors lie intermixed in the fields. Now this we can not treat as a mens geographical finct. Cultivation of the cemmon fields implies a system of agriculture which nust is some degree be commumal. To this we nanst add that in the thirternth century righte of pasture are far mone cummonly atributesl wo the men or the commanty of a vill
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the township of Gamlingay has owned this soil; far truer may it be to say that the idea of ownership had never been applied to it. But we are now dealing with the thirteenth century, and our present point must be that in Gamlingay we see no court, no assembly, capable of dealing with this waste. We do not see it in our documents. Shall we say that none the less it must be there?

Before we give an affirmative answer we ought to observe Intercom. that there were many cases in which two, three, or more vills rills. intercommoned. Of such cases we read much in the thirteenth century, but they grow ever rarer as time goes on ${ }^{2}$. Sometimes the boundaries of vills were uncertain; between lay a waste over which the cattle roamed indiscriminately and no one could fix the spot where the territory of one vill left off and that of another began? Now, when we see this, we do not feel compelled to suppose that there was some permanent 'intervillar' organization, some assembly in which the several townships met each other to regulate the affairs of the common. So when there are several manors in one vill; the rights of the various lords in 'the common of the vill' seem regarded as having been determined once for all by the terms of their feoffiments, and, if there is to be any new regulation of them, this is accomplished, not by the action of any court or assembly, Uי! but by a treaty. Eatch lord can represent himself and his villoins; his freeholders give their consent. Such treaties were not mbnown. The Abbot of Malmesbury wished to enclose part of a great morr called Corsgrave. Twelve deeds were nocesatry for this purpose. By one the lord of Foxley 'on brhalf of himself and all his menn of servile condition' released hin right of "ommon; by the others various freehold tenants of Foxley reloased their rights ${ }^{3}$. As to the customary couse of agriculture, that needs no regulation; it maintains itself,
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But that the hownahip had and neralead litelo parmanems arganization we shall better underatand if we suturis tal shim cave in whech a vill and a manor ane moincidents. Hon at first aight we may merm to see and uffective organization it the vill ta no mere adminiatrative divenct; the cownothp is a 'village commumty.' Certanly this is eo: the fownomp it a commana, a commantise, and thas village crommumty has a mont, a court and axmboly of its own ; the ermomuovions rillue it the commumitus betemots. Still under the indur-hes-of iniadonn theories about 'archnic' facte we might. "xagky.pate the amment of commomatism or even of melf-guommantit whirla exame in the suwiushif.
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rightes $u$ be enjoyed in common. A right of commun is a right to cujny something along with someone else, th turn out on's beasts on a pasture where the beasts of the lord and of one's felluw-temants feed, to take sticks from a whod, turf from a moner, fish from $n$ pond in which wthers are entitled $w d$ dis similar acts. Bue, for all this, the right may be an individual's several right, a right that he has acquired by a several tithe, a right that he can enforce against his fellow-commonems, a right that he without aid from his fellow-eommoners can enforee against strangers, a right over which his fetlow-tomuneners have litte or no control.

Such really are the frecholder's rights. At a later time our The freelaw definitely laid down the rule that the freelubld teount of a nghlars. wauor is entitled to 'common appendant,' which is detined as the right which every freelold tenant of a manor possesses, u) depasture his commonable cattle, levant and couchant on his frechuld tenement anciently arable, in the wastes of the manur'. To entitle himself to this right, a man merely has to show that he is a frechold tenant of the manor; he has not to show that this right has been granted by the lord to him or to his predecessors, nor has he to show that he has gninetd it by lung-continued use. With common appendant is contranted 'common appurtenant.' If a man clains some right which exceeds or swerves from the definituen of commun appendaut, then he must make a title $\&$ ) it by grant or prescription. Such is the case, for example, if he would turn onto the waste beasts that are not commuanble, donkeys, goats. swine or gresse, if he would turn onto the waste more axen or hurses than are 'levant and couchant' on his thememe or if he would clains common in respect of land that is not 'ancient arable.' Now, it has, sis we think, been sufticiently (821) show'll that the terms in which this listmetion is exprenserl are protty modern; an aceurate discrimination between appendancy' and 'appurtemacy' belongs rather to Litcleton's day than to Bracton's?. Alsor it must be confessed that the substavee of the distinetion hardly apperars in Brastonis fext. His doctrine is that these rights of cummon wre jura an re aliena and are to be gained either by grant or by alvorse user, though be seems to admet a class of ceases, not very easily

[^422] uny much teter. On the whole, however, a casupariones is charters of feotfinent with manornal survey will bring 40 ...
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[^423]'approve,' that is, to make his profit of ${ }^{1}$, and hence to enclose, to subtract, the waste land, provided that he left sufficient pasture for the commoners. How did matters stand before the statute? The individual freeholder addresses his lond and his fellows :-- True it is that the waste is superabundant; true that I am only entitled to turn out four oxen on it ; true that if half of it were enclosed I should be none the worse off; true that all of you wish the enclosure made; true that I am selfish:-nevertheless I defy you to enclose one square yard; I defy you severally; I defy you jointly; you may meet in your court; you may pass what resolutions you please; I shall contemn them; for I have a right to put my beasts on this land and on every part of it ; the law gives me this right and the king protects it.' This is not communalism ; it is individualism in excelsis.

Over the freeholder the manorial court has little power; Freedom for him it is a court of law (though very generally he can of treeholder. evade its action and go straight to the king's court), but it is hardly a governmental assembly. He is very free of custom, he is very free of by-laws. The following brief record tells us much :-In 1223 Richard of Beseville and Joan his wife brought an assize of novel disseisin against Peter of Goldington and thirty-six others for land in Ravensthorpe. 'And all of them come and confoss that the tenement is the free tenement of Richarl and doun, but they [Richard and Joan] were not able to cultivate that tenement that year, for in that year the field lay fillow, and because contrary to the custom of the vill the plaintiffs cultivated that tencment, these defendants pastured the corn when it had spronted.' Richard and Joan are not at pains to reny the custom; they abide the judgment of the court. 'And therefore it is considered that the said Richard and Joan remain in their seinin and that Peter and the others be in merey:. Wi would williugly know more of this case; but on the fire of it we seedeln tu, read that a freeholder can not the compellad by mere custom to allow his neighbours to pasimer thair banth on his land, and that, to say the least, - There camot be a cuatum for inhabitants as such to have a protit "prember in the wil of another ${ }^{3}$.' To justify his act tach of the defemdant- -hould have prescribed for a right of
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culture of their own holdings, Very seldom will the peasaut be sble to plough his strips without the aid of his neighbours ; he will not have oxen enough'. In some manors a tennat is bound by the express terms of his tenure as entered upon the court rolls to discharge, not only the duties which he will owe to the lord, but nlen the duties which he will owe to his neighbours; and we may find a man forfeiting a tenement because he will neither dwell in it nor cultivate it nor 'do any neighbourliness to his neighbours':' that is to say, he will take no share in the communal duties. In accordance with this idea we find that the lurd treats the corumunity of the vill as an entity that has duties towards him. It is constantly falling into his mercy for breach of duty; it is amerced for coming late to court, for committing waste, for damaging his crops, for not cleansing the (5) pond, for not selling him poultry, for not baving a common pinder, for not repairing the sheepfold, the mill, the smithy, nheu commanded tu du so. All the tenants of the vill owe one mark for an axletree delivered to them and lost by their defaults. The lond sells the herbage of his land to the tenants of the vill, he leases the dumesne land to then as a body. The community contracts with him and with others. The community of the vill of Monkton, except T.T. and W.T., is cumpelled to pay dumages to W.S. for damage dune in his curn'. On the wther hand, Fair John has bruken a covenaut with the community of the will of Wolviston by nut paying the *hepherd his salary, to the damage of the community, 6s. 8d. ${ }^{\text {. }}$ All manuer of commauds are given to the community, and the cumanaity itself makes all manuer of by-laws (byrlawes, bileges)". To mark off the sphere of the commands issued by the lord or his sleward from that of the by-laws anade by the

[^425]comurnity would bo hard: as hund as en mart off the aphent is royal ordinancen from that of porlinmoutary metatuses'. Tor lerd is a constitutionnl king, and, when there is on be druate and persunnent leggislatien, he acte with the combel and coseens: of his court ; but atill orer the villeins and the villenn tesemmeste be is every mech a king. If the cummion in wo be senteat the consent of the court will be obtained; bus a simple myude. tion will servo to tall all the tenalita that they an mat to keep geewe in the vills, not to buy beer nave at the lond'n brewhoule: not to sell growing crops: that they must offer their fish and poultry to the lond before they look fur other purchaners? thas they must find bedo for his offieers: Shat they muot not amesiate with John Iallis, wher han made ton fine whth hito knife', that they must not sue in uther courte? that they mum not throw about much words as nativi or mataci, though minion
 poeribility of all this contuminul orgunizatioa of the townethip. econnmy. When the freeholdere aro left out of night, it appers us a mass of villeins, or at any rate an a man of men bobliong their lands by villein tenure. Let one of them retel agruns the community, itn enutomn or ite hy-tawn, hin bondy. It many ite is ate agnainat imprisonment or exite (exte from the oit is b? no means uncormmun)w, but his land is at the lort's mert? and will be tuken from him, the communty sanctutasig and applandiag the puaishment".
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asother man's soil or impounded another man's cattle. Of course there can be no talk of enforcing againat freeholders the mere commands of the lord, even thuugh they be backed by che common assent of the township, at all eveuts when such commauds have nothing to do with the tenement. The freeholder may sell fish and poultry to whom he pleases; he may associate with John Lollis if he pleases, provided that John be a lawful man; it will be difficult to make him take his com to the common mill ${ }^{1}$, impossible to make him lend the steward his bed. But further, as we have already seen, it will be by no taeans easy to diminish his right of pasture or to prevent him from cultivating his land wheo and how he chooses if he can do this without trespass. When injunctions are laid upon the vill, when by-laws are made for the vill, the freeholdens must be weated as exceptions. It is ondained that no tenant of the vill of Ferry Hill shall put horses in the oxen's pasture, save the four liberi, each of whom may put there the horse on which he rides? All the tenants of the same vill, except the four liberi, ave anerced because they refused to have a comunou reaper 617] appointed for them by the lord's officers. The mill fell into disrepair. In 1366 order was given to distrain the free tenants wo repair it, while all the other tenants were ordered to repair it by the next court day. In 1868 the frecholders, despite all orders for distraining them, had not done their share of the work; the customary tenants had done theirs: But of the exceptional prsition of the frecholdens we have said enough; over the customary tenanta, eapecially if they are unfree men, the village court has great power, for it is the lord's court. The lord can treat then as a commuuity because he can truat thens at villeins.

Still it would be easy for as ta overentimate the com-comman munalism that there is in the vill, even when there are no dinan and freeholders to be considered. In the first place, we must notice lialility. that mere collective linbility for transgressions implies little commuualisto, litule permanent organization, while it certninly does not imply, though it dines not exclude, the idea of corporate unity. If the vill can be fised sod ameroed for neglect of duties owed to the state or to the lord, so also the county and

[^426]
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the hundred can be fived and amerved for follee judgramene. for murders, for robberies; but yet it has no (whtuluats purs: no property. The cousity community han no property; the bundred community has do property. So tikewne the townatip normally has no property. When a judgment for darnages, rifer or amercement in gives agninst $i t$, thin ' it' at nuce beromes. mere mase of individunls who are jointly and meverally inable for the whole amount, while, as between thenselven, thetr poop sharea uso settlent by the system of commensurable colvenumbe all virgaters pay equally, all cottagem equally.

The cाмय. muntly frortuor

Even when the manor is furmed by the villems. E
 naliam that there is in the arrangement. Sometimes the hus lets une of him manors th the men of that manor ; andeetmes other loris do the same. The lesus in anch sere wo nue generally wh have been a lease at will, but thene may lune dia some places with nur pretersaions te be calleal burrughts where the men of the vill farmexl the vill in fure. Sumetimen the ivene .., if auch we muat call $2 k$, sereras th have compriand all tho anmas of reveluse that the lord hat in the mator, nomutimon wion is these werne exceptad out of it. Thus the Prior and l'omertat if Worcester have a masour at Hallow. 'the cuurt' with tor
 4) the villeins nt a cors rent thge-ther with tho unesadows atol crsualties and herines und the vilhditunge ${ }^{3}$, thenagh the cobrot
 arable land. Hut we muat nut jump tor the eruncluaton that tbr villani are carrying on the cultuation of the dergester hand eo
 belong to them in ecmman or to a corporation of which the are the members. At Hallow the arable pars of the tetueser which has beets handed over to theon weetres th be korethen cip intu physically dintuet sharew, ench of wheh wo bol by an indevidual millumus at a meveral rent. The upmore of the arrangenest meetus $u$ be thus:-ibe villagenm, tissazal if borise placed under a bailiff' of the lurd's chominig. and azowa the rows to elect their uwn firmarios. naul to thin each paye tbe set

[^427]due from his ancient villein tenement and also the rent due in respect of any part of the quondam demesne that he has taken, and out of these rents, the profits of the court, and such casualties as heriots, the elected farmer must pay ' the farm' of the manor ${ }^{2}$. The lond obtains the joint and several guarantees (if we may use so definite a term) of all his tenants for the whole 'farm.' If the farmer can not pay the rent, the lord can attack all or any of the tenants; if on the contrary the farmer occasionally makes more than the 'farm,' very likely he keeps the surplus to himself or possibly it is expended in festivity; if a surplus becomes normal, then the rents of the individual cenants will perhaps be reduced. But the lorrls, we may be sure, thok good care that these ventures should not be very profitable,

But, to return to the usual case in which there is no farming. Tre
i0] we see that the rights given by the manorial custom are, at manomin least for the more part, several rights given to individuals. xiven The tenant in villeinage hulds his house and his virgate by a mikhtes uot title that is in no sense communal, and to this tenement are riguts annexed rights of pasture, customary rights of pasture ; he enjoys them, not because he is an inhabitant of the vill, but because they are annexed as appurtenances to the tenement that he holds. He transmits an inheritance to his heir as the frecholder does, nor, so far as we cas learn, does custom give the court much power to regulate these rights. When a statement of them is made and enrolled, it generully professes to be, not a new ordinance, but an ancient custom, and the function of the by-laws that are made is, at least in thenry, rather that of confirming and sanctioniug old, than that of introducing new rules, though new rules can be made from time to time about minor matters.

Looking at the vill from the outside, contrasting it with nighes if other vills, men naturally use phrasen which seem to attribute sbe townrights to the community as a whole. The township of Sutton, whear or the community of the vill of Norton, is said to pasture its or esaunined. their cattle (nften enough the verb that follows villuta is in the plural number) over a particular monr. But just so a sheriffs hailiff will be charged by jurors with enking the beasts of the vill of Weston. The township as a community has no beasts; the beasts that bave been taken belonged in severalty to

[^428]certain individual mon'. Even so with the righes of prature: on analysis they are found to be the rightes of certan indovian men; they ane exmeised in common, but they are severnd nghtea

Cowner. alij, nexi corpopate propurdy.

An Eratjons.

Lastly, when, an may sometimes happon, the uwnerahip of a trnet of land seems to be attributed to a commanity, we baw still to fhee that difficult question which tus of late bees exercising the minds of continental histonams:-Have os. before us a corporate unit or have we mernly a grinup of co-uwners' ? Englaud affurds but few materiala for an anawer to. this important question, for auything that even by a atreteh ..f, language could be culled a commutial ownership of lami, if it had ever existed, hul beconse rave and namalues before the stream of accumate dreuments begins to tlow. But what ever will tend un make: iss belteve that it was nathers an a grousp uf co-owrung individuals than as an curpuration that the moemtura of the vill thought of cheraselvas whens they had a chavor if applying rither the now idea or the uthere.

The manner in which the 'fquasi-corporatersese' of ibs township was dismelved at the toneh of law may her alizatrasod by a atory from Dunstable. Priney. In 1293 she Prour beroghty an asoze of movel diswisis agniust seventewn defeminnta no. orrning tnud at Toxdehgeron. Svome of the defermante wisto and themmelves the villeins of John Prevens, uthern, whas erm frechulders, sought in juselfy what they had dome. Then-upar the Prior plended that the landa in gucentions, whir' have consisted of many dimconnerted menpes had bwan in tho seixin of the unm of the Cownship of Tinddingeta, aced that the? by their unanimous will nud nssent enforfiod hia provkeres
 jusmes eurlorsed thim statement. adding chat all the permute olo. hal any right in the maid land wero expgregntant iv noe plane of a court held nt Toddugton, and with rhe coname grautod tin land wi Priur Simon and has nucoumon, at a seat of ofs perser

 fover.
 Is is a \&roup of eo-omnere" Ia is as enirerviese is it Arrime yoid Tho




a year payable to the said men of Toddingtom Prior Simon (the jurors eay) held the land and paid the rent; the precents Prior for several years held the land and paid the rent; the defendants have disposecesed him ${ }^{2}$. The Prior recovered hic seisin. Now this was a posesesory sction; the Prior had only to prove (and he did prove) his seisin and disseisin; the soundness of his title was not in question. Still his title wat a feoffiment by the men of the township made in the court of Toddington. But then we also learn that when thie feoffment was made the lord of Toddington, John Peivere, was an infant in ward to the queen. The men of Toddington who were defendants in the assise relied on this; their case was that the Prior obtained the land, not from them, but from the queen's bailiff. Then the Prior by expending a considerable gum obtained from John Peivere a confirmation of the land 'into MJ which we had entry by the community of the men of Toddington,' and for the future the Prior 'by the attormment of the men of Toddington' paid the rent of six pence, not to them, but to their lord'. We see therefore the men of Toddington making a feoffment, the Prior dealing with them as capable of making a feoffment, of receiving rent, and then we see thie titie melting away before the claims of the lord. But further, we see the defendants endeavouring to avoid a feoffment made by the community in its court, and one of the reasons that they urge is this:-When the feoffment was made, some of us were under age. Such a plea gives us an instructive glimpse into their minds. The men of Toddington suppose that they have land; they ignore their lord. Let us do the same; let us suppose that John Peivere's rights have been gained by modern usurpations. What then, we may ask, is the men of Toddington's theory of their own title? That they form a corporation? That 'the community' in its court can alienate its land? No, but that they hold this land as co-owners, and that unless every tenant is of full age and joins in the act there can be no alienations.

[^429]Ther compuhip ramply han ribhit.

However, except by way of rurn cxevplons, the trets if the vill do not hold any property ar jount te-manta or temanto in common. Each of them has his hossen, hix virgate ur rriff. each of them has or may have certatn rights of pature, of turbary, of tishiog or the like in the lontis wastos or waser. but that is nll. The consequence is that they rasuly cuos before the courta as co-plaintifis. This is wot due so at? speculative doctrine about the way in which corjwrassuns wight to sue. It is not due to the rule that an unncurparmial isnop of persona can not sue under a genema namo. At prosemt there is no such rule. An we shall soe brow whan ibe buruughes come before us, the courts are newly in haters ev complainter preferred in the name of clumes of mons who bate some commun interest to aswert; the lawyem ihe bis its demand the appoiutmont of an attorney under a coflimens eab 'The citizens' of $A$, 'the bungenama' of $B$ can sמll, theur unagus or their bailiffs attend the court on them behalf; amb iven so 'the men' of $C$ '-wheh is a mere rumal wowhip, or whach to $u C$ $n$ handred-can sue and be sued, their baility or thour mone with four men will represent thern. They can sue and twe mool under a general natne, if there in anything for them tor swe acol bo sued about. But theu this sarely happrwas They buld soo lands, they own no fratochimes, they. Falien ow a gromp, have naw righte to assert or $\omega$ defend. The great excroptan is thit rule
 communities may give righta co emmmumty. Thut wrany read bow certain nasued asen of the hamlet of furrteaterithed to answer in the Fixchemper so the men of the vill of Later Hormend' fur not contributing to a fifternsh ; is whe in diapustorl question whether this hamlet shonild contribute cowanto the amount mesesend un Littlo Horminsl or so the nomeras nevimeld on Branghing'. Sinch clispowes the exchequere mues uften tove had to decide, rand in so doing it considetent that 'the men' of a sill were nufficiently nupromentand by a few of thris number
 contribution cowards the cawt of maintaumok nowd ropurng tbe gewers, and would base ite clam oft the cumbern atoul ise if the enarsh?. But wathin the sphere of private law we oldow

[^430]see the men of the vill joining to bring an action under the general name which covers them. Some exceptional cames may be found upon the plea rolls. The line which divides the men of a vill from the burgesses of a borough is being drawn not by apeculative theories but by practical needs. There is great aced for actions by 'the burgesses,' for the burgesses have valuable frauchisee to assert, franchises which can hardly be regarded as the sum of the rights of individuals; but with the mere township it is otherwise. The community of the township is not incapable of suing, but it rarely sues, for it has nothing 6888) to sue about; it is not incapable of rights, but generally it is rightless. No lawyer's theory keeps it out of the courts. What is lacking is not a common seal but common property'.

It is difficult to discuss theoe matters at length without Trasition making some disputable assumptions touching the arigin of boroughe. 'the Enghsh village community' and its history in centuries much earlier than the thirteenth. Some see in those centuries free communities that are becoming servile, while others see servile communities whose servility is being alleviated. We incline, for reasons that have been elsewhere given, to think that the former is the truer view*. But we do not regard the
totam communitatem rillao de Donyngtan, et G. J.., J. K. de Bykere et totam communitasem ciundem villas de placito, quere cam marisens de Helgingham exsynari vel enwewari debent of soleat per cursum cuiludate ayne in mariacum in Donnghon ef Bykere recundum consuetuchnem et weam marsea quem oursum praedicti A. l3. et alii et praedictae communitates reparare ol sustinere debent of solebans eto.' The mecessity of maintaining mewert, alnicen, and water-gaten nometimes gave rise to elsborase treaties between she freoholders of - Lnrgo distriot. See, e.g. Selby Conoher, ii. 286.
'Actsonn by or agninat 'the men' of plecea that are not horoughas will be found in Plawit, Abhrev, pp. 2, 8, 24, 82, 95, 138, 1 t0. The cane un p. 05 is instructive: 'The aren of Thanct 'complein that the Abbat if St Allgustine'a has exacted undue servicen, and they put in their place thirty aamed men to wo for them; their claim failn and thoy are edjadged to be in mercy, 'save the other men of Thanet who took the abbot's part. Thas, after all, the plaintilis are not all the men of Thanet, nor do they represent all. Then su p. 140 there it an action of treapera by the Abbot of Paversham agninat the alderman and the whole compornity of that vill.' Judgment for damares is given apainmt - all the men of Faversham' oxcept four named persons. Herv agsin, moh individunl 'man' is acquithed or convicted on his own merits. Bee ndme Mmion. Firms Rarga, fis: the lsing and 'the tiug's men of Remadspton' complain in the Exeherguar that the Prior of St Frileswidn has withbelis from the maid metn 4 oushomary dinner. No donhe many other instances might be foumd ; bot, having regard to the number of vills in England and to the trequency al aotione in which the boroughe rake part, wuch inntanoes wown very rare.
: Dumeding Book and likyond, pp. 281 n.
old commuaity as a lasulowning curpurations. Thas peruline kind and degree of union wheh permita or begeta a distumertio betwees what is owned by many men ut singuli ass what is ownal by them ut unirorni is nut primitive, hor masse th inap villages, It is slowly developert is sur buroughe

## § 8. The Borough.

The city Certain vills are more than ville; they are burnughe (bury) certain boroughs are more than bonughan; they aro ritum (civitutes). The latter of these two distinctions hav litthe or ins meaniag in law. A habit, which seems to have ite reats in then remote hisury of Ganl, will give the name cuty ho nonn best. cathedral towns. This usage is in getuenal well ubernetl. Is 1302 the sheriff of Cornwall, retarning the names of thm burgeases of Launcenton and Buduan wher ant tu apjeas is parliament, says that there are uu citiea in has batimick, the sheriff of Esoex and Hertforlahure sayn the hier when be announces the resule of elections at ('olchester aul Hostionts However, the usage was not very rigul; Shrownbury to cailos a city in a judicial record of Edwand J.n reigra', nt an varlies
 name city is given rather to county sown thats bo insbestral Cowus. But at asy rate the ciritise wam ala, at birguen the come might be callert burgenmea, atat the communatian civolaro or avo. munitus lurgensium was a millusa and conamumulas millace

Now, at least from the carly yeum of the thirfornth critary onwards, the diatinctus betweets the mem milis whit thr bergos was a familar, if nut a viery prevelne, oustine of publer law it recurring iatervala thr justices in "yre came inter the combly | each vill was to be represented by ita revero sard frus five while each city or burough was to the neprowotad by a jurg id twelve. Thum whers at a later day the abenfif wime biddets in
 ment, they knew what the cormumarl ancant if burever wi

${ }^{8}$ Jourl. Werta, is 110.120.

- Madas. Firtum Hurgt. p. 12s.

- Ylama Burgh, chap. is,
could bring one of theee sheniffe to life and cross-question him over the definition of a borough, very possibly his answers would disappoint us; very possibly we should get little more from him than-'This place is a burough, for it has always been treated as such; that place is not a borough, for I can not 856] remember its having ever sent twelve representatives to meet the justices in their eyres.' If we could induce our sheriff to go behind practice, and tell us what in his opinion it was that made a borough to be a borough, he would probably refer ns, pot to just one aitribute, but to many attributes, In particular, if we talked to him of incorporation or artificial personality, unless he were an unusually learned sheriff, he would be puzzled. He would tell us that the borougha had franchises (libertates), some more, some fewer, and be would in the end refuse to consecrate any particular libertas or any combination of libertates as at once the necessary and the sufficient essence of a borough.

We have not to write a history of the English boroughs'. The That task, even if accomplished only in outline, would be lung, anolilu so various from first to last have been the fortunes of our mannity. cown. We shall mevely attempt to deteet the more important of the legral elenents which make a borrugh something other than a mere rural township and to raise some of thuse questions which the coming historian must answer. He will, so we think, consider the borough from two different points of view. and indeed, were this possible, he should uccupy buth at the same time ; for the borough is both organ and organistn. Ou the oue hand, we have here a piece of Eugland which is goverued in a somewhat peeuliar way. To use our modern terms, there is within it a 'local authority' of a somewhat unusual type and there is more 'loceal self-government' here thau elsewhere. On the uther hand, we have here a community which differs from the other communities of the Innd in that it is attaining the degree and kind of organization which we cnlt corporate, so that, for example, it will be capable of appearing as an individual landowner among individual landowners, as a single coutractor and as a single wrong-doer. Sivither point of view should be neglectod. In antill recent past varions cansens have induced Englishmen to think of the borough much rather

[^431] 1897).
ava a piece of the constirutional inachinery of the Ringlath stase than as ass orgnniem and a person thas has lifo and property Also it must bee coufersed that thruaghout the maditle ages ito central power was stronger in England than elsawhere mod the boroughas served the state ans ite organs and itn inatrumenta Sitll, if we ignore the peculiarly corporate charerser of the burgensic community, we fail tor recond one of the greabeas mime and legal achievements of the middle agox an wherermat which made pomable the countleas and rariegated niparations of moxien days'.

Prodizni-
nary
wantels of muly kutary. and

In order that we may find o xtarring-point for whas we have to any of the bomughn of the thimoweth nentury. Wo an compelled to premise $n$ alight aketrh of the bummgho of an older time. That it will be an imagimary akoteh we folly almit; but some reasons linve been given whewhese for the belief that it in founded upon enct, and may be roughly true of those towns which set an example for otherrs.

For at least a century and a half before the Xinmas ( Gripucst, Englivh law has known the bornugh an wizecthage differest from the ondmary tim or vill. The typiral larougt has been (i) the burh. (ii) the port, and (iii) the movi-atise af whire'. (i) It has been a fastnexs and place of nofnge whime cearth-works haver, at lenst in some casex, been mantainerl by the men of the shire. It may even bave been in eome surt a gomeno town : the grevit proçle of the whim may hase beoss bunted su kecp in it herume or 'haws, as they were callevi, and ' $k$ tughte' of the old English kindt. (ii) A market hes been hedd is 18 thas is to say, it has been oste of the fow placta in whet monn might buy cattle and other gowader without pusting their mectur in jeopardy: thrir bargains were attesterl by oftheial withmes aed tull was taken from thems. (iii) It han bere the moes sing-plas

[^432]the moot-stow of the shire, and perhaps because it was the connty's hww, it was in no hundred, but had a court of its usm, a burh-muoot or port-moot, which was co-orlinate with the hundred-monta, Moreover, a severe and exalted peace, the king's hurhgriv, had reigned within it. This seems to be in ongiu the peace of the king's own palisarled homesteat, and has been extended to thase towns which are the uilitary, commercial and political centrex of national life!.

But the borough has been a tún, aud we may believe that The in many canes its soil has beeu laid out in the old rural foshion : anonull. there have been wide open fields, meadows and pastures; there have been intermixed hides and yardlands The burough community is a township, and, if in its moot it bas the orgnaization of a hundred, it none the less has for its territury several square miles of land on which corn is grown and bensts are depastured.

The texture of this community is unusuantly heterogenemus. The We suspect that there are within it the knights or other de- boturugat pendauts of the shire-thegns. As the military element becomes geneity leas prominent, these thegns will let their houses to chapmeru and craftastien at movey rents, but will endeavour to maintain as long as prisible a jurisdictional control (aske and sole) uver their tenants. Also there may be free and lordless houstooswners and land-owners in the borough who increase this heterogeneity by commending themselves, their houses and their lands to the king or some other maguate: in particular, many will pay a little haw-gavel or land-gavel (house-rent or land-rent) to the king in return for his patronage. 'Thus it is likely that the borough, if it Hourishes, will excape the fate that awaits many a common village: it will not as a whole become the king's or any one elge's manor. On the other hand, stripe of its arable fields may be worked into manors whose centres lie either within or without the towu-ditch. At this point numerous variations are possible; but, whatever happens to the arable, it is probable that the town community will retuin some control over and use of the green pasture, and also that just in these vills the claims upon the pasture will begin to tuke a new shape, The 'men' of important people will be turninig out their

[^433]bonses to grave and yet have no interest is the amble, and the oppurtunity for sale and purchase of corn and hay which the market oflers may cause a rapid dinuntegration of the old wofnufficing hide and yardlanda. Then in having a mowt of to awn, a mont eatablinhed by national law, whowe pinita are received by king and carl, the borough has an oryan apable of devetuing dooms about this pasture, aud, at levant is arrae instances about the arable land also, and this power of ngighspenking' can not be sharply distinguished frote e power ad regulation.

The boifaygb nod the thag.

The burforatis cours

Thus to the eyes of the Conqueruris officern, whor hrade are full of the formula of dependent senure, the and baruast presenta itwelf an a knot that can not be untiod. (ius it is, but they scruple to describe it as being Torrs Regis, and charly it is not any one else'n land. It in not part of any one fiet and yet it is not like one of the king's demerne mannom, for (nence commendation is hardenng intw tenure) there are in at furm of many fiefs. The king is not ita landlond, execpt in thas wrese and lordly, rather than landlordly, sense in which be to lasulhend of all Eingland. Un the utiter hand, the king, though menrtimes in conjunction with the earl, is the tumediace linal of thome inntitutione which give the borough it apocific characses lord of ite court and lord of ta market, with a large fund of liberticas to bestow upon ite burgesmers An time guns un, the burgenses, who are caalescing in a new type of curatnubity, wis be treated an an unit which has no lond but the king, and will pay tallages when the king's demetne manone arv callaged but they will make their protit of their conmonal anmediary if depriving all landlurdahip of its hartly chancter and rofucung it to the level of a mere righs ou reat'?

As an organ, the bonnugh has ita tuowt, which wo beld by abe sheriff or some port-receve who is his farmer. Perhapo all tho tree men or the house-holdern are contseled and bourow to wio doernsines. On the other hasd, in some horuagho which bind beea Danish, there neelm to be a group of heredicary Lan -mes or dommamen. Also we must reckon with the pamibutity the the military organizntion of the bornugh heo caumed the firmo tion of wands (curtuduce), ne the bonct of eares uf whict semmibe a

 erample.
alderman whose office, like every other office, is apt to pass to his non. But the little evidence that we heve suggests that a close and definite college of doomsmen was exceptional, and we have small warrant for supposing the existence of any legally constituted 'patriciate.'

The burghal community being heterogeneous, voluntary T someties are formed within it. Gilds spring up in the town. The festive and religious gild may be very old, may even be traced back to the days of heathenry ' ; it is likely to flourish in the aoil of a borough. In particular, the 'koights' (of the old English type) who are in the borough form gilds, and the knights' gild may become an important factor in the life and even in the government of the town. The sphere of association and private enterprise can not at this time be marked off from the sphere of government and public power. The contractual or associative principle when it first manifests itself is unruly; we see how the vassalic contract threatens for a while to make itself the one bond between men; and even so a club of thegns or knights, or at a later day of merchants, may aspire (the phrase must be pardoned, for it seems apt) to 'boss ' the town'. But at any rate gilds and gild-like atructure have a great future before them in the boroughs.

It is probable that some of these traits of the old English Transition borough were vanishing or ceasing to be distinctive even before siii. $_{\text {to }}$ the Norman Conquest. In the new age that then opened many changes tended to produce this effect. Castle-guard was substituted for the older burh-bot ; markets were established in many places; the ordinary village had a court, a manorial court; the old burh-grio was merged in an ubiquitous and homogeneous royal peace. Another class of boroughs was sonuing into existence, the enfranchised manors. Perhaps the froe-tenure of houses at fixed and light rents which was to be found in the oll shire-towns, served as a model and generated the idea that, where such temure is, chere is a liber burgus; but just in this quarter a French strain may be sought and perhaps

[^434]detocted'. But this as it may, the sumber of surealleat towns increased rapidly: A lond crested a liber turgus if he almiluthed villein servicas, heriot and merchet, and insthead therwis thed money-rents, as, for example, twolve pence from trach bucien Moreover, he might allow his tenanta, his burgeness, wo farm the court, to farm a market bentoweds on hims by the king and to elent a builiff. It wns diftiente or impuwatho b) mant the lowest degrose of privilege or exceptionality which would make a townshap no mere cownehip but a borough

## The

 asferior litut of turgatity.We many dwell upsoll this difliculty fur a shore whike sinor it illustrates the slow growth of that num type of commentisty which we call municipal and corporate. We can not dende a broough as a vill in which burgage hentre: presaike for cof this we hess in placess which were nut callewl buomeghet Wr can not say that a borough in a vill which in helf in cance by the men of the vill. for thix 'self-farming' may be formend io mone little villages Nur again cas we say that the burmugh is a townahip exempt from the jurishictants if the butalrad count taasy a mere ruml kownhip was y̧ut. an estro. hundrelal as was the nominal borough. indeard it saghe weil be nare exetupt frum the interferwee wf tho cranty wetive thina was many anmall borough, for ste lond llet an any the abbot of Wextminater) had 'the returu of writa" is all bs manors. Nor again can the teve afforled by the powetise $A$, the cyres have been appliond exeept, in a orne-aulowl way. fir bably a place which had never mont iwilve. inateod of fomer men to mast the justices would have hal so whem satase neveras grast of new liberties before it could preseond as be. maves thas a kowaship; but there nerem where bern in mome corutho many places wheh sent twelve men to the cysn and whatb
 to parliament? And when the parlamentary hest treame

[^435]upplicable the line that was drawn was irregular. It has been anlculated that under the first two Edwards 166 buroughs were summoned once or more often; that on an average under Edward I. no wore than 75, under Edward IL no more than 60 bomughs were actually represented!. At any rate the number rapidly decreased. That the sheriffs had an mumense prower in this matter is certain. In 1320 the sheriff of Hedford and Buckingham waid that Bedford was the one borough in his bailiwick, though in 1310 five others had been summoned, namely, Amershan, Wendover, Aylesbury, Wycombe and Marlow?

The truth seems to be that the summuns to parliament Repremen. engendered a force which diminished the number of the would - $\begin{aligned} & \text { nanimin in } \\ & \text { parlimen }\end{aligned}$ be boroughs Theretofore it had been well to be a borough; the tuwnsfulk wheu they weut before the justices in eyre hal eujoyed the privilege of 'swearing by themselves, of not being mixed up with 'foreigners'; but now they were called on to send to parliament representatives whom they would have $\omega$ pay:-at such a price they would no longer be burgesses. Anuther force was makug in the same dirvetion; abbuts and other far-sighted lords were beginuing to discover that it was not well to have burgesses Long ago the men of Bury st Edmund's had been freed from all servile works; the vill had received nomen et libertuten burgi from the abloot; a portmanmoot was held in it; Abbot Sampson had chartered it ${ }^{2}$. In 1302 the sheriff of Suffolk bade it return merubers, sending the mandate, as he was buund to do, to the ablbot's steward. The stewand made no answer! Then from $1: 304$ we hear how the men of Bury have been making a 'conspuracy' and holding 'conventicles' among themselves; they have been pretending to have an alderman and a merchaut gild and $u$ be 'free burgesser.' They must puy heavy dannages to the abbot, and thuse who are tou pour to pay must go to prison for a month? They have not a gild merechant, nor a community, nor a common sean, nor a mayor. Thus Bury sovu drops out from the list of English buroughs, though long befure this,

[^436]
## 642

 Jorrisdiction romul Combsernal Afficive. [35. IsJocelin of Brakeland, no friend of the: Lownsfollk. hand allawnd it 'the title and frachise of a burongh'! The short-aushtolenof sutne burgesses who would not pay nepromentatives, the tas sightednesg of mome lordm who just at the entical momient percesved that burgerewes would not be gemel tenanthe the in H . nese of sheriffs who did nut care to enter. fort the gras: themselvers, upma an andurnan neruggle, the indiffirenco of the king who had wo nead of the twen of little werns, afl matr for the sane result. Befure the enil of the fourteenth monary the number it towns repremented is parliament had fatlen :.. a hundrid, and thewe wers miset unerenly diastributarl ammas the varioun countice. We are not called uport to explam theo phenomenon, for it belongs to the fourteenth crotury : imis it forcibly xuggenten that in the thirtaxnth mis atret dofinutime of a lorengh wiss peseble. And it the ennd what is the trant definitmon? The etfiet ix put in phace of the cantum:- $A$ turat is an mucient towne, butden of the kugg or any othrr ward wheh mundech burgesees to the partiamesti....nnd is in catiad a burgh because is seadeth membern to partianembt'

## The

 typural bureuschate abl thens trutuc lifoes.Fivery note in the gamut whoee two exthermen aro ther taro ruml township and the great community of Lamton mights be found and wutnded by the pationt histarians, ams arme of the small boroughs, whose inhabitants never attain (a) a tumb urbmin life, are of great interest as archmendiggeal mumams. but we munt here glance only at the sowso whirh lead it van, and on the whole we shall find that theme old Eugtiah shire-boroughs, of whoee early daye wo have apuken, rethes in the front mank throughout the aiddle agen, though oiro other towns, eapecially sume meaports, becosm- prumunets. Iv. may first look at the 'liberties' or 'franchime' whith ant beatowerl by the charters of thm twelfth and thinfereth woturim, and thels we suay say a litale of the evoprowe chamsiots of thr burough community".
 dieenmsion of the tane of Wellia
 Wehliorliza.

4 Itrades the verious boroush eloarsers eo dhall relv on the M we-m is




(t) Jurisdictional privileges. Usually there is no need tor Juriatiothe charter to grant the right to hold a court, for the court privilimges exints alrearly either in the furn of an ancient borough-muot or in that of a mauorial court. Indeed one of the 'libertios' that the burghers sometimes seek is that their cuurt, their port-anuot, or borough-moot, shall not be held tou often-not more frequently than once'a week. On the other hand, a common clause provides that the burgesses, except the king's moweyers and servants, 'glall not plead beyond the walls' of the town. unless it be for tenemeuts which lie elsewhere. Then sometimes a further attempt is made to define the compretence of the court in a manner advantageons the burgessea :-if a debt is incurred in the town, the plea upon it is to belung w the burough court. Franchises of this kind are of insportance in the histury of the boroughs because they give oceasion for communal action. If a burgess is impleaded in the kings court, it behoves the oftcers of the borough to appear there and 'clain their court, and any negligence in this matter is likely to be prejudicial to the burough as showing that it is not 'seised' of its frauchises, Not Intfrequently the burgeases enjoyed in their court a procedure differing from that of the royal tribunal; they were prutected against innovations aud refurtus. Wheu we tind that trial by battle is excluded, we may think that civic is in advance of myal justice; when on the other haud we find that trial by jury is excluded, and that the nccused burgess of the thirteunth century even in criminal cases will wage his law, while the non-burgess must abide the verdict of burgesses, we know that from Henry Il.'s day onwards civic hus been falling behind royal justice, has been becoming antiquated and selfiah!. This may not always be its own fault ; it has not beeu permitted to improve itaelf; it is a chartered justice and must carefully keep within the limite of ite charter.
Custamal, a manuacript copy of which has heen kindly lent to us by the Bev. W. Hudsun, the Wheheater Cumbumal (the French vermion of which in given by Nomiske, Archaval Juurmal, 12. 69, atud the Fingliah vermion hy Toulwis Suith, Eaglish Gildn, 349), the Coatumals of the Cioque Ports printed at the and of Lyon's Biatory of Dovar, vol. ii., and the Cnotumal of Preston, printed is Jobmon and Harland, History of l'reaton Guild. Dr Grose's Biblography of Hunjoipal tighory. New York, 1897, is an admarable guide.
: Manimenta Gildhallee, j. 102-112. Mr Raley in his marginal noten misoen the distinctios botwoss cotupurgation and trial by jury. Seleet Pleas of the Crown, i. pl. 8 ,

## 

Caijarie. Valusble though these courts may have been to the towner dibetuaz

## Crimhal jur swatic

 sure. folk, they were vot suffersil to in mush hasm the the cause if common law. Some of the boroughan devalupid n puoason? procedure of their uwn ; an 'ansizal of frosh foren' uast the place of the king's assize of invel disactan'; but wen the Londou a proprietary action for a hurgage was bogrun by the king's writ of right, and when that writ wes arns bo the favenared wwas it contained the usual threat of she shenff. interference'. The party dissutisfied by the juldonern: if the borough court csmald bring the matear before tho king o tro. bumal by a writ of falee judgment. Firm time th time puation comminsioned by the king beld a mesaion at St Matin of fimand to correct the estrom of the Landino hivating The Lomboners held their privilege so high that they womtin) mear (4) nnawer even is the conrt of a fair that thon fropianotiont burgesses of other bomigha, thongh they haul the canne cortio in their charters, were lese haughty or more pelifie'The criminal justice of the broroughes aldares asmesetion to any higher proint than that of infuggthef and uttangitiof or in other worls, the punshment of emminaly canght is the net. The borougha hiul to apprent brefore the king * juatime in eyre. It was privilegy: enough for them that thry showht appens them by twelve of thour awn men an though they were humirels, and that thus no furiguem should suake fure is sentments about what had happened within the walle tiven the city of London underwent vimitataous ; the goul of Sirasmer
 held at the Tower woulrl gerve to bring the aplersis in rravia for they were like to fixd that in the eves of the kung. andverstes their choviceat liburtions had bewn amplangenal by sbuse".
Pieturn of Write.

Some of the mare importaut bonoughe had alas anyurms the frauchime known as 'ther return of writa.' It mas ial witlto thetr, for, so $\mathrm{lm} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{g}}$ as they had it met, the shorinfo uthero were constantly entering the cown in onder the wor ento
 c. 17: Kecorile of Siarthamptoses, i. 24M. 377.

- Her. Hrov. Urig. L a b.

- Ber the accoant of the erre of Edvand It. © ilar at the Yower e l-ecte
 245-482.
aud exceub the processes of the king's court Nevertheless it whs not aequired until late in the day. Juhn way, to shy the least, chary of granting it'.
(13) Tenurial l'rivileges. When the period of chartera Privitugeal begins, burgage tenure already prevails in many of the large towus; the wwusfulk already hold their lands and houses at money rents, and merely as tenants they require no further favours. Otherwise is it when what has hitherte, been but a miral manor is tu become a tiber burgus. In such a case there will be a commutation of services, a release from agricultural labour. Sometimes a free power of alienating his temement is concented to every burgess, sometimes it is distinctly sad that he may make a will or make an heir; but in general the power, very commonly assumed, of benqueathing burgage tenemeuts 'like chattels' seems to have been ascribed to custom rather than to express grant.

In the graat towns the existence of a court enjuying royal Muane frauchises seems to have reduced the mesne tenures to political insignificance. At the time of the Conquest the burgesses in the of a comnty town were in many cases a heterongeneous mass; (aj some of them hold directly of the king, but others were the wenants, the justiciables and the burgexses of this prelate or of that baron. Seldom were the men of such a town ' peers of a tenure'; seldom was the soil an unbroken stretch of royal detnesue. Not ouly might jts bounds comprise many a private soke, but some of the townsfolk were accounted to belong to the rural manors of their lords. When therefore the kiug under pais of his full forfeiture ordains that none of them need answer in any court outside the borough for any tenement within the burough, he is practically detaching these burgesses from the manora to which they have belouged and is defying the principle of feudal justice. The men who have settled round his burh and his tnarket are his burgesses, whusesuever tenants they may be. Here and there a lond who held some cousiderable quarter of a borough might keep a crurt for his temants, and, as he had acquired for himself and thein some immunity from taxation, they would refuse to mix with, to be at acot and lot with, their fellow tuwnsmen. Hut a stmall

1 Hecordy of Nottingham, i. 40. Only in 1285 did Notsingham acyare it. Northaupton in 1255: Hecords of Northampton, i. tii. Cumbridge in isaik: Cooper, Abuals, i. 10.
gmup of theth who fontrerly were mekened in briong ion wowe distant manor would soon be merged in the grocral meo of burgossues. They would still pay reut. nut su the kings. one to the king's farmers. hint as of old to theor lond: atei ins other connexion woald bind them whim, and he would form sink into the position of a mere reeppient of rens ${ }^{1}$ Whene tenementa can be feviaed hy will eweheate are ram: the nghte of the mesne lorils are forgothets, nal thess it in wat that if any tenemest in the brorough eschorate, it earheate te the king Such in Borwand II.'s day was the rute in the caty of Lemothen Where many "barona' had onee had make maid mokes"

Eemgnorisel rights int the
hrarusaghe.

The rapidity of thim procest varevt from borsough sol tomengh. In anue of the embllor towan that werte chartermi by meoter incik it never took place ne all. The burghal crort ase a seigrancial court, which neanmed now the forto of conse fors and now that of 'court batron'; arill such it continumal son be until the essud. Rut even in wome groat bemughs elpanal justice wan a handy plant. In stamford, which mas no wh roynl bromugh though it had eorsee ent the hasula of the Earl of Warrine, four prelaten and tive uthor londa clabravi te have court of all their tenauts ; and thia its the grar $1=55^{\circ}$ In Landon newter the beginnasg of she rewtury there and many mikea, and it meems to have brent uxtial that an acteres for land should be bugun in a frudal courn, atal should woly
 bern madec. Kivesh in Eilwari Il': nuign many lombo hove to. may by what warnut they clasm frauchiow in Lered an. The Bishop, Dean and ('haptes of St Phalin hate thom anto in Corahill. Hishorpagate and Holbort whone they reverten the
 the city at Finsbury nand Stapmey: The Prour if Treste
 gild. holded the Portmiken and is an alderman by temure , seen civic jurorm nelmit that his men and henante oun atod an swed in his courtas. There is feudalisin in the satiftiall tem Robert FitzWalter atill representa the liorda of la isaant: colle though the cantle itwelf has treena sold ws she Artherabily is

[^437]Canterbury. He must be summoned to every meeting of the common council; when he enters the gildhall, the mayor must rise to do him honour, and while he is there all the judgments that are to be delivered shall be delivered by his mouth. Such at all events is his opinion.

At a few points of private law the borough custom would Cartomans privato swerve from the ordinary rules. Often the tenant of a burgage m could give it by last will, at least if he had not inherited it, for some customs drew a distinction between inherited and purchased tenements. Then the oustomary rules of inheritance might differ from those of the common law. A custom which gives the whole tenement to the youngest son has gotten the name 'borough English,' and has therefore been supposed to be peculiarly appropriate to the circumstances of townsfolk. Really, however, this name seems due to a single instance. At Nottingham in the days of the Conquest a new French 382] borough grew up beside the old English borough, and the customs of the Burgus Franciscus as to dower, inheritance and the like had to be distinguished from those of the Burgus Anglicus?. Among the customs of the 'borough English' was the rule in question, and after the 'borough English' of Nottingham the lawyers baptized it. As a matter of fact, there is no reason for supposing that it had a burghal origin. It is not very often found in the boroughs, while it was common in rural manors. Nottingham supplies us also with a rarer custom, namely (we must borrow a term from France), the retruit lignager, the right of the heir apparent (or perbaps of any kinsman) of one who sells his tenement to come forward within year and day after the sale and buy back the tenement at the price given for it ${ }^{3}$. At Dover the expectant heir had to pay no more than nincteen shillings for every pound that the stranger had paid! On the continent of Europe such a right was common; a mitigation it was of old law which required the heir's consent to an alienation made by his ancestor. The English common law seems to have leapt over this stage of develupungit, and to have passed at once from the rules laid down by (ilanvill, who in many casces requires the heir's

[^438]
## 648 Jurisdiction und Consmunal Aframs. [uk. Is

conment. to the stale of things deacribed by Brartots its whet wuch congrnt in mever nocesowary. Now is a borsugh wa sbomiad lonk for a grentery and but for a lexs power of welling lanedo thas prevated elsewhest, and it ta unt impmomble that sbe rmolum. of motne borolighe fell behind just becouse at ant earlier sitase it had beru in advance of the cummon law. The bunomph whimes from the king a charter saying that if any wo holds a lo em
 to that hamoment alull be bartivl, unlita he was ith phero ushler age or beyond the sems. The mass nbject of thin io we predlude the claims of experetint hairs This pute the rustean in advance of the common law of Glanvili's day. Hat teno borimghs stop hero: Nottingham at lenas stapo hemefirs a', while' : its custom falls buhind the comanam law and devrinp a vetruit lignager. At Northanspon we fiod aut only the retrait lignager, but alsn the retruit jeunal" "Then, agmas, tho custom sosmetimes prowided for a landlord, whow rent wat it arrear for year and day, as ruading mode of ejertiag hir L-nate than the common law would bave givens. But we for nom nod arayy peculiarition of this sort.

Frectiont of merate.

In this context we may mentions sumber prindege that me sometimes granuex to $n$ bosrough :- the serf whe dwelts us is fiar a year mad a day, at all iventa if be han broumer a burge its member of the merchant gild, becomes finy, or as leass cat twa be claimed by his lurd so long as he remains within the brongh. In itan crigin this memsts ats assertiofs uf nugal rathe The king treats hus borvugh, the whole of his bo mough. © though it were oue of hia ascieat masure. If a merf cowes to

[^439]dwell there, his lord must claim him at once or not at all, for the king will not allow the lords tw interfere with his lands. As regarde a borough, an express declaration of this principle is necessary, for, as we have Been above, the land within the walls of one of the greater towns was seliom an unbruken stretch of royal demesue land. Nevertheless 'the borough ' as a while is the king's, and he announces that those who cone there and form part of the burghal community, altborgh thoy may not be holding their burgage tenementes immediately of him, are to enjoy the security that is conferred by the soil of the ancient demesne ${ }^{2}$. The first declarations of this right are pitehed in a royal key. Henry II. in his charter for Nuttingham declares that 'if any one, whencesoever he be, shall dwell in the borough a year and a day in time of prace, no one, except the king, shall have any right in hime.' We are not wold that the serf is to be free; but what remains in the king's hands for year and day becomes the King's. As the bomugh grows more iudependent of the king, the rule begins tu take the shape of a privilege conceded to the burgesses instead of being a royal prerogrtive. The burgesses are glad of the concestion; it keeps their tawn free from the interference of foreigners, and someone thought fit to add to the Conqueror's laws a clause stating in the widest terms that, if a serf lives for year and day in a city, borough or walled tuwn, he shall become frees. Nevertheless, it would be a mistake the think that the townsfolk wished to obliterate the distinction between free aud hond; on the contrary, they were careful to prevent men of sarvile birth from becoming citizens:
(III) Mercantile P'rivileges.. The borough is not mervly Premurs from toll.

[^440]a governmental and in a certain menmure is exfoguvernang
 remarked below, it is chietly in this charncter that it bramen a $p$ reson in the rye of the law. When a burruigh had obraumed the nght to farm itself, one of the enost supurans mearces of its revente was tull. Uf this we matat peak herouftor viwn we discuse the firma birgi. Sometimes thin forme of ancome was protected not smerely by a rule of oummun law, whied would have prevested even the king from settux upe de-e wo the damage of nn old market, but alsw by a noynd ban whind axompellexl the folk of the neighboarhoni wido their buyng and willing in the burought. But those who toselt well were acatime in be quit of toll, and perhaps the burgereses regarded froveleme from tull an the moset vital of all their righta. Alriady in Inatise lay Benok we rend how the unan who was dumeiled no Id,r-s and there paid the kiag's dues was quit of kell throughous al England?. Suhueypent charten threw almoue wuch favours wisd
 (hoonghout all England, mometimes they sarned there inembats inte, all the king's lander beyond the een. In mer uyom, it masy be, the bext culticome of this priviluge was that it funvided es ever-securring theme for inter-muthicpual litigation moxd armens in the bomughs a conselouanewe of tholr peratitalisy.
Ther Friwn Burni.
(iv) The Hirma Burgi. (Iften the borough farmed the it
 formal the borsuggh. They might hedet their cown wenters. leane for yewre or during the lessor's plonsure; they might boid it in fue farm: that in, uurder a propertual lease. Impartact es this step towasds independence might bet, is was not caden bs some wowns of high muk until Inte in the day, is wuabl rewe. for example, that the citueciss of Wisehostas dud wot ibtais n perpertial lease or grome of their esty untal the Noyk of Elward 111.\&, while on the other harad at a much marlice inae many a rural manor wan being farmed by the awen of tbe tunsor,' though harilly farused in fees.
Manat Nas Nuw in these cases the charter sny that the kinar to grasiterl the burgus or the silla to the bingrmess What one

[^441]the effect of such a grant? As we Huderatand it, 'the burgesses', taken in some collective fashion, were to step into the shoes of the sheriff. They were to be entitled to certain revenues which he had previously collected. These would be chiefly the tolls, the profits of the court and such house-rents as had therefore been paid to the sheriff as the king's farmer: and there might also be the profits of a royal mill or the like. On the other hand, the king had not parted with all his landlondly rights. The burgesses, taken collectively, had not obtained a place in the seale of lavd-tenure. They had not becouse collectively or corporatively the domini or the tenenten of the suil that lay within the boundary of the town. This seems to be proved by the law of escheat. Ench burgess still hutds his tenement either of the king in chief or of some other man : he does not hold of the community, and, if there is an escheat, the commmnity will not profit by it'. This is the situntion that is set before us by that minute description of Cambridge which appears upon the Hundred Rolls. 'The burgessus of Cambridge hold the vill of Cambridge with all its appurtenances in fee farm of the king in chief, as in meadows, pastures, mills, waters and mill-pools with all franchises and free customs belonging to the said vill.' Nevertheless the burgesses, taken collectively, are nut conceived as being the lord of the individual burgess or of his tenemeat. If he puys rent to them, or rather to their bailiffs, the phrise used with wearisome iteration is-uut 'he hulds of the borough,' nur ' he holds of the burgesses,' but- 'he pays to the bailtt's of Cambridge, who hold the said vill at fee farm of our lord the king, so many pence for haw-gavel, or so many for laad-gavel huwards their farm". Bonenfant the Jew held an open place in the wown of Cambridge: but he has lately been hanged for rlipping coin, and that place hus escheated, not to the burgesses, but to porl the king'. The general theory of the law seerns $\omega$ be that, in becoming a farmer, the burgesses become rather a balliti than a tenant, though a bailiff who, like many other medheval baihtif, has to account each yenr for a tixed sum nud may make a profit or a loss out of his office. In short, when a 'burough' is granted to the burgeases, this 'borough' belongs to the category of 'things incorpureal,' a category which comprises 'countess'

[^442]atud 'husurwis. When a man ix appronted wheritf, the fitue commits to him 'unt county of $\mathrm{J}^{\prime}$ : and ar the kulg wil grant to a baron the humired of I'. The wherifif will unt umat the $^{\text {.' }}$ soil of the county; the lond of the hundeat nevel bot to trewas or lowl of the sonl of the hutured: in rach case what ta an wew $^{20}$ not an ownership or temancy of any land hut a ournul-z in riyal rightes und powers to be sxarcised wathin the limita of on oureas tract.

This questions is of sotne impertatice; we have heant of st

The farta of ther vill and the antl of the Tili.
 aud a delephosne company-Did the firma brerpi cutugurse an nwnembip, any kenancy of the mil? Therefure we will wht ent further argument. The citizebin of Landonf farment not oraly tor
 only dex:s nu one suppere that the eivir curpmrathet has a plare the the scale of renure between wvery Shatitesex foreh riter and the king, but no whe xupprawes that the civic oifpumben became the emant of all the roals and open apmore wilhan the boundary of the shire'. So agnull, the citizetho of Yirts zarimel the wapentake of Aiusty, and, if whas was anad be trise refy all they weated it. They sub-lot it at as sdaraved wint w. a balliff, who used him subjertas sol voledy that they talaod of selling their u-ncmenta amd leaving the country' Bat. ©o wo undersumad the matter, the eituzans of lurk beld tho waperatake is the satue sellue that the aschboboup tright hoor

 grent weight would very properly be ammbal ho arth of umer' nad (to say unthing of mulem statistex) mavy bornegits thio have ampler chartern than thewe that wene granted she ib
 oot think that the grant which made tbe burgezes anmens of the buryus, suade them dimmini or lenesites of the lased the lay within the burgus.
(v) Property of the Borough. But the 'bonuigh or an'l

The lemis of 1 hm boruagh.
 is motue wita or asother a lage tract of arabile and powis

[^443]lying without the wall or the ditch, for the borough occupied the shell of an old agrarian community. The charter will purport to concede the whole vill 'with all meadows, pastures and waters thereto pertaining.' Now as regards the arable, this was holden by individuals and the most that the king could give away was his seignory. Apparently he did not give away even that; the escheats were still to come to him, though the burgesses might now receive such rents as bad formerly been paid to the sheriff. As to the pastures, which were often. of wide extent, it is very probable that no exact ides of ownership was yet applied to them. On the one hand, rights of common were being exercised over this land, and we may believe that such rights were no longer so closely connected with the arable as once they were, but were being more and more regarded as annexed to membership of the feudally heterogeneous burgensic community which in its moot had an organ for their regulation. On the other hand, the king was lord of the vill, and the right to 'approve,' or make profit of, its waste was rather in him than in the community. This continued to be so even when 'the burgesses' had become the farmers of their town, for the right of approvement was not one which the sheriff could have exercised for his own behoof while he farmed the royal revenues.

The same scems to have been true of the intramural The intra'waste,' and of this there was often a goodly supply which maste. would be profitable at a later day. The walls, ditches, streets and upen spaces of the borough were not as yet conceived to be 'holden by' the community. They were still the king's, and he who encroached upon them committed a 'purpresture' against the king ${ }^{3}$. The grant of the vill has not entitled the burgesses to approve this 'waste'; a more explicit licence is reguisite, and such a licence they will sooner or later obtain. The men of Bristol acquired it early; on the other hand we may find Edward I. specially authorizing the citizens of London to let certain vacant spots within the walls in order that the rents may be applied to the maintenance of the bridge", and other towns were asking for a similar permission at a much later time ${ }^{3}$.

[^444]
## 654

Than aummunits sunt 1Jac wiek

None the lens, aubjent to this riyal foniohipe the weater both intrumural and extramural, had from the tim: betengeoi in soune vague nort $w$ the community, and them are motanco in which the community dealt with it. Thum, for exaupue. in 1200 the community of Ipwiels granted thas thene twolse
 of their hormes' : and at an carlier time the: meth of thatel gaves as intand to the addertnan of their gitd who grae it en. ()weney Abbry', abou we may find the men of (lamborig arectang a hospital on a piece of common latad ta the rasel tio of their towis'. Bist before thent could bo moseh frowly int. prietary dealing with the pesture land ins the part if th burginsic univerotan, the rights of the commomens houl the calt. the foris of a mere unage which the curporator to pmantiont so make of the laud which the univernees uwnat. Si, luas on the rightes of pastung are concevirided ta be rooked in thr fromeeno of amble strips or burgage hounes, they are an mupediasebt to these imasactions, lemeses or sales, which worbld dennumarow that a corporation is owner of the mil?. On the whulo wo believe that is the thiruenth curtury the burgease cimas. muusty, taken an unis, was ravely drowing any prevosary revenucb out of the laud which is thas vague acost bethmend :s it, and weldom wan there any land which belongent s. is ta any other sort: the commumity wan but manly a purchoer of lated, and burguwes were not as yet devisting land to. . municepal corporation. A statute of Rirhanl II fortoints the burough curporations to acyuire land without fevince, assl proclams the discovery that they ane es perfertial in numo of religions". When we conaider that ever sance 1:78. and undend et as earlser hame, the churches had bera detwarrod by tev

1 Grose tilid Merchant, it. 1 I38.

- Jud. it. 192.

2 Neuthad, Townehtp and tharough. 861.



 Io the nue of a borrogh you have Fight if pasyare tial to 3 an mai $2 x$ 'parsenal: but 'local' is is not anoesed wa bacme por griatal mopact pervors, but is exercined by all members of as wertrovian
 proviaton of a hown bell.

- Sjese is Dive. II. e. B.
from augmenting their territories ${ }^{1}$, we may draw the inference that only in the course of the fourteenth century was the attention of the king and magnates drawn to any diminution of their feudal revenues occasioned by the 'perpetuity' of municipal corporations*.

Moreover, it appears to us that the community or cor- The poration of the thirteenth century rarely had any considerable rerompo. revenue of which it could freely dispose. The farming of the vill was a more iudividualistic arrangement than we are wont to suppose. The burgesses were jointly and severally answerable to the king for the whole fee-farm rent; but, as between themselves, the plan was that their annually elected bailiffs should collect what the sheriffs had theretofore collected and should be solely liable if this sum fell short of that which was due to the king ${ }^{*}$. Perhaps too the bailiffs were entitled to any profit that they could make; but we fancy that a normal surplus of income over expenditure was not to be looked for. In order to get rid of the sheriff from their court, the burgesses had promised a heavy rent4. Thus the old revenue consisting of the haw-gavel rents, and the profits of the court and market, was no free revenue, but was appropriated to the satisfaction of a chief-rent which it would hardly meet. In course of time other sources of income reveal themselves: fees are paid by those who acquire the freedom of the borough; mercantile privileges are sold; bits of waste land are let to tenants; a treasurer or chamberlain begins to appear beside the bailiffs and to keep an account with the community ; there is a common chest. But all this is the work of times, and even at the end of the middle ages the freely

[^445]Tispumable annual inmone of a gravat linough was not very large'. The growth of such ans inceume, choughs it has yes beos latile studiod, is of much impurtatice in lexal histong. Fire the cown's pursonality only thegres us ataud out clearly when the town' has a revonus which is nut geing to be divided atwees the luwisfolk!

If the comannity ownect chattels, thee muve have thew fro

 there tmay have been drinklog horns noul canks of wise and hows for which it would have tween harl $u$ fibsl ans uwner in the world of natural permitan There was a mountanent chate and there was a cumenun scal. Hut it is nut for the ake if eareb trities as theote that law will underge the palli of groug bireb (6) the juristic pansin. Eisusetimes, agzan, there would be a ben with monly in it, but, huil a thief realon boas of saveng. we suoprect that be would have berell chargesl with atomaterg the propmer gerela and chatsolx of some zatural inan, the amair ue the chamberlain of the borough. That thome whor conloes reate and zuxes should inisappropprinte the inonlien thate they mosese is, if we: believe the jurom, it cummen events but sue ctas. at , es firs as wo know, evar winakex in this nontort of thent or fol a! We shall sae: in another chapler thas she yutwesas) wherthes the terasurer ( 1 ) owned the monang and owivt a debe sis tbe
 the commutity maghe long bo shomedorl frotes view ${ }^{\circ}$
(vi) Eilection uf llfïicers and (rovernumens of the llunnot Alrouly Heury 1. hat promiseal the Semoners that they masth!
 Lasolote was in alvance of other Lowne tiradually mome of the greature bomoughs obtain the mght of eloctasg thess nete in
 they bave beens premented to and appraviad by the tumg justiciar. Sometiones chis step is lakels before the burgeo have obtaned the right of farming the bosingh is $i=$ io
 p. 813 ก.


 - locheh ta nus mocheval.

such a case the bailiffs, though elected by the townsfolk, are still much rather the officers of the sheriff than the officers of the community. They begin to look more like the officers of the burgesses when the burgesses themselves have become answerable for the firma; but even then, as we have lately said, it is the bailiffs who, as between themselves and their fellow townsmen, bear the loss if the farmed revenues fall short of the king's rent. Some towns stop here for a long time; many following the example of London buy the right to have an elected mayor. No doubt this step also was important. No doubt the Londoners, influenced by what was happening abroad, set great store by the election of a maior who should be the head of their communa; 'come what might they would have no king but the mayor ${ }^{1}$ ' Even if we take no account of such aspirations as were never fulfilled, it was important that the town should have some one man as its chief; the anthropomorphic picture of a body corporate required that there should be a 'head'.' Still it seems clear that a large and wealthy city might get on well enough without a mayor; until 1403 the citizens of Norwich were content with their four bailiffs ${ }^{\text {s }}$.

Beyond conceding the liberty to elect mayor and bailiffs Borough and the liberty to elect coroners ' who shall see that the bailiffs of the borough deal justly and lawfully with rich and poor,' the charters of this age seldom define any constitution for the borough. They make no class of councillors, aldermen, chief burgesses: they do not say how or by whom the dooms of the burghal court shall be rendered. As we might expect, the ${ }_{4}{ }^{2}$ ] active organ of the borough is rather a court than a council. The frankpledge system prevails in the boroughs. A view of frankpledge is sometimes held for the whole borough (a 'mickletorn' it is called in some towns), whereat the mayor or the bailiffs preside ${ }^{4}$, or else the borough is divided into wards or into 'lects,' each of which has its separate courts. The business of viewing the tithings and presenting offences

[^446]seems to have beens conducted within bumgen watts mint as it was ronducted in the open country. Naturally, bumowes. the gyatem of tithinge mometimes terok a territomal forma. reth small district of the town or each striest had its tuthingriast Oemaionally in burnugha which have hote wher crganazalies. a 'court lect ' will in cunse of time assume the charaiter of e negulative and goverumental organ of a humble humfl? and in some large towns the lower unden will give vaim to ! ymer sentments' to complaints agmiust their rulem'; brit in the origin the leest or view of frankplesige is much rather a nyw police cuurt than a comotanal meembly.

The borongb court. sessions. Oten it nat onco a weet, and when 'fonchan ts were concurned it wutald sit frum day the day. (lltan it bed no other anme than 'the noturt of the bunnugh Iewrias haryl'. कometimen it was the 'husting,' the 'burwarembete; 'purthome'
 and perhapm in sotne placem nny burgoos was rapabire of sllitice in it an a dommsman. But the manome of benamoat that is had to do wobldi inevitatily deperve it emmerer of lates inf ite popular character: the mincellanerus tuasen of burgerneat wisciad not easily be bonght to do weukly suit of noure. Alrewh is Henry l's day therio was is lansders a 'huntug' diotume fromen
 lawmen, twelve indires, in wome of the bumogha

 bailiffor and four coronera. But thoy thid nows ntop them Thes diccidiat that there should be sis the barough imeloe chat partonen 'as there are its the wher frew lumoustio of fisaimat

 twalve men, -among thetn were the fuur curubern, twos if whe en were alan the two Luiliffin-and theso ewrive were owimh bo guaril and govern the bommugh, to maintan she himertios act wrescler the jodgmentes of its courts. Theneupes all the taves pel

[^447]of the town swore to be obedient to them and to every of them, save as against the king and the king's power'. We discover at a little later time that the twelve chief portmen hold their offices for life, though they may be removed for misbehaviour by the judgment of their fellows. Vacancies again are filled, not by popular election, but by co-optation? Now certainly it would be rash to draw any wide inferences from the few clear cases that come before us; nevertheless it would seem that very commonly some select body was formed, some body of twelve or twenty-four chief citizens, chief burgesses, chief portmen; formed by definite act as at Ipswich or formed by a practice of summoning to the court only 'the more discreet and more legal men.' This body at first is rather a judicial than a governing body, for the powers entrusted to the burgesses by their charter are much rather justiciary than governmental. But municipal life grows intenser and more complex ; the court has to ordain and to tax as well as to adjudge, and it is apt to become a council, the governing body of the borough. Then, as trial by jury penetrates the boroughs, it sets up an important change. The old pattern of a court with doomsmen who are there to declare the law gives way before the new pattern with jurors who bear witness to facts. In the town, as in the realm at large, 'court' and 'council' are slowly differentiated; the borough court becomes a mere tribunal, and by its side a distinctly conciliar organ is developed. This, however, except perhaps in exceptional London and a few other towns ${ }^{3}$, seems to be rather the work of the fourteenth than of the thirteenth centurys. The power of acting in the name of the borough pansed little by little from a general assembly of burgesses to it council or 'select borly'; but even until 1835 there were towns, and towns with long histories, in which all the most impurtant business of the corporation had to be brought before a meeting in which every corporator, every burgess or freeman,

[^448]hat a vote: such wis the coser at Winchrater, Maudstion Cambridge, Ipswish!. In the thirkenth evolury we auny sum. times suspreet that granta, urdinnnera and ngromenta of whin 'the burgeswes' or 'the commanity" ane sall to be partice mas not have been sanctionexl by any genemal avernatly, hut the should bo no more than a suxpicicion untal it and be verfies in the haverry of the cuwn that in in 'gneation?

Puwnin of erlf. goversmacus.
(VII) By-lewes and Seli-glovernment. The chartern do she it expressly grant any jower of legnelacion; but the toube arch powes in varying dogreen was often exemionl- -in varging degrees, for however litele diatinetion the law might make :n this respoost betwen bomugh and bowough. then monat have been a markend differenee in foet betwern the rity nf Loesho and snme muall market-enwn which hal jest attanevl in burgat rank. Not that we cans at nome neverime grobter prowen to sher wealthiost towns. On tho nontmry, in the puity bonngh whogoverning court was still the court of ite lonel the lored whet ethe assent of his cours. womble xtill be ahle for make ondiatacer alional as easily na, with the assunt of hin cuitrt he coulit mast. omlinances for his rural manors, and the valudity of otheh wioce
 grew in trude, is wealth and in populasions, iter folk would be tempted or compellist wo enter on the rogulation of affain wheh had nu existence in leser husy phanses. Ite ' customs hat
 could not always be marked off from that of mpmoing te o sules. In Lavinlon defimte. legindation bugirsa at ant varle bine


 samilar ordinance was isuluod in 1212 nftor a groat tire asmil is did not seruple to fix the rate of wager for masine, carpmotion tilere and the likes. Thencoforwand nenbitimun attempen wor
 Wher the secoersl ammably hena the name of luaghasuloi; val is p 310





 ii. 2ink $\pi$.
${ }^{1}$ Musum. Oidlı. i. pp. 5za. $31 y$. - Ibid ti. $x$

345] made to regulate the price of commodities and the business of the various crafts. Now it is the poulterers who require attention, and now a code must be issued for the saddlers or the cordwainers; and then again exceptional privileges are conceded to foreign merchants; such a grant, for example, is made to the men of Amiens, Corbie and Nesle, for which they are to pay an annual sum of fifty marks towards the farm of the city ${ }^{1}$. The mayor and aldermen of London seem to conceive themselves to be endowed with almost unlimited legislative power over the whole province of trade and handicraft. And no doubt their ordinances were obeyed. The individual citizen, the individual 'foreigner,' dared not quarrel with them.

For all this, however, many doubts may occur to us touching Limite to the limits set by common law to their powers. Over against powers. their wide claims we must set the wide claims of the king. Now and again some knot of traders, which thought itself oppressed, would be rich enough to stir the king to action, and when the king takes action even the City of London is apt to look powerless. In Edward II.'s day a dispute broke out between the civic authorities and the body of fishmongers on the one hand and certain fishmongers who did business at the Fish Wharf on the other ${ }^{2}$. Ordinances had been made prohibiting the sale of fish by retail at the wharf. The king was induced to dispute their validity. Much was said about their good and bad effects; but the king's counsel took high ground: -' The city of London is the city of our lord the king, and of his demesne, and it is not lawful for the mayor and commonalty, nor for any other, to make any ordinances in the said city without consulting the king". So, again, at an earlier time Walter Hervey, mayor of London, had issued ordinances regulating the affairs of various crafts and affecting to confer on the craftsmen power to make yet other rules for their trade; but the validity of these ordinances was disputed, not only on the groumd that the aldermen had not been consulted, but also beculuse the regulations favoured unduly the richer men of the crafts".

During the period now before us the common law does not Enforce. come to cluse $\mathrm{q}^{\text {uarters }}$ with municipal by-laws; it is rarely, if by-laws.
.646] ever, called upon to uphold them, for they are enforced in the

[^449]municipul courta by thame who unale them'; it in sanv! callat upon to condemn them, for he must be both a bold wow a nel citizen who will call in the king againat the rity Arnl an we obtain no jurisprulence of by-lawn, so catablinheal teota for their validity.

The one thing that we can ray with mome corenitesy io that in thenry tro one in England can clam to leginlato uniot thas power has been given hin by the kug-to say nothing of parliament. Those who claim to make by-lawo tause show that such power has been given to tham by myal charter, of ule ibey must show (and this they will harilly prove to the eatesfactuo id the king's juatices) that they have been exercianng is terso time inammonal. On the whule, we tnay donhe whether in the majority of English towns much was done by way of Jenzalatun that might ont be repmaented as being on nown thans. necessary definition and development of ancient cuntoma … decent person would consider himself aghrieved if a oharger edge was given to culd rulea directed against the wrokndum in the 'foreataller' who eubanced the price of victuala"

Raves atud
tanc.
(vili) Self-tuxing powers. Powen of Laxatinis ane ams exprealy concemben! by the chartern of thin age, and they mant have twell eonfined within narmow limits if the burneos winhed on rupair their walls, their bridgen, theror strives. thery hat wapply to the king for a grant of murage. pateage of pavige: and swich gronte wem nise in be hat in muttion of courses. In Edward l.'s day the petitom came befione the noynu council in prathament, and the 'Incal rake; wormy m! at was freguently a 'parliamentary tas'; bus an the-king hasl rat

[^450]yet lost the right to tallage his boroughs, he could permit them to tallage themselves. The royal nature of the power to tax is well illustrated by the loud complaints which come to our ears from almost every ward in the city of London:-The great men of the city have purchased charters exempting them from tallages and thus the burden is thrown upon the smaller folk. ' Not just once, twice, thrice or four times have the mayor and aldermen set tallages upon us without the special command of the king or the assent and consent of the whole community; they have spared the rich and distrained the poor, to the disherison of the king and the destruction of his city ${ }^{1}$. $\mathbf{A}$ certain power in 'the whole community' to tallage its members, these London citizens are willing to admit, but how far they would have allowed a majority to tax a dissentient minority is doubtful. The heavy imposts to which they had recently been compelled to submit were occasioned by the fines to which the city had been subjected owing to the share which its citizens had taken in the Barons' War. Speaking generally we may say that tallages, fines and amercements imposed upon the borough from without, were (together with the murages, pontages and pavages which, if not imposed from without, were at least licensed from above) the main causes for municipal taxes.

The borough community had few other expenses to meet, Borongh it was nut an 'improving corporation' with hosts of paid ture. servants ${ }^{2}$. The individual burghers had to serve as officers, as constables, ale-conners and the like, or find and pay fit substitutes, while small fees taken from suitors in the borough court, or from the youths admitted into frankpledge, would serve as a remuneration for the town clerk. On the whole, the burgher's duty of paying 'scot and lot' with his fellows came honte to him chiefly, if not solely, as a duty of contributing towards sums exacted from the borough by a 'not-itself,' and the guestion as to the legality of rates made for other purposes 648] was seldon raised ${ }^{3}$. Had it been raised, the recalcitrant
${ }^{1}$ If. H. i. 403 ff . papecinlly 411 . There is a great deal about this matter in the Liber de Autiquis Legibus. See also the complaint from Northampton, R. H. ii, 2.

2 Howerer in 1237 the Londoners had already been engaged in making a condant to bring the Tyburn water to the city; Manimenta Gildhallae, vol. ii. p. $61 \%$
${ }^{3}$ Sue the passages descriptive of scot and lot in Gross, Gild Merchant, i. 5:3-59.
burgher would have foumd no fosbuls in the torough conart while an appeal to the king's caurt was only upen bu cone wion could afford to begro a small civil war againat hin actghberure But even the city of London thought tit to ubtaun in, m Edwram II. an exprezas frower of inpowing tallagto for to wo h use !

A large part of the borough's nevenue was ilerivid come toils, if we use that tern in its largest sense wo inclute ' pasase. pontnge, Inxtage, stallage, bothage. ewage, tronage, meavage" was the like. Naturally a berough community untrusted with cte farm of tulls whe tempted to itaprase a stragerat and proteriate tariff: its ileal of a perfertly 'free' trable was an unlitasoded power to tax other penple. Nevershelefh wer may serubs whether it had any right to create new wille The charge if levying bew tolls is extremely emmeson; and thew egachot whom it is brought seetll always concermet to doray tha: shere. bas beed innowntion. The land, it wuot le menetnberol, *as full of private lords who were cull-takers, and there bantig could be one ruke for thems and another for the twanugha
(ix) The Gidd Merrhant. In a large number of tuwns ine of the privaleges that has beyth granterd to the burgoseses and the tr Lota in that of having thesp gatel merchant ur masions agiot if we atternpt to expand the hrief phriwe unat th the charier. or seem brought to mome such nesult ra the following - The birg

 mercautile smentunties which by others woode of his shares
 may organize themselves for the furgnee of manstaunang thit frevedom.
Thm
formution of egilu
 Joling granted a charter so, the burgienw, they wero t.i b i the berough isf fier fism ; they worse ta be grat of t.ill with all samilar dues throughone the king'a lasds, ther wore mit tat to tuphealeal outande their tawn; they were th have thes gith merchant atud their hanser, they were ta dias imon fit meed of oh keep the reevertap) of the berough; they wire (t) Mere fras corouems. Theretugun the whale comsubut! mes is the churst
 as we have sad befure, thut thero abould bu tarion ibart

portmen who should guard and govern their borough and give its judgments. Then on a later day the chief portmen were elected and sworn. Then the bailiffs, coroners and chief portmen held a meeting and resolved that an alderman of the gild merchant should be elected by the community and that four men should be associated with him and that they should swear to maintain the said gild and all that appertained to it. Then the whole community met again and elected an alderman and four associates, who swore faithfully to govern the gild merchant and faithfully to deal with all the brethren. Then the alderman and his four associates in the presence of the people proclaimed that all who were of the liberty of the town should come before them and put themselves in the gild and give their hanse to the gild. Then the bailiffs, coroners, portmen and the whole community took counsel how the gild might best be maintained, and they decreed that the alderman and his successors should have a monopoly of gravestones, pavingstones and the like, and that of the proceeds of this monopoly he should render account to the bailiffs and coroners ${ }^{1}$.

Thus, having got their charter, the burgesses of Ipswich The gild proceed to form two different organizations; there is the and the governmental and justiciary organization with its bailiffs, meat of coromers, twelve chief portmen: threre is the gild organization boroogh. with its alderman and his four associates. Certainly the two are closely comected. The gild is to be no mere private club. Every burgess is to place hinself in the gild and pay his hanse, his entrance foe, to the gild, or otherwise, as we gather, he will luse some at least of the advantages, notably the mercantile adsantages, that the words of the charter give to the burgesses of I pwich and their heirs. No doultt it would be imprudent werr we to base any large gemeralities upon a few cases. Not all the charters of even date are exactly like the Ipswich 350] charter. Thuss in the same year the same king granted $\Omega$ charter to the mon of Glomester. In this the privilege of not being impleaded without the walls and the privilege of being free of toll were expressly contined to 'the burgesses of (iloucsicter who are of the merchant gild?' In one place the morchant gild may have bere of more, in another of less imprtance: in one place it mat have become in practice, though hardly in theory, the governing body of the borough,

[^451]while in another place them wer no surch gild at all in London iteelf traces of it merrhant gold are, to noy the hase very faint, while Norwich stands out an example of the Hourishing cities which to nll seeming never hual a merntaser gild ${ }^{\text {S The mercantile privilegen granted to the inatgeter }}$ could be muintained and enforeed withont any ench irgatuetinn, white with the public justint and puliee of the tumasish the gitd as a general rule hat nothong to do. In tommeto which had a gild merchnmt the burgere was not onewnonily 0 gitdaman, the gildman was but neeveant! a thrgma

Objeote of the gstit.

The main object that the gilld memelant han in rien is the maintumance of the mercantile privitegea that has tuma granted by charter. Thus is an impurhat and satforits matter. A few merchants of the town go in mome distant fars or market; toll is taken from them; the loud uf the faur thou bailiffs of the rival city to whech they have gome, sonf at shris charters, or temperatoly and reamonably met charter agranas charter and sevisin againat seisin. In such a cave a alotar! trader far from bume peeds all the help that hre fellown ian give. And they are interested in hia cause, for mom liet is he cetablisherl that the burgerswes of $X$ an in misin of taking sull from the burgesemen of $Y$, then only liy litigation, if nt ait will the burgestess of 5 nevover misist of thon trathinaty. If the privilege in wo be promerned intou't, the indendeal tme athat must be hacked by a commustity of merchanta whoth will tais immediate action, which will exmplans to the kstak ami aupgors its complaint with a hatidwime ght. or whech will foetionth $s$ if make reprisala agaunat the uggreasora To make neprisalo theng are encouraged by their charterss. It is thus for exampule thot
 similar clausea are not uucothenati- Ami if any othe the nir whole land takes toll frum the mes of (ilouromates of thom and
 shime or the reme if Giforswatet shall firs tha talio. anm at
 snother townt, the tuen of that uther wow had better ont torise

[^452]their wares to Gloucester. The merchants of the borough must be organized in order that this inter-municipal warfare may be conducted vigorously and prudently. Both vigour and prudence are needful; all those who are not exempt from toll should be forced to pay it, while it is perilous to touch those who are exempt. In order that their action may be both prompt and deliberate, the merchants must be organized, must constantly meet, must have executive officers and a common purse.

Still these mercantile privileges are not of equal importance the gild to all the burgesses. Many of them are not traders; but few bargemea. of them will carry goods to distant markets, though those few are likely to be rich and powerful. Thus the gild organization may remain quite distinct from the governmental organization; men may be burgesses who are not gildsmen. On the other hand, it would certainly seem that rightly or wrongly the gildsmen take upon themselves to receive as brethren men who are not burgesses, men who do not live in, who do not hold property in, the town, but who desire to share the immunities which the traders of the town enjoy ${ }^{1}$. Thus, though according to the terms of the charters 'the gild merchant' is a liberty, a franchise, conceded to the burgesses, the gild comes to be a body of persons which does not include all the burgesses and does not exclude all who are not burgesses.

Further, at least in some cases, the gild merchant evolves the gild out of iteslf a court of justice which exists beside the law court. court of the borough. This can hardly be prevented; the craft gilds of London evolve courts of justice, the French and
52] German merchants in London evolve courts of justice, the learned universities evolve courts of justice; there can hardly exist a berly of men permanently united by any common interest that will not make for itself a court of justice if it be left for a few years to its own devices. The gild-brethren at their 'momines-specehes' do wot merely take counsel for the maintename of their privileges and the regulation of their trale, but they assume to do justice. In the first place, they decesle quations of inheritance and succession. A person's gilde, that is, his right as a member of the gild, is truated as an objoct of ownership. With the consent of the court a man

[^453]runy give it or rell it. If he dien perester of 28 , then it onll descend to his heir. And so at the murnity-aprech now purno will cume and demand ngrinst auother the 'gald' of a dead ancestor 'ns his right aud inherritance', using the wory form of worly by which he weuld have demanded ancentral lande Such dipputes, such netions we must cell them, the gith ment hear and dotermine at their mornulg speechea But beesides this they entertann actions of debt aod cavemant and srespass, and harilly dare we call such assemblies mere coarre of artiotutum, for they can enferce their own divernos; if is conies to extrenities, the contumaciuns bruther can be enpelled. The right of each gildsman to claim as share in ans hargnils that he wees onse of his fellowa makring so anothes cause for litigation'.

The baruagks ta a Iranchere boliler.

Such in brief were the main franchiser that the buonughte rajught, and thene franchises, some or all of thens, mate the borvugh to be a burough. This gave the kuing a tight tiand upon the townsfalk. The group of burgeges was a fram ther holder in a land full of franchone-hulders, and had to suthea: $w$ the rulees which guverned the other pussarsurs of niyal nises It might lose its privilegen by abuse of dun-1ake, it mopht ine them by not claming them before the jution in egn. thenut in this case a moxlerak fine would procur. theus mentorature Four tiness at lenst within eleveu years dul Henry 111 atise the city of London into his humtr, onfer for reverimg Wates Buriler without warmat for no donig.' onve tematie of a the 16

 the king's jutricers, unt unce beramme the anater of tireat and को was nut kept? No doubt Henry was tymadioul and growly but these seizures show how weak was the must peomestui of ai. the Enylush citure. Then Edward L. kept Landers fore many yeane without a mayor, and during thes sum he hegredated for : is royal fastson :-' ' 0 Roy mert.' such is the formotion tey whate by-luws are matele'. And the king in mpurnto seamhati ins to: necrets of the barough; he was not to be purs oft with tho wery whld by the sulers of the commanity if he desined to tero

[^454]what had passed at Lincoln, he heard one jury of the great, another of the 'secondary,' a third of the 'lesser' foll ${ }^{1}$.

We ought now to inquire whether the borough community differs from the other 'land communities' in exhibiting all or any of those peculiar characteristics to which we make refer- boroagh

Corporate ence when we speak of corporateness or personality. And at manity. once it must be confessed that in the scale of 'towns' which begins with the common village and ends with London no break can be found. This does not, however, absolve us from the inquiry: black and white are different, though nature displays every shade of grey.

The doctrine that some act of public power is necessary if a corponte. corporation is to come into being had not as yet been accepted. Probably we must wait for the fourteenth century to hear a king's advocate proclaim that the burgesses can not have a communitas unless this be granted to them by the king'. As yet the charters contain no creative words. Nuthing is said, as in the charters of the fifteenth century, about the erection of a 'corporation' or 'body politic'; nothing, as in the charters of the fourteenth, about the formation or confirmation of a communitas ${ }^{2}$. The conmunitas is already there; it may want privileges, but it exists. The notion that there is some 'feigning' to be dunc, some artifice to be applied, has not as yet been received from the canonists ${ }^{4}$, and perhaps we ought to regret its reception; the corporation which exists 'by prescription' seems to defy it or to require that one fiction be explained by another ${ }^{5}$. The foundation, however, is being laid for a rule which will require a royal licence when a new corporation is to be formed. This work is being done partly by legists and decretists, who are discussing the collegia illicita of Roman law, partly by English statesmen. The king had begun to interfere with the creation of new communitates, with the creation of voluntary associations or gilds. Such intervention was dictated

[^455]not by any 'juriatic nemosity: any theofy of permernately bast by political expedience and fixancial needa. lihlots may give trouble: they may become aggressive commantue of the Firn-h type. The Lomdoners from of ohl ans a cammunity, that bity raust not forms sworn comomurce unlone the kirg coinseris 'Adulterine gilds ${ }^{1 '}$ must be wuppremed for unch the same renoon as that which cleverese the dentructors of a atulionse castless. Beades, here lies a but dima-putable arurce uf tominae Men will pay for lenve to form clube ; and it is the be runs. 日ebered that the moxlieval gild in nower coment with the frimls private pasition of a morlorm rlith, but aspires to exemerise antere juriseliction and cuercive power uver ito membera, anut jurhapo over outsiders. Thus the neritus is pripagnted that priaj-izke atructure tunst not exint. witheut ruyal beence, asul thas et a time when the stricture of the burgeanic comasuma! in amuma. ing a gild-like shape'.
fimimite traite of the berrough ootm. mumity.

For that was happening. The ifea of roluntar! maw-ualaon whe moulding the community. In the griat. lwiruughe lat en
 be bought from the king, and the wubacribiag formatilk tuternily
 Sotae defination of the provilegen, the framihisal. Jootr ues necessary, and yet in the great bonougho that innly enolid wiok asume any of the old accustustined forinat Tha hulde of tor yardland conks no longer be the groundwosk of un mion rolip Eien the freebold teriure of a hulum would aut more in naft the line, for lenses for gears were becouluing fantiviniatits to the big lowns. The silish, esyectally pre rhap the pillo of reerchnats, met an oxatuple. The comanuaty of Eargice 10 a voluntary mesciation. Sotme men, it may fie, have a mat: $L$ join it, while uthom have wo such right ; but evory nember of it has joined it by $n$ detinite oct. He has ewternal tor
${ }^{1}$ Stubbu. Conar. Dine. i. 48 .







 lind. ses.
community, been admitted to it, paid an entrance-fee, 'sued out' or 'taken up' his liberty.

A step is being made towards corporateness. The borough Admimen begins to look somewhat like a religious house or an order of of bebre. knights. Just as the monk or the templar becomes professed of his own free will and is solennly received into the order, so the new burgess enters 'the borough' (not the physical borough, but an ideal borough) of his own free will and is solemnly received into the community. If the monk took vows, so did the burgess: at Ipswich he swore upon his father's sword to maintain the freedom and conceal the secrets of the town ${ }^{1}$. This process of transformation is still exceedingly obscure? Besides the influence of the gild, the influence of the sworn communa of the French town may be suspecteds. But also the freedom from toll which has been granted to the burgesses may have played an important part at this crisis. The townsfolk perceived that they had enviable 'liberties' which were communicable to others, that they could, at least for some intents, make burgesses out of non-burgesses, that by so doing they could raise money, and that within limits which were not precisely ascertained they could themselves define the class which should enjoy the chartered liberties4. The task of tracing this change must be left to those who can afford to treat each borough separately, for doubtless it went further in some towns than in others; but it helps to transmute the idea of burgherhoord.

In cuurse of time a definite right to burgherhood is establishel. Thuugh there were many small variations, there was ultimately among our greater boroughs a remarkably unanimous agreviment that this right was communicated by a father to his suns, or at least to his firstborn son, and by a master to his apprentices. We have not here a case of inheritance, for the son may claim 'his freedom' in his father's lifetime; but

[^456]the community contimetes its exivennee by sirtue wf ate harliss.
 The right sceme lu flow dowawards an biend mat ctan. It soe curious idea and has not been subjoseayl ta the rarelul explian. tion that it desorviss. Dexpite itx univernality. wro riasy, at least as regardes the approntices doube ite groat antigant!, atas should not be surprised if it had ite origin in a graviare whab exacterl from the son of a burgoser an atualler entmuinu fow then was demanded from other appitermin'. Whish and whom the right to burgherhood was istablushed, the provilegeed boty might beeoue by degmes very different from and unch wislifes than the sum of the substantial men of the cown: but we. hase. lithle resson to supposer that during the age of wheh we arr here speakiug this effect had berwous prominemt. Nin dount from the first there wore in the Lown many pergite wher win not devemed to be 'burgeases' or artive and fully qualisiot mesubers of the commuanty of the vill. Then wore wamea. wons living with fathorn, unenial wervants, approbtion in a word the 'mainpast' of the burgesorat Prermona of this wat there were in every commanasy, in every townanhp. Nise is it inaposaible that some uthers were left out on the weun of that povarty: thay had contributed nuthing in thow hons! eurno which werre the price of the charkem, abll ceathl fay pen ontraursfie wo the common chest. It as lakely that frots the reflociave proriud uur ancentors were famaliar with the idina that an rice $i$ raes may be within a communtly and yet have mon righ :.. shure in the conduct of its affaire Nuch prothatily wes the pwitinn of the burdarii and colarii in the villagese of wht unn- ' This uden bure new fruit it the borough, many mon ratght to within the community of the fown and ges have tou vote is any burgonsic nasmbly.

The - oubjuct Hi Lir borough cluriara

Theme changes take place in a darknow which io umilleal. nated by legul theory Iangl thought and lugal phrame $-=$ to be laggizg belasad the Eacts. If we examide the forma of o

[^457]borough charter we see that the king or some other lord is conceived as making a gift of franchises to 'the burgesses' or 'the men' of a certain town 'and their heirs.' But in what mode, we may ask, does this gift operate? (1) It may possibly give to each person, who at this moment is a burgess of the town, a several right which he will enjoy in severalty and transmit to his heirs. Or (2) it may confer on all the now burgesses of the town a right of which they are to be joint tenants or tenants in common, and may. thus institute some kind of co-proprietorship. Or (3) it may be placing the right in some corporation or group-person in which the burgesses of the town are organized and unified. And if we have to consider ${ }^{367}$ ] rights we have also to consider duties. 'The burgesses and their heirs' become liable for the farm of their borough. What does this mean? Who is liable to pay what? What goods or lands can the king seize if the rent of the borough be not duly paid to him?

The difficulty of these questions will best be seen if beside Discmanion a borough charter we place three other instruments, very of tharters. similar to it in form, however different they may be from it and from each other in substance. The Abbot and Convent of Malmesbury declare that they have granted a certain piece of ground at Pilton near Barnstaple 'to the men who have taken it of our house-our cell-of Pilton for the purpose of building houses, to have and to hold to them and their herrs of our said house of Pilton by rendering to the said church twelve pence yearly from each burgage ${ }^{1}$.' Now in this case we can hardly doubt that the rights given by the charter are rights given to each tenant severally, and rights that he is to enjoy in severalty. He has taken a plot of building land and is to hold it heritably on the terms of burgage tenure, though Pilton is not, and is nut to be, a borough. There is to be no corporation; nor only so, there is to be (so far as we can see) no co-ownership, no common enjoyment. We turn to another case. King John would have it known that he has granted to his men of Cornwall that certain mons shall be disatforested and that the said men may homt thereon; also that without their consent their serfs shall not be reeceived into the liberties of the king's boroughs; also that the fees of the honour of Mortain (whieh are small') shall not pay the full rate of seutage. 'Therefore,'

[^458]he suys. ' we will that the sald men of C'ormwall nall the ie ho in whall hold all the prominese of us anil nur beime with all ithert... and iree custentis'. 'l'se thind chartar to wheh we would aet atteontion is one by whoch this same King Johon made os arast wall the free toen of Eugland and theis heirs, it is no atie 8 chan what will be knewn for all time an the lifial i thante? At the end of the fanous clanmes we numl huw all the men if Einghated are to have and to holll mertana libertien to the in aled their heirs of King dolin and hin heim for ever

Now these lant two instrumenten, the Cimmi-h charior an! p the (Great Charter, are in forms just like an ondanne iomujet
 the mesu of Corawall, the mea of Eaglased and thetr boum In
 'man' acquire a several right to be enjoyed in ancoralty in in
 again, is the trie neriplient of the grant a emulp pere on corperations 'The form of the (irvat C'hartere and the cbasto-y for the men of Corruwall eompull an to nsy that themer ipuention have not been faced. If we talet the Gireat ('barter ans) anel out any thesory on to ith genntrees aud she mude in whin thes! nereiveal the buon, we am brought to ahaurlatese The menters. Fangishman who would take alvantage of ita perivial ins entas shuw huself heir of some one who lived in 121s; or. If ectase of the charter be broken, thon either all Finglinhtoren tritas fraz in an actuen agranet the offersoler, or she corpuration of Eisigand uast appear by its nttortueg. Thers moman the pomabist that thes in a gift to uucertain permonat to all and enngiaar ox.
 Enghland':-but as such a giff curneswable if
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be exactly the same as that of the charters which he seals in favour of the men of England. This makes the borough of Nottingham look, not like a corporation, but merely like a portion of the earth's surface within which certain laws are to prevail.

Now it can bardly be doubted that certain clauses in the critsom .6sog borough charters should be read as grants made to individuals of rights that are to be enjoyed by them in severalty. Such, for example, would be a clause declaring that the burgeses and their heirs shall hold their tenements in free burgage. It is like the Abbot of Malmesbury's charter for the men of Pilton. Each burgess gets a right to hold his tenement heritably at a burgage rent. 'The burgesses of $\boldsymbol{X}$ and their heirs' is here but a compendious phrase which saves us the trouble of naming many men by their proper names. And may this not also be true of other clauses: for instance, of the clause which declares how the burgesses and their heirs are to be free of toll throughout all England? Suppose the grant made to the burgesses of $X$; a certain burgess of $X$ goes into the town of $Y$; toll is demanded from him; he refusee to pay; his chattels are seized. Now who is wronged, who can bring an action against the offender? Has this injury been dune to the individual merchant, or to the mass of the men of $X$ as co-owners of a franchise, or to the corporation known as 'the borough of $X$ '; or again, have there been several wrongs ? There is good cause for doubting whether the lawyers of this age were ready with an answer to these questions. On the one hand, we may find two citizens of Lincoln, who have been distrained in the town of Lynn, bringing their action against the bailiff of Lynn aud relying on a charter granted th the citizens of Lincoln'. On the other hand, the plaintiffs who take action for such a cause will often be described as 'the citizens,' or 'the burgesses,' or 'the bailiffs,' or 'the mayor and commonalty' of the town whose charter has been infringed ${ }^{\text { }}$; and yet we can not be certain that the courts would have given one action to the individual trader and another to the community, and compelled the offenders to pay first for unlawfilly seizing a merchant's chattels and then for infringing a city's charter. Modern lawyers may be inclined

[^459]
## 676

to say that when such a clause is tronted as minforming natbe on each individual burgesen it in treateal as nas act of lemolation not as an act of dotation; that the burgon who britun to action is not nexpuired to prove (very puosibly be mosid gis prove) that he was heir to one of the nongmal doweres, that in reality a law or un urdinasice has been mode derlarisige the aoy persun who at any time shall be a citizen of Lanoula stw. be quit uf eoll; but then this diwninction between laws seal grantes is not one that we find in our remonle

There are, however, other chatser in the burnugh chartans which cen unt be thus treaterl. Fir example, the re so the clange relating wis the five farm of the 'borrough.' which eertans: dexes not mean that each burgeses in $u$, hold a ervoun tham if
 Again, me fur as we lave obsurved, the impertant clause whinh declares that the burgessess shall not be mupleablat ontatile thir borough is rarely, if ever. constrmed to mean that a right if refusiag to suswer is fornign courth is comferpal in whers burgess. On the cuntrary, whon a burges is implesulat in the king's court, the regular practire to that the othiren is 'the burgessmes' of the borough ahould inservenc and elaro cognizance of the cause, ur (to use the latguager of sha tira, "cmve thear court nuel ublais it': Uuec more, if we tater onth a frunchise is the return of writs, we can sut presthly twas this as having beth confirmal un individuale toe be efy..!-at by
 wo che cominumity as a whele. But then it what anel
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[^460]In the first place, they are beginning to recognize the fact Inberitthat the idea of inheritance will no longer serve to describe the means by which the existence of 'the burgesses' is perpetuated. The words 'and their successors' begin to supplant the old formula 'and their heirs'.' This is a step in advance, for on the one hand the burgensic community is separated from the set of co-proprietors, and on the other hand it is brought into line with religious bodies. Even this novel phrase, however, is not very good, for the new burgess or new monk does not of necessity 'succeed' any other burgess or other monk. Our forefathers found it hard to conceive that one and the same community can continue to exist unless each new member steps into the place of some departed member. We have seen how in modern times there was within our boroughs an individualistic communication of right by father to son or master to apprentice, and this can be vaguely pictured as a kind of succession or perhaps of inheritance'. Down even to the present day the formal language of our law but ill expresses what has long ago become our thought. A transaction which would be commonly and aptly described as a contract between the University and the Town of Cambridge will become upon parchment a contract between Chancellor, Mastur and Scholars of the one part and Mayor, Aldermen and Burgesses of the other ${ }^{3}$. This retention by legal documents of a style or title which seems to lay stress rather on the plurality than on the unity of the group has set saares for those who would penetrate bencath style and title to the thought that is struggling to express itself4.

[^461]678 Jurisdiction and Communal Affours. (ax. it

But we muat pasa from form to substance. Oor law fols mo difficulty about attributing mimdeods of many aorta aoul kiob to communitiest The counties, hundreds and towasbapm are Aways being tined and amerced for wrongful arts and idrexuza So too the boroughs can be punished. Every borough to Englaud from the eity of Landon duwnwards Lives in thair ip peril of forfesting its charters, of sceing itn mercantule privinges annulled, of meeing its elected magistraten dinglawed and usoif handed over io the mercies of some royal cuntor or firmazime If Loudonern insult the queen or take the wruag side in the Baman' War, the city will have to redeem its parihgres with an immense sums'. If in the Lown of Derty 'supertuses' wilts aro taken and the members of the geld soer-bant ars unduly favoured, the liberties of the trorough will bereiend' The city of York claimed to farm the Austy; is suppart of this elaim the mayor produced a charter whach purported so be of the fourth year of King John; bue tho wand ywirto mace written over an armure. Judgment was giren that tho mapur should go to prima, that the charter shousld be guanhent, and that the citizens should lose all that they clusiond thervunder: The mayor of Sandwich wis found golly of smerting by were of violence certain suppowed franchises of how kow, end tircanse, he is convicted of the wnid towpres, and berouter whaters is dine by the mayor in matters nffoeting the exmmanaty the act of the commosnity ituelf, it is acljuilged that the cermmunity of Sasulwich lowe its liberty:" Sinw lenterves the punimbanent of a borrugh and the grunishment of a conebry of
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it must become evident sooner or later that the borough community can be punished in a peculiar fashion; it has liberties and it can forfeit them. It can be equated with other franchise-holders and punished as one of them would be punished if he abused his franchise. Taken merely as unit it can be punished, and the punishment may continue to operate while old members are yielding place to new, whereas a fine inflicted on a hundred divides itself immediately into punishments inflicted upon certain men who are now living. Sharp distinctions are not to be looked for in this quarter. Even in the nineteenth century a county may be indicted for non-repair of highways and until the other day a hundred might be sued if rioters did damage ${ }^{1}$. But still the 'liberties' of the borough give the law an opportunity of enforcing here more clearly than elsewhere the thought that if the organized community acting organically breaks the law, it in its unity can be and should be punished.

In the region of civil liability little advance was possible. The burgesses may 'farm' the borough; but an ordinary township may farm its vill ${ }^{3}$. When the king accepted the burgesses as farmers in place of the sheriff, he certainly did not mean to exchange the liability of a well-to-do man for that of an unit which had few, if any, chattels. On the contrary, instead of looking to the wealth of one man, he now looked to the wealth p.ffris of many. If the rent of the borough fell into arrear, be could proceed against all the burgesses or any burgess. A common practice of the exchequer was to attack the rich. The sheriff would be ordered to summon six of the richer burgesses to answer for the rent'. This was for the king a convenient procedure. He could exact payment of his rent, his fines and

[^462]asuerementa from thume who had monry, and then mold ay to the burgerseses at largo- Now you can wettle the ulturasin incilenee of this impuast among youncelves: the settlemess to your concorn, uint mine; at all eventa, it is not my coubrm at loug ins I am acting, wot ne judger, but ans erchation, fir all if no are, und cach of you is, liable to the for the whole sutn.' Thiets inside the borough, or the manor, there would be seteloment. To meet the annual rent there were funde which uortratily would be autticient; the burgage renta, the killa, the grotite if the court should be applied fur this purpene, and the rlowsoij; $<$ briliffe might be bound to make goorl the deticienery If an ho or manercement had been inticterd, then a rate mught beowne necesosury. The men of a rural matios would pritzolily be charged accorling to the acheme of commenamble thonmente. the burgesses would bue assessed accunting so thetry wizulth is goods and chattels. If really there wero any lameto ir peole which we could properly demeribe an belonging to the to itowast corporation, these almo inighe be taken, but they wowld be owiy a part, and uswally a very imnill part, of the property of the emmmunity; for the property of the commumty enmpriad, as least for this purpowe, all the lauds arul all the goxuls int esery burge.an Development was esprectally mum in thas quarers, fer tut until $1285^{2}$ could land, we distinct frosut the protite of lacet, be regarded ns ats 'avnilable neset' for the astafarthots of trebea and the nascent municipal corparituon hal few, if agy. chatte to. and lictle, if any, land that bure erops:
Tor com. Nor an yet can we tind any markel datimetion twimentid the minnitum th varions communties when they cate part in hitigntems. The
 attorney, that it can not posesibly apprar in perman, han costain! nut been gratped. 'The citizers if $\boldsymbol{X}$ ' ar 'the burgimes af $y^{\prime}$ are said to appear, and they are nut seld to appear by atcime Or agam, the mayor, or the balliff, ur the magus atait tast tho appear to unge the claims and defend the righte of the coosmunity. It is so wath sommunsturs th whith we can mat

[^463]ascribe incorporation ${ }^{1}$. In the exchequer 'the men' of this hundred, 'the men' of that township, are sued for fines, taxes and amercements. 'The fullers and dyers of Lincoln' sue 'the aldermen and reeves of Lincoln:' In Edward II.'s time Emery Gegge and Robert Wawayn 'on behalf of themselves and the other poor and middling burgesses of Scarborough' sue Roger ${ }^{\text {665] }}$ ] atte Cross, John Hugh's son, Warin Draper ' and the other rich burgesses of the said town?' John Abel is attached to answer Betino Frescobaldi 'and his companions merchants of the firm (sacietas) of the Frescobaldi of Florence"' At a later time when an action was brought against 'the Fellowship of the Lombard Merchants of Florence in London' and the sheriff, by way of making that society appear, distrained two of its members, the argument was advanced that this was an illegal act ${ }^{8}$; but in the thirteenth century we hear no such arguments; no one seems to think that they can be used. Much rather we are inclined to say that if there is any group of men having a permanent common interest, and if an unlawful act is done which can be regarded as a lesion of that interest, even though it does actual damage only to some one member of the group, then the members of it may join in an action, or one of them may sue on behalf of himself and all the other members:-as Bracton says 'Omnes conqueri possunt et unus sub nomine universitatis ${ }^{6}$ ', 'This is so within wide and indefinite limits. In the case of a borough attacked from without, it is natural that the complaint should be lodged by the chief officers of the community. The burghers compose a body, and what the head does in matters concerning the community, the whole body does. But this is hardly more than a special instance of a

[^464]general rula. Instend of being attarkeel from whenent, the borough may be dividerd within. If me, thens of and is ins behalf of the poor burgesess can sue C. and 10 'and all wethers the rich burgueses.'

Everywhere wo fiud the sume uncertain gratp of junciplo which we are wont to regrand ae elementary. Henry 111 . whee he diesl, owed $£$ th) to the community of Northampenn - $-\infty$ kay thes jurrors uf Northarnptens. Hern at lass, we man gy, wa distinct case of a debbt dues $\mathrm{h}_{3}$ a esirgoration. But haw was is incurred? Thus, any the jurrim:-during the iwency lane gren of his reign the king's purrcyonn (suptores) unk wo he tive peltry to that value in the faira of Northampiona, Stamtind is 4 St Ives, Buston, Wischenter and St Bidmusia; what is mome be
 same fauss. The story, if true, is and, for ' ruatsy of the taveon folk are dying of humger and begging their toreat aval have ubandoned their cenements in the cuwa and the town stanif. But King Henry has not been taking the goodis of a cmpomtion; we much doube whecher there has busu any jount ata-k troding by all tho burgeseww or all tho drapern of Nirrtisarngito. be has taken the gonds of individual tnuborm. Sevarthelose to popular eatimation be toan ineurnel a delie to the comaminaty of taking goods from the stalla of Northamptan merrbante who wors exercising 'liberties' of truling which wern gronted tee al the men of Northampton and there heim Again, if a merchan! of $X$ owes a trading debt to a merchant of $F$, thew if atbor merchants of $\boldsymbol{X}$ gu to the town of $\boldsymbol{Y}$, or to mome fare chenr- tode creditur finde them, theyy will liko enough bo huld abameralian for the delit-at all evente if he prowea that he has unse e froitless effort ho oblain justice in ther cours of $X$-they and the communaren of the princmpal ismoth, they ane 'his preve and pareeners, 'they ane 'in mext anul lut with huss, aut they nowd each of them, thust asswer for hin trading dehen: fors dibe that is, incurred in the excercine of truling pmoingen whith they all esiguy in common'. And shoruld a baulitit of $X$ eak.

[^465]unlawful toll from a merchant of $\bar{Y}$, then woe betide the merchant of $X$ who enters the town of $\bar{Y}$. 'Collective liability'this seems the best phrase-we may see everywhere, in so much that we are tempted to say, not merely Quod communitas debet, debent singuli, but also Quod singulus debet, debet communitas. In all seriousness we are driven to some such proposition as the following:-If several men have some permanent common ${ }^{.067]}$ interest, and in any matter relating to the prosecution of that interest one of them commits a wrong or incurs a debt, all and each of them will be liable. This is not the outcome of any doctrine of 'implied agency,' it expresses the nature of a communitas. But pure corporate liability-that we shall not easily find ${ }^{1}$.

Nevertheless (and here we must turn to the other side of The the picture) the burgensic community is attaining that kind eem. of unity which is personality. When in 1200 the cummunity of Ipswich received its charter from King John, one of their first acts was to obtain a common seal and commit it to the care of the two bailiffs and one other of the chief portmen; they were sworn to set it to no letter or instrument save for the common honour and profit of the burgesses of the town, and only to use it with the assent of their peers, that is, of the other chief portmens. No doubt by this time the greater boroughs were getting themselves seals ${ }^{3}$. Now we would not exaggerate the importance of this step-and we have seen how in Edward I.'s day the county of Devon had a seal"-still it was important. In the first place, it was a step towards the co-ordination of the boroughs with the religious houses, which in their turn were being co-ordinated with individual men. In
statutory rule so far as Englishmen were concerned. Not until 1358 was the benefit of the new rule extended to alien merchants. See Stat. 27 Edw. III. st. 2, c. 17 ; Fleta, p. 136; Coke, Second Inatitute, 204.
${ }^{1}$ Madox, Firma Burgi, c. 8: 'Anciently a corpurate community might be anwwirable for the trespass or debt of particular persons members thereof; and particular members for the trespass or debt of the community.' Suhm, Die deutsche Genossenschaft, p. 19: 'Die Genossenschaft haftet für die Schulden der Genorsed, und der Genosse haftet für die Schulden der Genossenschaft. Beide satze pehen durch das ganze Mittelalter.'
${ }^{2}$ Gross, (illd Merchant, ii. 119, 121.
a An impression of the common seal used at Nottingham in 1225 may be seen in the fronti-piece of Nottingham Records, vol. i.

- Sce above, p. $53 \overline{3}$.
the second place, thero was nuw an ourward and wabble eytu if the borough's unity'. A morke of eanveying rights and rowang ubligations is eatablashed whech goes far to confute the nowan that the communitus is $n$ mowe aum of misn with jonas nathe and joint linbilitiose. If the comsuntitas be this, then the act by which it extuvcye away ita righes or subbecta stailf (s) ath obligation should, so wo matumally suppese, be sume. wes das. by all its membern. And mo we have seen how the men of ac Tondington, thinking that they had laud wive to the I'nury
 and there by their unanimoun consent made the grame Atus thess we have seen how aflurwanion thay nawreat that the tranaction did not bind thems berause some of them wern infants whell the grone was zander. This in nut the wal in which corporntora behave: it is the way in which entereners behave. No doubt there nre other fiahions in whets a notpos ration eat becomg bound bexide the appmation of a collumes seal ; we must not muke our Einglish formalism an mozario for all marakind; still a formality wheh nomen lat disterecty marke uff some communitutes frum whom, and a furmality wheb ta never used by co-owners who have come to co-owuenthip, by the operation of merely private law, which is never uned by no heirs, is important. What is mure the seal is intruaterl tu tio guashauship of a few. The coumuanty at Ipowich which hes just receiverl itn charter, which has just exareteat ita new rught of electing builsfers, wheh is in the act of catablusting a comer-il of chef portmens and ngold merchant, meme to feel that ont only is it paswing from a lower to a hugher rask afmong woe communities of the land, but that some new diante or erio knd of unity ham been attained: It suant have a seal that to the. for it inny now come before the law an pure unit and lire an a person anuing persons. Rulezs as to when arut by whans this mal mny be affixed will be developerd in course of titar. amal. detinite theory about the power of majorties will take thas

[^466]place of some loose notion which demands unanimity but is content if the voices of a dissentient few are overwhelmed by the shout of the assentient many. The unanimity of ancient moots is wonderful. Unconscious fiction begins its work at an early time. With one voice all the people say 'Yea, yea' or 'Nay, nay.' But now there is to be a small deliberative assembly 'to govern and maintain the borough' and the votes of the twelve will be counted ${ }^{1}$.

What now is necessary is that the community, acting as unit, should begin to develop its property. As regards rights propeaty. in land, critically decisive acts are hardly to be expected at this early time. In some sort the 'waste' land, intramural and extramural, may belong to the community. But on the one hand this community must come to terms with the king about the right of 'approvement,' which is rather in him than in it ${ }^{2}$, and, on the other hand, it must come to terms with the singuli about their rights of 'common'; and this may be a long process. The early examples in which a community disposes of land have a strong tinge of co-proprietorship about them ${ }^{3}$. Apparently the fourteenth century had come before there was any considerable quantity of land that was paying rent into municipal chests; and until this was happening, the notion of a true corporate ownership of town lands was insecure.

Unless we are mistaken, the property that was most im- The portant in the evolution of corporate unity was the property property in that the borough had in its franchises, but more especially in its tolls.
. fi7: its tolls. Aready in 122.5 'the burgesses' of Nottingham under their common seal had demised to 'the burgesses' of Retford the tolls 'belonging to the borough of Nottingham' and arising within certain gengraphical limits-'to have and to hold at

[^467]finm to the satd burgesen of Reffurt and their stimemento if of and our succensork for ever' at a mant of tweuty marka' Nime this we can hardly rogurd otherwise than as a tranemartiog hetween twn persons. It can scarcely be thunght that the noo burgesses of Nottingham are in any tulemble sense co-amurn of the right of takiug tall. Nu obe of thetu is entitiad to an aliguot share of the uillo; no one of them has any thang thas be could demise to a burgers of Desby or if Rectiont, nay. if the Retfund folk took a nepurate dived froms each mata of Nintinghats they would get nothing thereby. What is wantod as ant joint action but constitutional netion; a common wal mina be nffixed by those who accumling to the constitution of the berough are entitled to affix it. Very pasibly on man of Nottingham bad yet naid to himeelf 'Uur browagh so a prome: Had he done so he wonld have been in adranee of the acuteat Englesh lawyers of his time, for Broutun and his manter Aso were not very clenr that the mesecritatios weme not the now
 'fictitivus peranality' to the unicersitus, be would pertapo have snid: ' Yes, the Foly Father in rightr ; our benmigh of Sottingham is a pwema!

The iffal trill al the therounth.

It is in this region that we may find 'the idoal will 'of the borough, a permanent purpose that keep is lugs ther juot oo a soligions house in kept ugether by the purpane of alorifying Gind accordtug the thenedictine or Listercian nsle. The borough wills to maintain and profit by ite frascheos soctably to take toll and be quit of toll. 'The fraverhees and likertien of the City of Norwich I will manintain and nuatuo with esy buly and grods'- much is the oath which the trevinan of Norwich will take from rentury to ceatury. The mognty, iton hundred, the wownehip, has wo such will, nus wuch whwter.

 budies and goxele of its membera and anstiouly grasiod aod alminiaternal by ita rulerx.
I ant woods We may now mura up the while of a long die:ueura wtach Eat Lio barrogisis corfurnals. Hate
has stroyed iuto regions that anv inauftirannely explomed. The question, When did our Kingliah tmorsughe bernane inevoqurata 1
 questuon atrout the evulatsun of a theory ins the wase botud and
${ }^{1}$ Mecorls of Nottiogham, L. 12
the appearance of certain political, social and economic facts on the other, and then it is a question about the application of the theory to the facts. The process was slow, and those who were concerned in it were unconscious of it. But this we may say, that before the end of the thirteenth century the organization that was to be found in our greater towns was of a kind which imperatively demanded (so it will seem to us) some new idea Such old categories of legal thought as the vague communitas were no longer adequate to express the relationships and habits that were being formed, and a new line had to be drawn between the boroughs and the other communitates. We may add too that Bracton saw this, though he saw it dimly'. And if the facts were ready for the theory, a theory was being fashioned for the facts, though those who were preparing it were Italian lawyers. But as yet there had been no junction between English life and Italian thought. 'Church' and 'borough' are still standing far apart from each other; the English courts are not yet co-ordinating 'mayor, aldermen and burgesses' with 'abbot and monks' under the rubric of Corporations. What happened in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries must some day be told us by one who is adequately learned. If we may venture a guess, he will say that, along with some ideas which were of the highest value, there stole intu our temporal law others which should have been left in that ecresiastical sphere which was their native home ${ }^{2}$. But for us at the moment all this lies in the future. At present we have not hearl those negative propositions which will give a keen edige to the law of corporations. We listen in vain for any one to nay that the lands of the city are not simply the lands of the citizenss, or that a debt owed by the borough is not a debt owed by tho burgeswes. So long as such sayings are not said, the premality of the group-person is latent and insecure.

At the present time there is perhaps some danger that a The comlittlu tow, much stress will be laid on the communal traits of mand the mondmal history. It is a hard task to see old times just as ${ }^{\text {nation. }}$ they w... To a schewl which could only perceive individual turn :und a 'sumprign one or many' succeeds another which, at
${ }^{1}$ S. © : :
: W, arr mot huthg it any formal or thorough reception of the Italian d. etrme. hut certain of at- phases became part of the common inheritance of adeatud trahime. Bery une knew that a corporation is persona ficta, or even numen inme, that it cith not in, will nut be damned, and so forth.
least when dualang with modieval hastory; exalta the izmete to
 be withor a natoon. Ciortusinly it was high thme tra: the werction shoushl be felt; bue it must eut carsy un bevead the
 pause before we awsent to any grond itugraa which no.aldi thabe 'ounmunalism' older than 'individualishe The ufyment amos. munalam of old law covera an molividualiant which has thep and smenent mocts. Every nght, cenry duty, baw.ior oummutad ita character, spmotaneotusly berotares the nght. the daty if an individual by attachag ineelt to the land stant ho blea
 ofl 'the ecornmen of the vill': heraume he holise a irrtas menaluge he is a doomsmass of the county cours. And then again in the twelfth and thirterath remtimen wee base awo
 of a certass sort. In many yuarters wo have men thour ney The connty in atnereed for falar juthoustisa, the hutation : tineyl for mumions, the townshiges ary eompuillod to astend the justices, mats are forcod into trankpledge, tho burgho re som jointly aud serverally liable for the firmat hurgi, the taamorai
 drolled and regimemed into comstamatios an orter thas the stake may be strutge aud the hand may ber at pwore. Whith of the communal life that we see as ust apobtatheneas The cous. munity is a ocmulumey, not becaum it to elf.outhicomt ongatamin, but beronesw it is a mbondinate memione of a grasos: cotomututy, of a mation. The sation ta not a cratem in forteratess communties, the king iv abreve all nest hes a dinel homb en every individual. The commusitios arv far mere oftem the

 litertien if it exceeds or abusen thise prowere that an. grin a t. it from sabowe. Buh alove the krogg home if -tbuse evens anyd justure may thisk -is the groateat of nill commamites. ite universelty of the realta!. The Eingland that oam the inath a Engiah law, the Emglond of Masitu laita and the ane

${ }^{2}$ Bncton, t. 171 t .
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ethelb. 1.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ihering, Vorgeschichte der Indoeuropier; see especially the editor's preface.

    3 The following aummary has been compiled by the aid of Karlowe, Bomische Reohtageschichte, 1885-Krüger, Geschichte der Quellen des romisohen Bechts, 1888-Conrat, Gesohichte der Quellen des römischen Reohts im frïheren Mittelalter, 1889-Masesen, Geschichte der Quellen dee canonischen Reohts, 1870-Loning, Geschichte des deutschen Kirchenrechts, 1878-Sohm, Kirobenrecht, 1892 -Hinschias, System des katholischen Kirohenreobts, 1869 fr.-A. Tardif, Histoire des nources du droit oanonique, 1887-Branner, Deutsohe Rechtageschichte, 1887-Schrödier, Lehrbuch der deatschen Rechtageschichte, ed. 2, 1894-Esmain, Cours d'histoire du droit francais, ed. 2, 1896-Viollet, Bintoire du droit civil français, 1898.

[^1]:    ; Krmeer, op eit 19*; Rarlawa. op est L. J3.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ktruyer age eft. 21\%, Karluwe of ent. 1. It
    
    
    
     dothe - this
    
    
    

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dig. 1. 1. 1.
    ${ }^{2}$ The moot question (Krüger, op. oit. 208; Karlowa, op. oit. i. 739) whether the Tertallian who is the apologist of Christian sectaries is the Tertullian from whoee works a fow extracts eppear in the Digest may serve as a mnemonic link between two ages.
    ${ }^{2}$ Krüger, op. cit. 260; Karlowa, op. cit. i. 932.

    - Gregorovius, History of Rome (transl. Eamilton), i. 85.
    'Krüger, op. cit. 277 ff.; Karlowa, op. cit. i. 941 fi. It is thought that the original edition of the Gregorianus was made about a.d. 295, thet of the Hermogenianus between 314 and 324. But these dates are ancertain. For their remains aee Corpus Iuris Anteinstiniani.
    - Brunner, op. cit. i. 32-89. ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. $38 . \quad$ Löning, op. cit. i. 44.

[^3]:    
     Ludiepre, Maildato ad Stubbe. Codneile, 1. i.
     ein mathichea l'arlaenens doe Kaven sturta.
    
    
    
    -Cont Themel. if 1.2
    
    
    
    

    - J. vilus. पy rit d. Gb->1

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Kruger, op. cit. 285 fi.; Karlown, op. oit. i. 944.
    ${ }^{2}$ The Breviary of Alaric is a different matter.
    ${ }^{2}$ Bury, History of the Later Roman Empire, 142: 'And thus we may say that it wae the loss or abandonment of Britain in 407 that led to the further lowe of Spain and Africa.'

    * Zeumer, Leges Visigothornm Antiquiores, 1894; Brunner, op. cit. i. 320; 8ahröder, op. cit. 280.

[^5]:    
    
    
    
    
     lealy and lies lov moers, vel. iv p. 1
    
     talisa. The ien teale, lemat

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ However, there are some curious relics of heathenry in the Lex Frisionum: Bronner, op. eit. i. 342.
    ${ }^{2}$ Greg. Turon. ii. 22 (ed. Omont, p. 60) : 'Mitie depone colla, Sicamber; adora quod incendisti, incende quod adoresti.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Branner, op. oit. i. 808 It.; 8ehröder, op. cit. 229; Esmein, op. cit. 107. Edited by Sohm in M. G.

    4 Brunner, op. oit. i. 332 fi.; Schröder, op. cit. 234; Esmein, op. cit. 108. patised by v. Salia in M. G.

[^7]:    
     Mibitad liy $\%$ golia is M O
    
    

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ The epritomee will be found in Hänel's edition, Lex Romana Vieigothorum, 1849.
    ${ }^{2}$ Brmner, op. cit. i. 365; Karlowa, op. ait. i. 947 fi. Edited by Bluhme in M.

    2 Measeen, op. ait. i. 422 f. ; Terdif, op. oit. 110. Printed in Migne, Patrologit, vol. 67.

    4 Eadan and Stubbe, Councils, iii. 119. See, however, the remarks of Mr C. H. Tarner, E. H. R. ix. 727.

    - Marseen, op. cit. i. 441.

[^9]:    1 Krueer, of. els. 110.
    3 (ented of e4t in
     Itvedan. vi. Stu.

[^10]:    ${ }^{2}$ Gregorovius, Hiatory of Rome (transl. Hamilton), ii. 158 In.; Oman, Dark

[^11]:    ${ }^{2}$ Alfred, Introduction, 49, 89 (Liebermann, Gesetze, p. 46).
    ${ }^{2}$ Branner, op. cit. i. 370; Schroder, op. cit. 235.
    ${ }^{2}$ Branner, op. eit. i. 259 ; Sohröder, op. cit. 225 ; Esmein, op. cit. 57.

    - Agobardi Opera, Migne, Patrol. vol. 104, col. 116: 'Nam plerumque contingit at simul eant ant sedeant quinque homines et nullus eorum communem legen cam altero habeat.'
    - Stubbe, Constit. Hist. i. 216. See, however, Dahn, Könige der Germanen, vi. (8), Pp. 1 fi.

[^12]:     Eatitai in M. ab by Boretias and Krouse . premisaalo hy l'eeta
    
     vol me
    
    
    
    

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Decretalas Pseudo-Isidorianse were edited by Hinschius in 1868. 8ee also Tardif, op. cit. 138 It. ; Conrat, op. cit. i. 299; Branner, op. cit. i. 384.
    P. M. I.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hismitios, op, लlt. If. N2 A.
     Wharrablitien, leso.
    
    

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ We borrow la feodalite classique from M. Flach : Les originea de l'sncienne Prance, ii. 651.

    2 Elmetn, op. cit. 487-8; Viollet, op, cit. 152. Schroder, op. cit. 624: 'Vom 10. Gis 12. Jahrhundert rahte die Gemetzgebung taet gans... Es war die Zoit der Allanherrsohneft dee Gewohnheitervehtes.'
    ${ }^{5}$ Oman, The Dark Agee, 811.

[^16]:    1 Stubbs, Const. Hist. i. 263: 'There are few if any records of councils distinctly ecclesisatical held during the tenth century in England.'
    a There seem to be traces of the Frankish forgeries in the Worcester book deacribed by Misa Bateson, E. H. R. x. 712 ft. English eoclesiastios were borrowing and it is unlikely that they escaped contaminstion.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bee E. J. Tardif, Extraits et abrégés juridiques dea étymologiea d'Isidore de Soville, 1896.
    ${ }^{2}$ Conrat, op. cit. i. 65.
    ${ }^{2}$ M. G. Legee, ii. 40 ; Conrat, op. cit. i. 62.

    - Ficker, Forkehangen, iii. 128; iv. 99 ; Conrat, op. oit. 67. Apparently the most industrious researah has failed to prove that between 608 and 1076 any one cited the Digest. The bare fact that Justinian had issned such a book seems to have vaaished from memory. Conrst, op. cit. i. 69.
    ${ }^{3}$ In dated doouments Irnerius (his name seems to have really been Wermerins, Guarnerins) appears in 1118 and disappears in 1125. The Uai. veruity of Bologna kept 1888 as its octooentenary.

[^18]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^19]:    J The A. A. lawe werv trat printed by Iamband, Arehabomorain, 180m. A
    
     the Abcient Lawa and Inatitatee of England wers difal for the luavel liae
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^20]:    1 Bchmid, Genetze, p. 871. The Gerefa, which seems to be continnation of this tract, was pablished by Dr Liebermann, in Anglia, ix. 251, and by Dr Cunningham, Growth of Englinh Industry, ed. 8, vol. i. p. 671 if.

[^21]:    
    
    
     Drdsuate fitnay, 1077 a.

[^22]:    1 AMI. 48.

    - A solitary chaim of villeinage is reported in the reign of James I.

[^23]:    
     [atity ens wita 'parention.'
    
    

    - Hesaners, L. LC. 13. 1. 1018

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ The modern form thare hes acquired misleading literary associstions.

[^25]:    1 Hehmul, livectan, pp 34y, wis?, L83
     Blow, 161.

[^26]:    1 Wiht. 28.
    1 HD. and E. 5 ; wee Sohmid thereon. The slave-traders were often fore ignern, commonily Jews. Ireland and Gaal were the main routes.
    ${ }^{2}$ In. 11.

    - Eitholr. v. 2, v. 9 ; Cn. u. 8 ; cf. Lex Rib. 16; Lex Sal. 8982.
    A. Napier, Berlin, 1888, pp. 129, n., 158, 160-1.

[^27]:    
    
    : 1.0.0 Wilflmes 10.
    
    
    

    - 1. Y 18 ill 6
    
     3 (lladilace sud stablim, Liouneme, हil. 2mb).

[^28]:    1 Ithelb. 26.
    2 Wiht. 8: "If one manamits his man at the altar, let him be folk-free."

    - Glanvill, ii, 6. Datails on Anglo-Saxon servitude may be found in Kemble, Saxons, bk. i. c. 8, and Larking, Domesday Book of Kent, note 57. See also Manrer, Kritische Ueberschan, i. 410; Jastrow, Zur atrafrechtlichen Btellang der Blaven (Gierke's Untersachangen, 1878) ; Brunner, D. R. G. i. 95.
    - In. 9. The wording 'wrace do' ie vague : doubtleas it moang taking the otber party'e contle.

[^29]:    
    
    

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ Brunner, D. R. G. ii. 875.
    2 The arnal modern term 'compurgator' was borrowed by legal antiquariea from ecoleniastional sonroes in much later times.
    ${ }^{3}$ Thie discovary is due to Dr Liebermann, Sitzungeberiahte der berliner Akademie, 1896, yxyv. 829. The less common word ceac (a osuldron) was confused with ceap (buying) and the genvine reading was treated by the editors as an unmeaning variant.

    - The appearnnce of oreat (a correot Northern form=Eng. eornest) among the privileges of Waltham Abbey, Cod. Dipl. iv. 154, is probably due to a postNorman ecribe, for our text reste on a very late oopy. At all events the chartar is only a few years before the Conqueat. However, trial by battle may well have been known in the Danelaw throughont the tenth century.
    - Branner, D. R. G. ii. 415.
    - Leg. Will. Ir. (Willelmed cyninges áeotnymee).

    7 EIf. 48. Bir James Stephen's statement (Hist. Orim. Law, i. 61) that 'Grial by bettle wea only private war under regulations' cannot be mocepted.

[^31]:    ${ }^{1}$ Edg. ix. 2; repented Cnat, II. 17.
    ${ }^{2}$ Lethelst. II. 8. ${ }^{3}$ Cf. 正thelat. vi. (Iud. Civ. Lund.) 8 炵 2, 8.

    - Bleckstone, Comm. iii. $61 . \quad{ }^{5}$ Blackstone, Comm. iii. 444.
    - Cames collected in Erasys in Anglo-Saxon Law, ad fin.

[^32]:    - Fiadilan and Neqube. Conncila, cii. 841, 508.
    
    
     ('num. of v.): Bathe us. S.

    1 Evely 211.1.

    - Cl. selumd, Glomer, a r. Evert; Mailmod. Domediay Boot, 375.

[^33]:    ${ }^{1}$ Maitland, Domesday Book, 80 \#f., 258 望.

[^34]:     ingron lit.anet mam mivatur
    
     Homages Visetishlonsum.

[^35]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bee A.-B. Chron. and. 1002.

    - Fustel de Conianges, Origines du systame feodal, 800 ff . Lex Sal. xiii. 6; 1vi. 5. Edict of Chilperic, 9. To be out of the king's protection is to be extre sermonem suam, foras nostro sermone. In xiv. 4, praeceptum appesrs to be the king's written protection or licence. The phrase in Ed. Conf. 6 \& 1 (cf. Branner, D. R. G. ii. 42), ore suo utlagabit eum rex, or, as the second edition gives it, utlagabit eum rex verbo oris sui, looks more like the confused imitation of an archaizing compiler than a genuine parallel.
    ${ }^{3}$ For some further details see Pollock, Oxford Lectures, 1890, "The King's Pesce,' 65.

    4 See Brunner, D. R. G. ii. 影 65, 66, who calls attention (p. 42) to the relative weakness of the crown in England before the Conquest.

[^36]:    
    
     sruth than any ladk about the 'foll. prece'

    1 'for it. 20.
    

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cp. Grettia Saga, o. 79.
    *Bronner, D. B. G. i. 86. An archaio bynonym leod occurs Ethelb. 28, 28, cp. Grimm, 658 .

    - 不II. 4.

[^38]:    
    
    
    
     - malinis mood

[^39]:    EIf. Prolog. 4987.
    2 In. 18; AEIf. 82; Cn. In. 16, 80. The 'folk-lesaing' of Alfred's law must be habitual false acousation in the folls-moot, not private slander.
    ${ }^{3}$ It wes formally abolished in civil proceedings only in 1879, 48 \& 43 Vict. c. 59, , 3. In criminal matters it is atill possible. Bat it has not been in use for a generation or more.
    t E. \& G. 6 今 6; cp. Edg. 1. 3 ; Ethelr. 1.189 , and many latar pasaages.
    E. E. G. 6 §7: the outlaw, if slain, shall lie kyylde, the eract equivalent of the Homerio virrowos.
    © Co. Litt. 180 』 ; Blackatone, Comm. iv. 118 ; 5 Eliz. C. 1.
    P. M. I.

[^40]:    - Cn. I2. 66. Lem Itan. 17.
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^41]:    1 Elf. 12 meems to relate only to wilful trespass in woode.
    

[^42]:    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{ry}$ is 14
    ${ }^{1}$ It

    - Ibe 2.22.
    - Fial Siapuer. Imolin. IkNa p lisis
    
    
    

[^43]:    1 Marbead, Privite Law of Gorme. 140. 163, 977 (ondin of otiperiat on)
    
    
    
    
    
     nentil ap Heldan and Etubbe. Caruneida L $2357.8 \% 1$.

[^44]:    ${ }^{1}$ HI. E. E. 16. The supposed "improbability of a Kentish king making a lew for parchases made in the Mercian city of London' (Thorpe's note ad loc.) is imaginary. The law applies to a claim made in Kent by a Mercian profesting to be the troe owner, and it is to be executed wholly in Kent.
    ${ }^{2}$ Edg. TV. 6 ; Cn. IL 24.
    ${ }^{3}$ Leg. Will. 1. 45.
    ${ }^{4}$ See Ethelr. II. 9, Be tecomum, and Schmid's Glossary b. vv. Käufe, Teím.

    - Glanv. 2. 15-17.

[^45]:    2 Itre is
     I1: Mastand, Lommaloy and beyuod, Imyi.

[^46]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ine 8 8 2 ; 巴llf. 49; Rect. S. P. $3 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Ine 40.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ine 42 is a good illustration, though by itself not conclusive.

    - Ine 63-67. We asaume that the hide here spoken of is not materinlly different from the normal hide of the Domesday period, i.e. 120 acres. Perhaps these passages bave to do with the settlement of a newly conquered district. Maitland, Domesday Book, 237-8.
    - See Frutel de Coulanges, Le bénéfice et le patronat, oh. iv-vii.
    - Royal Prerogative, ed. 1849, p. 185.

[^47]:    
    
    

[^48]:    
     Fiemtanti 34 thess well knowa bucke.-Stapleton's elitions of the Nurman
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     tradialack, 1 wys.

[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ Magni Rotali Scacoarii Normanniae sub Regibus Angliae, published by Stapleton, and reprinted in Mémoirea de la Societé des antiquaires de Normandie, vol. xv. A fragment of the roll of 1184 was published by Delisle, Ceen, 1851
    ${ }^{2}$ These are most aceesaible in Delisle's Recueil dè jugements de l'échiquier de Normandie au ziii= siécle, Paris, 1864. A collection of judgment delivered in the assizes between 1234 and 1287 will be found in Warnkönig's Franzôsische 8tacts- and Beahtageschichte, vol. ii. Orkundenbuch, pp. 48-69.
    ${ }^{2}$ Edited by E. J. Tardif, Ronen, 1881.

    - This has been frequently printed. A recent edition by W. L. De Gruchy, Jersey, 1881, gives both the Latin and the French text. The Latin text has of late been admirably edited by E. J. Tardif under the title Somma de Legibus Normannie, 1896. He takes the Latin text to be the older and is inclined to date it in 1254-8.
    s Dodo, Duchesne, p. 85. The story of Hrolf's legislation has been rejected at febalons, bat is defended by Steenstrup, Études preliminaires, pp. 851-391.

[^50]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ The term which ocours mont often is horpites, $\Delta$ term which did not obtain a permanent home in England, though it appears ocosaionally in Domesday, e.g. D. B. i. 259 b. The Conqueror gives certain vills to the Abbey of Ceen 'eam colonis et conditionariis sen liberis hominibas'; Gall. Chriat. xi. Instrum, p. 60 ; Neastris Pis, p. 626 . In another charter he confirms 'dominium cum militibas quod dedit Olilia'; Gall. Christ. xi. Instrum. p. 208.
    ${ }^{2}$ In 968 Duke Hichard the Fearlese grants Bretteville to Saint Denis with the amsent of his lord Hagh Duke of the French, 'aum assensu senioris mei Hugonis Prancoram Principis'; Bouquet, ix. 731. In 1006 King Rabert confirmed a gift made by Duke Richard the Good to F'eamp ; Gall. Christ. xi. Instrum. p. 7. 8uch transactions as these were probably exceptionsl; but instances in which Norman lords confirm gifts made by their subordinates and in whioh the duke

[^52]:    
     Llacheane, 285 , tells buw Willimen of Belismer beld the ciatle of Aismerits
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     quantam lanheriant in 'himerie Vactatis.'
    
    
     Soint Nicheal of tie Mount; Sequtra I2a, 215 7. ST: in Ancther inotabere io
    
     lot Cosses: Xinuolerus \{'se, 43\}.

[^53]:    ${ }^{1}$ See in Dado, Ducherne, 136-140, the panegyric on Richard the Fearless, also what Willinm the Archdemoon of Lisieux, Duchesne, 193, says of the Conqueror.
    ${ }^{2}$ An argament to prove that the fendalization of juatice had gone further in England than in Normandy, might be founded on the fact that the Normans in England when they wished to describe the rights of private jurisdiction, almost invariebly employed the English terms sake, soke eto.

    3 The one extreme is marked by Palgrave, the other by Steenstrup.
    4 Thus in or sboat 1077 a suit came before Wiliam's court; he orders the Arehbiahop of Roven, Roger de Beaumont 'and many otber barong' to make a judgment 'at tacerent inde iudiciam'; Mémoires de la Société dee antiquaires de Normandie, vol. xv. pp. 196-7.

    ESee e.g. Richard II.'s grant to St Wandrille, his grant to St Michael of the Mount, the Conqueror's charter for Fécamp; Neustria Pis, 165-6, 377-9, 223-4.

    - In 1008 a suit is heard in the court of Robert of Belleme; he presides, but

[^54]:    ${ }^{1}$ Binschins, Kirehenreoht, iv. 797 II ; v. 402 ; Brunner, D. R. G., ii. 811 fi.
    ${ }^{2}$ Eydmer, Hirt. Nov. p. 9, just before he makes his well-known statement about William's dealings with eocleainstical matters, has said of him 'usus ergo atque legee quo petres sui et ipse in Normannia habere solebant in Anglia servare volens.' His ediot (Leg. Will. rv.) eatsblishing the eoclesiastioal courte sapposes that their proper province is known; it is that allowed to them in Normandy; it it that whioh will be made more definite by the Council of Lilebonne; see Ord. Vit. (ed. le Prevont) ii. 316.

    * As to the treuga Dei in Normandy see Ord. Vit. (ed. le Provost) ii. 816 and the editor's note ; as to the truce generally see Hintohins, Kirchenrecht, V. 805. In the co-celled Legen Edwardi Confessoris, c. 2, we read that the peace of God

[^55]:    ${ }^{1}$ Vit Herlnini, Lanfranci Opera, ed. Giles, i. 270: 'Abbas peritus erat in dirimendis causarum saeculariom controversiis...Legum patriae scientissimus praesidium suis erst contra iniquos exactores.' Ibid. 265: 'Prima litterarum elements didicit cum iam existeret annoram prope quadraginta.'
    ${ }^{2}$ See above, p. 22.

    - Ienfrenc'e juristic exploits are chronicled in the Liber Papiensis, M. G.

[^56]:    ${ }^{1}$ The connexion between our lawo and the French lei or loi (Lat. legem) is tor the etymologist a remote one, and Henry I. knew what he was about when be reetored to us the lagam (not legem) Eadvardi. But the two words attracted eah other. We preearve the French droit in our 'droits of admiralty.'

[^57]:    1 suature of Gev. II. c. 28 ,
    ${ }^{3}$ Uor firs parlinmeat roll comes from 1290 and there in some Erench on the will of izy3; 1hol. Parl. i. 101 . The vary first entry on onr statute roll an it Jew yzata, the Statnte of Gloucewter 1278, is in Froach, and if, an probable, $n$ meruirmue ? contaming the 8latute of Weatmantor 1375 bas bean hest, the nimu wat ouveren with French ariting.
    ${ }^{2}$ Stat 1 i Car. 1 c. 10 , Ebolinating the Star Chamher, eotemnly recites the Hearate 30 Edw. B11. Stas. 1. c. 15, which Bays that \{tospites the une of Euglinh an modbum for ornd pleadeng) all pleas are to be ennolled in Latin.

[^58]:    
     of the Eapleyers, val. as lotruatstion.

[^59]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Court Baron (Seld. Society).
    ${ }^{2}$ The Court Baron, pp. 38, $42 . \quad{ }^{2} 86$ Edw. III. Stat. 1. ©. 15.

    - Robert of Gloncenter, lines 9650-9730.

    8 Wyelinte Translation of the Bible; Matth. vii. 1 'for in what dome 30 demen, se sculen ben demed'; Matth. xzvii. 19 'and while he [Pilat] ast for domeaman'; Mark xv. 16 ' the porahe of the mote halle.'
    *The volume of Saram Charters (Rolls Series), p. 6, contains what at first looks like an early example, French docament executed by a bishop of Selisbary and appareatly saoribed by a copyiat of the fourteenth century to the year 1120. But thare is some mistake here. A French charter of Etephen Langton entered on the Charter Roll of 10 John is given in facsimile by Haxds, Bot. Curt. p. yli.

[^60]:    Coum Baron (Seld. Society), p. 11. Seo alwo the IVrecia Placitata which ane now butur edited by Mr Tumapr.

    * The bonuur of being the tiset booke concerning Engliah law that ware writial in the Finglabla lenruage must probably be given to nome of Sir John H.rnacue's trestises, but they oannot be called legal text-books. Before a delitherate judgunent can be passed on the gaeation as to which in our tirut b,nstiah wat broks, an intricath sprinp of littlen tractan un pleading sto., sume of a areh :any not yet hase been prused, mant be examuned.
    - The Fremol that in a litesary language in Eugland undor Heary III. and Faloard I. should not be called 'Normus.l'rench': Parisian French, the Ifrench of the tale of Yrance, is alremaly ite model; but there is some delforence

[^61]:    ${ }^{1}$ The precedents are collected in Schmid, Glossar, s.v. Marktrecht.

    * Ethelred, v. 2; Cnat, II. $8 . \quad$ Cnat, r1. 20.
    + Ethelred, v. 8; vz. 10 ; Cnut, II. 2.
    - Edmund, iII. 1.
    - Leg. Will. ur. 8 ; Leg. Will. 1. 22 ; Leg. Henr. 91 ; Leg. Edw. 15, 16 ; Bractod, f. 184 b . In Swedieh lawa it is common to find the handred charged with a fine of forty marle (the exact sum that the Conqueror demands) if the manalajer be not produced, more eepecinlily if the slain man be a stranger; Wilds, Strafrecht, 217-218. Some similar liability seems to be indicated by an early capitulary added to the Lex Selics; Hessels, Lex Salica, p. 408; with which should be compared Leg. Henr. 92 88. Henry I. in hid Coronstion Charter, c. 9, ceems to speak as though the murder fine was known to the laga Ecdeardi. Liebermann, Leges Edwardi, p. 112, rejects the etory about Cnut.

[^62]:    ' Iave of Williass, a. 6: Incew Wilkemt, it Hed William and br the
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     Yuartuity, 12 ish. Purnchatikess, 3isu
     is divpersa articula ulavine.

[^63]:    1 (Orileric (eril le Premot), ii, 204. Dr Stubbea, Connth Hint. i. 401, says of Ifores's pruashonent, "The same penalty must have followed if ha had been tried hy Fitiplimh law.' Bus undes tho old English law constairacy apainst the king wisn a capian crime; and Orderic (p. 2H2) maken Waltheot remark that thia ta sa. Hogger, so it scemas, iv treated as is Norman who has rebelled and levied wer asatuas the duke. Many exsmpley of earlier and of later date show the that the dike matrly guta a vaskisl to death for rebellions. We mast romember that Whluam ie merely Juke or count of the Nortanae, while he ia the crowned aul suountas hung of the Enylseh. It may be that under the Conqueror's own
    
    
    ${ }^{5}$ Lev. Mens. 22 y 15.
    In Lowegday Book Englinhmen are offering proof by batte; J3igelow, Piocita Anglo Normanica, th, the. The Leges Henriet bo longet make any dintactuan betwrets the two rices in thim mather, though they athll allow firenclivion and dienu to awiar with lean socuracy than would be requaved
    

[^64]:    1 Eiflmor, Hies. Nov, Hes.

[^65]:    ${ }^{1}$ Selden's Eadmer, 197 ; Plac. Anglo-Norm. 7.
    ${ }^{2}$ Heming's Cartulary, i. 88; Plac. Anglo-Norm. 18.

    * Hamilton, Inquisitio Cantebr. pp. xvii, xviii ; Plac. Anglo-Norm. 22.
    - Hist Abingd. ii. 2; Plac. Anglo-Norm. 30: 'eed et alii plures de Anglis cancidici par id tempus in abbatia ista habebentur.' Thia does not imply the existeace of men who are lawyers by profession.

[^66]:     spipumatice.
    
    
    
    
    
     C.8. In the now lonitel ouruty ounst.

[^67]:    ${ }^{1}$ Eadmer, Bist. Nov. pp. 81-2.
    z Theee linea were probsbly written in John's day. They occur in a legal compilation discovered by Dr Liebermann: Leges Anglorum, Halle, 1894, p. 67.
    ${ }^{3}$ Charters of Liberties (Statutes of the Realm, vol. i.), p. 1; Seleet Charters. Liebermann, Trann. B. Hist. Soc. viii. 21, gives a critical text.

[^68]:    
     relatinn that is leane to the cluarters of (IDM).
     8. 106.

    * Legralations in 110 m chous then and noiming. Furence, 18 87. moun A. 8 Chros. an 1124, and Fordora, b. 18. Lembolative mmiant alueso of migal
    
    
    
    
    
     the leat lkntk hnuwn at lager Henrsel, of which hempaner.
    
    
    
     glabbe, Civact HLaL L 300.

[^69]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^70]:    ${ }^{1}$ We have here tried to sum up vary brieffy the resulte attained by Liebermann, Quadripartitus, Halle, 1892.

[^71]:    ${ }^{2}$ The preface can not have been written after 1118, since it treate Queen Matilde ae living. The arguments of those who would give a later date to the body of the book seem to be sufficiently snswered by Liebermann, Forsohungen yur deatechen Geschichte (1876), vol. xvi. p. 582. His conclusion is accepted by Stabbe, Congt. Hist. i. 583 (ed. 1883). Two mistakes should be avoided. (1) Our anthor is not forging lawe for Henry I.; the title Leges Henrici refers only to the coronation charter with which be begins his book. (2) He is not pretending to set forth the laga Eadwardi as it stood in Edward's day; he states it in what he thinks to be its modern and practicable shape. The inference that be was a man of English race has been drawn from a pasaage, 92 g 10 , in which he apeaks of a French thief resisting capture 'more suo'; but be throw: auch phrases about in a hap-hazard way, and his knowledge of the old English language seems to have been small.
    ${ }^{3}$ Leg. Henr. 70 g 1; 8785.
    ${ }^{3}$ Theee two tracte are Consiliatio Cnuti, published by Liebermann at Halle in 1898, and Instituta Cnuti aliorumque Regum Anglorum, communicated by him so the Boyal Historical Society in the same year ; Transactiona, vii. 77.
    ${ }^{4}$ Constitationes de Foresta, Schmid, p. 318. Liebermann, Ueber PsendoCante Constitutiones de Furesta, Halle, 1894.

[^72]:    IThe doeumemt in quaction in the lieyo. Witleims s. of Tborpe and Nchn at
    
    
    
    

[^73]:    - Hovmenon. H. 21 m , zakeo it up inso he chomicte.
    * Bracton. f. 136 b. Letermiant. ap. cif. 141.
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^74]:     F'tatioterri'o raie atull j'mevailed
    

[^75]:    ${ }^{1}$ Leg. Henr. ©. 10.
    ${ }^{3}$ Exaly ingtances of the king's misvi preaiding in the local courts are these:the Bishop of Contances presides at the famous session on Penenden Heath: Pise. Anglo-Norm. p. 7; he and others preside over the county court of Worcestershire: Ibid. p. 17; he and others preside over a combined moot of the enstern counties: Ibid. p. 24; Lanfrano preaides at Bary over a combined moot of nine ahires: Memorisls of $\mathrm{S}^{2}$. Edmund's Abbey, i. 65. The payments 'pro recto' reconded on the Pipe Roll of Henry I. were probably paymente made for evocatory write; mee Plac. Anglo-Norm, 140-2.
    ${ }^{3}$ Apparently as a general rule the sheriffs hear the pleas of the crown, but the profite go to the king snd are not, anless some apecial compact has been made, covered by the ferms of the counties; Leg. Henr. c. 10 \& 8.

    * Even Bufas in his rage respects this rule. Anselm is before the court; the magnates are reluctant to condemn him. 'Take beed to yourselves,' cries the king, 'for by God's face if you will not condemn him as I wish, I will condemn you.' Eadmer, Hisk. Nov. 62.

[^76]:    ${ }^{2}$ See above, p. 23.

[^77]:    
    
     Canumucilwil Biertita.

[^78]:    ${ }^{1}$ As to the date, see Schulte, i. 48.
    ${ }^{2}$ Schulte, i. 84, 85, 88, 187-9. Among the compilations which have been preserved are those of Alan and Gilbert, who seem to have been Englishmen, and that of Johennes Walensis, i.e. John the Welshman.

[^79]:    
    
    
    
    
    
     parily of Eistrevamiteo Commuries itstrae. ( weme ).
     peppos perevive that lemato tatual be mita the effoul.

    - Marliand, linuss Lavern Kagiand. E. H K ral. zit

[^80]:    
    
    
     c. 15. 1. 12. Nio
    

[^81]:    ${ }^{1}$ See above, pp. 100, 102.
    ${ }^{2}$ Melmesbury's connexion with this work is disounsed by Dr Stubbs in his introduction to the Geste Regam, i. cxxxi fi. The work itself is described by Hinel, Lex Romana Visigothorum, p. Iv. See also Conrat, Gemehichte der Quellen des R. R., i. 232.
    : See above, p. 78.
    4 Rob. de Torigay, p. 100; Savigny, Geschichte, cap. 15, §106; Conrat, Geschichte, i. 878.

    * Liebermand, Anselm von Canterbury, p. 41.
    - Monastioon, i. 241-250: 'Christianam legem quam hic scriptam habeo testem invoco.'

[^82]:    ${ }^{1}$ Joh. Salisb. Polyer. loc. cit. This matter is diseussed by Wenck, pp. 28-41. Liebermann, B. H. B. xi. 810.
    ${ }^{2}$ Large portions of the work were published in 1820 by Wenck, Magistar Vecaring (Leiprig). Sevigny discusees it, Geschichte, cap. 22, 8174; cap. 36, 5124. There in a ma. of it at Worcester, of which no full account has yet been given.
    ${ }^{3}$ There is just enough to show that some of those who gloseed the work had Englinh cases in their minds; e.g. Wenck, p. 189 : "Argumentum pro decano Eboracenui.'

    - Maitland, Magistri Vacarii Samms de Matrimonio, L. Q. R. 1897.
    ${ }^{3}$ Liebermann, E. H. R. xi. 312-4. Add to the references there given: Jemopp, E. H. R. zi. 747; Historians of the Church of York, iii. 81.
    - Hoveden, iv. 75, and the note by Stubbs,
    - In general as to Vacarius see Wenck's book; Stubbs, Const. Hist. 8147 ; Stubbs, Lectures, 120, 197, 141, 301-8; Holland, E. F. R. vi. 243-4; Rashdall, Univereities, ii. 885 ; Liebermann, E. H. R. xi. 305, 514.

[^83]:    
    
    
     montid to yurbe bat Aso
     Comon lat in finuland. Fi it it out. zu.
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^84]:    ${ }^{1}$ An excellent statement will be found in Makower, History of the Church of England, 399 ; eee further an interesting bull of Urban IV. in Chartae, Privilegia et Immonitates, Irish Reo. Com., p. 30.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cont. Clarend. c. 1.
    2 Ulrioh Stnte, Geschichte den kirohlichen Beneficialwesens, Berlin, 1895.

[^85]:    Chanvill, ir. 1814.
    
     betile.
    
    
    
    
    

[^86]:    ${ }^{1}$ Conatitution of Clarendon, 0.9. We shall deal with this matter hereafter when we upeak of tanure by frank almoin.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mat. Par. Chron. Maj. iv. 614; Bracton, f. $402 \mathrm{~b}, 403$; Ciroumspecte Agtio (Statutee, i. 101), c. 3; Articuli Cleri (Stat. i. 171), c. 1.

    - This was definitely settled by a mandate addressed by Alexander III. to the bishop of Exeter, which appears in the Gregorian oollection as c. 6, X. 4. 17.
    - Glanvill, rii. 15.
    ${ }^{3}$ Stat. Merton, 0. 9; Lettera of Robert Grosseteste, pp. 76, 95; Bracton's Note Book, i. pp. 104-116.
    - It in for the ecolesiantical court to decide 'an iseue of general bestardy,'

[^87]:    
    
     Blackntonne, Coustl, ni. 883.
    
    
     15kotatos.

    2 See 12 vol. if. our metion on Ishotecy

[^88]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cart. Riev. p. 164 : ' et primum haec omnis asoramento firmavit, deinde chrictianitatem in manu mes qus se obsidem dedit etc.'
    ' Glanvilt, x. 1-3 ; Bracton's Note Book, pl. 50, 670, 683, 1861, 1464, 1671 ; Brecton, f. 406 b . We shall return to the laesio fidei hereafter in our seotion on Contract.
    ${ }^{2}$ Bracton, f, $401 \mathrm{~b}, 402$.
    4 The regular form of the prohibition relating to movables forbed the cecleainatical jadge to meddle with chattels 'quae non sunt de testamento vel matrimonio.'

    - Ciroumapeeto Agetis (Stataten, i. 101), c. 6, 11.

[^89]:    ${ }^{1}$ Maitland, E. H. R. xi. 646. Gratian at the end of c. 47, O. 11, qu, 1, summed ap the matter thus: ' Ex his omnibus datur intelligi, quod clericus ad pablice indicia nec in civili, nec in oriminali casase est producendus, nisi forte civilem cassam episcopus decidere noluerit, vel in criminali aui honoris cingulo eum nudeverit.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Schroder, D. B. G. 669 ; Foumier, Officialités, 79.
    3 Gladvill, vi. 14. The widow who has received no part of her dower may go mtraight to the king's court.
    ${ }^{4}$ Schrōder, op. cif. 568; Fournier, op. cit. 64-94.
    ${ }^{3}$ Mat. Par. Chron. Maj. ii. 368.

    - Glanvill, vii. 15: 'secundum canones et leges Romanas.'

[^90]:    1 Bleckatona, Comm. i. 19.
    ${ }^{2}$ Bob. Gronsetente, Epist. pp. 78, 95.
    ${ }^{1}$ Giraldes Cumbrensis, ii. 344-5, iii. 27-8. Giraldas afterwards retreoted his oharges ; 200 i. 426.

[^91]:    
     11. the timleel ('hartars, nuad tho Counutetionel Hiotory.

[^92]:    ${ }^{1}$ When both the jury and the body of doomamen are already eatablished inatitutions, the tranaformation of doomsmen into jurore many be posaible, and this transformation may actually heve taken place in our manorial coarts. See Select Pleas in Manorial Courts (Selden Society), pp. Invi-lxviii ; Vinogradoft, Vilainage, 870-1. But that the jury should heve originally grown out of a body of doomsmen reems almost impossible.

[^93]:    ${ }^{1}$ Brannor, Schwurgarichte, pp. 74-5.
    1 tbid. p. 87.

[^94]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     - Smb . Stecnotrup. tharaine. p ive.

[^95]:    ${ }^{1}$ Branner, Schwurgerichte, 402-8.
    ${ }^{2}$ K. Maurer, Da Beweisverfahren nach deutschen Rechten, Krit. Ueberechan, v. 332, 374.

    2 von Amire, Panl's Grundriss der German. Philologie ir. ii. p. 198, contends that the jary appears independently (1) in the Frankish king's court, (2) the Danith king's court, and (3) the Ioelandic courts.

    - D. B. iv. 497 (Liber Eliensis.)
    - See e.g. Henry II.'s charter for Rochester, Monast. i. 177: 'Omnes minutan terras...confirmo in perpetnum...in tantum et tam pleniter sicut proprii ministri mei exquirere deberent.' This should be compered with the Frankish and Norman privileges. Brunner, Sehwurgerichte, 92-95, 238-45.
    - The principal cases are collected by Palgrave, Commonwealth, ii. p. clxivi, and Bigelow, Placita Anglo-Normannice.

[^96]:    ' Itemilton. Inquivitio Cotn. Cantab pysit
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^97]:    ${ }^{1}$ Const. Clarend. c. 9.
    2 Geata Abbatam, i. 118-5. The story is told with great particularity. In all probability the subatance of it is true mud comen from Stephen's reigu; but sprarently some mistake have been made sbout the usmes of the various persons concerned in it, es a discussion of dates would show.

[^98]:    'Glanvill, xii. 2, 25 ; Brunner, Schwurgerichte, 411.
    ${ }^{2}$ Glanvill, ii. 7.

    * Bracton, f. 112: ' Et siout non debet sine brevi respondere, its neo debet wine indicio disseiairi.' Ibid. f. 161: 'Nemo debet aine iudicio disseisiri de libero tenemento suo, nee respondere sine precepto domini Regis nec sine brevi.' Bot. Pat. 76 : King John says to the people of Ireland, 'Nolumus...quod aliquis ..vos possit disseisire de liberis tenementis vestris iniuste aut sine iudicio, neo quod in plecitam ponamini per aliouius breve nisi per nostrum vel insticiarii nostri.' See Manorial Pleas (Selden Soc.), p. lv. We know from Glanvill (ii. 15) that the grand assize was established by a written ordinance: ' poena autem in bec assisa temere iurantiom ordinate est et regali institutioni eleganter inserte.'

[^99]:    : Corrol. Clem nd. e. I
    

[^100]:    ${ }^{1}$ Glanvill, xiii. 18, 19.

[^101]:    ' Continuatio Becoensis, Howlett's edition of Robert of Torigny, p. 327 : - Bex Angloram Henrious ad Natale Domini [1159] fuit apud Falesiam, et leges instituit ut nullus decanus aliquam personam mecusaret sine testimonio vicinorom circommanentium, qui bonse vitse fama landabiles haberentur.' Const. Clarend. c. 6: "Laici non debent accusari nisi per certos et legales acensatores et tester in praesentis episcopi... Et gi qui tales fuerint qui culpantur, quod non velit vel non sudeat aliquie eos accasare, vicecomes requisitus ab episoopo feciet tware doodecim legales bomines de vicineto, seu de villa, ooram episcopo, quod inde reritatens secundum conscientiam suam manifestabunt.' With this should be compared Magna Carta, 1215, a. 38: 'Nallus ballives ponat de cetero aliquem ad legem aimplici loquels ans, sine testibas fidelibus ad hoe inductis.'

[^102]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     cochorankivi justa fectors
    

[^103]:    ${ }^{3}$ stablen. Introifuctions to tienta Hemrici, vol. ii., hase disoussed thin mather
    
    a Luerto, 1. $43 \mathrm{H}-5$.

[^104]:    1 corote Henner. 11. 208 .
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^105]:    ${ }^{1}$ Glanvill, viii. 5. A fine levied before the itinerant justices always purports to be 'finalie concordia facta in curia domini Regis.' Such at least is the case in later times ; but see Round, E. H. R. xii. 297.
    ${ }^{2}$ Dialogus, lib. i., c. 4-6. $\quad$ Ibid. lib. i., c. 15.

    - Gemte Henrici, ii. 207-8. ${ }^{\text {B }}$ Medox, Exchequer, i. 798-801.
    *This is the asual form throughout Glanvill's book.
    ${ }^{7}$ Round, Fendal England, 518.

[^106]:    - Gisurall, vita. 8.
    
    
    
    

[^107]:    Prolernve. Commonwealth, vol. si. p. xxviii.

    - thal p. alsit.: 'populique insupar multitudine non modico.'
    : (irrts Abhetura, i, 150.
    - Jhud 1.51 : 'Quend in tam juppne rege non minori rapientice dephtatum cat
    

[^108]:     netriptions.
    

    - Ureta Viltestem, 1 lyg isa
    
    
    - Are Lellect of dwhen uf solishery inl. (Jdeos. I. 13t

[^109]:    ${ }^{1}$ Palgrave, p. Ixxiiii.: 'et tandem gratia domini Begis et per indicium carise suse adiadicate est mihi terra spunculi mei.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Bigelow, Placita, 170.
    ${ }^{3}$ Palgrave, p. Ixiiii. ; Bigelow, Placita, 222. Mapes, De Nugis, p. 227 : ‘ In legibas constitnendis et omni regimine corrigendo discretns, inasitati occultique indicii subtilis inventor.'

    - Bigelow, Placite, 239.

[^110]:    1 Mapme is Nage e. p. 211.

    - Houl pr 213
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^111]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hoveden, ed. Strbbb, i. p. yxi.
    ${ }^{2}$ Eyton, Itinerary, 265.
    ? The book has been fully discussed by Liebermann, Einleitung in den Dialogas de scaccario. It is printed by Madoz in his History of the Exahequer and by Stubbs in his Select Charters.

[^112]:    - Mabiland, Glanes.! thevioad, Harranl l.am Moswo. ol I
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[^113]:    
     this rorimad lesiestivil is premorved at limum 1 whave.
    
    
    

[^114]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     Enitroarale tu the maso efonet, liariaves, ip rat itil
    

[^115]:    ${ }^{3}$ Kelnet Plese of the Crowa. pl. 34 "Ibid. F" 131 - $183 i$
    
    

    - IB-velons iv Ma
    - Ree Pos joh pa
    

[^116]:    
    
    
    
     Hetory 11 e day: thiasudd, ate e l, a, a.
    ${ }^{3}$ Clhaster. c. 1 H .
     Lharlawe rete itlermes.'

[^117]:    1 Charter, 0. 34. - Breve quod vocatur Practipe de cetero nom fiat alieni de aliquo tenemento unde liber homo amistere posstt ouriam suam.' Glanvill, i. 5, allowa the kang wasue thas writ wheuever to pleages. Bud thus prorogative been cumatened, the horrible tangle of our 'real metiong,' our 'writs of entry' aud so forth, would uever have perplexed us.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. c. $41,13$.

    * in ather duys it was possable fur men to wrorship the words 'nisi per legale
     w misunderstand them. In passing, a commentator should olmerve that เม puederval Iatin rel will ofeen stand for and. As the writer of the biahignay (a. It eaye, it can be uned aublianmetive (for which term see Dig. 50, 16, 124). (thes it is inke the oml for) of our mpercantule doaments. The wording of the shase leaver upen the queation whether a russ oan over be imprisuthed of disienment by the law of the lend without having had the judgment of luy pecra. In the wectan place, it is now generally sudratted that the phrase iudterum parium doe not point to trial by jurj. For a legal instrument to call the verdict of
     be as the frement tsue See Solect Blean in Manorial Courts (Selden Soc.), p. Isvii. Thirdly, there can hardly be a doubt that this clause expresses a claim by the barunn for a tribunal of men of baromal rank which shall try even the civit caumes in wheh burnus ane concerned; we whall see hereatter that thery certninly asabut for such a tribanal. The epirit of the clause is excellently expressed by - paraget it the laws ascribed to David of Scothud: Aota of Parliament, vol. i. It 318: ' No man ahall be judged by his juferior who is not his peer; the earl aball we judpud by the earl, the baron by the baran, the vavaswor by the vavaswor. tive bingen by the burgess: but an tnfertor may be judgrad by a superior.' Some of Johsi's juntione wirm corraibly not of baronial ranke Juat at shis earan monasat the Fipnch magustes also were striving for a court of peers; Luchatrs, Monawl dem iratitutions, p. 560; they did not want tral by jury. For the bewery of the phrave indicium parium, see Stubbs, Const. Hist. i. bis.

[^118]:    ${ }^{1}$ Saram Charters, p. 89.
    ${ }^{2}$ Thus in Cod. Theod. 16, 5, 28 is a conetitntion repealing an earlier law which had placed a certain cless of heretios under disabilities, 'Vivant iure communi,' it mays, and thia we can beat rendar by, "They are to live under the common law,' i.e. the ordinary law. So in Cod. Theod. 2, 1, 10: Ivdaei romano et communi inre viventes.'
    ${ }^{3}$ Saram Chartera, p. 320: 'Noo vero...ius commane pro ecclesis de Preschnt faciens considerantem.'

    4 Dialogat, i. 11: 'Iegibus quidem propriis subsistit; quas non communi regni iare, sed volontaris principum institatione sabnixas dicunt.' Ib. ii. 22: ' commanis lex.'
    ${ }^{5}$ Thus Y. B. 31-2 Edw. I. contrasta common law with atatute (pp. 55-6, 419), with locel custom (pp. 213, 287), with prerogative (p. 406), with the law merchant (p. 459), with 'epecial law' (p. 71). P. Q. W. 681: 'videtur iasticiariis quod dominus Rex placitare potest per breve magis conveniens legi communi quam hoo breve.' Rot. Parl. i. 47 (1290): 'Perquirat sibi per legem communemn.' Articali super Cartes ( 28 Edw. I.) : 'ou remedie ne fuat avant par is commape ley...nal bref que touche la commune lei.' Y. B. 20-1 Edw. I. p. 55: ' Yon put forward no erpesoyalte.'

[^119]:    1 After 1295 but before Edward's confirmetion in 1897 a change wes made in, or crept into, the clause which defines the amount of the relief; the baron's relief was reduced from 100 pounds to 100 marks. See Bémont, Chartes des libertien anglaisen, pp. xxxi. 47-8. The text of the various editions oan be bent sompared is this ezoollent book.

    - Statatew of the Realm, i. 6.
    - Statretes, i. 1; Note Book, i. 104.
    - Stataten i. 8.
    s Stat. Marlb. (Btatates, i. 19): 'corivoontis disorecioribus einsdem regni

[^120]:    1 Braeton, \& . 8 b, 107 . Niow Ihould, it wh-ma
    
    

    - Thin epasiar wall be deceramed holen whea wo violl of the King and tio Crowns.
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     eateo dratola dam dachanar of taraname.

[^121]:    ${ }^{1}$ Breton, f. 1 b : ' 8 si antem aligus nove et inconsuete emerserint et quas price usitate non foerint in regno, si tamen similis evenerint, par simile indicentur, cum bons sit occsaio a similibus procedere ad similia. Si antem talis nanquam prius evenerint, et obscaram et difficile sit eoram iudicium, tano posantur indicin in reapectum nsque ad magnam cuxiam, ut ibi per consilinm corise tarminentur.' Thas in a quite unprecedented case the court may have to declare for law what, as Bracton almost admits, has not as yet been law. For this parpose the court ahould take the form of a great assembly of prelatea and barons. In the above pasagge Bracton alludes to Dig. 1. 3. 13.

    2 Brecton, 1. 1, 2.

[^122]:    
    
    
    
    
    
     produned trom 1210 . Hes. Burl $i$. the $\%$. Uf ocurm the moios ente Noo prodoned to ehar that a oonatele question wes eat redeowiw, bat thea to juilo nnotber mimer
    
     Anorse Bollo. [1. 22s.
    
    

    - Ilracluon. 11
    
    
    
    

[^123]:    wot co rupards wartanty, pleading, and battio the rales of the king'y court munt be observed.
    ' Bracton'n Note Book, pl. 83s. The nuitora of Havering aro anked to pmotuce a procedent (excmppann) for a jodgment that they have delivered; not belag able to do this, they are ameroed.
    ${ }^{3}$ sracton, f. 85 s : ' licet in quibundam partibas of per abusum observetar is contminam. sicut in epincopatu Wintomae'; Note Book, pl. 282.
    ${ }^{2}$ Note book, pl. 628 : ' Latis est consuetudo in feodo Comitis Britaunise.'

    - Hoyad Inthen, i. 103. A diflicult oase having erisen in the county court of Notungham, the bailiff who held the court advisen the sherif to obsain the ophatios of the king's council.
    + Satoct Illeas is Manorial Courts, p. 3.

[^124]:    
    
     End unsoilund fuls
    
     Kers, 73-2
    

    - Isractus, f. 1

[^125]:    1. Noke Ehouk, i. pp. 105-115. We have no authoritative text of chia famous remintian. but che lact word of it seeman to have been menture, not mantan.

    - Deloule, fencueil de jugements, p. 189: 'Jndicatums ent guoxl ille quo matua fais ante aponeulir sive post est propinquior heree ad habendans herelitatem patios ai eancta coolexim approbet maritagiusn."
     Indariatio temimoniom Kicandi de Laci; cuina testimonium quantarn of yanderm habeat comprarationeso ad teatumonia divine worigharae et canonicat cutranums sesuticantis, lippus patet of conmoribus." The argamenss which (irumetonte adduces from the bible and the dew of nature are very corione. however, the worms to expremaly dixclan tho notion that the king's justiocn onubld dment their ungodly prexmenta in favoar of divine and natural law antil Unen Law of England hed beets ohangel by king and magnatea.
    - Brunter, D. I. O. U. 185-6.

[^126]:    
    
    
    
    

    - Tlir wachaquer gioma rullo do pot lanas entil tar do in Hemry tll o rugo
    
    
     Zurm of cacounte.

[^127]:    1 Madox, Exahequer, ii. 51.

    - Foas, Judges, iii. 196.
    - Fleta, p. 88.

    4 Madox, Exchequer, ii. 54.
    3 Write sent to the exahequer are eddressed to the treazmrer and barons, or, If they merely onder the delivery of treasure or the like, to the treasurer and chamberlains.

    - Flota, p. 81: 'Habet etiam Rex curiam suam et iustitiarios suos in Semocario apud Weatmonasteriam reaidentem.'
    ${ }^{7}$ Mindox, Exahequer, ii. 295.

[^128]:    
    
     mont of porsondacel clandee. whe whoperal.
    
    
     weev to cerlies deyo thisu delingetad to the eschanger

[^129]:    1 The ecrions point is that in this matter the barons seam to have soted in defanee not meraly of laws and ordinances but of the king's own intereats. Whether the well-known phrame in the Charter ('Communis placite non sequantar cariam noetram sed teneantur in aliqno 3000 certo ${ }^{n}$ ) was originally intended to deprive the exchequer of jurisdiction over common plees is doobtful; but that intention was authoritatively attributed to it in Edward I.'s day. We find Edward laying down the prohibitive rule not merely in the Articuli of 1300 (Statuted, i. 188), some of whioh were won from him by preecare, but in a mach earlier ordinance, the so-called Etatute of Bhuddlan (i. 70), where he gives at his reason the delsy of the exchequer's proper business. At to the motives which sent plaintifts to the exchequer, we And that when the king by wey of exceptional favour aanotions their going thither, be cometimee expresely says that they are to have the benefit of she proceses sppropriste to crown debts. See Madox, Exahequer, i. 209-214, ii. 73-6.
    ${ }^{2}$ Floten p. 66 : 'Habet etiam [Rex] curiam suam in cancollaris sme.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Stubbe, Const. Hint. i. p. 381.

[^130]:    ${ }^{1}$ The best introduction to them will be found in Bemont, Bolea Gascons (Documents inédita), Paris 1896.
    ${ }^{2}$ If av intending litigant bas to pay for his original writ, then an entry will be made on the fine roll, but the nature of the writ will be but brietty desoribed, c.g. ae 'a writ of trespess,' 'an attaint' or the like. See Fleta, p. 77. The Becord Ofice contains large stores of these writa.

[^131]:    
    ${ }^{3}$ Harr. L. H2, wiv. 173 - 1 -
    

    - Inat in extes r. 89t.
    
    - Ank llarum, 46 m
    
    
     of enclinal curront to the dianowry.

[^132]:    ${ }^{3}$ Haral Letters, i. 68, 876, 282; ii. th.

    * Hake. Jurnactiotion of the Houso of Lords, 17 ; Blackstone, Comm. ifi. 48.
    , Siee folls of Parlament, vol. i. pasim, and Masland, Memoranda de Partarmeato, 33 Fdward 1. An instance of a case committed to the clanncellor oceurs ta Bolla of Parl. I. p. 60 : - Veniant partes coram cancellario et ostendat
     Atraidy a practice abtained of maknowledging dobts in tho chancery, and when

[^133]:    ${ }^{1}$ It it of comparatively late origin. There are many oriminal ceses on the de banco rolle of Edward I.
    ${ }^{2}$ Note Book, pl. 1166, 1189, 1190.
    ${ }^{5}$ In diserscions of thic obseare matter it has too often been forgotten that mo long as thare wan a Conrt of Common Pleas the monk nolemn title of ita jurtions wae 'Juctices of the Benoh,' while in 1875 the justices of the Quean's Bemch were 'Justices asaigned to hold pleas before the Queen herself.' In 10 Edw. I. we have the King's Bench distinguished from the 'Great Bench'; Plec. Abbrev. p. 274. About this time 'the justioes of either benoh' becomes a common phraes. Foas (ii. 160-186), viewing the matter from a biographer's stand-point, may be right in fixing a late date for the finsl eatablishment of the two courts, for until the end of Henry's reign the judgen are eanily moved beakwards and forwarde between the two courts or divisions; bat loag before this there are two parallel sets of rolle; and Bracton may sarve as an instanoe of a judge who, 80 far as we know, never gat at ' the bench,' but for several yearm held pleng 'coram rege.'

[^134]:    Bhate, Jurindietian of the Howee of Ianda. s8. 88.
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^135]:    - Hracton took Devonahire assize at Exeter, Morchard, Molson, Torrington, Libuimieugh, Harmatapla, C'inberleikh; Note Ibook, i. p. 17.
    ${ }^{2}$ Calender of l'atent Rolls an 43 rd Rep. of Dep. Keopur.
    ${ }^{2}$ During Henry's reign there seem to have been sevenal years in which bo coart was alsung at Weatminiter, eyren having beod prociauned in all or mont of the ootutiee: Nole Book, i, pp. 141-1.
    - As to theve articles ece Select Pleas uf the Crawn (Selden Soc.) p. xati. Hore of thess ta ous saotran on Treajmaces.

[^136]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mat. Par. v. 218, 288, 240, aharges againat Henry of Bath; 7. 628, againgt Henry de la Mare.
    ${ }^{2}$ Charter, 1215, a. 34.
    ${ }^{2}$ Petition of 1258, 0. 29: the great lords are not to make thoir courta tribunals of second inatance. Provisions of Weatminster, 0. 9, 10, damages are to be given in the assive of mort d'encestor; c. 6, procedure in dower unde sikil habet (an aotion which controverts fendal principlen) is to be speedier; c. 18, the royal control over all actions tonching freehold is to be tecured. Stat. Marlb. c. 99: the scope of the writs of entry is to be extanded at the expense of the writ of right.

    - Bracton, 1. 108, adefence of dower unde nihil habet; 8. 281, a defence of the writ of coninage; comp. Note Book, pl. 1215.
    ${ }^{3}$ Bracton, f. 414 b : 'pertinet enim ad regem ad quamlibet iniuriam compercondam remedium competens adhibere.'

[^137]:    1 Nerm Brank. 1. 59. 110 - \&
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     comimus pleme.

[^138]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note Book, i. Ma. 24-5. ${ }^{2}$ Mat. Par, Chron. Maj. iii. 293.
    'ec. 1. 2. 1, 5, 10, X. 3, 50. Ants. Burton. p. 308-9: Artales of inquiry into the life of the clengy ; 'An aliqui sint ..iustitinrii aneculares ..An alipui boneficuati audiant vel doceant leges meculams.' Grossetabte, Epist. p. 26f: Robert Lesingtun hae puld irsepalarity upon irregulanty by hearing oriminal causerf on Funday. From another letter (p. 106) we learn thas a clerical justice would alve hifo concicnce by leaving the bench whet a mentence of death was to be peand. The clerks who write the ples rolls have saraples about writing the wins' ' wapendatar':-' es ideo habest iudicium summ,' or aimply 'ot ideo utc.' *ill be frite enough.

    - Mat. Pur. Chron, Maj. iv. 19: "Thomar de Muletuna, milea in armis enm uventun ei arridebat. el cum provectioris canet metatia mbundana pomsesaionibas Hazque pertun sweoularia,' Ibil. v. 817: 'Rogertas de Thurkebi miles of hiverstilu.'
    * Laurence de Brok, who often represented Heary III, in litigation, mems to be one of the firm men who ulimb to the judicial bench tmon the har ; Fose, Jungers, if. 207. It is by no moans itupossible that Martin Pateahull was clerit

[^139]:    
    
    
    
     Chatu. Na. V. Dis

[^140]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bracton's Note Book, vol. i. The discovery was due to Prof. Paul Vinogrador.
    ${ }^{3}$ See Guterboek, Hearicus de Brecton; Serutton, Roman Law in England; Brecton and Aso (Galden Soc.).

[^141]:    
    
    

[^142]:    ${ }^{1}$ Glanvill, lib. $x$.
    s See Stet. Weat. IL. a. 10, which geve a general right to appoint an attorney to sppear in all canses which abould come before the justices in a given eyre.

    2 Begistrom Brevium Originalinm, 隻. 20-22.

    - Britton, vol. ii. p. 857.
    s Seleot Civil Pleas, pl. 141.
    - Note Book, pl. 842, 1861, 1507.

[^143]:    1 Mat, Par. Ohron. Maj. iii. 619: 'licet Rex oum omnibus prolocutoribus benci quos marratores rulgariter appoliamus in contrarinm niteretur.' The Latin narrator and ite French equivalent contowr beoame technioul terms, If an Buglish term was in ume, it was perhaps forspeaker.

    - Madox, Exchequer, i. 286.
    - Liber de Antiquis Legibus, 42-8.
    - Met. Par. Chron. Mej. iii. 489-440; Joh. de Athona, p. 70.

[^144]:    1 Rest Wirati I a. sur
    
    
    
    
    
     to plineal hus casume.
    

[^145]:    ${ }^{1}$ Care. Roms, i. 488.
    ${ }^{3}$ Mlear io Manorial Courts (Selden Soc.), 155, 159, 160.
    'Walter of Hemingford (ed. Hearne), ii. 208, telln how in 1804 the Abp. of Fork wes impleaded. 'None of his counsel nor any of all the pleadern (manatorrs) ociold or darnd answer for him. So in his own person, like one of the pmopla, and befora all the people, be made his answer barcheaded:-tor the gen of the court did not love him.'

    - 800 e.g. F. B. 20-1 Bdw. I. p. 107.

    3 Y. B. 88-5 Eidw. L. p. 471. The allasion in to Cod. 8. 81. 11: © Cogi ponemareth ab co, qui axpetit, titulam wase posnewaionis dicere, incivile est."

[^146]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bethmann-Holiveg, Civilprosene, vi. 189, gives an acoount of this book. The author mys to the Archbiahop: 'Cum solempnis reatre caris et regnum Anglise quani totom personis carent, quae meoundum formam Romanme curise vel idonaam aliam qualemennque intelleotum et notitiam habeant eorum quee ed atem pertinent notarise.' From the ignorance of the Zngliah soribet - iodicibus obprobrium et partibus incommodum seepe proveniunt.' John of Bologns seems to have been employed by Peckham and to have obrained a bemefice in Wales: Peakham's Register, i. 45, 278; iii. 1009.

    - Maithand, 4 Conveyancer in the Thirteenth Centary, L. Q. R. vii. 63; The Court Baron (Seldon Sqo.), pp. 7, 12-14.

[^147]:     - Laveliemes.
    
    

[^148]:    ${ }^{1}$ Nota Book, pl. 1474.

    - Boyal Lettern, Henry III., vol. ii. p. 858: 'Veatram rogamus regiam dignitatem quatenam...leges terrarum veetrarum ubique per Walliam et per Marchinm nobis concedere velitis, et hoo ent, quod innocens non puniatur pro nocente, neo etiam impatetur parentelae alicuius ai aliquis de parentels inferfeceris aliquem vel furtam vel aliquam seditionem [fecerit] nisi ipai malofectori.'

    A At to the tranmintion of the register, see Harv. L. B. iii. 110. For an eariy cese in which an Yriak judgment is correoted in England, see Rot. C. p. 549 ; there are several other cases on the rolls of Edward L. For Irish petitions to the English connoil, see Memorande de Parliamento, 88 Edw. I. p. 288.

[^149]:    
    
    
    
    
    

    - Acte of tranliatuent of Beutlend, I bls: Sieilioe, Joud hy Cumber ise

[^150]:    ${ }^{1}$ As to the arrangement of the treatise see Bracton and Azo, p. 14.

[^151]:    
    
    
     the alluakes so thouss, in Nomesnas weme the grefermbie meding, is so atm the
    
    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ Anotus, Jerraperadesion, i, 80-71.

[^152]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rot. Hund. ii. 673.
    2 This statement will require some qualification hereafter when we speak of the unfree tenures.
    ${ }^{3}$ In later days the term 'tenure in capite' was sometimes used as though it were equivalent to 'tenure in capite of the crown' and even to 'tenure in capite of the crowa by knight's service.' In the Baronia Anglicana, Madox has sufficiently proved that this use of the term was an innovation. See also Hargrave's notes to Co. Lit. 108 a . In the thirteenth century the term 'in capite' is

[^153]:    
     the time of Herry I Boger holde of Nigel, Nagel of the Niant if thamer soul
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^154]:    
    
    
    : It is helsered thin the forme growl and nef oppear to Engiand bes lave to the
    
    
     modiond, at loass is they afm permanent perholie paymonto, ofo ivate. the
    
    
    
    
    
     l'anewwin Prasine, th. 318
    
    
    
    
     alno pumperson artais 'thourputel thamen.'

[^155]:    - Phraser showing that the lard is conceived ar holding the land are quite comanon, ee e.g. Bracton f. 482 b , 1 lem cum petens totum petat in dominico, vances ruepondere potest et cognoseere quat Cotum non tenot in dominico, sed parim in dominico et partam in mervitio.' So almo she lord is seised not menely if the casant's ectrioes but of the land: Brachan 1. 81, 'nisi ipme vel antecessores
     oconor chtust wenitus at de ferdo in dominioo vel in servitio.
    ${ }^{3}$ Tenta de Nerille, 71. Sce Gierke, Genosenschaftsreohs, it. y2.

[^156]:    
    

[^157]:    
    ${ }^{3}$ See Breston's explanation of the verm 'forineec sarvice,' t. 85-7. This tarm had been in common ase even in Riolhard's reign ; seo Fines, ed. Hunter, paratim ; and may be found in Domenday Book, i, 185 b , It megms constantly mead es though it mere equivalent, or slmost equipalant, to 'koyal servioe,' "miliency service,' 'soutage,' insomuoh that to say of a man that be owea torinsec nervice is almont the same as saying that his tenure is military, and therefore implies wardship and marriage ; see Bracton's Note Bcok, pl. 38, 286, 288, 706, 795, 978, 1076, 1631; Y. B. 20-21 Edr. I., p. 133. Henoe the notion pat forward by Fiele and supported by Hargrave (Co. Lit. $69 \mathrm{~b}, 74$ a, notes) that forinsec service is so called becosuse it is done in foreign parts. But this can hardly be true; the military tenants were constantly asserting that into foreign parte they were not bound to go. Besides, services which are not military are occesionally called 'forinseo,' mervices dae from socage tenemente, e.g. suit of court, landgafol, charchsoot; Reg. Malm., ii. 51, 'salvo forinseco searvicio partinente ad liberum socagium quantum ad unam virgatem terrme'; Ibid. 52 , 'salvo forinseco servioio pertinente ad unam virgatam terrae de libero socagio'; Ibid. 69, 'et pro chirchsote [sic] et omnibus aliis servioiis forinseois.' And forisece servioe is not necessarily due to the king; Whalley Couoher, i. 21 : $A$ 's tenant $B$ has enfeofled $C ; A$ relessea to $C$ ' omne forense serviaium quod ad $m e$ pertinet'; the eervice due from $B$ to $A$ was forinsec se regards $C$. Thus the term is 4 relative one; what is 'intrinseo' between $\Delta$ and $B$ is 'forinsec' an regards $C$. At the same time, it must be confeesed that this use of the word, which has not been found in Franoe, implies a considerable degree of abstruction, and it seems possible that as a matter of historio fact it is due to the legal development of a more concrete notion. In northern charters we cometimes reed of the king's 'utware' just where we should expeot to reed of - Corinsed nervice.' Perbaps at first ' outside servies' meant service done outside the tenement or oatside the manor; but jurispradence gave a new tarn to the phrase, and there is hardly room for doubt that Brector's expination (f. 86) gives os the law of his time:-'forinsecum dice potest quis sit [corr. fit] et capitar foria sive extru servitiam quod sit [corr. fit] domino capitali.' Observe thatt the tenaat's ' dominus capitalis ' is his immediate lord.

[^158]:    
    
     wob, but af of bet olece of ous mout
    
    

    1 Last. er. $1 / 33$ w.
    

[^159]:    I A fow instaneen of anch dutinite spiritual mervices may be found already in Gomudny, c.g. ii. $133,133 \mathrm{~b}$, a tenant has wo ming three masses. Gifta for the numtinance of lampo before particular altars and the like are not unoommon, sad of ten they expressly eny that the land is frankalmoin, e.g. Reg. St Osmund 1. $224(1: 230-3)$, a gift of land to the church of Sarum in pure and perpetand sime te nod a raper to burn before athe relics on festivals. Sometnenes it would have been dificult to draw the the between 'certam' and 'uncertans' services. as when land wes given that its runts might be expended 'tams in reparands socleas juam is ancioribun necessariis ecelesae.' Reg. St Osmund, i. $3,50$.
    ${ }^{1}$ U. B. 1. 2418 : 'In W. tenet quidam oecus unazn hovatam in elemorina de neze. Ilrid iv. 466 : 'Teamt Edrolius mancun in elewonine de rege Edwardo.' In Dorventhre, undus the heading 'Terru Tainorum Touin' (i. 84), we fud Hane cerran dedit Kingina Dodom in clemonima. In Devonahire, ander the ink heaving (118), we find Alunard Mert tenet dim. rirg....Begins dedis ei in denmains. In Hertondahire ( 137 b) we rend how a manor wau beld by two the whe une of whom was the man of King Edwart, the other was the man of Finsar: they conld not sell 'eputa nemper incuerunt in elennosina.' Ths would ecoms to cuean that they held precarsounly. See the corrioun entry, ij. © b, wheh

    $$
    \text { f, } \mathrm{x}
    $$

[^160]:    ${ }^{1}$ Alreedy Bede, Hist. Fool. iii. 24, tells how Oswy gave land to the charch in order that preyers might be offered for the pesce of his folk. The land, instand of providing for a militia terrestris, is devoted to a militia caelestic.
    ' Cart. Olova i. 197: 'habendum in liberam elemosinam...sine aliquo retinemento ad opas meam vel aliquorum heredum meoram nisi tantummodo orstiones upiritualem perpetana.' Mid. i. 199, 289, 835, ii. 10. Saoh phrasea are common in the Whalley Coucher Book.
    : Cart. Glonc. i. 807: 'Nos vero...praediotam terram...per praedictum sorvicimm orationum warantizabimus.' The term 'consideration' is of course rather too technical, but etill the prajers seem regarded an having a certain juristio raide.

    4 Litigatione over the right to bary benefactors may be found, e.g. Registar of St Thomey, Dublin, p. 849, between the aanons of St Thomas and the monks of Beotive sboat the body of Hugh de Leoy; also struggles for the bodies of dying mea, e.g. between the monks of Abingdon and the canons of St Prideswide, Biat. Abingd. ii. 175. See also, ahartar of John de Lecy in the Whalley Concher, i. 88: "Know ye that I have given and granted to the abbot and monks of Stanlew atter my death myself and my body to be buried.'

    * For an elsborate agreement about masses and other spiritual benefits, wee Newminster Oartulary, p. 120.
    - Brecton, f. 12.

[^161]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cart. \$lamery, t. 189, 150. 253, 254,
    
     i. 1\%. 183.
    
    

    - Bescrora. f 18, zide

[^162]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bracton, f. 27 b. Cf. Somma, p. 99.

    * Fines, ed. Hunter, i. 200 ( 8 John ): 'Ala dedit et conoessit in puram et perpetuan clemosinam Deo et ecolecise S. Marie de B...totam partem suam...ita quod preedictury prior et sucoensores sui facient inde forinsecum servicium.' Cart. Gloge. i. 167 : gift in trankalmoin, "salvo tamen regali $\begin{aligned} & \text { ervioio." Ibid. }\end{aligned}$ 187: gift in frankalmoin asving the landgsfol due to the king. Ibid. 289 : gitt in tree, pure and perpetral alms sobject to a rent of popper and to royal mervice.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cart. Glove. ii. 17, 80, 98.
    - Braton, l. 87 b; Note Book, pl. 21.

[^163]:    
    
    
    
     clucubs.
    
    
    

[^164]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the remarkable series of papal recoripta in the Rievaulz Cartulary, 189-197; also c. 7, X. 4, 17, where the pope admits that he hat gone too far in ordaring his delegates to give possession in $\%$ dispute between laymen, which came into the eccleaiastical courts in consequence of a queation haring been raieed sbout bastardy. See also in the Malmeebary Register, ii. 7, proceedinge under letters of Innocent III. for the recovery from a layman of land improvidently aliensted by an abbot. In the Geata $\Delta b b a t u m, ~ i .159-162, ~$ there is a detalled aocount of litigation which took place early in Henry II.'s reign between the Abbot of 8 St Alban's and a tayman torohing the title to arood; the abbot procured letters trom the pope appointing judges delegato.
    ${ }^{2}$ Somma, p. 298 ; Ancienne contume, p. 288; Branner, Entetehung der Schwargarichta, $824-6$; Brunner, Pol. Sci. Quarterly, xi. 588. Apparently, the Norman maize had from the first served as a petitory action; but if the reoognitora could give no verdict, then the cause went to the ecclesiastical court.
    ${ }^{3}$ The term Juriz Utrum seems due to a mistake in the expansion of the compendirm Jur'; it ehould be Jurata Utrum, in French Jure Utrum; see e.g. Y. B. $14-15$ Edw. III. (ed. Pike), p. 47 ; and see Bracton, f. 287, where the technical distinotion between an Aasisa Utrum and a Jurata Utrum is explained.

[^165]:    1 Bristum. ii. 207.
    2 Acurdtay 20 Glanvill ( 84.25 , vil. is 201 the evarth Claftatian an the
    
    
    
    
     (0xum.).
    
     and the church of thorblale.' and esmatrecte on trarrats the lacal 'in thed ast
     1. 161.

[^166]:    ' Bracton, t. $286 \mathrm{~b}, 287$. This may have been the reasoning which caused a denial of the assize to the parson when that parson was a monastery, a denial which an ordinance of 1234 overruled; Note Book, pl. 1117.
    ${ }^{2}$ Bracton, f. 287 b . The parson has not only the assize of novel disseisin, but he may have a writ of entry founded on the seisin of his predecessor. This being so, the refusal to allow him a writ of right is already somewhat anomalous. But the writs of entry are new, and the law of the twelfth century (completely igoored by Bracton) was that the ecclesiastical court was the tribunal competent to decide on the title to land held in frankalmoin.
    ${ }^{2}$ Stutz, Geschichte des kirchlichen Benefizislwesens; Stutz, Die Eigenkirche.

    - Bracton, l. 286 b.

[^167]:    
    s Stel is Ed 1.0 e 16

    - IBrachurt. I 407 ; Nive Bout, pl B47. 1109

[^168]:     the Abtest of th lidesend's encertel that ther werv pestarid to worn abta do
    
    
    
     - lisun de Mriae t. 1. $10{ }^{\circ}$
     troituons: ant , fanamiem
    
    
    
    

[^169]:    ${ }^{2}$ Mat. Par. Chrod. Maj, iii. 116.
    z Lorde' Report on the Dignity of a Peer, App. I. p. 1. The aummonsee of the fondal array are colleoted in this Appendix.

    8 Teate de Neville, e.g. 146-7.
    4Chron. Jocelini de Brakelond (Camden Soc.), 68.
    3 Genta $A b$ batam, i. 485.

    - In 1812 John gives orders for the payment at hia cost of the knighte in his servies, from the time when the period ahall have olepsed during which they are bound to serve at their own cost ; Rot. Cl. i. 117.

[^170]:    ${ }^{1}$ Co. Lit. 69 s, 69 b (Hale's note); Stubbs, Const. Hist, i. 287 ; Round, Feudal England, 231 Ir., 293 II.; Hall, Liber Rubeus, vol. ii. p. clsiii.
    ${ }^{3}$ Glestonbory Inquests (Roxburgh Clab), passim.
    3 Testes de Neville, 63-4.

    - Liber Rubens, i. 385, 431.
    - Chron. Petroburg. 169.
    - Kirkby's Inquest for Yorkshire (Surtees Soc.) 196-7.

    7 Tente de Neville, 408.
    ${ }^{3}$ Madóx, Exch. i. 649.

    - Btabbe, Const. Hist. i. 288, and Hound, Feudal England, 295, seem inclined to secept this theory. See also Hall, Lib. Rub. vol. ii. p. clxiv.

[^171]:    

[^172]:    1 Thi we regard es heving been proved by Mr Ronnd'e coavincing pepers in E. H. B. vols. vi. vii., whioh are now reprinted in his Feadal England. Sometimes when land anme to the king by way of eschent and was again granted out, pew terme would be imposed on the new tenant; but in the main the eettlement made in the Conqueror'e dey was permanent, As to the old Inglith army, see Maifland, Domeadsy Book, 156 II. 295, 908.

[^173]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^174]:    ${ }^{1}$ Madox, Exchequer, i. 670-1, where other cases of Henry III.'s reign are given. John had observed this rule : Rot. Pat. 52, writ in favour of the Abbot of Stanlaw.

[^175]:    
    
    
    
    
     troums.'
    
    
     groveded oniy fuas lunustite to sms aborigntial.
    

[^176]:    
     zuls aps.

[^177]:    ${ }^{1}$ Chron, de Melse, ii. 210, 222-3.
    2 Liber Babens, i. 394. But in Henry II.'s day the view taken at the Exabequer was that the abbot owed sid for fifty-two feel. Madox, Exch. i. 572. See also in Teata de Neville, 415, the amusing letter in whioh the abbot in Elenry III.'s reign prolesses an sbsolute ignorance as to the whereaboute of his foes:- 'In what vills they are distributed and in what place they lie, God knowe.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Jocelin of Brakelond (Camd. Soc.), 20, 48. See also Feet of Fines 7 \& 8 Bic. I. (Pipe Boll Soc.h p. 53 fi., where are printed the documenta which reoord the abbot's victory.

[^178]:    - Braemar I m
    - Fise ind Haniotic u 31.
    (blumbl, Fermial Einglam, 2034.

[^179]:    ${ }^{1}$ Round, Feudal England, 268 ff.
    ' Charter of Abbot Faritius, Hist. Abingd. ii. 135.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ramsey Cart. i. 147; see also Henry II.'s Canterbury chnrter, Monast. i. $10 \%$

    - Somma, p. 70; Ancienne coutume, c. 2i, where the auxilium exercilus seems the equivalent of scutage. In some Norman documents it appears as one of the three adds, along with those for knighting the son and marrying the daughter; Assasise Normaniae, Warnkonig ii. 58 ; Très ancien coutumier, p. 39.
    ${ }^{5}$ See Rot. Cl. i. 570-1. Of these aids we ahall sposk in another section.

[^180]:    ${ }^{1}$ Round, Feudal Fingland. 271.
    ${ }^{2}$ Jocelin (Camd. Soce.). 63.
    ${ }^{3}$ Mat. Par. Chrom. Maj. vi. 374, 434.

    - Select l'leas in Manurial Courts (Selden Soc.), 60-3.

[^181]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bobert of Torigny (ed. Howlett), p. 202, in the classioal passage whioh deacribee the centage of 1159 says that the king 'nolens verare agrarios milites, nec burgensiam nec rusticoram maltitudinem' took a aum of money from each lonight's fee, and, thit done, 'eapitsles barones suos oum paucis secum duxit, solidarios vero milites innameros.' The king does not give his capitales barones an option between going to the war and paying soutage, but he absolves from the duty of personal attendance their undertenants, many of whom, though in name tenants by military service, are mere yeomen (milites agrarii, burgenses, rustici), and instead he takes a scutage. As Henry III. wat bound by charter not to colleet seatage; except in acoordance with the practice of Henry II., we might seem entitled to draw inferences from the grandson's days to the grandfather's. But more light is needed at this point.
    *To the contrary Littleton, sec. 96, relying on Y. B. 7 Edw. ILI. f. 29 (Trin. pl. 23). Bat Littleton knew nothing of knight's sorvice as a reality. See Magna Certia, 1215, c. 29.
    ${ }^{3}$ Hiat. Abingd. ii. 128 (temp. Hen. I.): an Abingdon knight fails to do tervice; ' ande cum lege patriae deoretum processisset ipsum exsortem terrme merito debere fieri, ota.

    4 Already in 1198 the knights of St Edmunds profess themselves willing to pey sontage, but they will not serve in Normandy; Jocelin of Brakelond, 69.

[^182]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     rustise of wanlalyp and uiarsuayt.
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     mumber p. 80.
    
    
     En the shersif.

[^183]:    ${ }^{1}$ There is Norman authority from 1220 for an affirmative answer. Delisle, Recueil de jugements, p. 75: 'Iudicatum est...quod Abbas [mesne lord] non protest alium mittere in loco eiusdem P. [tenant by knight's service] ad faciendum nervicium quod feodum dicti P. debet quando dominus rex debet seu vult capere servicium suum de Abbate, dum idem P. servicium quod debet de feodo suo in propris persons sua facere velit.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Charter of 1215, c. 29. A substitute may be sent, but only for reasonable cause.

    * See the two muster rolls of the feudal host ; Parliamentary Writs, i. 197, $22 \%$.
    + Rot. Hund. ii. 441.

[^184]:    : civate Abhatum. is. $\operatorname{si}$.
    

[^185]:    : Kut. Hund. $1.76 \%$.

    - Hos tund. 3. C.9. 788, 707, 760.

[^186]:    - Bramon, f. 87. Fim the ebean citall shore. p 25v, nothe 9.

    It is rarw, thoagh mot auknown, to and thas a homet in wileonaro to cut
    
    
     tros

    - Ither Abiturd. it. 8.
    - 1ust. C7. 1897.
    ${ }^{2}$ Yipe ILoll, 31 Bien 1. P St: Momat : Wis
    
    
     Betho Monts.
    ' Viollom, filaltisermeote. is all
    
    
    
    
    ${ }^{4}$ Hot. Mabd 14 . ins.
    BHot. Hundil It Gmo.

[^187]:    - Brectima. © 70 b , 9 m .
    - Sinter blowta, pl WS.
    
    
     enc. 18.

[^188]:    ${ }^{1}$ Stubbe, Consh Hist, 438.

[^189]:    - Dial de trame th. 26
    ${ }^{1}$ 'rharter, 1214, e. ©3.
     Challim, loon F'rupersy, p. C.
    - Mesher, Eizeh $133 \%$.
    
     §adan
    

[^190]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lit. secs. 153-161. ${ }^{2}$ Lit. sec. 154.
    ${ }^{3}$ Some scribes, it is said, distinguish seriantia, the land, from serianteria, the service or office.

    + Lit. rec. $153 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Stubbs, Const. Hist. i. 383.
    - 'Seriantia W. M. pro qua debuit esse emptor coquinae dom. Regis,' Testa de Seville, 78 ; 'Seriantia hostiariae dom. Regis,' Ib. 93 ; 'Seriantia pro qua debuit custodire lardariam dom. Regir,' Ib. 146, 232 . We aro compelled to cite the bad but only edition of the Testa. But nee Hall, Lib. Rub, iii. 1305. Mr Fall's index enables us to omit some citations given in our first edition.

[^191]:    1 Tesia. 88: Serguanty to be constable of 200 foot-noldiera $n$ olong es the king in ia Walma. Ib. Ilt: Serjeanty to carry on pennon in the king'a ammy brfore sbe fiont-moldiesm of the busdred of Woutton. Ib. 119: 'Servicium portandi bascram poqual, prosequentis per marinam (?).'
    ' Ap the thas 'sack and buckle men,' some refarcncen aro given in select Piene its Axuorial Courts (Selden Soo.) i. 186.
    ${ }^{2}$ Encton, f. $3: \mathrm{h}$. Cnoupure Fileta, p. 198.

[^192]:    

    - It M b mas. - Corl viluar 4. 207-4
    a Jomeltr of Bratelond (Cammb. Hece. 150.
    - The bingrajuler of Abhers thamono of Ms Pidmendo remento es e pert af us
    
    
    
    
    - H. If al safi.
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^193]:    1 R. H. i. 157.
    ${ }^{2}$ R. H. ii. 767.
    ${ }^{3}$ In Germany the servientex or ministeriales became a powerful class. A group of xerrientes, e.g. those of an abbey, bad a court of its own and law of its own (Dienstrecht as contrasted with Lehnrecht, Hofrecht, Landrecht), see Waitz, v. 289-350, 428-442; Schröder, D. B. G. 667. The nearest approsch that Eusland in the thirteenth century can show to such a court of servientes is the court of the king's hoasehold; but even this ams rather at a common law jursdiction over all that happens within the verge of the palace, than at developing a special law for the king's servientes. In England as in Germany

[^194]:    ${ }^{1}$ D. B. i. 117 b, 236 b.
    D. B. i. 160 b.
    2. B. ii. 4 b, 98 b, 110 b.
    d. B. i. 49.

    - Bracton, f .95 b . Ellis, Introduction, i. 72.
    ? Note Book, pl. 758. Maitland, Domeedey Book, 805 If.
    - Glanvill, ix. 4.
    - Hoveden, iv. 47. Round, E. H. R. iii. 501, has shown that some of the returna mede on this occasion are preserved in the Testa de Neville.

[^195]:    - Ifsmetim, f. as b.
     elaloat thy latele to ing ang. 1;
    
     yerm eft do ourzanteria
    

[^196]:    ${ }^{1}$ Kurmon Cart. 31, 37.
    ${ }^{3}$ Hist. Abingr, ii. 65, 128, 167.
    ${ }^{3}$ ILeg. Melen. ii. 173 ; Rot. Obl, p. 12, 68.

    - Dut tho latter seemn to be derised from Low Latin, is which firma has corme to mbenna fixed reat ur tribute; Skeat, s.v. farm.
    - Deliste, Etuden sur la condtion de in classe agricole en Normandie, 45.
    - Pur the co-ordiuntiou of fee farm and burgage with wocake, nee Magme Lats, 1215, c. 37. 'Ni quis teneat de nohis par feodifirmam, vel per sukagrum. sel fur buyagrum.. occasione illius feodsfrmae, vel sokagii vel burgakii.' Also Uractan, I. B5 b, 86, where as regards relief is distinction is drawts between wicure and for farm. The Statute of Glouechter ( 6 Fidw. I. c. 1) wemin is courhe of tuas to hove generated the notion held by Coke that a reans is not 'a feef farm reat upless it asuounta to one-fourth of the anaual value of the land: see and Inss. 4 , Co. Lat. 143 b , and the note in which Hangrave shows that aether in the statute nor in earlier history is these any warrans for this nuthetins of the serin.
    

[^197]:    
    
    
     burgonat moddt tij. meecke'

    - siew Fingermaloer. Vilialrace. P Ins
    - In filanvill ins 11, and rvess in Hracton, 1 w7 ha the hain oln corno
     e-rion to be of latez datu.

[^198]:    ${ }^{1}$ Chron. Petroburg., p. 173, e.g. 'Soohemanni de Ailintona i. hidam et i. virgem et serviunt cum militibus.'
    ${ }^{3}$ Rot. Hund. ii. 748-9. These entries are very ourious : ' set antecessores eins solebant esse liberi quasi sokemanni et solebant facere servicium dom. Regi in guerra,' etc.
    ${ }^{3}$ For the burgage of Normandy, Somma, p. 98.

    - More of this in our section on The Boroughs.

[^199]:    

[^200]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bracton, f. 79 b: 'feoffator primus propter primum feolfamentam.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Bound, Ancient Charters, p. 8: Henry I. givea the lordship over certain tenants and expresses his will that all of them shall do liege homage to the donee 'in mea salva fidelitate.' Thus the general duty to be faithful to the ling doee not prevent homage to another being liege. Madoz, Formulare, No. 298 : William Bloet enfeofs a tenant 'pro suo homegio et ligeantia, salva fide Regis.'
    ${ }^{3}$ See the proceedings against the bishop of Exeter, Co. Lit. 65 a . $\mathrm{As}_{\mathrm{t}}$ to the similar measure of the Emperor Frederick I., see Waitz, D. V. G. vi. 46. The kinge of the French after a struggle had for a while abandoned the attempt to insist on the insertion of these saving clanses; Luobaire, Institations monarchiquea, ii. 27. See also Somma, pp. 39, 94.
    ${ }^{4}$ Britton, i. 185 ; Flete, 114. See Hale, P. C. i. 62-76. The ides that allegiance (ligeantia, ligeaunce) is due only to the king slowly gains ground. The anme process went on in France; 'the progress of monarchical power gave rise to the principle that liege homage can be done only to the sovereign'; Girand, Bibl. de l'Ecole des chartes, Sér. rin., vol. iii. p. 4.

    Bhrob. Sex. ann. 1086 ; Florence, ii. 19, speaks only of an oath of fealty; but we are hardly in a position to contredict the Peterborongh ohronicler.

[^201]:    - Iamn of Paltroubd, ill |1.
    
    - Hixd 43. IM.
     giocitior
    
    
    
    
    

[^202]:    Bracton, f. 78 b . This is bared on Glanmill, ix. 4.
     de wh: tetel, nimagin obsoxium cah, et einu residens esso debob, cuiun ligiun est.'
    

    - Gianvili is. 1.

[^203]:    - Deargon, 8. 50 b. Mrfa, p. 2n7
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^204]:    3 lear. Heas 43.17. M. 53. hi 14
    

    - Nota bevali, pil besi.

[^205]:    ${ }^{1}$ Stlany. ix. 1: - Et generaliter nihil de iure feeery poterit gnis malva fide homagh yuod vertat ad exheredationem domini nan vel ad dedecas corporis rai.

    * Bracton, f. 81 b. Compare Glanvill, ix. 1, who meems to demand an zutent to de grie-ruas lasm. The jurd's jower to proveed in his own court agginst the benest is fuily sdmathed by Glanvill.
    ' Bracsub, f. 81 b, givea a prooedeat of a writ of encheat krounded on a muarious diasvowat by the tanant of the lard's sitle. The priuted Flegistrum (ate $t$. $1(6-5)$ doas not contain any suold writ, whence we may infer that it wrent oat of tue woon a/ter Bructon's day.
    - Eiracton. L 77 b. 74,79 b.
    - Colasivill, ix. 1, 2.

[^206]:    Mrection | 7 汤 1.1
    
    
    
    
     Partom Cartalary. If 20 to

[^207]:    ${ }^{1}$ Round, Ancient Chartern, p. 69; Geoffrey Trussel gives an advowson to a priory and addg 'and if any diapute arise about that church or the possession thereof, I will come to the aid of the monks to dersign what the church ought to hold, wheresoever it may be needful, to the best of my power, at their cost and upon a horme of theirs if I have not got my own.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Comment. ii. $63 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Glanvill, vii. 1.

[^208]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the strikingly antifendal passage in Bracton, f. 258 b ; Note Book, pl. 848, 1149; Petition of 1258 (Select Charters), cap. 1; Prov. Weatm. a. 9 ; Stat. Marlb. c. 16 ; Britton, ii. 52 and note by Nichols. For a pioturesque cese of John's day, see Pleas of the Crown (Selden Soo.) pp. 67-75.

    2 Stat. Marl. 0. 16.
    ${ }^{3}$ Statutes of the Realm, i. 226.

    - In Bracton's day it was said by some that lords in general were entitled to primer seisin; but Bracton, f. 252 b, thinks this an inaocurate phrase, for the 'simple seisin' to which the mesne lord is entitled is, not prior to, bat concurrent with, the seisin of the heir.

    C Glanvill, ix. 6: whenever the tenant of a barony dies the king seizes his land. For the history of the writ Diem clausit extremum nee Roberts, Excerpte e Bot. Fin. i. p. ix. The eschestore do not become prominent antil the later years of Heary LII.'s reign.

[^209]:     two humitod mantio of alvet.
    
    
    
    
    
    

    * Charler of Hea 1 e $8 . \quad$ A. K. Chron ann 1100.
    - Soe the curcote mory in Monem. I 18S Under Williesm if the herre of e
    
    
     trade thene maxery.

[^210]:    ${ }^{1}$ Chus, 11. 30. 71.
    : Cnnt. Tr. 7s.
    ${ }^{1}$ D. B. i. 1.
    ${ }^{4}$ Ibde, 1 , $\mathfrak{n t}$ b.
    : Ihad. f. 2eno b.
    ${ }^{*}$ Ibid. I. 298 b .
    Ibid. 1. 179: soe the anme yacg for the moneycr's relevancentum.

[^211]:    - Larr. Hiosic. c. 16.
    - Lac Wilit e. 20)
    
    
     701

[^212]:    - In Normandy bafore the Conquest disherison memem have been a common avent aod to have given the duke mugh land of which he could dangowe. See above p. 71.
    - Ihis point will be dracussed in our chapter on Inheritance.
    ${ }^{3}$ Thue when the father had lands or 'honours' both tn Normandy and England and lefs wrernl sons there wan a prubleng to be molved. It is thus that Ordernc. 4.405 , speakf of the deable of William Fitionbera: • Gullelman
     posomatubeta 13 Normaunia, of Kogerio Lerfordonsern oomatatum.' Seo aleo iii. 127 and 4.5 an th the Beaumont and Grumdmesuil inheritancess Erea is wuch latar days any doatt abous she rules of inheritanee bronght profit the the kung, wee to to the Mandeville inheritance. llound, Ancient Churtern, p. 97, and 4t W the Eucikined inhertiance, Note Book, pl. 13.
    - Bea Stubba, Conss. Hint. i. 395, 810 . Dr Stubbs takee Orderic to task for unt observing datinctions. May we not infer that thone diotinctions were not very ubvion?

[^213]:    1 Bracton, 8. fio.
    : Bracton, f. kf; Filela, p. 212 ; Pritton, ii. 51.
    ${ }^{2}$ Vinouraloll, Fllaianke, p. 101.

[^214]:     Narnotall and llacrmitll.
    
    

    - Gianvill. ir a
    
    
    
     frow bedires: Y. K. $83-6 \mathrm{Edw}$. L. PP 13S, $13 y$.

[^215]:    
    
    
     e x .
    ? (elatiolit ow ly. litanesum I. me
    
    

[^216]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note Book, pl. 661, 868, 906 ; Bracton, f. 89 b.
    ${ }^{2}$ Bracton, f. $85 \mathrm{~b}, 88$; ' in episcopatu Wintoniae' probably means not the diocene bat the barony of the bishop.
    ${ }^{2}$ Note Book, pl. 990. Rot. Hund. i. 202-231.
    ${ }^{3}$ Bracton, f. 85 b. $\quad$ Bracton, i. 87 b.
    7 Glenvill, vii. 9 ; Bracton. f. 86 b. ${ }^{5}$ Bracton, f. 88.
    ${ }^{2}$ Glenvill, vii. 10 if Bracton, f. 87 b; Note Book, pl. 743, 908, 1221, 1280.
    P. M. 1.

[^217]:    - Chemar of 1318, ace. 37, 41.
    
     Gilomereter and her lend thet ibio ip S\%)
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^218]:    ${ }^{1}$ Coke, 2 Inst. 185, regards the chapter of the Statute of Marlborough sonching guardianship in socage as a 'declaration of the common lav'; bot be did not know the Provisiong of Weatminster and has no warrant for his dootrine. An sotion of account was a very new action in 1259. Eivents seam to have taken the game couree in Germany; the gaardian is gradually made socountable; a profitable right, tutela urnfructuaria, is tarned into a trust; Echröder, D. R. G., 713.
    ${ }^{9}$ Charter of 1215, c. 87.
    ${ }^{2}$ Bracton, f .87 b.

    * Note Book, pl. 743, 1183, 1231, 1270, 1280.
    ${ }^{5}$ Braton, f. 86 b, 87 b; Note Book, pl. 758.
    - Beeves, Hist. Engl. Law, ed. 1814, i. 284, has notioed this.

[^219]:    1 Powman, William finfue, i, sRs - 'burthese and erartice ablog phet
    
    
    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ Hiveten, Polfochasus. viii 878 : Chron de Yaban i des.

    - Helden, Notes on Fortestur, op it.
     i4. 72.
    - Melint. Berh. i 833 B.
    - Rur Cast ion elem ithed श7. 48, 10s, 188. 180. Ko Handy I lous doction to the Utiake and Yine Lwathe, os sesei

[^220]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pipe Roll, e.g. p. 37, 'pro oustodia tarres W. donee heren suus ponsit terram tenere'; p. 66, 'Uzor Walteri filii Goduini et Robertus frater Goduini... ut habeant in custodia terram et pueros ipsius Welteri'; p. 88, 'pro oustodis filii W. de D. cum terra sas.' In 1121 Henry I. grants 'Sibilla daughter of Bernard of Neufmarohé and her land 'to Miles of Gloucester; Bound, Aneient Charters, p. 8.
    ${ }^{2}$ Pipe Roll, e.g. p. 8, 'at ducat in axorem sororem Ilberti de Leoi'; p. 48, 'pro Cecilia filia Alani...cum dote et maritagio suo'; p. 66, 'pro terra ot filia R. de C. ad opas Hugonis nepotis ani'; p. 81, 'pro uzore Eduardi de Sar[isbiria] cum tarre sua ad opus Pagani filii sui'; p. 92, 'ut matar ana duoeret virum ad electam eum'; p. 136, 'pro uzore W. F. cum dote sua'; p. 96, 'pe capiat virum nisi quem voluerit.'
    ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. p. 8, 'ut Rex conoedat ei ducere nxorem '; p. 26, ' nt ducat nxorem ad velle suam.'
    ${ }^{4}$ Charter of Hen. I. 0. 8, 4.

[^221]:    
    

    1 Trés asciop ouuturater, 81. 10.

[^222]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hist. Abingd. ii. 23.
    ' Coke, 2nd Inst. 65 ; Co. Lit. 43 m.
    2 Wright, Tenures, 154 ; Gilbert, Tenares, 51-8 ; Bleckstone, Com. ii. 71-2.
    4 Report on Dignity of a Peer, 398-401 ; Digby, Hist. Real Property, oh. iii. seo. 2; Scrution, Land in Fetters, 41 ; Challis, Real Proparty, 2nd ed. p. 18. See however, Willimms, Real Property, ed, 18, p. 65 it.

[^223]:    
    
    

[^224]:    ${ }^{1}$ Escheat of a mesne lordship gives rise to some pretty problems discussed by Bracton, f. 28 b (the peasage is ad 'addicio'):-A onfeoffe $B$ at a rent of 10 shillinge ; $B$ enfeofs $C$ at a rent of 5 shillings ; $B$ dies without an heir; is $A$ entitled to 5 , or 10 , or 15 shillings a year? In favour of 16 it may be said that 10 are due to him ander his feoflment of $B$, and 5 more becsuse he now fills $B^{\prime}$, plece ; but Bracton decides in tavour of 10. Again, $A$ enfeofts $B$ at a rent of 5 ; $B$ enfeoff. $C$ at a rent of $10 ; B$ dies without an heir; Bracton thinke that $A$ in entitled to 10 . On 1. 48 he treste as an insoluble puzsle the question whether $A$ is entitled to the wardship of $C$ 's heir, if $C$ held of $B$ in socage, and $B$, whoee rights have escheated to $\mathbf{A}$, held of $\mathbf{A}$ by lenight's service.
    ${ }^{2}$ Bracton, f. 45 b, 46.

[^225]:    - Tha only case in the Note Hools in which it in mantioned is pl. 2248.
    ${ }^{2}$ Bractun, f. 21 b. This pasmage is an 'mildicio.'
    ' Uracton, 8. 91. "Bracton, 8. $82 . \quad{ }^{3}$ Bracton, f. 21 b.
    - Charter, 1217. c. 48. One in not to enfeof a religious houme and thon Whe berk the land us leanat of that house. The mischial to be prevented cents wh the :- Kome favoured religioun bodies, e.g. the Templars, have snal charters which by gesteral worde at frew all the lande that they now have, of ahall beroafter acquire, from many burdens. A saan gives land to nuth a Lintav, and then becomes shas bouse's tellant, and an ruch he clairnu itamonaty under the charter.
    ' Pewtion of Beroms, o. 10. Provisiuns, oup. 11.

[^226]:    ${ }^{1}$ Testa de Nevill, 197. The whole book is full of information sbout the arrentation of serjeantiee.
    ${ }^{2}$ Bracton, 1. 169 b. The passage as it atands is not very plain. See also f. 395.
    ${ }^{3}$ It was discovered on the Close Roll by Mr Turner and published by him in L. Q. R. xii. 800 . Equally important ordinances may yet be latant.

[^227]:    ${ }^{1}$ Fal. Hund l $90-34$.
    1 Rot. Hand. j. Introduction.

    - Fleta, pp. 26, 28 ; Britton, 1.72.
    ${ }^{4}$ Slat. 18 Edw. L.
    - To treat Hijo measure as faving been pamed in the interest of the greas Lurde aectua o mintake. The one parson who had wll to gain and nothing to fue by the now law was the kuag.

[^228]:    1 fun Prasl 1. 630

    - Lizat 1 F.AE IIt e. 12
    
    
    - Itte Am P 181, alin. 26 gi .97 . Jab. An 1. 100 , enz
    - Iod Lnek. 64; Co. Lat 43 a

[^229]:    - Mos. Parl. 4 I. 265.
    ${ }^{9}$ Stat. 14 Edm. III. 0. 15.
    - In $1+12$ Hanlifurd J. waid that in Henery W.'s tmena tament in chicf of the eiown mishe have ahennted wa freely wa any other coumst Y, B, it Hon. IV. f. 1 ( 3ich. pl. B).
    - Whilo writa bildion the mberifa lanzo land which have been ultenated *uhant heenoe appear upan the very ealieat Fine Iuslh of Tulward L, we hate is rein eonght for apy mimitar writs upon tome of the List Fine Bolls of Henry IIt. Fine Ifali, 1 kdw . I. m. ": the sherifl of Bussox is ordered to setse Lebemeviex whela Franou de Behun, a tenant in chel by barony, has bold without
    

[^230]:    - For Norman instances Orderio' chronicle; English insteneen wre to be found everywhere.
    \&Pipe Roll, 81 Fen. I.; finew are prill, p. 84, 'pro concessione torreo quams H. de L. ei dedit'; p. 45, 'pro conoensione Lerrarnm quas episcopran ei dedit'; p. 73, 'at labeat verram quan abbas de B. al dedit'; p. 31, 'pro conceasione serme quam tenet de $H$. alio E.'' : p. 96 , "pro conocsnione terrad de quas Il. de B. eum berentruvit': p. 105, 'ut rex frmet in cartha ecelearge muse do $A$. ornnes res
     cesaune Larrae quam comes de Warwio ei dedit.' To juike from the later Irpe Rolls, it would seem os if the kugh for a while abuardoned the attempt to make a stendy revenus out of has confirmations; bne wo may not be entulted to this infermee. Chron. de Melan, i. 221: the aralabishop of Yort cire. 1190 thes 60 markn for conffrmang a tenant's vift.
    - Yor very early casea sec Hist. Abingd, ii. 7. 8, 日. The abbat kivas land to EWhers of Oxilly, but, repenting bimecli, is able so get back the land because the kink han not conflmed the gift. Then he bought Nuneham from Leolwine and, anem the Oumpueror wes in Normandy, prooured and paid for the assent of Odo of havnas who whan ecting an nugust; bas ha lust his moncy, for the ling having quarrolsed with Udo Rave she lanit to another. Hufun peremporily forlude the bhot of Hamsey to alianate any part of his demeane 'withoot my heence': Cort llams. 1. 2S4. In John's reinn Heencea to mortgape beevme oommon: Hot. Pat. 1, 8, 1, 7. 39. See atco the mandete in tavour of the brahop of Ely. thot. Pat. 17.

[^231]:     Chemer un flise. Abunat 4. 69.
    -Mraston. 1 il b. The pesean is ans 'adliets.
     adds that if the man by any urime shall lume lue fer ('rudem), tho eh in it so wall
    
    
     2S1 I. Boo alno Bchyoder, il It U. pe Mon nute in
    
    

[^232]:    - Hact Abinged. 31. 89 -60
    - Hine Ablinel. it 30
    
    - Hibl abinard it lack

[^233]:    
    
    
    
    
    
     675.

[^234]:    'In 1130 F . de C. fined to the king 'nt Symon de Belcampo dominua sana aud daret nervaum sum aisi concessu guo': fipe lloll, 91 Heu. 1. p. 62.
    : Note Bouk, pl. 236, 969, 693, $598,627,048,1522$. The temant who will ant atturn can be gent to grol: Y. B. 33-5 Ediw. 1. p. 317.

    - Fines, od. Hunter, e.g. 61,65, 77. 109. Whan the temant himself is apoken of we the aulject of the transfer, he generally is a temant in vilienamge ; best it would be rash to draw this interenco in all cemos. Sere e.g. Chrou. de Melma, i. 176 (a.81. 1180-22) a hift of a half-carucato and of Gilbers sons of lichand, who bohis the hasd, with his wife and theur chaldren. Whalley Couoher, i. 6, 7: a gift of laving and Guy his brother and thar heiry, who seem to be freshold teanants of the doncor.
    - Ginar ik, 8: 'Utrum vero ad guerman suam mantenondam poomint

[^235]:    ${ }^{1}$ Stat. West. I. (3 Edw. I.) c. 36.
    ${ }^{2}$ Stat. 2ij Edw. III. stat. 5, o. 11. Stubbs, Const. Hist. ii. 521.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Ducange, 8. v. auxilium ; Madox, Exchequer, ch. Iv. \& 1; Viollet, Établissements, iv. 18-20; Luchaire, Manuel des institutions françaises, 206.

    - Glanv, vii. 17: 'Ultimi heredes aliquorum sunt eoram domini.'

    E Bracton, f. 297 b (last lines), distinguishes between cares in which the lord who comes to the land by escheat can be treated as filling the place of the tenant's heir from those in which such trestment is impossible.

[^236]:    1 Bew above. p. 2ntr.
    
    
     eberway of thens courta.

[^237]:    Staf. Glonc. C. 1 ; Stal. Weatm. If. 0. 21 ; Second Inatitute, $296,400$. Colet mage that he had 'read amougst ancient records' that a cenourit wat broaght in the reign of King Johe. We have found no truce of any auch ection befure thie kratuke.
    : Blackatone, Comment. jii. 232. In Cod. 4. 64. 2, Jansinian lays down the rule that the emphpleufis whose rent is in arrear for three years may be cjected. In Nov. 7. 3. 2. the period of three yeata 18 out down to two yeara whare the landiord is a chureb. In thes form the rule pmeses into the canon law: c. A. X. a. 18.
    P. M. J.

[^238]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^239]:    1 The extreme reluctance of ancient law to deprive a tenant of his tenement merely because he has not paid rent is shown by the gavelet procedure of the Kentish custom; Statutes, i. p. 225. After a great deal of forbearance the land is at last adjudged to the lord; but even then the tenant has a theoretical right of redeeming it by paying the arrears nine (or is it eighteen?) times over and adding a wergild of $\mathbf{2 5}$. The law does not like to say that he bas lost the land for good and all, though it imposes an impossible condition upon him if he wishes to have it back again.
    = Littleton, sec. $139 . \quad{ }^{3}$ See above, p. 334.

    + Littleton, sec. 160 ; see sbove, p. $323 . \quad{ }^{5}$ Littleton, sec. 159.

[^240]:    I Brillos, it 10 , and the dans'a notm.

[^241]:    ${ }^{1}$ See r.p. the provision for Engeland of Cigogred: Rot. Ci. i. 78.

[^242]:    3 We need hardly eay that the whole of this subject iv admirsbly dieoumed in Vinogradod's Villainage in England.

    The umportant cabee are Bestenoter v. Montacuse, Note-Book, pl. 70, 8B, and Filliam Henry's sor p. Bastholomens Rutace's mon, Ihid pl. 1108. Ae to the deciminas of Brian and Danby under Edw. IV., nee Littl. Tenures, sec. 77 ; if is doubtful whothor Litileton wrote this passage.

    - Vinogrador, Villainage, 7 m-81. It is posemble to regand these decivions of Potenbull and Kaleigh as belated rather than premature; bat the formala of the aseize of novel dumeisis lays atress on the frevdum of the tenetnent, and therefore goes to prove thas the lawyern of Henry II.'s seign had not intanded to proteos villess hoiding. The oripinal version of Magns Carts mighs seom to give protection to the free man bolding is villeinays; but in 1217 some words were

[^243]:    1 We are here dealing with normal casean. Bometimen, an will be explained in our chapter on Jurieduction, the lond may hava had so few teannten in villeinage thas he did not keep a court for them.
    ${ }^{2}$ Seheos Plees in Maronal Courts, e.g. p. 89.

[^244]:    1 Thue Brecton, L 76b: 'tam dominica quam villeragis quae dici posann ulominica.' Ibid. $\ell$. 98 : 'tertis pans villenagii quod est quasi dominicum.' In the Eundred Bolle nome jurorn habitually reokon the vilteingge to be part of the demenge, while otherm an hebitraily exalude the villeinego whon thay give the coarentic of the dememe. Thes (ii. 843) is the Banstow Ifuadred of Eseox theur formala 18 - the lord has $x$ acres in demenne of which $y$ are in villomage. On the otber hasd, its Hontingdounhire ( $0.9 .0 i 1,666$ ) the lands bolden by viltcins wanare are not part of what the lond holds in demesne. The word demeone, whach th the Angla. French equivaleate far the Inain chmoniemm, io very curions. Our ajealing of it meeta due to atale deriration from the Prench mesnie (boucehold): the demesne lands supply the lonl's household. Not improbubly

[^245]:    
    
    
    
    
     statruster.
    
    

[^246]:    ${ }^{2}$ Vinogradofl, p. 259.

[^247]:    ${ }^{2}$ Ciart. Kams, i. 810.

[^248]:    1 See above, p. 345.

[^249]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    : the abeirs. p res.
    
    
    

[^250]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note Book, pl. 1210.
    ${ }^{2}$ Bracton, f. 26, 208 b.

[^251]:    
    
    
    

[^252]:    I Soe e.g. Teata de Neville, p. 398.
    $=$ Rep. Maj. Lib. ir. c. 54.

    - Ancirart I, awa of Walen: rec Indez a
    - In two places lireston ( $f .20,20 \mathrm{~h}$ ) speaks as though merchet could never be exaoted from a free man; in a third pasaage ( 1.195 ) he allows that a frer coun anay be compelied to pas it by reason of an express agreement. Fleta, p. 193, and Britton, i. 196, think that it is not conclusive of personal unfreedusu. For the law of later days see Littletun, seca. 174 \{an intorpolation), 200, and Coke's comment thereon. Coke's doctrine is that the merchet may the easacted frum a free man by reason of apecial reservation, though not by reanon of general enstom, and the positive half of this rule neems to be burne out Ly Y. B. 13 Edw. III. f. E (131. pl. 23); as so the nogative half, weo Little. Loi'r requark in Y. B. 94 Hetr. VI. f. 15 (Mich. gl. 28). In 10 Fidw. II. f. 2\% (1'unch. pl. 11) a cave came before the court illastrating the Nozthambrian beaures reletred to in our text; the tenant, it is maid, dill homatre, pasd seutakre asd raerches. It in cheety in Northumbria, the home of drengage and themage lece abure, P. 279), Wat freeholders are to be found jaying merchet; but tenante bearing the dietmetive name of Freeman and yet paying merehat are met with elnewhere, e.g. Pleas in Manorial Courta, i. 94. Vinograduff. r. lif. arguer from the Hubdnad Bolla that there were considerable parta nf Vioshand in whech the villuins were nut subject to this exaction, eince the jusorm of some hundreds nay uothiug abons it. But when we find it inabitually mentioned rarouphouf same hundrevls and never mentigued in othery, the mander inference seretan so be that is wall alnont univermal. Some jurien think fit to un ntion it, whers do not. Just na some juries think fis so say that the villeinn foold at the will of the lard, whate uthery do not. So again the jury fur the lapetree bunired of Oxfosidwhire (ii. 77t) oall all the cenants in vil emake wret, while in same Cambridgeahire bundredy thay are in penemal cuatumaru For a diseuminu of the dernation of the word merrehet wee Y. B. 15 tidw. 111 ., ed. Sike, Inwruduction, pp. yv - xlii .

[^253]:    ${ }^{3}$ Thum Rranturf, f. ars: • villezaziom quod traditur viliantm, quand quis w-zupentive of intempentive reguatere prinait pro volubtate aun ef revocare.
    s Sec e.j. Bristan'a dethition of the tenare as given above, p. 360.

[^254]:    
     - anderie holatak ribue
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^255]:    - Irnerealinge of ther euast of the Abibas of Boce at Comber in Matapelure
     counte njpen the erime of bio etmes entandronther.
    
    
    
     ERalums durts Ablmag.
    ${ }^{3}$ Hrarke, I IV8L

[^256]:    1. Sequels, dicstur de pullin equinis, vitulinis, aliaque snimalibus quae cobtrem expuuntus ': Du C'arugo, Glossarium. When King John is forcod ki prornine liat be will lanish his forsign captains 'et fotam soquelum eorondem' Charter, c. 30 ), this phrave expreasus a bitur batred and contempt. Ciemard de Aluke, the moses famoun of the badd, was, it was sadd, of servile hrib.
    ${ }^{3}$ Mantland, Hiatary of a Cimulridgeshire Mnnor, E. H. R. ix. J23 E.

    * If a widnw bohla the whole of her hasband'n tunemeat, anstead of enjoying bate a thisd or a balf, thin is regerded us a aign thats the cenemont in villoun: Placit. Ablerwe. p. © (lheyk.).
    - Nule Burak, $794,1003,10152$.
    "The 'asent' of Holm in Norfolk, Cert. Kams. i, 101, is a rare ezample of s aunos th whech tho tenoments were allowed to descend to ookeirs and

[^257]:    ${ }^{1}$ Briton, ii. p. 13, given this an the reaton for the little writ of right. The sokemen who enjoy it are the tillere of the king's noid, and diapules aluat that woll are so to decided within the manor by simple and rapid procesaen.

[^258]:    1 Clanv, sii. of: Braclom, 8. ssa; Rex. Brwi \&. 8.
    
    

    - Jine Mowr I. 9
    
    

[^259]:    ${ }^{1}$ Select Pleas in Manorial Courts, i. pp. 114-121.
    ${ }^{2}$ Reg. Brev. 10 b : 'Cum secundum legem et consuetudinem infra maneria quase de haiusmodi antiquo dominico coronse existunt haotenus ut dicitur usitatas etc.'

[^260]:    ${ }^{2}$ flet Brev. \&. 18.
    
     catus

[^261]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mrecton, R. 7 b: Meta, Pp 3, 4.

    - These du not apjusu very chearly in Nima pa

[^262]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bracton, f. 208 b.
    ${ }^{2}$ Placit. Abbrev. p. 289 (Berke.) : 'et cum licet evilibet oapitali domino mutare antiquam dominicum in liberum tenementam of maxime dominne Rex.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. p. 228 (Berke.); of. ibid. p. 241 (Ebor.); Y. B. 20-1 Edw. I. 378.

[^263]:    ${ }^{1}$ 8. Н. и. 4. 402-8.

[^264]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     daye o weak tomend of ane sta they aze "proritaned ribleton.
     Edv. 1. P. 657 ; 'lyens on colkenemba'

[^265]:    1 8tat. 31 Geo. II. c. 14.
    ${ }^{2}$ Printed by Horwood, Y. B. 20-1 Edw. I. p. xviii. The dooument is tran. seribed along with the spocryphal statntes and is sometimes entitled Statutum de Antiquo Dominico.
    ${ }^{3}$ Note Book, pl. 1203.
    4 There seems to be a sad logical gap in this argament. The ejected villein, if with his lord's permission he brought an essize, would have to bring it in his londt name, but Aunger seems certainly to suppose that the sokeman could bring it in his own name.

[^266]:    
    
    
    
    
    

    1 IPecit. Atbrev. 206.7.

[^267]:    1 The ruost important cave from the later middte ages seems to be Y. B. 14 Hen. [V. \&. 86 (Hil. pl. 51). Hankford there Axes the terminology of fater timen ; for campare Fitr. Nat. Brev. I. 12 \%. On the ancient demeane there are (b) sokemen of free tenure, who are free holders, who aset the litzle writ and who, as it weems, conver by feotment, and (c) nokemen of base tenure who hold by the rod, who sorrender into the lord's hand, who are anprotected by the Listle writ, bat eue for their tenemente by bill [i.e. petition] in the lord's court. Of any (a) tonants by knight's sorvice who may bold of an ancient domompe manor, no mention is hero made, sinoe their tennre ta hardly conceived as a - tenure in ancient demesne.' The doctrue of the thirteenth eentury maken a different distritution: there are (a) freeholders, who mey hold euther by kmipht's service or in free morage and who have the ordinary freeholder'p remedies; (b) the tematata in privileged villeinage, who lavea the little wort and who ustally" convey by sursender: (e) the trmanse in abeulate villeinage, who at least in atrict law have no proteoted senant rizht, The question dimcuased in later dass. 'In whem is the freehold? Is it in the lord, or is it is the tetant?" umplien a conomption of 'the freebold' to which the lawjern of Honry III.'s day had lardly come

[^268]:    ${ }^{1}$ Y. B. 15 Edw. II. f. $155{ }^{\circ}$; Y. B. 18-4 Edw. III. (Pike). p. 102; Fitz. Abr. Avow len Drmenme, pl. 15; Y. B. 49 Edw. III. f. 22-s; Vinogradofl, p. 90 . The rale an to the exclonive use of Domesday may well be of comparatively late urowth; in oue of the carliest cesen the sherifl is directed to inguire whether the land be ancient demenne or no; Placis. Abbrev. p. 119 (Staf.). In wome casen the appral to Domenday would have been mialeading. No one, for exnemple, conld dipcover from that record that the manor of King'B Ripton was ancient demesne: prubatay it is there reokoned an a member of aus edjoining tnunor, atill its hard whet at war with he refrootory temanta raised no question an to ite quality; Select E'ieas tu Manorid Courts, i. p. 99.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ilecis. Abbrev, 270-1: Vinogradot1, 118-9. Vinogradofln critiolme of this decision seems annecenatily mevere. All that ean bo sud ognannt the judgen in that they appareatly gave nau bad reeson for a sounsl judsmesst. A jury had found that che men of Tarintuck were of servile condition; thia was foundation enuthils for the decision.

    - Solect Fleas in Manorial Courts, i. 108-8.

[^269]:     doea yuseyusal tumersit dumings.
    ${ }^{2}$ If 11 . II. W7, 180
    

[^270]:    ${ }^{1}$ llacit. Abbrev. p. 23s: in 120\% the whole county [court] of Kent is asked the question how tenements held in gavelkind can be changed into liberum fermum. Spelman, (iloss. s. v. Sokrmanria gives from a Register of Christ Church, Canterbury, a remarkable classification of tenures.

[^271]:    

[^272]:    : Bractan, f. 7, mas of them 'tenent de dominico.' This phrase bere and in tome other plecon wems to mean that they hold tand which until tatery was in the lord's hand, and had ance been part of his stameane in thes narrowent *eno of that term.

[^273]:    
    
    
    
    
     bemefis of hernours.

[^274]:    
    
    
    
    
    
     not osatum ber atarian.

[^275]:    ${ }^{3}$ Leeg. Fien, c. 31, 38, 38.
    ${ }^{2}$ Magan Carta (1216), c. 89. See abovo. p. 178.

[^276]:     claime the judgment of bre prans. het ahadone the elesm in uribr to pat
    
    
     judement of he pecre, namely, the borife taareherk
    

    - Itrwotmo. 1110.
    - In the finarteenth combery if one beld thes a pery is a तovi mast ve
    
    
    

[^277]:    ${ }^{1}$ Brecton, f. 116 b.
    ${ }^{2}$ Medox, Exch. i. 580-9: the Abbot of Croyiand and Thomes de Furnival protest thet they are not barons in order to eacape from heavy emercementa.
    ${ }^{3}$ This from the thirteenth century version of Glenvill contained in MS. Camb. Univ. Mm. i. 27, f. 30 b.

    - Bracton, 1. 397 b-888.
    - Bracton, f. 44.

[^278]:    
     L. C 18. is. 8 A
    : trectom, t. is.

    - Bracha, f. ib : Bramenn add Amp pio
    

[^279]:    ' Bee the attempta of John of Longueville. Nichols'e Britlon, i. I95 note: Finokradoll, p. 45 note.
    = Mirror, (Solden Soc.), Pp. 79, 165.

    - For exasuple, in the Handred Holla for Oxfordahire (R. H. it. 688 (t)
    - The Eughab irondman may have been common, for we otten read of bondi or bondes: hut this word copery an instructive arubiguty; a Scandinaman word, weaning wan and hence pearans, has beed misunderatood to imply bondure, i.e. mervility, Bee Vinogradof, p. 145. Briton writake in Fronch Groquently ued the word nerf, and there is bo sufficent resson for denyiug that the word wes ased also in Eapliah apeech. We mhall the it an a tranmation of Benotun'a arrus.
    - Sce above p. 390 as to Bracton's odd ase of the term cacruptitime.
    - We bold this to have been fully proved by Hullum. Midde Ages, ed. 1837, val. in. p. 25t, and by Vinogredoff, pp. 48-66. Hot they are parhape inchaed

[^280]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bracton, f. 197 b , line 3, appeals to common opinion; 'dicitur enim vulgariter quod quis potest ease servus unius et liber homo alterius.' He uses the same phrase, f. 25 , line 13 , f. 196 b , line 36 . On f .198 b , he rays, 'Cum quis servus sit, non erit servus cuihbet de populo.' Britton, i. 199; Fleta, p. 111 (\$15).
    ${ }^{2}$ Bracton, f. 6,83 ; f. $155 \mathrm{~b}, \S 8$. Britton, i. 195 and the Longueville note.
    ${ }^{3}$ Bracton, f. 141 : the serf only has an 'appeal' in case of high treason. For later law as to appeals by villeins see Y. B. 18 Edw. III. f. 32, Mich. pl. 4 (which appeard alno as 11 Hen. IV. f. 93, Trin. pl. 52); 1 Hen. IV. f. 5, Mich. pl. 11; Fitz. Abr. Corome, pl. 17; Lit. sec. 185, 190, 194, and Coke's comment. Litteton's doctrine is that a villein's heir has ans appeal for the death of his ancertor, that a nicve has an appeal for rape, but that a villein has no appeal for mayhem, though for this crime the lord may be indicted. When a civil action was brought for beating, wounding, imprisonment, etc. there seems to

[^281]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bat custome vary very much in this respect. The Abbey of Bec claims the chattels of all villeins who die intestate; R. H. ii. 758 and an unprinted custumal belonging to Kıng's Coll. Camb. The Abbot of Ramsey makea a similar claim at St Ives; Cart. Rams. i. 290. At Warboys and Caldicote if the villein has no heir of his body the abbot takes a third of the goods, At Hemingford the villein can make a will 'even in the absence of the reeve or serjeant.' Often the best of the villein's chattels were regarded as annexed to the tenement and could not be bequeathed ; see Literae Cantusrienses, ii. 411-2.
    ${ }^{2}$ See in particular Bracton, f. 190 b , line 8; '...in possessione servitutis...in posaessione libertatis.' Bracton quaintly misappropristes the term statu liber for the serf who is de facto free, while the free man who is de facto a serf is zfatu sertus. Bracton and Azo, 78.
    ${ }^{3}$ Bracton, f. 191.

    * Bracton, f. 191 b , last lines: "in statu dabio semper erit pro libertate iudicandum'; f. 193, 'in boc dubio erit pro libertate iudicandum ita quod in benigniorem partem cadat interpretatio.'

[^282]:    ${ }^{1}$ See above, pp. 405-6.
    ${ }^{2}$ Hengham Parva, c. 8.
    ${ }^{2}$ Bracton, f. 155 \$ 2, 155 b 88 $3 . \quad$ Bracton, f. 204, 204 b.
    ${ }^{5}$ A man's lisbility for the doings of his mainpat will desarve foller difenseion in another context.
    -In Bracton's day the man who parchases and obtaing posecusion of villein land from a villein is protected againgt the lord's selt-holp; Note Book, pl. 1203.

[^283]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sifles Pleas in 3fanorial Courta, i. 97. 98.
    ${ }^{3}$ On a rery early roll of a Norlolk manor, for a bight of which we have to thank $D z$ Jessopp, a villein is ameroed for baring essoined a free man. ef contatur per curians quod non potent asoniare hberum hominom.'

    - Thur the Huadred kolle seem to be foundud on the pregutmonte made as well by reprementatives of townshipe, who would often be untree, we by froe and Lewful jurore of the huvireds: eqe the rolls tor Bissex, H. H. I. 180 tr.
    -Tha orikinal Assuze of Arms (1181) conteuplate only the orming of free

[^284]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bracton, $1.5,194$ b; Bracton and Azo, p. 53 ; Note Book, pl. 1041, 1889.
    ${ }^{2}$ See Vinogradoff, pp. 59-63, also the note on Leg. Hen. c. 77 in Thorpe's Ancient Lswe and Institutes. The freedom of the bactard appearis at least as early as Y. B. 19 Edw. II. f. 651-\%. It appears also in Beanmanoir (c. 45, se0. 16) where it is the more curious because the general rule is 'Servitude vient de par les mères."
    ${ }^{3}$ See the Abridgements, tit. Villenage.
    +Co. Lit. 123 a, 186 b, 187 b.
    -Y. B. 80-1 Edw. I. 164-8. Comp. Brition, i. 199; Y. B. 18 Rdw. II. 604.

[^285]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     of her juwaesozon.
     An to the whole of than autiject. Wirampatete. pp fil s.
    
    
     ta fecolo Pererel stilent aune.'
    
    

    - I. Y W. mian
    
    

[^286]:    Sou above. p 11 A,
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^287]:    ${ }^{2}$ See above, p. 873, and Britton, i. 196. In Y. B. 8 Edw. III. f. 66 (Mich, pl. 31) it is said that the bishop of Ely held land by the service of being tallaged along with the villeins.

    1 The best illustration of this point is a case of 20 Edw . I. reported in the notes to Hale's Pless of the Crown, ii. 298. Two juntices of assize laid down the rule 'quod nuils praescriptio temporis potest liberum sanguinem in servitutem reducere.' The case was then brought before the auditors of complaints, who deciared that this maxim 'omnino falsum est.' The case was then takon into the King's Bench, but with what result does not appear. Britton, i. 196, 206, denies that long performance of base mervices, e.g. payment of merchet, can make a free stock nufree. So does Hengham in Y. B._38-5 Edw. I. p. 15 : ' pracseriptio temporis non redigit sanguinem liberum in servitutem.' On the other hand, a glows in the Longuevilie MS. at Cambridge, printed by Vinogradofr, p. 63, enys thet in the fifth generation villein services will make free blood servile. The Scotigh Quoniam Attachiamenta, c. 89 (Acts of Parliament of Sootland, i. 655), makes the fourth generation sarvile. Then in Fitz. Abr. l'illenage, pl. 24, we have an extract from an unprinted Year Book of Edward IIL., which seems to sey that a stock may become servile by holding in villeinage from time immemorial.
    ${ }^{2}$ Bracton, f. 24 b, 194 b. Britton, i. 198.

    - Bracton, f. 194.
    ${ }^{5}$ See above, p. 418.

[^288]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     un cotenvill
    ${ }^{3}$ Gramvilf, 8,3
    

[^289]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note Book, pl. 1749. Here sgain Vinogradofi, pp. 86-8, given a somewhat different explanation.
    ${ }^{2}$ Glanvill, v. 5; Bracton, 1. 190 b; Fleta, 111, 285; Britton, i. 200, 209 ; Stubbs, Hoveden, vol, ii. (Introduction), p. $\mathbf{I}$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Bracton, t. 190 b, 198 b; Britton, i. 200, 208 ; Fleta, 111.

    * See the whole of Dist. 64 and X. 1, 18. In 1270 Robert de Montalt at his mother's request enfranchised by charter his 'beloved and faithful alerk' Roger de Malberthorpe, who perhaps wat not in holy orders: Assize Roll, Lincoln, No. 494, m. 43 d .
    ${ }^{3}$ Bracton, $\mathrm{C} .5,190 \mathrm{~b}$; Britton, i. 200, 208 ; Fleta, 111. According to Fleta the serf who has been ordained may be degraded by the bishop if he provea a disobedient clerk, and thereupon he relapses into serfdom.
    - Note Book, pl. 1217 ; Stat. Westm. I. (3 Edw. I.) c. 39. .
    ${ }^{7}$ See above, p. 418.

[^290]:    ${ }^{3}$ As to the life and aldwimes ano Bramber. IV R. O. 1101.
    ${ }^{3}$ A compancou betwren our mediend earlionen and the shrery of the moe-a warkd mught meess to eomem hresidn the proizt no tbe srrvesed that ibe socerew
    
    
    
    
    
    

    - The cuntemporary law of Prabce tram bow to tiep the rilatn and the ouet
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^291]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bracton, f. 4 b.

[^292]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bracton, f .421 b : "Est etism more civilia in servo in servitate anb potestate dominj constituto.'
    ${ }^{2}$ See e.g. Lyndwood, p. 168.
    ${ }^{3}$ For the parallel and closely similar French law, sea Viollet, Bistoire du droit civil, p. 283.

    - ※thelr. vili. 25 ; Cnut, 1. 5, 82 : 'He gith of his mbg-lage, ponne he gebýhð tú regol-lage.'

[^293]:    ${ }^{1}$ Select Civil Pleas (Seld. Soc.), i. pl. 208 ; Note Book, pl. 455, 1057, 1189, 1586, 1594.
    ${ }^{2}$ See cc. 2, 4, 6, X. 3, 35. For proceedings against a proprictarius, see Lik, Cantuarienses, iii. 176-7.
    ${ }^{3}$ Edward 1. kept ten of the Westminster monke in prison on the ground that shey, if not cognizant of a robbery of the king's treasury, were gailty of negligence which made the robbery possible. Rishanger, 222, 225, 420; Flores Historiarum, 116 ; Pike, Bistory of Crime, i. 198.
    ${ }^{4}$ See the writs in Reg. Brev. Orig. 107 b.

[^294]:    
    
     evon lure an action of deblegamet the gredan liotice a siddie Whes ene o
    
    
    
    

[^295]:    I In oar law Freach the term sorereign is technically ared in this costoxt: sece.g. Bnthov, i. 159.
    ${ }^{2}$ Sec the long statement as to the crnelties prectired among the Dominican frian ; Flures Historiarum, iis. 161.

    - The great quarrel between the monka of Canterbury and the two arehbiwhopa Buldwin and Hubert, of which a long sceount se given by Dr Stubbs in the Introduction to the Epistolat Castuarienson, is a claknical example. But bere the question, it regenderif from the goiat of view of Fitghati temporal law. wan thin-Whether the archbishop was or wat nut the 'sovereign' of she cathedral monastery.
    - See the writ be apnatnta capiendo, Res. Brev. Orig. 71 b. A good story of an evcapo if wold in Literae Cantuariensen, i. p. Ixxviin.

[^296]:    ${ }^{1}$ Langton's Constitutions, 1222, 0. 51, 52 (adopting canons of the Fourth Lateran Council) in Johnson, Canons, ii. 120; Gravamina of 1257, Mat. Par. Chron. Maj. vi. 360-1; Boniface's Constitutions, 1261, c. 7, Johnson, Canons, ii. 197.

[^297]:    1 Sive above, p 217.
    
    
    
    

[^298]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bracton, f .443 ; Note Book, pl. 143, 276, 407, 576, 802.
    ${ }^{3}$ Gravamins of 1257, Mat. Par. Chron. Maj. vi. 354-5.
    ${ }^{3}$ Hale's treatment of this matter in his Pleas of the Crown is full and good, bot he says little of times so remote as those with which we are dealing. See Makower, Const. Hist., 399 fir.
    ${ }^{4}$ Grosseteate's protest, Ann. Burton, 424 ; Mat. Par. Chron. Maj. vi. 355-6; Ann. Burton, 417 ; Johnson, Canons, ii. 193 ; Court Baron (Selden Society), 19 ; Select Pleas of the Crown, pl. 160.

[^299]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

    - 1. J 8s. E Ede. I It $5 \%$.
    

[^300]:    ${ }^{1}$ Masland, The Deacon and the Jewress, L. Q. R., ii. 153, 10.5.
    3 Johnacn, Cabons, it. 207-9.
    ${ }^{3}$ Hale, P. C. it. 32M, sayn, 'Nuns land the exemption from temporal jurin. diction, but the phalege of clemgy was never allowed shem by our law. Bus elsewhere, P. C. ii. 871, 'Atwiently nuns grofessed were wimated to the privalege of clergy.' He citer a emat from 134n, Fitz. Abr. Corvac, of 401, what spenkx of a woman--she in not expresaly called ann-beivg claimod by and delivered to the ordinary.

    * Select Plsae of the Crown, pl. 185. As a Later date the judrea would allow hin clergy' ho a man who could read, ebough the ordinary did not claim hum ; Hule, B. C. 1i. 873.
    - This hope is expreased by Ir Stubbe, Const. Hist. 7824.
    - c. un. to vro. 1. 12: Stastute 4 Edw. I. Ite Figumais, For an early caan of

[^301]:    
     Lyone. Therr must be somm ruotale tiese.
    ${ }^{1}$ Hide. I' C' 4 Mso.
    'Herin's foter, Hyley. Ploc Parl. W: Bollo of Part 1 wa Has, P $C$ a
    

    - Inarrtos. 1 (11).
    - Himelaca, \& W1, Mil ib, Mr7. 111 .

[^302]:    ${ }^{1}$ As regards the frantgrendowes (trespanand midemeanour are but slowly differentiated (rom rach other) of clerkn, the listory of this naster may be theed than:-In 1176 Renry II. conceries that no clerk ahall be dramen intn the lay court in any crimiaal calute or for any offence, except offences againat forest hw ; Diceto, i. $\$ 10$. Bnacon, f. 401 b , eaye that every day olerke are aved in the lay courts both on contrncts and for treapassen. In 1237 the clergy clais exemption in all persoual metaons; Ann. Burton. 254. In 12ñ7
     about this tume Ihobert de Maritoo aseerta it in large terms, Anns. Burt. 43t, 42th. In 1201 st is sreested by she Constitutions of Abp. Boniface; Johnnon, Camotis, ii. 185. Is covera contract nad quasi-contract, delics and quasi-delich. ID 1265 the Pope. who has reamona for not quarrellugg with Henry III., will nes confrn the constitatoons, but implonea the king to give way: Bull of Urben IV. Fondern i. 494. The conflet is now nearly over: but oven in 1279 a clerk is etill, thongh vainly, protesting that an action for mavalis and wounding can not the brought mgainat ham in the kug's court; Hale, P. C. it. 385. Mathand, Canna Law in Eugland, E, H. K. xi. 647: Makower, Const. Hint. 107 II.
    ${ }^{1}$ Alaitand, Henry II. and the Crimumus Clerks, E. H. R. vir, 224.

    - Conet. Clar. a. y: 'Clerics rettath of acousati do quecuntue re, summontif

[^303]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     this is the seherme defined iy the noneutowana.
    
    
    
    

[^304]:    ${ }^{1}$ Freeman, Norm. Conq. iv. 684.
    ' Thus in Leg. Hen. 57, 89: 'Cum clerico qui uxorem habeat et firmam teneat laicorum et rebus extrinsecis seoulariter deditus eat, seculariter est disceptandum.'
    ${ }^{8}$ Simeon of Durham, i. 170. Freeman, William Rafus, i. 89, tells the atory at length.

    + The bishop relies less on the mere fact of his being a bishop than on this coupled with the fact that he has been and is dispossassed. 'Spoliatus episcopus ante omnia debet restitui' is the barden of his plem.

[^305]:     Blaphani. 17; Will Newb. 123 . Gervice Cant t. Ith

    3 socusul chariet of siophen; stasuten of the liadon Chareon p 3. E-
    
    
    
    
    

[^306]:    Annelm had mome dificulty in preventing Henry 1. from anforcing by pecuniary fines the canons against martiod priests. Eadmor, Hus. Nov. 172-6-6.
    ${ }^{3}$ See Stubbs, Const. Elsh. 887 ; Schmid, Glowsar, E. 7. Geirctiche; Makower, Const. Mint. 390.
    "Alfred, 21. See Sclamid's note. The Latin veraion is importans: 'Bi quis jreabyser bominem oceidal, capiator, et totum uvde abi manstonem emerat, of exprdinet eum epwoopus, of tunc ab ecclesia redidutar.' Henry reading thas in the twelith sentary might well any that he was fulfiltiag its spists, of not its letver.

    - Nov, 25 : Nov, 128. 21 \$1; Rinachins, Kurcheureolht, iv. 744-7.
    - Kumma Casume (Matortals, iv. 302): 'Episcopt dicebat meeundum leges weuti elenco exancturntue eurime wadendon, et poat poenam apiritualem surporaliser puniendok.'
    - Lowning, Eircbenrecht, i. 80s, ii. 516 ; Ennschius, op. cit. ir. 889-55; Niasl, Gerichtastand des Clarus: Brunncr, D. R. (U. ii. 811-820. The story ts elabursta because is mues dishagash between (1) bishops, (2) prieets and dencona, (3) the inferior elergy.

[^307]:    
     fiok actions

    - The pupe meane tu lave condronnod thin oubotutatkera as a mbote Mariras v. 74. He wee pot culled agon to my bow moch of it tre tolernati.

[^308]:    
    
    
    
    
     hame erave doubte almut the truth of this ecoumpererio
     -idaraber prociver eces bominem ed malo menturnium du ax same permon
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^309]:    3 Colermis reac. 7 Kep. 1.
    

[^310]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rolls of Parliament, ii. 231 ; Statute 25 Edw. III. de natis ultra mure.
    ${ }^{2}$ Fitz. Abr. Aiell. pl. 8 ( 5 Edw. II.) ; Y. B. 6 Edw. III. f. 22 (Pasch. pl. 47); Y. B. 8 Edw. III. f. 51 (Trin. pl. 38) ; Fitz. Abr. Briefe, pl. 677 (Mich. 18 Edw. III.) ; compare Y. B. (ed. Pike), Mich. 18 Edw. III. pp. 76-8.

    3 So far as we are aware this appeara first in Y. B. 82 Hen. VI. f. 28 (Hil. pl. 5). For the extent of the exception in Coke's day see Co, Lit. 2 b.

    - Lit. sec. 198 ; Co. Lit. 129 b.

[^311]:    

[^312]:    Bracton, f. 487 b. He mentions an examples the Earl Marahal and M [Ingeomers ?] de Fienuen.

    1 Mackay, Liver of the Bruces in Diet. Nat. Biogr.

    - Annale of Tewkenbary, 111, Mas. Par. Chron. Moj. iii. 888.
    - Nute Book, pl. 750. The king gave pars of the lagda of Balph of Tanker.
     por voluntatem numm vel per pocem. There aro many other exsmples.

[^313]:    
    
    
     obeys.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Note Boot. pl 110, innc
    

[^314]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the apocryphal statute, Praerogativa Regia, c. 14 (Statutes, i. p. 226). Here we seem to see the king's olaim growing. First we have an assertion of his right to the landis of the Normans, then we are told that thir extande alino to lands of certain persons born beyond the sem, and we heve various readinga of the clanse which defines this class of persons. One veraion says, "those whose ascestors were in the faith of the King of France in the reign of King John.' Another, ' those who were not in the king's faith.' In this contert ' foreigner' and 'subject of the King of France' are for practionl parpomes myonymons terms. In France also the droit a'aubaine but alowh attaine ita foll utature; Viollet, Histoire du droit civil, p. 865.
    ${ }^{2}$ Bracton, 1.427 b.
    ${ }^{2}$ Rolls of Parliament, i. 44.

[^315]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^316]:    ${ }^{1}$ Charter of 1215, c. 18, 41.
    ${ }^{3}$ Munumenta Gildhallme. rul. i. pt. ii. pp. 200-9.
    1 The atory is wid at lengtio by Schane, Eugliache Hanulelspolitik, i. 379-4.83.

    - Illo. Abbrev. p. 201.
    ${ }^{2}$ Certh Mrorcaturin, c. 8: Liber ISubeun, iii. 1068; Stat. 77 Edw. III. 5tat. 2. s. R, 2H EAw. III. c. I3.
    - Y. B. 32 Hen. VI. f. 23 (Mil. pl. 5).
    ${ }^{7}$ Indeed they hed tately obtained two statuter declaring that alien merchanto mut dwell with Koglish hoata and not elsewhere; is Hen. IV. c. 9; Hon, V. c. 3.
    ${ }^{4}$ See above. P. $\$ 59$.

[^317]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sulect Plema in Mannrial Courts (Seld. 800. ), 1. 133

[^318]:    
    
    
    
    
     alat Jecobe. The Jowo in Angerin Findend.
    
    

[^319]:    I There is a good deal of evidence which tands to show that in the first balf of the twelfth mentury the Jew's legal position was not so bad as it afterwards became. The doctribe, not withoat eupporters in Englatd, which ceachen that she dinakilities of the Jew wem due, not to the mere fact that he wis a Jew. bits to the fect, real or presumed, thas he wras a unurar and therefore livinte in martal sin, reems so ue grovediest. Our law did nos regard wary as any offence in a dew ; on the contrary, it enloroed hin usurions contracts for him.

    - Grom. Publications, L 196.
    - Hoveden, tii. 206.

[^320]:    ' (Irom, Prablicetiona, i. 174.

    - The earthas axtans roll wae grimiod in Cole's Dowemeabel is is the b
    
    
    
    
    
     the civio coun bald jien krochuses land between dow and wentare, bas an in whole the comporesce of the exchoyeer cooms to have bree eselanive.

[^321]:    - Ediet of 1271 forbidding them to hold land, Poed, i. sRO: prohibition of weary, Siatutea of the Beatm, L. 281. See also the ordinance printed by Groan in Publicatons, 1. 219.
    = Gross, Publications, i. 192, 225.

[^322]:    ' Grose, Publications, i. 207.
    ${ }^{1}$ Bracton, f. 13. In feofltnenta made by certain conventa it is common to and a mipulation that tho land as not to be sold or gaged to Jewe.

    - Grome, Pulalicutiona, 1. 390.
    - Gesta Abbatum, i. 601 ; Liber de Aatiquir Legibus, 38.
    ${ }^{3}$ Foed. i. 489.

[^323]:    'A coilection of \$3retaroth or 'atam' ber bees pabitatiod liy M it itne
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^324]:    1 Y. B. 82-8 Edw. 1. p. 855 : 'ley de Jwerye.'
    ${ }^{3}$ In onr cheptes on Uwnershup and Ponsession we shall trace the preser. vation of the pedea flarum to thir point Soe rot, ii. p. 97.

    - Vadux, Exchequer, j. 247 from a roll of $8-\$$ Rdw. I.; Statalon of the Healm, 3. 221.
    - Stas. Wemt. II. 18 Edw. L. a. 18.

[^325]:    ' Brunaer. D. 1. U. 1. 17 N.
     p. 23?
    
     1267 , Co. Lus. 12w b.

[^326]:    ' Bracton. f. 128 b . The printed book has Hertford instead of Hereford. The citation from the Digest ehould be. Dig. ad legorn Cornelam de Sicarisy of Feneficis (08.8) 8 量6. 'Traustugan licet ubicungoe invensi fuerint quani homses interfoere. At to killing ath outlaw, see Brithon, i. 81 . So fate nst 1328 it was aspued that a plea of the dead man's onthwry wan andicient nuswer to au smactment for slaying him; 2 Lib, Ase, pl. 3, f. 3; Y. B. 2 Edw. [II. \&, is (Hil. pl. 17); and is would even seem that the sanne ansertion was made in 13 siss; 87 Lib. Am. p. 41, l. 137.
    ${ }^{3}$ Bracton, (. 128 b.
    ${ }^{1}$ Brnetor, f. $125,128 \mathrm{~b}$. $\quad$ Brneton, C .182 b .

    * Bracton, f. 80 b, citing Diz. de donatiouibus (99, 5) 15 : • Poat contractum eaputale crimen donationes fnctee nots valent ex constisutione divorum Sereri at Antonini, si conderanatio meeuta ait.' See also Fleta, p. 48.
    " Bracton, f. 180 : 'cum ait progenitur ralis ex tenticulo et saguipe felotus.' Flesa, p. $\$ 3$.

[^327]:    
    

    - Ing rialm. choof a
    - Bracton. f. 42 Ab b. 487 : Rom. Brow. Deir P. 68
    
    
     Jummora, Canom, IL IVs.

[^328]:    - Johuson, Canons, 3 i. 258 ; Rolls of Parliament, i. 22 d.
    - Rnlls of Parlianent, i. 102. In 1194 Archbishop Gesiffey of Tork was in sroubir for having contemued the king by excommunicating one of his numirters: Rolls of the King's Cours (Pipe Roll Soc.) vol. I. p. xui,

    2 Note Book, pl. 670. Sec Aun. Burton. 255, 113 ; Mat. Par. Chron. Maj. vi. 3.4 : Asticult Cleri. o. 7 (Statutes i. 172)

    - Brectot. f. 68,428 b, 427 : Co. Lit. 184 a.
    ${ }^{2}$ braotum, f. 427: 'Nonquam capnetur aliquia ad mandatnm fullienm dele gatorusa vel enchilisconorum rel atrerius iadies inferioris. quie zex in episcopıs cuerwonean habet propter baroninma.'

[^329]:    I Benctond. f. U20 b: Burg Brev. Orie. R. On.

    - Dinekib, f. 13ti b; Lal aec. 201
    'Hrsoton. f. t3Mb; 'funeaters exim vueria tatosilier opurtes
    - The Cour bayon (Sehu. Sioc), M. Jss.
    
    

[^330]:    1 Hankaume, Coutn. i. 10\%.
    ${ }^{2}$ Memmanda de l'atiamento, 8is Edw. I. Rolln Ser./o p. 228.

    - Matlend, Praerogetiva liexia, E. H. 13. vi. 369.
    

[^331]:    
     masay exmmplan, whate Itweton give suby.
    
     unglind thint every worban to the marspeat of pobes buac.
    ${ }^{1}$ Nuta Hoot, 54. 351, 1361, 1507 .

[^332]:    'Already in D. I., i. 398 b we read of pless ' caman regina Mathilde.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Por averal years under Eenry III. Ele, counters of Salubhury, wan nherif of Wiltaline; see list of kherifle in 31st Rop. of Deputy Keeper. But in thim case there was a claim to an beredsary slirievalty: Note Book, pl, 1236. The wiff of Banulf (ilanvill, aheriff of Yorkshire, is called Berta Fikecomutisas in a charter: Hound, Geoffrey de Manderille, 3ens.
    ${ }^{3}$ Harkrave'y noto to Co. Lis. 29 a.

    - Stubbs, Const. Hist. \$751. Rolla of Parliamens, iv. 270 (a, b. 1428) : the ratl of Nosfoik had issun Margaret has hetr, to whom no ploces in l'arlemeas rayght apporteyne, by eavee slo was a woman.'
     Q. B. U. 7!!
    - Job Hand. ii. 62: ' Domine J. le E. tenet W. . . . ot facis rectam ad counisatum et hundredum.' One exumple among many.

[^333]:    ' Rolls of Parliament, i. 146-7.
    ${ }^{2}$ Note Book, pl. 7: 'Lex de masculis ai femina defondet.'
    ${ }^{3}$ Glanvill, lib. xiv. c. 1, 3.6; Select Plese of the Crown, i. pl. 82; Charter of 1215 , c. 54 ; Bracton, f. 148. It is often said that the woman must allege that her husbadd was slain 'within her arms.' This seems to be only a picturesque 'common form.'

    4 Glanv. xiv. 3.
    ${ }^{3}$ In the version of Glanvill's treatise given by Ms. Camb. Univ. Mm. i. 27, f. 31 b , it is remarked that women can never essoin themselves as being on the king's service, 'quia non possunt neo debent nec solent esee in servitio domini Regis in exercitu nec in aliis servitios regalibus.'

[^334]:    
    
     Deusembes I'rivarreche vol 1.
    

[^335]:    ${ }^{1}$ Dig. 3. 4, 7.
    ${ }^{2}$ In the first half of this century our parlimment tried many expariments of this kind. See for example the Act for the Registration of Joint-8tools Companies, $7 \& 8$ Vic. o. 110, sec. 25, 66.

[^336]:     mole.
    

[^337]:    ${ }^{1}$ For some anthropomorphic vagariee of the middle ages, Gierke, D. G. R. iii. 549.
    ${ }^{2}$ Gierke, D. G. R. iii. 132.

[^338]:    
     reported, Y. B. 21 Edw. IV [ 7, 1\%, 27, 67.
    
     n'al nulu norpmanacion'
     oommonalty are incorgutated by the hathe: the emotudimat traces lig the prep. abbot abil sotheas by tueds dimp and joye
    
    

    - 1.tb Am ata 82. f. 100, pl. 52.
     Reporse, 132
    
     (18 Hen. VI. L. yisich. pl. 13)
    - Y U. 21 Lifw. IV. f. 15 , Mich. pl. 41: to corponeion do mas amen
     ent unpuantbion do fure wats tars:

[^339]:    ' צ. B. 82 Hen. V1. f. 91 Mich. pl. 18) : ' ils sont per cest noume us person corporate'; Y. B. 21 Edw. IV. f. 32 (Pasch. pl. 24) per Catenby.

    1 Y. B. 18 Len. VI. (. 16 (Triar. pl. 4); Y. B. 21 E.dw. IV. f. 24 (I'analh. pl. 23). Comparo what is anid of the Canons of Southwall is Suftom's Iltopptut Cen, 10 Coto's Reporte, 80 b .

    - Y. 8. 81 Edw. IV. 8.31 (Parch. pl. 28), f. 6s (Mich. pl. 83).
    - Y. $\boldsymbol{B}$, 8 Hon. VI. f. 9 (Parech. pl. 6) per Kolf.
    Y. B. 21 E.tw. IV. S. 7, 18, 47, 07.
    - Por tho fiotro of this interosting ouse, noo Oroen, Towa Life, ii. sel.

[^340]:    1 Y. B. 91 R.dw IV. I. 69.
    1 Sev torans on corpurutiona. p. 110. Where is to mad thas 'ff the mean of
    
    
     7 2.dw. 111 L. 85 (Trin. 队L. 3.5\%.
    

[^341]:    ${ }^{1}$ Y. B. 8 Hen. V1. f. 1 (\$ich. pl. 2) : f. 14 (Mich. pl. B-).
    *The worda are " sera levie de touta biens etc. , it ix elear from the contest thes thia means ' whall be leviod from all the goods of the zombers.'
    'In 1437 it is said that if a man recorers debt or dumages acainst a commanalty he shell only have execution aganast the goods that they have in common, Fite Abr. Ezecustan, pl. 128, citing an unprinted Y. B. of Mich 16 Hen. VI.

[^342]:    
    
    
    
    
    

[^343]:    1 Roh Parl. il. 191.
    ${ }^{2}$ Aun. Burton, 171 : 'comsanailas bechelorico Anglice.'

[^344]:    distinction batween swo kinds of res unvervatatia in loorribly mangledi in the
    
     Benefizualwemenf, Berlid, $1 \times 9 \mathrm{~g}$, and the review by Hinvehus of this unportans book in Zeitachift d. Sisv.-Suft. Germ. Abt. xvii. 13i, Also wee Lhr ytutz'd
    
    'Stoks, Henetiziadwesca, i. By. Some information obout this nuther counes Gram Jcelend.

[^345]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is not contemided that an regards overy parish church this is the history of ita adrowson. The Eigenkirchr (the owned church) hegine to affect the whole aystem of law. and the bishop's power over clucelies that perhaps had aever ben uwned oriw begias to book propriftary; they are 'his' churches. So tou tring assert a patrotage over ancteat cathodrata, and the euperor may even *ith to treal the clurch of Homen ins 'his' church.
    ${ }^{3}$ Keaster, Cod. Inpl. Nor i; Stutbon aud Hatians, uii. 62.
    ${ }^{3}$ Cod. 1. 2 (do 5 s . Fieclest1s), 26 . The form came down from the pargan dianmeal taw: ' lheos heredes antatuare non possumus praeter eos quas senatuaconsilto countitutionibusve principum instituere ooncesaum est, sicuti lovem Terpitm ent. Ulp. lieg. xxi. of 0.

    - Liverke li. \%p, 548-8. See e.g. Kemble, Cod. Diph. Na. 847: 'fo wille trat

[^346]:    ${ }^{2}$ [1. B. B. 121
    30 il is ilsib.
     tenes do Ikego Noriliare.'

[^347]:    1 If B. i. 165: Perra accelemino de Bade: S. l'etrus de Beds ranuis Alveatunes.'
    ${ }^{2}$ D. B. i. 1115 b.
    -D. B. i. 91.

    - U. B. \&. 103 b : "I'erm accelesise de Tareatoch . . Ippa mecclesta texet
     Adrelie . . . Ralulfus tenet de mblinte. Tormaberie.'

    D D. B. 2, 136: 'Casonici Lun lonieumes Lenmos' Th. 166 : 'Canonici de
     - Canomer de Hantone renemt.'

    - D. B. i. 17: 'Canonict de Ciceutre fenent communiter.'
    
     permatace abtunet.'

[^348]:    1 As to all this Olerke, D. G II int 14
    
    
    
    
    
     of Cherat.

[^349]:    - Gierke, D. G. R. iii. 271, says stas this personiffeation of the sedes or dianitas did not introduce a mecond and indepondent category of jurimete pertoun bewide tive corporation; sather the canoniat's iden of a corporation was alriady -o unch the idea of ans inntitution [not of an organized body of men] that the corporate element in it might disappear altogesher withmat any ewental change becmung mankasy. Tine, he continuen, the permantied digntum was not direetly sabarmed undes the title of a corporation, [this is just what diat happet is England.) but it was regarded as a phenomezon analogous to a corparation, asul to porm crsent an a varistion on the some theme. So fas na we we awne the 'eargaration mute' heginm to appear cunamane oniy in the Inter fear tionkw.

    P Jhat. Abbrev. 80, (Norf). Y, B, 21-2 Edw. I. p. 33: 'te rghise oat todruaz age.' Compl. Biact \&. 226 b: - Et cum ectleain tungatar vict minurin. acguritur per rectorem et retinet per eundem, sacut minor pur tutorim. Es funinvia moriatur rector, nos caraen cadit eeclenia a seinina due. de aliguo do
    

[^350]:    
    
    
    
     before the law.
     clees

[^351]:    'For the writa of eutry 'sine masensu' Bracton, f. 383; Note Book, pl. BRA, 1787: Khg. Brev. Onk, f. 280.
    ${ }^{3}$ Stas. Braril. c. 2 m . This came of our having no 'real' actiof for movables.
    ${ }^{3}$ Ylacis. Abhrot. 45 (temp. Joh.) : ' Dominum episcopus Landubse usas . . . peris . . . unam zolkans . . . at tus suam quod pertinet ad baroniam suan guans temet de eplaceputu avo.'

    - The usual furm of a royal charter makem this clear; the stant in 'to (ioul asd the church of St Mifary and the Lishop of Satabury nud han suocessurx: ${ }^{\circ}$

[^352]:    -w Ood and the ohareh of 88 Mary and Rithallom of Herofors and ithe
    
    
     patine thaterss equicoopi
    ${ }^{2}$ We chall retarn wo this point in the coat metrio.

[^353]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     patalse ur cmanas.
    
    

[^354]:    'Y. B. Mich. 15 Edw. II. \&. 152.

    - Jr Ilonhan's t'asc, 8 Ilep. 118 a; 2nd Inmb. SR7-R.
    - Fitz. Abr. Amiurfe, pl. 41 (apparently from an uapriated Y. B.).
    - Gierke, D. G. 13, iii. 828, 822, 470.

[^355]:    1 Brectoo, f. 2yes b.

[^356]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Gierke, D. G. R. ii. 562-8.

[^357]:    
    
    
     wrote it.
    
    
     powesanot weone oely to beve bown renowerabid by wot uf exarg.
     R.dw. 181. I. Y8 (Mish. pl. 89). The pamote ano ifive by ANom. fremataw 180.
    
    
    
    ${ }^{1}$ Now throb. M. 110 A

    - Hot. Cl. l. 369.

[^358]:    ${ }^{-}$Becon, Caeo de Rege Incumatios (Works, ed. Spedding, wi. 393): 'for you whll not revive old fables (an Justamen calle thogg of that aature) Prucetpe Hentre Hen etc.'
    ${ }^{3}$ Hracton, f. 171 b. Note Boak, pl. 101, 1101, $1108,1183,1141,1235,1509$. 1766. Y. B. so 31 Edw. 1. p. 172:38 85 Edw. I, p, ö3 V. Rug. Brev. Orig. 231-2.
    'Note Book, pl. 1183 : ' rocar inde ad warantum dominam llegem.' Cuntmat pl. 893 : ' liex debet ei warentizare si ausus essus 1 llum vocare nd warabsurs ซicut alum hominem.' Bracton, f. 3x2 b; Y. B. 31-3 Édw. 8. p. 2N7.

    - Hacon, Works, ed. Speddiag, va, 093.

[^359]:    
     sbove, p. 8 Bl .

[^360]:     apolti, droit de depoutiles, of comtiarntes law.

    - Magra Vita S. Hugoxis, p. 3.4.

    Beeond Chartor of Stephem: Stubba, Selmet Charhoty: Btatutem, vol. i. (Chartesa) p. 3.

    - 1hoew, ii. 12. Fe citem the rubric of Dig. 2. 2.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mat Per. Chron. Maj. ir 5r22, B04.

[^361]:    - See the protests of 1301 and 136ß; Foedern, $i$, nab; Rolls of Parliamens, ii. 290, Stubhn, Const. Hist. F700: 'John'e surrender and subeoqueat homage first created the ahadow of a frudal relation, which wee zenpected by Hunry III., butt repudiated by the Jurlimmenta of Edwart I. and Edward III." is to Hichard's transactions with the Einperor, it was eaby for an Englishuan to hold them ' void for duress'; they were 'contrs Jegm, contri canomen, contra hanos mores': Diceto, ii. 113.
    ${ }^{3}$ See above, p. 846 .
    Wall of Edwaril III., Nicolas, Royal Wills, pe jo. Ho diatirguimbou
     recione regni sur guerrarum nastrarum consmecta.'

[^362]:    ${ }^{1}$ Herry dicel late on Wednendey. Vidward'o pmos on fremelenend as
    
     fally. F'oedern, b. 697.
    
     Whe Kisg thea Duthe of Normandy and Lond of Eisgiand ' hal bere conre.

[^363]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bructoos, f. 35 b : 'Est enim orrona ragis facere inaticiam ef iudicium et conere fremm, at wne quibus corona cunsistere don polost, we tenere.'
     sesaper eat quans minoris setatis."
    ${ }^{3}$ Chromalen of Edward I. and Edward U. Ed. Etuble, j. p. 183, ji. p. 89. 65 ;

[^364]:    ${ }^{1}$ Y. B. 18 Edw. II. f. 571 : 'le Priour fuit con justimable.' Stat. 98 Edw. III. c. 11 : 'celui qe est sovereign de la ville.'

[^365]:    - Eraction, \& $10 \%$ -

[^366]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bracton, f. 10k: © Dictum est in proximo de ordinaris iurisdictione quas pertinet ad regem, consequenter dicendum cst de jurisdictione delegatm.'
    ${ }^{2}$ This is not strictly true, for the vill may well extend into two or three hundreds and into two counties. For some examples see Committee on Pariah Boundaries, P'arl. P'sp. 1873, vol. 8, p. 225.

[^367]:    
    
     2068 s,
    
    
    
    

[^368]:    
    

[^369]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note Book, pl. 40. 212, $\left.943,445,955,1018,1130,1 \$ 18,143\right\}, 16721730$.
    Obscrve in pl. $101 y$ 'Et conntatise boo defendat preceise, and in pl. 1412 E Et countatas dieit yuod tale fut recordiza'

    3talas, Exoh. i, Khiss (31 Hen. 111.): the whele county of Norfolk owen sil for a the judgunent.
    : See Stabiba, Conml. Hfsh, ii. 8iak-292.
    ${ }^{+}$Mritlend, The Suitore of the County Conrt. F. H R. iil 11 H

    - In some came it in tube clear that the inmusity "mones not only the
     left ta some doubs. Sec our firm edition, i. 323.

[^370]:    

    - Edmand it. H. ELherti. 1.
    

[^371]:    - Writ in Selcet Chartore ; Liebormann, Qundripartitun, 165.
    ${ }^{3}$ Lerg. Henr. 7, 8, 51, 52.
    - Ia an action for leud an a local cours, the person in porapsaion was uthen allowed 'three aummouses, threu defaults and three esoms before appearance. (Beloet Heas in Mnnorial Courta, 1, 107, 112-120) so that if the court nat bus twice a year lee would bave mome four years bufore the day for onnwernin the demandant wuald arsive. The MS. Book of Cerne ia Canb. Univ. Lithe tella of a alst between the Abbot of Cerne and the Pitor of St Siwithin's which has come verore win succesand county courte and yet eeenas far from a judgment.
    - Bencton, \&. 12i b. Thas rule wheh requered that she ontawry shonld nos take plece natil the athi, or accordiag to another mode of reckong the fourth.

[^372]:    1 K. H. ii. teis: - Wh. G. holda two virgntes of the Abhot of finmeey. For noe virgate lue does suit to the county of Cauliridge and the buadred and pars 12d. tonmerds the sharifk atd. For the other virgate the gess 5a, a year to tho Abbot and dore atit to the Abbotin court at Iroughton.' I. II Trin. 7 Edw. I1. f, 2s3: Your prealecessor eufenffed william of the one virgaten $u$ hold by tomage, leaty, virfere shillinges y car and sum to his cours, and for the other visgate, to do sust to the hundred of A. and the county of Hersford for the vill of L. ${ }^{\prime}$
    : Testa do Nevill, tot-5. Tho word cranalsted en doomaman is iwirs, insteat of whels mies is too frequentiy printed.
    ' R. H. 4. 188.

[^373]:    ${ }^{1}$ Thus Baldwin Wake holds a manor of Nicholes de Meynill who holds of Peter de Maulay : Peter does suit to the county of York by his steward for all his tenants; therefore none is due from Baldivin; P. Q. W. 199. In the fifteentlı century the stewards of the great lords meem to heve been the electors for the county of York. Bee Stubbe, Const. Hitt., iii. 484, se to the peouliar character of the lorkshire clections.
    = It may be necessary to warn the reader that the 'suit real " of old boole, which is contrasted with 'suit service,' suggests a falsehood to ws moderas. The word 'real' in this context means 'roybl' and an attempt was made at times to prevent this 'suit royal 'from becoming 'real' in the sense in which we use that word. See Y. 13. 33-5 Edw. I. p. 91.
    ${ }^{3}$ Petition of the Barons, c. 24.
    4 Irovisions of Westminater, c. 1, 2, 3; Stat. Merlb. e. 9.

[^374]:     Lib. Mil. r. As.
    
     perses tos luithly by the daty uf oult of copurs.

[^375]:     Brantun, f. 100 b . It satse thus: - Nummone jeer bunoa summoniturea umnea archiepiscopoa, episooprse, somites, et baroness, milites et hibero tennenten de tota halliva tun et de faulibed vilha sij. legales homunen et prappositmat et de quoliter
    
     to sny 'Sumanon all the archtishops, bishops, parls, baronn, knights and freebriblese of your builswick and all othere of your baliwek who are wont and ought to altend the jantices 'is to une a phrase which is not too prectre. Hay it ant mean 'Sumana those (freehulders and uthers) who ase wozt mad ought to cume ?
    :Thu* a resasat of the Abbos of Gluncester in bound to aonuit the whole vill from buat so wif courte of the hundred or of the county ar of junticest mend all other cuita wheis pertain to the asid vill; Cart. Gluuc. 1. 3Nt). At Nurtnlench a teanas of the Abbet ts hoand to des out for him lond to the county and she hundred aud must remain before the jubtioes in ayse during the whole of thair sowmion: [his, is. $1 \leqslant 0$.

    - Leg. Hen. 7. 条 2.
    
    
    
    
     conjunctuon of these three titien if rather Preach or Frankiel, then Eingheh.

[^376]:    - Alengham Magna, eap. $1 v$.

[^377]:    1 Niver thents, pil 1730 Somelar pi 915
    : Kempyus. lisem of the Reatelager us is

[^378]:    1 The ('inurt Maron (Sirlden gio.) p. 48.
    ${ }^{2}$ Plmeit Abtiner, 208-9.

[^379]:    'Seg. Henr. c. 81, 8: 'In quibusdam locis utromyue cligitur tudioum. usedirue bh cin quarum est negothum.' The hantory of Ramsey Abbey, c. xavii. p. 79. dencribew ws actun hrought in the days before the Cutuqueat: ' $2 x 5 v i$
     ster tuns.'
    ${ }^{1}$ Hrecion, f. 118 b.
    ${ }^{3}$ Hecit. Abbrev. p. M5. The word verare twict in the rocord.

    - Britturs, i. 165; Fleta, 138.

[^380]:    
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$. $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{n}=31 \mathrm{~s}$.

    - 8wyith, Lates of the Barkeleys, iii, is.

[^381]:    
    : \& 4 If is 233123 m

    - Bhe Iari L aml
    - Nital. Wimt is b:am !
    

[^382]:    ${ }^{1}$ Log. Henr. c. B. $\quad$ Charter of 1217, c. $\mathbf{4 \%}$

[^383]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     nualis ke tre tumbibent iss)
    
    

[^384]:    ${ }^{1}$ Thin proceas begins with Stat. 14 Car If. A. 12, we. 21. As leugth in ikng the rulu is laid down that in statutes the word 'parials' in to tmene prime factic " piace for which a supanate phor rate for or an bo made, or for whals a reparate drensear is or nass, be appoisted'; Stat. 53 and 53 Vie, c. fi3, nec. s; wee also 2f) and 30 Vic. c. 118 , sec. 18. We could wish our newty invented "parial, rotancily" a betser name.
    ${ }^{3}$ Soce the very interemting map of Donsthorpe piven in Comme, Vulage
    
    
     where Col. Lench mentions a case in Glouveshershre, of wheth the prewent
     cotricate faplisun.

[^385]:    ${ }^{2}$ Linl. leach, fow rie jr. Mi
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^386]:    ${ }^{1}$ Domesday and Beyond, pp. 10 ff.

[^387]:    - Seleet Pleas of the Crown. p1. 173.

    3 bid. pl. 196.
    ${ }^{3}$ Mariox. Hist. Eixch. i. 2st-56s.

    - Stathar. Select Charters: ' cum arenbun of agattir et alis levibas armis quae debens pruvidery ad custuan cotsus villae of quae semper rennanoazt ad opus prsedictae villaw.'
    - The documente of $1181,1833,1252,125 \%, 1245$ are nil prontod in the Seflect Chathers.

[^388]:    - 8. H. t. 30n.
    - Since Bonke pl. 1170 .
    - Dip Hedi 12 Hean 11. ©
    - IK If L. Ca

[^389]:    ${ }^{1}$ R. H. ii. 497-498: " Thomas de Bodeham appropriavit sibi de communs de Borewelle.' This is a little ambiguous and perhape should be tranulated by ‘T. de B. has appropriated part of Burwell common.'
    ${ }^{2}$ R. H. i. 54.

[^390]:    ${ }^{1}$ Brectom, f. 184-a
    
     or Normematy
    

[^391]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the facmimile of a part of a Norwich frankpledge roll in Leet Jurimatiotion in Norwheh iselden soo.) p. yivii.
    ${ }^{2}$ Sien thio Hundred fioll for Kent, where the borghal meems often to bo a traet of land. Thas, p. 202, murder haw bews cosanastes 'in bargha de Patncheabern.

    - Palyrave, Engl. Commonwralth, vol. ii. py. ess exyer; Stubles. Conns. Eial. 2. 91-5: Matland. Flean of the Crown for Choucenter, p. xix.
    - Paligrave, Engl. Commonwealth, rol. ii. pp. cexis-ir: Stublun. Connt.

[^392]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sometimes the tithingman was elected by the men of the tithing. Rot. Hund. i. 212 (Kent): 'J. B. distrinxit J. de E. at enset borgemaldre sine electione borgae suae.' In some boroughs, e.g. Norwich, men who were in every sense free men were in frankpledge, see Hadson, Leet Jarisdiction in Norwich (Selden Soc.) p. Ixwii. But on the ples rolle of some counties, e.g. Stafordehire, we find entries which state that a man is not in frankpledge ' quia liber.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Select Pleas in Manorial Courta, p. 169.
    ${ }^{3}$ Leg. Edw. Conf. 26 (28). This in all probebility is mere fable.

[^393]:     Embenas. ad. 2, p. 2 sity.
    : Hincton, l \$51.
    

[^394]:    ${ }^{1}$ P. Q. W. 308. Thornton makes the same point against the abbot of Ramsey; P. Q. W. 905.
    ${ }^{2}$ P. Q. W. 86 ; see also $10,87,88,105,242$.
    ${ }^{3}$ P. Q. W. $4 . \quad$ BractoD, f. 56.
    ${ }^{3}$ P. Q. W. 4, 259, 303.
    *This curious argument is used by William Inge againat the abbot of St Mary's, York; P. Q. W. 122: by Gilbert Thornton, Ibid. 671 : and more than once by Hugh Lowther, Ibid. 676-7. Thus against the bishop of Coventry, Lowther says, 'The bishop can not show that any of his predecescors came with the Conqucror and obtained these liberties by [the] conquest (per conquestum), for the bishop and all his predecessors were, as one may say, men of religion (fhusi religiosi, i.e. in the same category as professed monka) and they and their church were eufeoffed by othera, and therefore they cannot claim

[^395]:    ' See the clarters of the Tempiars and Kioapitallers and the E'eterboroush charter, Rol. Cart. gre.
    

    - That Cast. 204 (1, B. 121,5 ).
    ${ }^{3}$ Carl. Kams. i. 62 (and. 13ur).
    

[^396]:    

[^397]:    ${ }^{1}$ Rot. Cant. A0; John grants to the manlsy of Notwich ' $q$ qumd viann franci pleari bus in caris eorum ouran aerviente nostra aine admaxtione homupum alisnı homani."
    ${ }^{4}$ P. Q. W. R5, 89, 50, v1, 298-4-6. P P. Y. W. 8, 6, 7, 298.

    - P. Q. W. 297.
    -8.Q. W. 172 .
    - P. Q. W. 12

[^398]:    
    
    
    

[^399]:    1 In old documenta returnux is certainly commoner than returna.
    2 Select Pleas in Manorial Courts, pp. xxv-xxvi; but it was the Abbot of Hyland, not of Kirkstall, who required the king's justices to ait at Clifton.

[^400]:    - Stat. Marlb. c. 9.
    ${ }^{2}$ Helect Pleas in Manorial Courte, p. Ilvia.
    ${ }^{2}$ See nbove, p. 238.

[^401]:    ${ }^{1}$ See above, p. 147.

[^402]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the preoedents in The Court Baron.
    I. 1. HE Edw. ILI. I. 19 (Tris. pl. 14). The rame suggention is mome in Y. 13. 21-2 Edw. 1. p. 157. The suswer is "The oomers if judge.'
    ${ }^{2}$ Sine abore. y. 353.

[^403]:    1 Soa abuve p 350
    

[^404]:     Hegin, i. 357.
    : The Court I3moa, pp. 121, 131.
    ${ }^{2}$ Select I'lath in Mawrial Courts. i. Ig6 (a,d. 1901)

    * Ser rbave, p. 343.

[^405]:    

[^406]:    Hracton, f. 218, 435 6.
    ${ }^{3}$ Mauklani, Ikotneaday Bouk and Buyund, 107 af: aud. Wo the contmary, Tait, E. H. H. 211. 368 .

[^407]:    
    
    
    
    
    
     the bes fifterat manore, no morv and so iene ?

[^408]:    - Siew above, pabs.

[^409]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    ${ }^{3}$ Ebresua, 1. 21\%. 134b.
    
    
    

[^410]:    18 H ॥ 1 ta .
    

[^411]:    estate at Bradwell ; Ibid. 714, Sampson Foliot holds the manor (expresaly so called) of Albury but bay no free tenant; Ibid. 715, the Templarg' estate at Merton; Ibid. 723, the 'Templars' estate at Littlemore, they have no freeboldar, the customary tenants attend their court.
    ${ }^{1}$ R. H. ii. 638-9 ; Chron. Petrub. (Camden Soc.), 160, 165.

[^412]:    ${ }^{1}$ H. H. ii. 491.
    ${ }^{2}$ R. H. ii. 875.
    ${ }^{3}$ D. B. i. 336.

    + R. H. i. 68.
    ${ }^{3}$ Maitland, Domesday Book and Beyond, 116.
    $\begin{array}{ll}* \text { H. H. ii. } 751 . & \text { D. B. i. } 154 . \\ \text { R. H. ii. } 160-9 . & \text { D. B. ii. } 288 .\end{array}$

[^413]:    18 H. i. Sas.

    - D. B. 1 33 12
    
    - Ben Note Ithotr. pi. Gas.

[^414]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ord. Vital. ii. 223.

[^415]:    ${ }^{1}$ Leng. IImen. ©. 7, 17.
    
    
    
    

[^416]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bodleian, Suftolk Court Rolls No. 3 :- ${ }^{\text {' Villata }}$ dicit quod P. S. ot E. C. fodierunt communam de H. . . . . . et quie consuetado villae non est talis, conssderatum est quod P. et E. distringantar.' Duchy of Lancaster Court Rolls, Bundle 62, No. $750:-$ 'Consideratum est per totam villigtam.' Seleet Pleas in Manorial Courta, i. 11 : 'Villata presentat.'
    ${ }^{2}$ As a matter of fact the title of the court on its roll will seldom use any of these terms. The court is simply the cuurt of Mickleton or of Littleton.
    ${ }^{3}$ Bracton, f. 211, speaks of the formation of new vills. Seemingly if in the vill of $A$ a new group of houses is formed, this may come to be known at the vill of $B$; but these houses will be alao in the vill of 4 . In pleading one may describe them indifferently as in $A$ or in $B$.

[^417]:    
    
    
    
     to ' outhractad by the hisue ut Almase

[^418]:    - Among she bent of the many pramplitatn on this nuhymet are, WH Hale. The Antuquits of the Church Kate System 118s7): W Ginvile, A Brief Hintary of Church listes (1838); Hobert Bwan, The Principle of Chureh Kesmy (Insif).

[^419]:    tourhemth and iffernth ceusturies the makiag asd enforcoment of a compuleury chareh-rate was a rare event; mived the learned editur ( p . 2st) wnyw that he kaows of but one case before the refgn if Elizainth. The chnerfl-wardont sewian to have got the monoy thas thay neeted by muans of voluntary gifte atal leganes and of 'church-alen' which opened the pursees of the parishioners.
    t The very fact thas the mode of assesament wan often chagged points wo the codelngion that theme wan no pormanemt organization apt for the purpme.
     the lord'n atewnad and the perish proest: if they dinpuste the correatness of his
     Whe vill is seproserleal by the ford of the vill or bia haitif, the reore and four man. In thut the saxpayure deolare thetr own linbilsy. Bo in 1225 the tax. payer sweare at to him own goode and those of two of his next netghtrours, differeveen beung neferred to a jury of twelve. In la32 four men am to be
     amastinent. It 1237 forter tuelt are to be chowen (elygi) iss rech stll to wako the ancestanent. Bee the writa in Stubbe, Seleat Chartert.
    ${ }^{3}$ HoL I'arl. L. 239, $340,269,4+2,445$, 450, 157 ; 12. 447 .

[^420]:    - Sital. 27 Eliz. e. 19. Eac. 4: And uthough the whote hundmed where auch cobbergee and feloniea are conatuitied, . . use by tho madd statutam . . chargevel with the anwwering to the purty robind hin daznaken; yet neviritieleat the secovery and evecusion . . . is had againut one or a very few pmanize of the main intiabitante, and he and they . . . have not heretofore by law had nay mans or way wheve any contribution of or from the rasidue of tho muill bundrent,'

[^421]:    ' Notu Book. pl. 174. 3:3, fi2w, R3:!, !71, 1721; Year Book, Edw. II. f. 170, $1 ヶ 3,314,327,330$. In Sumersham the Bp of Ely had a great wood of 300 acres in whech the une of the towuships of Wraboys, Woodhurst, Waldhurst, St Ives, Needmsworth and Holywell, all of which belonged to the abbot of lamsey, had combon togethrir with the men of the bishop's large soke of Somersham;
    
    : Note Howh, pl. 17t. Thar jurs can not tell the limits of Billinghay and North lyme in Lincolnhine, for there are marehes in whech the men of these two vils intercommen.
    ${ }^{4}$ Ithg. Malmest, in. 1.53-16.5. For another instance see Ibld. ii. 185.

[^422]:    - Williams, Hishte of Common, f. 81.
    - Seruthon. Comanone and Cumanon Pialds, ds. 3.

[^423]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
     mame vill, and that the iord will hare a alatiar tacist oroe Lic berant. Fsese
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

    - Bitangraion, Vithonegr. dess 582
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

[^424]:    : Otiord Englinh Dictumary. $\quad$ Note Book, pl. 1602.

    - "infteatd's c'asci, if C'o. Rep, ota b.

[^425]:    ${ }^{1}$ Nimme, Agricultural Comamunity (tmanl, Ourry), pp. 42-46. Bus we cans sus dud any evidenve of oxen that helonged to the oommonity. As to the corumos hoas of Newton, whid Nask montions, doubslean the lord was the owner of it.

    1 Durhans Halmotes, pp. 33, 30, 34 etc.:-' sodidendo antiquams fircuaces of faciendo domino et vicinus quace incumbunt.' in thit paragraph we atall atue these intersting rollo, thuligh they belong to the fourteenth cantory.
     muam herentem qui potest werere vicinitatem.

    * Iharlaner Halmotes, puscom. ' Ibud. p. 88. * Ibil. p. 20. : Ibid. p. 22.
    - Sec Skrat, Dick. A. v. Ly-lar. There seems no doubt shat the word bylure wavas townahuplave ; it often occurw in the form byriave.

[^426]:    I Note Book, pl. 161 : 'Note quod libor homo non tenetur sequi molendisum dominí nuj nims rratio velıs."
    ${ }^{2}$ Durham Hatmotes, p. $69 . \quad$ Ibid. p. 100. "Ibid. Pp. 81, 78, 73.

[^427]:    1 Medox, Firme Hitrg, 31 -s6.
    
     suat villatis od arman.

[^428]:    ${ }^{1}$ 8ee the survey of Haliow ; on pl 49 b the finmarim in meationed.

[^429]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ann. Dunstap. 378.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ibid. 392.
    3 See slso Madox, Firms Burgi, 41. Under Edward III. it was alleged that the community of the vill of Tetsworth, in Oxfordehire, had given a hoase and garden to the charch of that vill; but the biehop of Lincoln proved that this was nutrue; he and his predecessors had always been seised of the prominew.

[^430]:    - Firma Hearesi, 120.
    
    

[^431]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Gromes, Biblograply of Manicipal Hiatory (Farvand Historical Btadien,

[^432]:     for the work that lien get wo be donefer Enulasd ; is han andaneal at to rmens this eecturn of our book. Masy eider of the sulgnes bove the exmeng
     bee recerved woo litelu ateation. The Hhatory af lkercourho by keorvontion el
    
     Elorututh. Ciambindar. 1 NJM.

    - Sut nemmanily of ane of the coantle nf a blar theme.
    
    

[^433]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is not isaplipd tuat all of those chapmotorietice wouln ha found it every berough. It is highly improbatile that striet delinistion whe possible in the reath and impossible in the thirteents contury.

[^434]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Lebermann. Den engligehe Gidde im echten Jehrhandert. Archiv fur dae Stodium der neveren 8prachen, zevi. 88s: almo Grom, Gild Merchans, [. $174 \%$.
    'In very reocat daya Ipawioh was 'bomed' by a Wellington Clob and Cambridge by a Rutland Club. Seo alao the mtory of Coventry as told by Mru Gseen, Town Life, di. 205 E.

[^435]:     Alan the eutry touching Khuddlan in I', D. I. Why, and Hormmes. p. On is the
    
    
    
    
     prove their might to ajpmar thy twave mon, If if wi lin the evm ond
    
    
    

[^436]:    1 Riess, Geechiohte dee Wehlrechta sum angliechen Parlament, 19, 20.
    ${ }^{2}$ Rueser op. cir. p. 23.
    ${ }^{1}$ Jonelin of llamkeland, p. 78. The chartes is given is a Bory Registers ; Camb. Univ. Lib. Ff. ii, 88, \& , 64 b .

    - Perl. Write, i. 123. Grom, Gild Morehant, ii ss-6. P. M, 1.

[^437]:    1 Maltiand, Townshtp and iloroopth, p. it .
    2 Placsit Ablower. 810 (London).
    

    - IV W. W 172

[^438]:    ${ }^{1}$ I'. Q. W. 472. Munim, (iild. ii. 149-151.

    * Recorde of Nottingham, i. 124, 186.
    ${ }^{1}$ Recorle of Nottingham, i. 70, 100.
    + Lyon, Inover, ii. 274.

[^439]:    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    
    

    3 Hecoris of Northempran. L. 214
    
    
    
    

[^440]:    - Hec above, p. 339.

    3 Hesonds of Notsinghatw, 1. 1.
    

    - On lhis susbject mee Stnbbs, Hoveden, vol. ii. p. xxxviii. It is true that we read in chanvill and a few chartem of the privilege an exintomg in oertain borongha before we hear of it 18 existing on the royal demosur fanils. but in general the pecularitien of the ancient demesne are reganded an very ancient; they are nuppunad to ropresmat the conquent settleusent. In 1318 the wanl l-bo Law or chazter of the Conyuesas wan plended by parson who were beving in Sorwich: Placit. Abbmev. p. 916. In 130d Simou of l'arin wes imprisoned an a vilewn; be brought an action aud the ples that he wien a citiven and aldermana of laindon was dot reeived: Y. B. 1 场dw. 11. I. 4. As Norwich no unt ocruld hecome a citisers unlems be wis alreads a free man: Norwich Countumal, can Sh. Thix ant true of Landun also: Munim. Gidlh. i. 88. See Grom, Gitd Merchant, i. so.

[^441]:    
    
     Sobsenfeutiod the buygesme of Josby.

[^442]:    I An to the eacheat of lands in London, Bee above, p. 148.
    ${ }^{3}$ R. H. ii. $85 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{fl}}^{\mathrm{fl}}$. ${ }^{\circ}$ H. \&. si. $89 \%$.

[^443]:    The foctrine which gives the woll of btriti-meyo is the weome of te
     can oot be dusousead therv.
    

[^444]:    ${ }^{1}$ See the account of Lincoln, K. H. i. 397-8. Ibid. i. 203, Canterbury.
    ${ }^{2}$ Munimenta Gildhallac, ii. 95, 274.
    ${ }^{3}$ As to all this matter see Maitland, Township and Borough, 185 II.

[^445]:    ${ }^{1}$ See above, p. 334.
    ${ }^{2}$ In our first edition too little notice was taken of the right which the burgensic community (ut unirersitas) may have in the 'waste' or 'common' land of the vill. See Green, Town Life, ii. 237. An attempt has been made to repair the default elsewhere: Maitland, Township and Borough.
    ${ }^{3}$ Maitland, Township and Borough, pp. 77-9. See also Records of Northampton, i. 96.

    4 At a later time many of the renta were reduced on the score of the poverty of the towns, and, though we must not believe all the plaintive tales that the burgeases tell about the 'destruction' of their bailiffs, it seems fairly plain that the rents were heavy. See c.g. the story of Bedford, where the reut wes reduced from £4t to $£ 20$; Munic. Com. Rep. 1835 , iv. 2104 ; also Maithand. Township and Borough, 77 ; Hiat. MS. Com. xi. 3, p. 4, Southampton.
    ${ }^{3}$ The Records of Leicester are especially valuable at this point.

[^446]:    ${ }^{1}$ Stubbs, Const. Mist. i. 67!. ${ }^{2}$ See above, p. 491.
    ${ }^{3}$ Hudson, Archaeological Journal, vol, xlvi. p. 293.
    4 Sue the extracts from the Mickletorn rolla in Records of Nottingham, vol. i.
    ${ }^{3}$ Norwich was divided into four leets. See Leet Jurisdiction in Norwich (Selden Suc.).
    P. M. I.

[^447]:     Intmataction to leot Jurioluthett io Noemieh.
    
     Eugliabs Tuwn (Coventry).

[^448]:    ${ }^{1}$ Gross, (illd Merchant, ii. 115.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ipswich Domesday, p. 167.
    ${ }^{3}$ For London, set Stubbs, Const. Hist. iii. §809.
    4'erhups we may have to distinguish cases in which an old body of doomsmen or lawmen develops into a council from others in which a council is nowly and delabrately institnted. In Germany the relation of the Studtrat to an older Schutfrnkelli!g has buen much discussed. See Keutgen, Uraprung der deutichen Stadtverfassung, 218 fi.

[^449]:    ${ }^{3}$ Munim. Gild. ii, 64. $\quad 2$ Ibid. ii. 385-407. ${ }^{3}$ Ibid. ii. 405.

    + Riley, Chronicles of Old London, p. 171.

[^450]:    
     menonra do in diter cirs."

    - Soe Felees finas of the Crown. pl. :8\%, for an marly incenom io tazt ib
    
    
     The fowieft therumedey contaitue a euknt mansig raies thich are mad os b
    
    
     prois par kow arisement eosimer).'
    
    
    

[^451]:    ' (iross, Gild M.rehant, ii. 11.)-123.
    ${ }^{2}$ Hot. Cart. 56.

[^452]:    
    
     the geith lind whathuge to do weth porermion usal adauro.
    ${ }^{2}$ Kot Cart. 3 ?

[^453]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ste the complaint afainst the community of Lynn ; R. H. i. 461; also the complaint arainst the men of Dedford; P. Q. W. 18.

[^454]:    1 gee in Grom, gild Mrechant, vol th. ander Andrvar, Ouhiamel Lanne
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Muloy, Chromalen, Jp 11, 18, 18, 28.
    

[^455]:    ${ }^{1}$ R. H. i. 309-15-22.
    ${ }^{2}$ P. Q. W. 18. See the assertion of the Abbot of Bury, Gross, Gild Merchant, ii. 34.
    ${ }^{3}$ Sce (iross, Gild Merchant, i. $93 . \quad$ See above, p. 502.
    ${ }^{5}$ Must we ray, for example, that the University of Cambridge (which is a corporation hy prescription) is fuigned by the law to be a person, because the law first feigns that by some charter granted before the time of Richard I. some king said in effect that there was to be this fiction? That this story would coutradict some known facts in the history of the University seems the lesst of its demeriss.

[^456]:    ' Ipswich Domesday, p. 129.
    : For the parallul process in Germany, mee Gierke, D. G. R. ii. 692. King John had licensed the nworn commune in many French towns; see Giry, Etahlisucmente de Rouen, paskim.
    ${ }^{3}$ If somen highly improbable that the onth to maintain the liberties of the toun was developed out of the onth of allegiance.

    * See the early instances from Ipwwich in Grons, Gild Merchant, ii. 123 ff. See ulso Imwich Domesday, p. 153; Norwich Customal, c. 36. For a complaint of the sale of citizenship in Londun, see R. H. i. $400^{\circ}$.

[^457]:    
    
     p. 21 u
    
    
     Solutars be atesular.

[^458]:    : Reprotr. Malambur. ii. 34.
    ${ }^{8}$ See above, p. 2.57.
    P. M. I.

[^459]:    ${ }^{1}$ Y. B. 49 Edw. III. A. 6 (Hil. pl. 10); Groes, Gild Merchent, ii. 177 fi.
    ${ }^{2}$ Note lbook, pl, 16, 145.

[^460]:    
    
    
     reates whach is of gruas importance w all the catusem.

[^461]:    ${ }^{1}$ An carly example, from 1225, will be found in Nottingham Records, i. $1 \times-20$ : the burgespes of Retford and their successors are to hold of the burgesses of Nottingham and their successors. See Gross, Gild Merchant, i. $\mathbf{9 5}$. The new phrase makes its way but slowly into royal charters; the chancery was conservative. However, for an early example of 'heirs and succesnors' in a royal document nee John's charter for Waterford: Chartae, Privilegia et Immunitates, Irish lecord Commission, p. 13.
    a The phrase which tells us how a corporation may 'hold land in anccession' is a misdescription of what really happens. Littleton and Choke make some good remarks about the use of the words 'and their saccessors' in Y. B. 39 Hen. VL. f. 13 (Mich. pl. 17).
    *Apparrinty in Germany the style which purports to grant liberties "to the citizens, the ir heirs and auccessors' yielded at what Englishmen must call a very early date to the style which treats 'the city' as the recipient of the chartered rights. See (iierke, D. G. 1l. ii. 627 II.
    *Thus, in spite of Mrs Green's able arguments (Town Life, ii. 231), we are

[^462]:    ${ }^{2}$ Stat. 49 \& 50 Vic, c. 38 . The chaim for compensation is now made to "the police authority' and paid out of the police rate.

    2 The talk about "fictitious' pernonality did not prevent the legista nor, with sume exceptoons, the canoniats from holding that an wniversitus can commit a crime and he punished for it. On the contrary, they went great lengths in the punishment of eorpmorations; some of them were prepared to say that if a civitas commita a caphtal crime, auch an treason, aratro decapitstur. See Gierke, 1. (i. 18. iii. 234, 342, 402, 491, 738. In molern America the old doctrines which would deprive a corporation of corporate existence if it abuwed its powor have borne new fruit, aud joint-atock companies have learned the muaning of quo traranto.
    ${ }^{3}$ Firma Burgi, c. 3. She above, p. 62 m.

    * Firıa Burқi, p. 157.

[^463]:    I Sime nburn, p. R8S.

    - stat. Weas. 13. C. 19, whels introdunee the prat of cioght
    - In centat. ar the antion of parre corporate Lataisy em tears mepad, -
    
     mastaned by many wrices.

[^464]:    ${ }^{1}$ Nute Book, pl. 16: the burgesses of Scarborough complain of the bailiffs of York; the complaint is answered by the mayor, reeve and bailiffs; pl. 145: the burgesses of leverley complain of the bsiliffs of Lincoin; the complaint is answered by the mayor and ballffe. Placit. Abbrev. p. 1.48: the whole county of Huntunglonsthire sues the burgeases of Hantingdon. See Firma Burgi, ch. 7. Fur cases in which the homines of places that are not boroughs appear, see nbove, p. $6: 33$. In 1275 the little township of Graveley 'by its attorney' brinps an action in the court of the Fair of St Ives; Select Pleas in Manorial Courts, p. 150.
    ${ }^{2}$ llucit. Ablrev, 65 (temp. Joh.).
    ${ }^{3}$ Firma luargi, p. !ef. + Firma Burgi, p. 97 (temp. Elw. II.).
    ${ }^{5}$ Y. 13, 1! Hen. VI. f. 80 (Trin. pl. 11). Bracton, f. 228 b.
    ${ }^{5}$ I'lacit. Abhev. 273 (temp. Edw. I.): 'et factum maioris in hiis que tangunt communitatem (st factum ipsius communitatis.'

[^465]:    1 R. II. il. 8.

    - Scleet lileas in Manorial Conirla, pp. 136-5, bes the nemarto tbwo mose
    
    
    
    

[^466]:    
    
    
    
    
     lectaurs, ul. pp. Fi. 3a.
    ${ }^{3}$ bee above, p. 680.

[^467]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the development of practice and theory touching the power of majorities, see (iirthe, b). (i. 1R. ii. 478 ; iii. 220, 322, 392, 470.
    ${ }^{2}$ Sectabive, p. tis3.
    "Tahr for instance the transaction chronicled in Reg. Malmesb. ii. 150-5. The abbot and couvent quit-claim to the burgeases who are of the gild merehant of Malmesbury their beirs and assigns' all right of pasture in certain lanil. On the other hand, $A . B$, alikerman of the gild, $C . D$ and $E . F$, stewarde of ther gild, wesentern other named persons, 'and the whole intrinsic commanity of the' sald vill and of the pild merchant.' declare)that 'they' have quit-claimed to the ulituy part of 'their' heath called Fortmanneshethe, and that none of the said commonity bor any of their successors or beirs will claim any right therein, and the reto they bet their common seal.

